

About the application

Application number: 2025/93126	
What is the application for?:	Demolition of rear block, erection of rear extensions and alterations to existin
Address of the site or building:	The Coach & Horses, Eastgate, Honley, Holmfirth, HD9 6PA
Postcode:	

User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	Yes
<p>Whilst I support the proposal for residential use, I object to the proposals as they currently stand on two grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The size and height of the building seems disproportionately large for its surroundings and for the size of the plot. The height in particular of the rear new extension would lead to it being overbearing in its aspect and will impinge on the privacy of the neighbours at the back of the building on River Park, leading to them possibly being overlooked from apartment 9 and its balcony. It's just too big a building for the size of the plot. It would, at least, be more acceptable without the second floor (and, therefore, apartment 9), thereby reducing the total number of apartments to 8, although my second point would propose reducing this number even further.2. The number of parking spaces is totally inadequate. Even a one-bedroom apartment can generate two cars. Two-bedroom apartments can generate at least two and maybe more. There are likely to be visitors and/ or workmen/women coming to the block which also need to be taken into account. There is no parking available in the immediate area. River Park has double-yellow lines for the first part of it and, even beyond that, is not really suitable for parking. There is nowhere else in the vicinity where residents and visitors can park. To future-proof the proposal, all, not just two, of the spaces need to be set up for electric cars. Far more spaces need to be made available, at least 2 per apartment plus at least 2 spaces for visitors etc. That's 20 spaces for the nine apartments proposed. There is clearly not room for 20 spaces, so consequently the number of apartments needs to be considerably reduced. <p>Whichever way you look at this proposal, it is trying to cram a building with too many apartments into too small a plot.</p>	