



I wish to object against this barbaric proposal. It is incomprehensible as to why this field has been targeted by developers given its location adjacent to irreplaceable ancient woodland and the setting it provides to such a well know valuable asset.

The character of this village would be completely changed, and the much cherished rural landscape obliterated.

123 additional dwellings in such a small conurbation would be completely out of proportion.

It is clear that the developer and their consultants have failed to carry out site visits because the majority of the information submitted does not appear to correlate with reality.

- The LVIA makes no sense at all and the repeatedly quoted mitigation measures don't appear to exist on any plans.

- The ecology survey should be provided to CIEEM for scrutiny.

- The drainage proposals are ridiculous.

- The distances quoted to facilities and transport links appear to be calculated from another site completely.

- The red line boundary must be amended to include the whole application extent, including the area omitted adjacent to the woods (how could this area not amount to a change of use?) and extended into the woods where the drainage proposal extends.

- Notice must then be served to the adjacent landowner.

For these reasons the LPA should instruct the application is withdrawn in its current form, or indeed refused given its noncompliance with multiple policies and with the standard of submissions expected to be able to make an informed planning decision.