Address: 150 Wakefield Road Huddersfield HD8 0LX

About the application

Application number: 2024/93494	
What is the application for?:	Erection of restaurant/café/bar, six guest rooms, exhibition/interpretation room
Address of the site or building:	Victoria Tower, Castle Hill, Lumb Lane, Almondbury, Huddersfield, HD4 6TA
Postcode:	

User comments

Type of comment: An objection

Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?

There is so much to say about this. And i am acting in multiple capacity to stop this application and a
applications to build on the Scheduled Monument.

1.
To start with I have today submitted Petition 714802 to the House of Commons by appeal. Which is
And parliament try to say that we cannot appeal a local council decision. When we can and always h
been able to petition Parliament on local matters, Since time immemorial, but clearly in the 1661 Tur
petitioning act, all petitions to parliament or the King were heard in the Town Hall. Up until 1986 whe
was repealed. And multiple acts of 1837 clearly allow appeal to parliament on council matters and ev
provide for a jury in the Town Hall Quarter Sessions or Assize.
https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Cha2st1/13/5/contents/enacted also, the The Local Authorities (P
(England) Order 2010 https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2010/898/contents/made prevented local
authorities from making a decision if 5% of an electorate signed a petition. So who WAS making the
decision? Parliament? or the voters? not until the 2011 local referendums act? which claims only to
change in governance. Yet cannot be. As we have freedom of subject. None the less, I have now su
petition 714802 to House of commons e-petitions here:
https://petition.parliament.uk/petitions/714802/sponsors/new?
token=tDyfmg15V35Ze6ykdj5H&fbclid=IwY2xjawH84L5leHRuA2FlbQIxMAABHUfPzTHpf0DRJzcBZ
WTEfuG2n2X6NChokJ4PqT_CTGzE7BcCaQ_aem_ck_jTpG7nmUITZminN6k3Q and the law says t
orders are subject to an annulment upon pursuit of a resolution to either of the houses of parliament
Therefore i consider this application hereby annulled. And if Jamie Stone MP does reject it, he is wro
Kirklees Council legal services need to act to dispute the right to pursue an instrument of permanent
protection. For the Ancient Monument, by an act of law.

2.
Second issue. The Thandi Partnership broke regulations. previously. Th
of INTENTION would make this a criminal offence in law. See the Malicious Damage Act 1861
https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/24-25/97/enacted section 13

13Tenants of Houses, &c. maliciously injuring them

131enants of Houses, &c. maliciously injuring them.

this has been moved to the Criminal Damage Act 1971 now. It is still a Crime. Because even though Owned the Property or lease, They did not have consent to build. No permission. Therefore they ha legal right, or privilege. Thus it was a crime. And the 1861 Act can be used also as evidence. Theref Kirklees council need to report to West Yorkshire police to ask for investigation. Because a Jury can intent of planning to break the rules by cheating them. Thus there is a criminal matter with the applic on that point alone the application should be rejected.

3. Third point.
We are dealing here with : A Scheduled Monument
List Entry Number: 1005806
Date first listed: 10-Dec-1936" and B. "Heritage Category: Listed Building Grade: II List Entry Numbe 1210385 Date first listed: 29-Sep-1978 List Entry Name: Victoria Tower and C. Heritage Category: L Building Grade: II List Entry Number: 1134945 All listed items in the immediate area. of concern her

Castle Hill univallate defended settlement, Kirklees Park

Yes, Parliament has protected scheduled monuments through legislation, including the Ancient Mon and Archaeological Areas Act 1979. The Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport is responsi granting Scheduled Monument Consent (SMC) for work on scheduled monuments.

There is no doubt the council are well aware of the historic value and significance as the site has be their possession and interest for a number of years and many other people made submissions and g evidence. therefore i will not focus on the site and its value.

Instead, i will talk about stopping the development.
The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 legislates around this.
In Scotland and Wales, an authority can intervene in any building or works on scheduled monument Historic Environment Scotland can for example issue
A Scheduled Monument Enforcement Notice. We do not have these in England.

But what we DO have, is Section 12 of that Act, Power to place ancient Monument under guardiansl interest of ownership)
As Guardian of the Monument with
Consent of commission or secretary of state.
But a person only needs to show INTEREST in future ownership. We don't need to own it. In fact as the council ownership, we already own it as it is therefore "in the public interest" ownership.
To Become a Guardian of the Monument. I may now need to obtain Consent from commissioner or of state because i have learned i can act as "His Majesty's Royal Scout" of 1st Mirfield through the F Charter of the Scout association which has GLOBAL JURISDICTION must be recognised by the cou Therefore i am pursuing drafting my own Guardianship order or forming a group or nominating Aliso or a local Save the Trees Group. And The Council should be able to mediate between Save the Tre The Secretary of State or Commissioner to apply for guardianship with "interest" to ownership. No a ownership is required. I still believe that I can issue a document myself.

Further to this we have a list of petitions which the council are aware of them thousands signed, and people all show interest in protecting the site, and would qualify as a body pursuing guardianship als qualify.

The council must have known or neglected all these process as it has been "pro" development .. thu
in a impartial, selfless position to decide the matter in the chamber? If it was on the side of the devel
aiding them? and preventing a police investigation?

Petitions

1,175 signed

3,779 signed

571 signed

124 signed