

DC Admin

From: Louise Bearcroft
Sent: 31 March 2026 08:31
To: DC Admin
Subject: FW: Policy Considerations for planning application in Shepley - Ref2025/60/92776/E

Hello

Please could this objection be logged to 2025/92776.

Kind Regards

Louise Bearcroft
Senior Planning Officer

Planning and Development Service
Place Directorate
PO Box 1720, Huddersfield, HD1 9EL
Tel: 01484 221000 (Shortcode: 74126)
Website: www.kirklees.gov.uk

Please note my working days are Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday

From:
Sent: 27 March 2026 11:08
To: Louise Bearcroft <Louise.Bearcroft@kirklees.gov.uk>
Subject: Policy Considerations for planning application in Shepley - Ref2025/60/92776/E

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

<https://www.kirklees.gov.uk/beta/planning-policy/pdf/local-plan-strategy-and-policies.pdf>

Louise,

I have reviewed the following Local Planning policies versus your published document, and why the application does NOT fall into line with said policies. Clearly my reasons could be read in conjunction with the Kirklees document.

After review I formally object to said plans.

LP1

- Specific non adherence and reasons why policies outlined below indicate the planning should NOT go ahead

LP2

- No local plan exists at present so this planning application does not fall part of the local plan

LP3 -

There is risk to local employment via the risk to Shepley Spring. This development is also on green belt.

LP4

- Yorkshire Water are not supporting. Lack of disability access at the Station. School and doctor capacity is already stretched. Access to the site is restricted and compromised / unsafe.

LP5

- Local residents are against the development. Inappropriate density versus the current size of the village. Increases the need for car use in the village with existing highways being unsafe and congested. Lack of Green Infrastructure Strategy. Lack of respect to the natural environment, it's heritage and the setting.

LP6

- There is no local plan.

LP7

- Development is in green belt NOT previously developed.

LP8

- Conflict and risk to existing employment in the village due to risk to the quality of Shepley Spring water AND developing on SPZ land.

LP10 -

Will specifically compromise existing business at Shelepy Spring. Will impact the attractiveness of the area and therefore possible tourism. No relevant policies for developing on Green Belt. Could lead to unsustainable development beyond this application.

LP11 -

Affordable houses in this area will be very expensive and therefore not satisfy this demand.

LP19 -

Lack of "safe" transport links and infrastructure and lack of disabled access to the rail network.

LP20 -

Train linkages limited for disabled people. High reliance on car transport. Limited ability to cycle or walk to other centres.

LP21 -

Lack of safe access to site. Already dangerous roads and black spots. Emergency vehicle exits compromised in winter months. Car exits in winter also extremely dangerous.

LP22 -

Lack of parking in the village encourages more car journeys further afield. Lack of parking provision for disabled people.

LP23 -

Lack of "CORE" walking and cycling network access.

LP28 -

SUD's will not be used compromising existing policy. Possible negative impact of the quality of local water and aquifers.

LP30 -

Negative impact of biodiversity and GeoDiversity.

LP31 -

Negative impact on Green Infrastructure Network. No local plan.

LP32 -

Impact negatively on local landscape. Possible negative impact to woodland, trees and field boundaries.

LP33 -

Possible negative impact to trees during construction.

LP34 -

This development does not Conserve and enhance the water environment. Possible negative impact to water courses, aquifers and water bodies and water quality in the SPZ zone. Damage and construction on a SPZ zone.

LP35 -

Negative impact visually on the war memorial.

LP48 -

Lack of reasonable local facilities and parking to use them.

LP49 -

Already stretched Educational and Healthcare facilities.

LP51 -

250 cars and 110 houses will negatively impact the local air quality.

LP52 -

110 houses will increase disturbance and noise to nearby neighbours.

LP59 -

Infilling of this development is LARGE (110 houses) and not a small gap. Lack of a local plan. Distract from current green belt. Land is Green Belt.

LP62 -

Green Belt land is important to local community.

Kind regards

7 The Carr
Carr Lane
HD8 8BR