

DC Admin

From:
Sent: 23 February 2026 16:47
To: Louise Bearcroft; Hazel Irving; DC Admin; Trees
Subject: Objection Planning Application 2025/92713 Re: TPO Number 05 of 2025

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Ms.Louise Bearcroft.

Once again, I have viewed a new plan submitted for this proposed PPJ development. I have done my utmost to protect 8 beautiful, very large wild cherry trees that are in good health, from this development. Once again the developers agent has submitted a new Proposed Site / Block Layout [id 1124987] dated 4th Feb 2026 Planning Application 2025/92713, that shows fully grown and growing trees **that have been miniaturized on this plan and now appear to be some sort of big hedge, in my garden. These very large trees are not a hedge and do have a Tree Protection Order on them.**

Details of the TPO are:-

Tree Preservation Order No. 05 of 2025
Your Reference PLA/HG/GDM/D26-1461.dated 06 June 2025

Letters and Notifications are all available

Despite me contacting planning officers at Kirklees Planning asking for the developer or his agent to be informed about this TPO, it would appear that the developer or his agent is either ignoring planning, or does not understand what he has been told.

This TPO does not seem to have been acknowledged because the true **status, size and quantity** of our cherry trees have not been updated on these amended plans. This means the size of the trees and the root balls are being shown to be considerably smaller than the tree growing to the left, (**West**) on the plan labelled Proposed Site / Bock Layout [id 1124987 **T16 (Protected TPO Tree)**]. Some of our mature cherry trees are as big as T16. Therefore the trees in my garden have been given far too little root protection area that should have been allocated, to protect these beautiful trees, from this developer.

.I really don't know what more I can do? I really do care and I have done my best! Some of the branches from these cherry trees extend to approx. 5 metres over this proposed development site and will be the first to be hacked off by a contractor with a chainsaw. This will put the trees out of balance. Doesn't Kirklees Planning TPO Department have the authority to deal with this?

Why should I bother, if you don't? I always believed that TPO's were designed to protect trees. Apparently not?

Let me tell you this. I am taking all these TPO emails, all the others, and everything else, to a specialist barrister. Proof of what I have tried to do needs to be seen by someone independent of the Kirklees Planning Department and documented! Do not come at me in two or three year's time, trying to blame me, if these lovely trees die. That is now your responsibility.

Can you and the Tree Protection Officers:-

- 1) Please can the developer and his agent be advised that these trees have a TPO on them?
 - 2) Please can the developer and his agent be advised to update his plans and show this TPO and re-submit the corrected plans that show our trees on them?
 - 3) Please can the developer and his agent be advised to update his planning application plans, to show that the trees, with-in our boundary (curtilage) are shown to be **the correct size, the correct quantity and in the correct positions?**
 - 4) Please can the developer and his agent be advised to show the tree canopies on his plans that are the correct size, proportion and diameter as TPO Tree T16, as people may be **mislead** into thinking that these trees are smaller than they really are.
 - 5) Please can the developer and his agent be advised that Planning Permission must be obtained before any work to these trees, branches, cutting back, lopping, topping and root work, can be undertaken?
 - 6) Please can the developer and his agent be advised that excavation works and any form of digging must be done **outside** of the root protection area, only after seeking the TPO officer's advice and permission?
- Regards