
From:

Sent: 20 November 2024 02:03

To: Lucy Taylor <Lucy.Taylor@kirklees.gov.uk>

Subject: Planning Application 2024/92304 White Hart

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Lucy

I was checking the progress of this application this afternoon and noted that a new Block Plan had been added on the 29-10-24, 10 days after the close of the public consultation period.

I notice that an additional parking space has been added to this new Block Plan, extending the main parking area nearly onto the drive that currently services Newlands, 3c, Fairview and New Stone House. This is totally unacceptable. To reach the proposed **bungalow** means that their cars would now have to go up our drive to our current gate and then turn sharply right. As any exit from the bungalow parking spaces would be blind to Newlands, 3C, Fairview and New Stone House an accident or collision could easily happen!

As I pointed out in earlier emails the White Hart does not even own this drive so how can this be an acceptable proposal? Yes, they do have access rights, but only I believe up to point A on the drive as I show on the image I post below and as I have pointed out several times previously.



The main parking area (6 cars) for the 3 pub houses on their own would be acceptable as these parking spaces could be accessed solely from the drive owned by the White Hart. Parking for the proposed new bungalow, however, is not acceptable as it impinges significantly on our access rights and would also be dangerous!

I also talked to the architects about our drive, specifically why was it included in their “red line” when it was not owned by the White Hart. They said that the applicant recognised this, and that they would be submitting an adjusted Block Plan. They sent me a copy which I include below:

