

About the application

Application number: 2025/92776	
What is the application for?:	Outline application, with all matters reserved, for residential development with
Address of the site or building:	land at, Eastfield, Shepley, Huddersfield, HD8 8HB
Postcode:	DH7 8XL

User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<p>Objection to planning application 202592776– Proposed Housing Development at Eastfields</p> <p>I object to this application on the grounds of highway safety, flood risk, loss of green belt and conservation land, harm to wildlife, and inadequate local infrastructure.</p> <p>1. Highway safety and access</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The proposed one-way system through the existing estate uses narrow roads and inadequate footpaths that are not suitable for increased through-traffic.• The access to the main road is at the top of a steep hill, directly onto a bend, with a pedestrian crossing approximately 40 metres away that is heavily used by children going to and from school. This creates an unacceptable risk of collisions. <p>1. Flood risk and natural spring</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The site contains a natural spring, and groundworks are likely to alter drainage patterns. This risks diverting water and increasing flooding to properties and land downhill from the development. <p>1. Green belt, conservation area and wildlife</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The site is green belt land within a conservation area and should be protected from inappropriate development.• The area supports bat colonies and tawny owls, and the proposals would damage these established habitats. <p>1. Inadequate local infrastructure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The local primary school is small and listed, with no realistic scope for expansion to accommodate extra pupils.• The local doctor's surgery is already oversubscribed, and there is no evidence that health services can safely absorb the additional demand. <p>For these reasons, the proposal is unsustainable and contrary to policies on highway safety, flood risk, protection of green belt and conservation areas, biodiversity, and infrastructure capacity. I therefore ask that this application be refused.</p>	