



The sheer scale of the building is not necessary for the applicants 'farming' which at best should be described as a hobby. It is even mentioned that the applicant owns a dog grooming business which will fund this project. This being her full time occupation.

The height of the building and material used on the side facing the road is totally out of character for the immediate neighbouring properties and will be unsightly as it towers over the road. The proximity to the road will mean it stands out further as it stands almost as high as the house.

Small shrubs that have been planted in an attempt to disguise the yard from the road, have died off and even if they had not, would never distract from such a big building as they are the wrong type of shrubbery to grow and disguise a building of this magnitude.

The development's proximity to the road has required severe removal of the grass banking and some slippage has happened already. This was shown when the applicants own fence even fell down. I fear that further works on the ground could also cause slippage of the roadway.

Groundwork's have already caused flooding to neighbouring properties and land due to filling in a natural waterway (red dyke beck) and therefore further building would ultimately cause even more flooding for surrounding properties.

The destruction of wildlife habitats has already happened with the applicant removing the field, which is green belt land, to the side of the house to make a yard for the construction business run from the property. The building will undoubtedly remove further habitats for wildlife by disturbing established trees and roots. The ecologists report shows an ancient woodland resides close to the building which would be disturbed and habitats could be destroyed.

The applicant has already flouted planning permission by converting the detached garage into an additional dwelling for \_\_\_\_\_ while leaving the garage door on the front to disguise the conversion. As this application for planning is \_\_\_\_\_, it would stand to rest the overly large store is actually for the commercial construction business and masked as 'agricultural' in order to get planning passed. A true agricultural building would not need 4 bays with roller shutter doors, but a commercial garage would.

The application discusses theft, but a large scale building with roller shutter doors would only increase unwanted interest in the property and surrounding properties as it then looks like there are things of value to steal.