PLANNING COMMENTS
4th SEPTEMBER 2024

SITE PLAN IS NOT ACCURATE PARTICULARLY AROUND SITE ENTRANCE AREA. PROPOSED PLAN DOES NOT SIT OVER THE OS PLAN PLEASE CONFIRM THAT THE LAYOUT IS BASED ON AN ACCURATE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY

THE RED LINE BOUNDARY FROM THE APPLICATION LOCATION PLAN INCLUDES LAND THAT IS NOT OWNED BY THE APPLICANT – SEE RED HATCHED AREA. THE APPLICANT DOES NOT OWN THE TRACK VERGE TO THE NORTH OF THE SITE AND ALSO THE PROPOSED SITE ENTRANCE INCLUDES LAND THAT IS NOT PART OF THE ADOPTABLE HIGHWAY. THE APPLICATION FORMS DO NOT REFLECT THIS

IMPORTANT ARCHAEOLOGICAL BUILDING TO CORNER OF FILED SHOWN AS DEMOLISHED. WHAT DOES THE ARCHAEOLOGY REPORT/ HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT BY FARRELL & CLARK (JANUARY 2017?) STATE ON THIS?

HOUSE No. 6B LEAK HALL ROAD HAS PLANNING APPROVAL PARTIALLY IMPLEMENTED INCLUDING HABITABLE ROOM WINDOWS FACING THE APPLICATION SITE. PLOT 12 THEREFORE NEEDS MOVING 11m AWAY FROM THIS AS PER KMC POLICIES