

**Consultation Response from KC,  
Conservation & Design**

**2026/91009 4-6, Kirkgate, Huddersfield, HD1 1QH**

**Listed Building Consent for roof repairs including re-use and replacement of slates, new underlay, battens and leadwork; replacement rainwater goods; repointing and masonry repairs; chimney repairs; and minor basement structural repairs (within a Conservation Area)**

**Date Responded:20/5/26**

**Responding Officer:SC**

**Responding Ref:**

This is a grade II listed building in the Conservation Area.

[4, KIRKGATE, Non Civil Parish - 1313481 | Historic England](#)

If it was solely re-roofing to match existing using all matching materials, it could be classed as repair.

However, in this case there are a few items that could be classed as alterations, so Listed Building Consent has been submitted.

Localised timber repairs will be undertaken only where necessary, including splicing of decayed rafter ends. This is considered acceptable.

We note that some render would be removed and the gable end re-pointed with lime mortar. It appears that there is a mix of some stone, some brick and some render on the gable end. The use of an appropriate lime mortar (weaker than the adjacent stone/brick), in a colour to match, to be finished flush or slightly recessed would be acceptable and a condition should be applied to ensure this. The application states that NHL 3.5 lime mortar is proposed which is acceptable.

Repairs to existing skylights is proposed with renewal of flashings in lead which is acceptable.

Rainwater goods should be cast iron, or sectional cast aluminium of a heritage profile (not plastic), finished black. A condition could be applied for this item.

We note that it is proposed to replace the broken slates (up to 50%) with matching reclaimed slate, to match in terms of colour, size, finish as closely as possible.

It appears that some structural repairs are required in the basement, and although these would be of modern construction using steel goalpost frame to support existing masonry, it appears that these would be minimalist and reversible, and within an area that is not highly prominent, so would not impact the historic significance in this case so would likely be acceptable.

On a separate matter, if shop front alterations or new signage is proposed in future, we would welcome consideration to be given to a smaller, more traditional fascia sign that would be more in keeping with the Listed Building and Conservation Area.

In conclusion the proposals are generally sensitive and respectful of the heritage of the building, with some new structural interventions of a minimalist and reversible design so the works are in accordance with Policies LP24 and LP35.