

## Grounds for Objection

### 1. Design Inconsistency

The second-floor en-suite door is shown opening **inward**, while the first-floor en-suite door opens **outward**. This inconsistency suggests a lack of coordinated design logic and undermines the credibility of the submitted plans.

### 2. Building Regulations Compliance Risk

Under **Approved Document M (Access to and use of buildings)** and **Approved Document B (Fire Safety)**, door swing direction must be carefully considered in relation to:

- Safe egress and emergency access
- Internal circulation and usability
- Avoidance of obstruction or entrapment

Inward-opening doors can pose a safety risk in medical emergencies, while outward-opening doors may obstruct adjacent circulation space. The inconsistency raises questions about whether either configuration meets regulatory standards.

### 3. Procedural Integrity

The presence of contradictory internal layouts within the same dwelling suggests the plans have not been fully reconciled. This undermines the reliability of the application and may mislead consultees and officers assessing usability and compliance.

## Requested Action

I respectfully request that Kirklees Council:

- Require the applicant to submit **corrected and reconciled internal layout plans**, ensuring consistency and regulatory compliance
- Reassess the usability and safety of the proposed en-suite configurations in light of Building Regulations
- Confirm that internal layout drawings will be subject to appropriate scrutiny to prevent misleading or contradictory submissions