

### Comments, concerns and objection-anonymous

I am very surprised and concerned that an application to add a rear extension to the current integral double garage has occurred so soon after a renewal of the application for a detached double garage - approved on 17/9/24 ( 2024/62/92022)

The applicant says that this current application is instead of building the above approved detached garage.

If this is the case is the approval for the detached double garage going to be withdrawn or declared void. If not the applicant, or future occupiers, could go ahead with building both the rear extension, if approved, AND the detached garage.

The first of a number of applications ( 2018/62/90978/W) for a number of extensions and alterations to this already large property included a detached garage and similar rear extension in exactly the same places, with the integral garage and rear extension all becoming additional living space

The then case officer considered these parts of Application 90978 to be overdevelopment of the plot and were removed. See superseded documents 683159/683160 on 23/3/2018.

The concern is that this is what the applicant is still hoping to achieve by submitting applications piece-meal. The cumulative effect of the detached double garage plus now the additional application for a rear extension again must surely still be considered overdevelopment of the plot by Planning if it was in March 2018.

If approved any remaining outside space around the house would be negligible and not appropriate for a property of this size and residential capacity.

Another concern is access to the other 5 properties along the single track lane . As 402 is the first property any blocking of the lane by deliveries and construction related equipment etc adversely affects the remaining residents .

Please refuse this application for all the reasons above