



Despite the change to the application, all the concerns relating to all the previous objections continue to stand and be relevant as reasons for rejection. However, additional concerns now certainly need raising.

This is still an example of over development and shows absolutely no concern for existing residents. I do not understand how anyone visiting the site cannot see how squeezing such large plots onto such a narrow strip of land will not be an invasion of privacy and reduction of light into both the ground and first floors of the existing properties on Marsh Lane which to the rear are predominately glazed.

Increasing the number of dwellings part way through an application is a fundamental change to the application in principle and as such a new application needed. There was no notice given of this and the short timeframe to be able to consider and comment is disappointing.

That said, it is also incredibly disappointing that unlike other developers in the area, there has not been one attempt by owners, architects or developers to consult and work with the residents this will have a huge effect on. All other developers in the village and also wider local area made every effort to do this. Coupled with the many irregularities in the application and need to justify these with an additional supplement, plus the need to resubmit, further confirms that this is not in the local area's favour or benefit.

Additional houses mean further pressure on sewage (highlighted in earlier objections) and no additional investigations have been conducted but there is an existing problem here already. There are already power cuts with issues to the supply on a monthly occurrence. Developments elsewhere in the village have stalled as houses are not selling. The school is oversubscribed.

Again, there is no mention of the tree with a preservation order, which in small print as a side note in the environmental report, admitted would be felled. The tree does not feature on the application. The many irregularities with the application and intent to deceive also remain, which can be noted in earlier objections.

Additionally, the access continues to be a problem. The solution of developers is to remove a stone post to improve visibility. They seem to have not realised that they are unable to do this as this is actually private property belonging to one of the residents.