DC Admin

From:
Sent: 01 December 2025 18:20
To: Farzana Tabasum
Subject: Another objection - 2025/45/90964/E

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Objection to Retaining Wall – Wrong Safety Category (CC2 instead of CC3)

I am objecting to the retaining wall submission because the safety category used is wrong. The wall has been placed in Consequence Class 2 (CC2), but it is being built only a few metres from existing houses. If this wall were to fail, it would fall towards people's homes and could seriously injure or kill people.

A structure that could cause loss of life if it collapses must be in Consequence Class 3 (CC3), not CC2. CC3 requires stronger design, stronger materials and a much higher level of checking. None of that has been done.

The new documents show that cheaper concrete has been put forward, even though earlier information suggested a stronger, more sulphate-resistant concrete was needed for the ground conditions on this boundary.

Using CC2 for a wall this close to family homes is unsafe and completely unacceptable. It is unacceptable to accept any risk to anyone living on this street.

Until the retaining wall is correctly placed in CC3 and designed to that higher safety level, I object to this application.

Kind regards,