



I wish to object to the planning permission to change the use of 102 Redwood Drive to a children's home based on the following grounds.

1. Deed of covenant. The use of this address for a children's home would directly contradict the restriction of homes on Redwood Drive being used for solely business rather than a family home. If this property is used as a business it may impact the family neighbourhood as other properties may also do the same. Also, I strongly disagree with the use of a residential home as a for-profit Children's home, as inevitably this is a business venture and the children's best interests may not be the priority. This is particularly worrying on the residential area of Redwood Drive as it may negatively impact on the neighbourhood if these vulnerable children are introduced to the estate. Therefore, this would directly contradict the deed of covenant to carry out a business on the property, and may also become a nuisance, annoyance or injury to part of the estate.

2. Safeguarding of children at the home. I do not feel like this estate is appropriate place for a children's home, specifically with being at the top of the estate and therefore can be an easier target for groomers or other inappropriate visitors, which could then put the children at risk. On top of this, the address for the childrens home has been advertised on the website, which already shows a lack of regard for the safeguarding of these children and therefore can put them at further risk of the issues previously mentioned, and whilst there may be 24 hour staff at this property, it is not certain that these children wouldn't be alone outside for some time, for example for a walk or some fresh air, and therefore exposed to these dangers.

3. Antisocial behaviour. There is likely to be increased amounts of antisocial behaviour coming from the home, such as vandalism, aggressive/violent behaviour or drug use.

4. Safeguarding current residents. Although these are vulnerable children in need of support there is a likely risk of antisocial behaviour which could likely impact current residents of the estate, especially children. The website contains aspects that are inappropriate to be published for a childrens home that has not yet been approved. One of these being pictures of facilities at the leisure centre including ones of the childrens play area, which is advertising that these children will get access to these facilities and therefore will likely be mixing with current children on the estate, therefore any antisocial behaviour is likely to affect them. Another aspect being the map on the website shows the location as being a different house on the estate and not the correct house for 102 Redwood Drive, this mistake could potentially put residents in danger, because, if anyone can see this online then it could attract inappropriate visitors to not only the estate and risking residents, but also to the wrong address and therefore putting the residents of that address in extreme danger, and even if no inappropriate visitors are attracted to the address it is still unfair for the residents of this address to be living in fear of this happening due to a careless mistake, and for all residents of the estate to be living in fear of this due to the website and childrens home in general.