

About the application

Application number: 2025/93480	
What is the application for?:	Erection of 28 dwellings with associated landscaping and external works
Address of the site or building:	Land off Parkwood Road/Weavers Lane, Longwood, Huddersfield, HD3 4TT
Postcode:	

User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	Yes
RE: Planning Application Ref: 2025/62/93480/W Site: Land off Parkwood Road/Weavers Lane, Longwood, Huddersfield I am writing to formally lodge my objection to the proposed housing estate at the above location. Privacy Request: I ask that you do not share my personal details online. Please ensure my information is shown anonymously. If this request makes the objection invalid, please notify me immediately. I've looked through the plans, and I believe this proposal is completely wrong for the site. It conflicts with several key points in the Kirklees Local Plan for the following reasons: 1. The Access Road is Not Fit for Purpose The developer wants to use an existing estate road as the main entrance for the entire development. This road simply isn't designed to handle that amount of traffic. Furthermore, the transport assessment is way off—it doesn't account for the fact that this is a quiet estate where kids are constantly out on their bikes and scooters. Turning this into a main thoroughfare is a major safety risk and a massive headache for everyone already living here. 2. Misleading Pedestrian Links The plans show a new pedestrian route connecting to a path in the nearby green space. To be clear: that path doesn't exist. The land is managed by the owners of the existing estate, so the developer shouldn't be claiming it as a "connection." It feels like they are overstating how sustainable the project is by using fake links. 3. Traffic and Sustainability Because the pedestrian links are non-existent and the only exit is through a narrow residential street, this site is going to be 100% car-dependent. This goes completely against the council's goals of reducing car use. It's just going to lead to more	

against the council's goals of reducing car use. It's just going to lead to more congestion on roads that are already at capacity.

4. Not Enough Green Space

The layout shows very little green space for a project of this scale. We're supposed to be protecting and improving urban green areas, but this plan feels like they're just trying to squeeze in as many houses as possible. It falls short of the standards required for the health and well-being of the community.

5. Biodiversity and Wildlife

There are protected species on this site, yet the application doesn't show a solid plan for how they're going to avoid harming them. You can't just build over a habitat and offer no real proof of how you're going to fix the damage or improve biodiversity.

6. Unreadable Documents

The Ecological/Biodiversity Statement on the planning portal is currently unreadable. This makes the whole process feel like a "tick-box" exercise because residents can't actually review the evidence. If the documentation isn't legible, it shouldn't be used to support the application.

7. Postcode Search Issues

It's nearly impossible to find this application on the portal using a postcode search (HD7 or HD3). This is a big barrier for local people trying to have their say. If we can't find it, we can't comment on it, which undermines the fairness of the whole consultation.

Conclusion

For the reasons above, this proposal doesn't work. It fails on highway safety, sustainability, and transparency. I urge the planning department to reject this application.