DCAdmin

From: Local Development
Sent: 23 July 2024 11:07
To: DCAdmin
Subject: FW: Objections: ref 2024/CLD/91725/E

From:
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:12 PM
To: Local Development <Local.Development@kirklees.gov.uk>
Subject: Fwd: Objections: ref 2024/CLD/91725/E

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Begin forwarded message:

From:
Date: 19 July 2024 at 12:52:22 BST
To: planning.contactcentre@kirklees.gov.uk
Subject: Objections: ref 2024/CLD/91725/E

Good Afternoon,

I am emailing in response to the planning application:

Application number
2024/CLD/91725/E
Applicant
Northern Community Pathways Children's Residential Services
Location
45, Manor Park, Mirfield, WF14 0EW
I understand this application is for a specialist children's care home for up to 3 children. I wish to submit my objections for this application. My grounds for objection are as follows.

(A)
Our street is not equipped to handle a surge in vehicles and traffic. Manor Park is already often used for through traffic and unfortunately an extra over flow/pick up and drop off point for staff at John Cottons. I appreciate the proposed schedule submitted for traffic/ visitors to the care home,

however I feel this is underestimated. I would expect there would be visits from other professionals, delivery's, social care and potentially the police. Point 2.17 of the planning statement (supporting information), references the children would initially be homeschool. This is also not considered on the staff rota as a tutor **may** be required and they would be visiting the home, adding to the amount of vehicles for the property.

Point 2.10 advises a vehicle will also be available on site for the transportation of the children. Again adding to the parking concerns and number of vehicles at the property. We already experience increased traffic from the neighbouring factory John Cottons. The entrance to Manor Park is often busy with taxis, waiting cars and parked cars. Although this is not its intended use, that is what happens and residents already suffer the impact. It would be unfair for residents to have to experience further inconvenience in addition to what residents already encounter.

(B)
There is a significant population of elderly residents on Manor Park whos peace and quality of life could be disrupted by increased noise levels and activity on the street.
I understand the care home to be a facility for children with behaviour issues and challenging behaviours which could contribute to the disruption as mentioned above.

(C)
I would also be concerned about antisocial behaviour. Manor Park residents already experience antisocial behaviour in our community and if the application was successful I fear this would only contribute to the issues we already experience.
In reference to point 3.20 (11.) I feel it is unfair to say that the potential risk from crime is shown or expected to be high or that the consequence for local residents are serious.
Any risk is a very serious consequence to local residents. I have researched similar government schemes and the impact on residents is overwhelming negative. This is our home and our community.

(D)
I would be concerned about the devaluation of mine and neighbouring property's.

(E)
There are far more appropriate venues for this proposal. A children's home is **not** appropriate for a residential street.There are plenty of other appropriate plots on private roads that aren't so close to residential property's.

As mentioned in the proposal, this is for long-term placements for children, not a short-term solution, and this decision could affect residents for many years. I hope you carefully consider the points raised, given the significance of the decision and its long-term impact on the residents.

Thanks you for your time.

Kind regards,