



Kirklees Council
 Development Control
 PO Box 1720
 Huddersfield
 HD1 9EL

Network Rail
 George Stephenson House
 Toft Green, York, YO1 6JT

Date: 20/01/2026

Our Reference: TP/LNE/2026-008 &
 167037

Sent via email: planning.contactcentre@kirklees.gov.uk

Dear Sir/ Madam

Application for Prior Approval under Part 18a to Schedule 2 of the Town & Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 for a partially re-constructed bridge, Bridge MVL3/54, Kettle Lane, north-east of Marsden

Please find enclosed the requisite plans and details outlining alterations to Bridge MVL3/54, Kettle Lane, to the north-east of Marsden:

- Location Plan (including red line application boundary)
- 167037-TGP-54-MVL3-DRG-T-LP-165400-P02: Existing and Proposed General Arrangement
- 167037-TGP-54-MVL3-DRG-T-LP-165401-P02: Existing and Proposed Elevation and Section
- Extract of the Huddersfield and Manchester Railway and Canal Act 1845
- Extract of the Railway Clauses Consolidation Act 1845

This letter constitutes an application for the Prior Approval of details by Kirklees Council as the local planning authority (LPA). The application follows a presentation of proposed works to various bridges (including Bridge MVL3/54) to Kirklees Council officers in May 2025.

The Proposed Works

The existing bridge comprises of three single square span superstructures of differing constructions supported on stone abutments between which are stone parapets. The proposed works are focussed on the deck to the north side which currently carries an access track and comprise of wrought iron main girders, including a cross girder and rail bearers with deck plates. The proposed works are required to the north side because of the introduction of a third track across the bridge and include the reconstruction of the existing wrought iron bridge structure with prestressed concrete deck beams, and the replacement of the existing stone parapet with pre-cast concrete units (using stone masonry patterning) and GRP handrails.

Permitted Development Rights

The proposed works constitutes railway operational development for which Network Rail has statutory powers to undertake. Planning consent is therefore granted by virtue of Part 18, Class A of Schedule 2 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (the GPDO), which relates to development authorised by a local or private Acts of Parliament.

Part 18, Class A states that permitted development applies when it is *“authorised by (a) a local or private Act of Parliament (i.e. the original Act of Parliament that allowed the construction of the railway) [...] which designates specifically the nature of the development and the land upon which it may be carried out.”* Development is not permitted (for specific items identified, such as buildings or bridges) *“unless the prior approval of the appropriate authority to the detailed plans and specifications is first obtained”*. However,



"the prior approval [...] is not to be refused [...] nor are conditions to be imposed unless they are reasonably satisfied that - (a) the development ought to be and could reasonably be carried out elsewhere on the land; or (b) the design or external appearance of any building [...] would injure the amenity of the neighbourhood and is reasonably capable of modification to avoid such injury."

The original enabling Act specifying this stretch of railway states that the railway undertaker can, from time to time, alter, repair, or discontinue the before mentioned works or any of them and substitute others in their stead, and do all other acts necessary for making, maintaining altering, repairing or using the railway. This "general power" is provided for in Section 16 of the 1845 Railway Clauses Consolidation Act, and it is this Act which is incorporated in all railway Acts since 1845. Acts before 1845 have the equivalent wording embodied within the sections of the Act as appropriate.

The decision of the Court of Appeal in *Emsley v North Eastern Railway Company* (1896) 1 Ch 418 confirmed that these powers are not restricted to the period of construction but can be invoked from "time to time". The use of the original Act of Parliament as a means of using permitted development rights has also been upheld in a 2000 English Ministerial appeal decision, the reference number being APP/X/98/X5210/003059.

For the purposes of Part 18, Class A, the enabling Act in this instance is the *Huddersfield and Manchester Railway and Canal Act 1845*, which incorporates clause 16 of the Railway Clauses Consolidation Act 1845. Extracts of both Acts are enclosed.

Therefore, the two tests to be applied to the determination of this application for prior approval are the siting of the structure and its design in terms of its impact on the amenity of the area. The first is self-evident in that the bridge carries the railway, is already in situ and cannot be reasonably located elsewhere. It then turns on whether the design of the replacement bridge deck has any adverse impact on the amenity of the neighbourhood.

Prior Approval Assessment

Bridge MVL3/54, Kettle Lane was originally built by the Huddersfield & Manchester Railway in 1845-1849 and underwent a period of major rebuilding and alteration by the London & North Western Railway in 1888-91 when the line was expanded from two to four tracks. The bridge was further altered in 1981. The underbridge is a typical form of underbridge in its architectural style and engineering, comprised of stone and wrought iron beams with some replacement concrete deck on its southern side, and is late in date for railway architecture. Whilst the bridge is considered to be a non-designated heritage asset as part of the mid-19th century expansion of the railway, it is of limited heritage value and not of special interest due to its typical design and the extent of alteration, with much of the deck already replaced with concrete beams.

The bridge does not lie within a conservation area or designated landscape area. The northern façade immediately faces an intersection of Kettle Lane, Marsden Lane and Crow Trees Road, beyond which is grouping of trees and steeply rising agricultural fields which accommodates a public footpath. There are no residential properties immediately facing the northern façade, the closest being a group of properties at an oblique angle c. 100m to the west and a group of properties located a distant c. 250m to the north west.

The proposed works retain, where possible, the original features of the bridge by maintaining/ re-using stone wingwalls. Where removal of the existing bridge structure is required, the use of pre-cast concrete with stone masonry patterning is proposed. The southern façade, facing towards a small collection of dwellings and farm buildings, will remain unchanged. This collection of dwellings and farms includes the



grade II listed 5 Kettle Lane (NHLE 1234641), the setting of which will remain unchanged by these proposed works to the bridge.

Given the local context and sensitive design proposed, it is considered that the proposed works would not, by reason of design, be detrimental to the surrounding amenity. There are no other matters of acknowledged importance that should preclude the grant of Prior Approval.

We therefore request the formal Prior Approval to the plans and specifications enclosed with this application in accordance with the provisions of Part 18, Class A of Schedule 2 of the Town & Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015.

Please note that no fee is prescribed in cases of Prior Approval under Part 18 of the Order. Please kindly issue a decision notice or letter stating that 'prior approval' has been granted for our records, in accordance with the 8 week statutory determination period.

Please do not hesitate to contact me on the e-mail address above should you have any queries on this matter or require further information.

Yours faithfully

Tony Rivero
Town Planning & Heritage Manager (North)
Network Rail Land & Property (Eastern)