



I FIRMLY OBJECT TO THE ELECTIONS OF ADDITIONAL STORY TO 38  
THORNTON LODGE ROAD, REF:- 2024/92989.

I objected to the additional storey earlier on in the h planning was refused to this property. Bungalows were first introduced in the late 1930s to 40s, from india. They were and still are both economical and ethnically beautiful.

Myself and the entire neighbourhood admire the three Bungalows. I have lived in this dwelling since 1971.

These buildings have character. The gardens are spacious and had a lot of light to it. Nimber 36 and 38 have been left inhabited for around 10 years

On this time, the houses have been totally neglected, yet the character has never faided. If the number 38 is give an additional storey it will an encroaching effect to me, family and anyone who vists my home, also other neighbour s. It would be out of character the other Bungalows.

The extension will be the full length of the gardens which will effect the room to the back of my home and if it is extended to the front it will look like horrid.

A bungalow inbetween two terrest houses. I believe that the value of number 40 would be depleted. I am also worried that the guidlines will not be addeired to, height of the additonal building. The wall that my father built was taken down without permission and a part of the garden was destroyed without permission.

The foot fall of children going and coming from the mosque and school is high. This is why many people do not have drives. Each family has an average of 3 cars. Which has put a toll on me and my family, with nowhere to park us park. We only have one car. So in confusion,

More than an average would mean more cars.

The extension would leave us inclosed at the back with no light and inadequate drainage system.

But most importantly these three beautiful bungalows and the character they have would be ruined.

No one would want to buy a loan bungalow that is blocked in and encroached.