

Address: 3 Ashbourne View Cleckheaton bd19 5je

About the application

Application number: 2024/62/92727/E	
What is the application for?:	Erection of residential development 67 dwellings with associated access, parking
Address of the site or building:	Land adjacent to, Ashbourne Drive, Liversedge
Postcode:	LS23 7BA

User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	No

It is disappointing to see the proposed plan come forward when a similar plan was rejected. Redrow proposed 53 houses and then reduced to 46 why now should another builder be allowed to build more at 67. The layout of the new proposed estate is very cramped in with not much space between the existing estate and new. The previous plan did at least allow some breathing space where this one feels very on top of us. With the increase in numbers a lot more cars going through these small cul-de-sacs on Ashbourne View/Way/Drive approximately 87 based on the average of cars to households. In their proposal they say they want to 'create a safe and attractive space for both new and EXISTING residents' how with a huge increase of cars on small roads where children play and the existing residents will lose views to countryside and deal with noise pollution this amount of extra traffic will create. The existing pavements and road surfaces are already damaged and a safety hazard more footfall and vehicular access will put more strain on them.

The area is already suffering with over development: there has already been a development approved with Strata of 180 homes 0.5 miles away. Accessed from a main road and on a brown site. A Barrett Estate off Whitechapel with 122 homes off a main road next to the motorway. Linden Homes estate with 127 homes off a main road. The Newett proposed estate will run through existing residential roads and cul-de-sacs ruining the tranquillity and safety of the area.

The developer is asking to not have to provide the required 20% affordable housing scheme due to 'finance viability'. You have already allowed Strata on the above mentioned development to not have to provide the correct amount of affordable housing as well as not having to provide funding to the local infrastructure. We should not be having to add another further development to the area with still no support given where the schools are over subscribed, difficulty to get doctors appointments and unable to sign up with a dentist. With the already approved sights this new estate will add even more pressure to a failing infrastructure. Who is going to fund these services as the council which is already struggling financially will not be able to step in and contribute.

The builder says the land is difficult to build on and will take a lot of 'abnormal' build costs and should therefore not have to contribute in helping the affordability in the area and infrastructure. If this is the case then we would suggest a different site that is not full of mining and 'normal' to build on. They could then provide what they need to for the area rather than destroying what little green space we have left. Will there be any provisions put into place to protect existing residents from possible issues that may occur in the future from intrusive activities which disturb the existing coal entries?