

I have already logged an objection to this application.

The petition has already been signed by a large number of residents, including myself. The "revised" submission fails to properly address the concerns already raised, and I would make the following additional points

The new submission is significant in terms of volume of information. Is it unreasonable that local residents only have until 12 March to review? Why? Is this policy?

The volume of disruption to Gynn Lane and the surrounding streets and avenues, such as Woodroyd Avenue would be significant.

The proposed works on Ludhill Dyke will make this even worse and as stated in many comments may affect the banking to the railway track and bridge. Where will this water be diverted to.

The playing fields at the bottom of Gynn Lane continue to flood every time we have severe rain (which as you will know will only get worse in the future as our globe continues to warm up).

The new junction configuration is already contributing to more congestion at the bottom of Gynn Lane. Construction work of the type outlined is likely to cause significant disruption and safety issues.

School traffic is a joke, with the lane having parking on both sides for school pick up - where will this traffic go? There will not be room for lorries and large vehicles. Totally unsuitable and not safe for the children or parents.

The traffic survey indicating that the increase in peak time journeys would only be 5 seems completely unrealistic. 50 houses, residents going to work etc. At best it's naive!

The road is poorly lit and already suffers from poor driving (middle of the road, especially by the bridge), speeding and having 100+ cars would add to the problem.

The issues relating to potential flood risk, drainage, sewage (linked to the coal mining concerns) have not been adequately addressed. Whilst these risks remain the application should not be passed.

Wildlife - has this been considered? People that pay more to live in the Holme Valley, chose to move here for the green spaces, and to appreciate the trees and wildlife. There are _____, these are protected, has the _____ been informed? Have they had the opportunity to approve/reject?

I would strongly request that this application is rejected once again.

As a council please can you focus your attention away from green belt and instead focus on the town centre and its perimeter where areas are run down, neglected and need regeneration. Surely building more houses for families (I am assuming this is what they are for as they are all large houses) - why not build them near to the upgraded transport links/motorway network and train station (which by the way you have spent millions on)?