

**Sent:** 03 September 2026 12:58

Thanks for the response, I would have further objection to the proposed based around increased development on an existing unfinished development, concerns re impact on the unadopted roadway which is resident responsibility, increase traffic flow and given the property is now 7 bedrooms the intended purpose for the property.

Based on the fact that works have already been completed without planning consent (permitted development rights are removed) and plans submitted are inaccurate it does raise concerns.

---

**Sent:** Thursday, September 3, 2026 11:43 am

**Subject:** RE: FAO James Evans re planning application no. 2026/62/91894/W

Good Afternoon

Thank you for your email, I will get in touch with the agent to clarify the situation with the loft conversion. If they confirm this is already in place the application description will have to be changed and new plans will have to be submitted.

The site visit has already taken place but due to the height of the property the roof was not visible.

Kind Regards,  
James Evans  
Graduate Planner/Assistant Planner – Development Management  
Planning & Development Service  
Growth and Regeneration  
PO Box 1720, Huddersfield, HD1 9EL  
Tel: 01484 221000

Website: [www.kirklees.gov.uk](http://www.kirklees.gov.uk)

**Sent:** 02 September 2026 23:54

**Subject:** FAO James Evans re planning application no. 2026/62/91894/W

Dear Case Officer,

I am a local resident writing to formally alert you to significant, material inaccuracies in the submitted plans for application 2026/62/91894/W at 7 Little Croft Close Holmfirth HD92BD

The existing site plans and elevation drawings submitted by the applicant are fundamentally incorrect. They completely omit an existing loft conversion on the property, which contains two additional bedrooms and roof windows which has been carried out since the original property was completed in July 2025 in what would appear to be a breach of the original planning consent for the development.

Because the drawings show an outdated three story property rather than the actual four-story reality, the council cannot accurately assess:

1. **Scale and massing:** The intensity of use and true scale of the building are being hidden.

2. **Parking requirements:** The baseline bedroom count is incorrect as the property is now a seven bedroom property understating local parking impact and traffic flow.

This also raises the questions as to whether works have been carried out at the property without appropriate consents.

I respectfully request that you visit the site, order the applicant to submit accurate verified drawings, and reset the public consultation period so the community can comment on the true layout.

As the closure date for formal objections is 4<sup>th</sup> September can I request acknowledgment of this email before this point. I apologise for the minimal amount of time to respond before the deadline however as no formal notification of the application has been received it is only by chance seeing the notification on a lamppost across the main road from the property tonight that I have been made aware.

