



Further to my previous objections last year I would like to submit the following:

The contractor has not satisfactorily answered the previous objections. Access to the site is narrow, steep and dangerous - this is still the case, and the slight alteration to the course of the river will not change this.

Risks of further flooding, with particular damage to nearby housing, will not be resolved by the addition of an additional culvert (ref Environment Agency).

The sewage system is already inadequate - there is a current, unresolved problem on Cop Royd just off Gynn Lane where we have sewage water and used toilet paper running on the road. Will there be an upgraded sewage system installed ahead of any building works? Who will fund this?

In addition, the assertion that these houses will generate only 5 children of high school age is flawed. The formula appears to be based on numbers at the primary school. We do not know who would buy these houses, and could see an influx of new residents from anywhere. In addition, the dangerous crossing would be an issue forever, and for all future children. I think it unlikely that 50 family homes would only generate the need for 5 high school places.

Parking on Gynn Lane has increased significantly in recent years, partly due to the industrial park at the bottom of the road, proximity to the train station, the high school, Holmfirth Harrier events, and simply the increase in car ownership. There are now routinely cars parked on both sides of the road. The recent works to the Gynn Lane junction have exacerbated the problem by removing the left hand filter higher up, so that the queue to get out is longer. An extra 100 (conservative estimate) cars, delivery vans, etc. will push traffic up Hall Ing Lane, a lane that cannot cope with any traffic at all.

The recent roadworks demonstrated the significant difficulties that occurred through road closures. The works to this site would cause an infinitely bigger problem. Even if the road were to stay open, how would large vehicles get up and down the road? I cannot see how they would access the site itself.

There are so many problems with this site (those already mentioned, the existence and apparent lack of knowledge of and about local coal mines, the threat to wildlife, proximity to the railway line, the chopping down of ancient and protected woodland). Surely too many problems for this to be considered a viable site for so many houses.