

Tom Hunt
Kirklees Metropolitan Borough Council
Development Management

Our ref: RA/2024/147014/01-L01
Your ref: 2024/90730

Date: 17 April 2024

By email: dc.admin@kirklees.gov.uk

Dear Tom

ERECTION OF SEVEN DWELLINGS AND ASSOCIATED WORKS – LAND BETWEEN 30 AND 60, COMMERCIAL STREET, SLAITHWAITE, HUDDERSFIELD, HD7 5JZ

Thank you for consulting us on this application which we received on 27 March 2024.

Flood Risk

Our Flood Map for Planning shows the site lies mainly in Flood Zone 1. However, the western boundary of the site lies within Flood Zone 2/3, with a medium / high probability of flooding from rivers and/or the sea. The application is for dwellings, which are considered to be a 'more vulnerable' land use in [Annex 3](#) of the National Planning Policy Framework. It is therefore necessary for the application to pass the Sequential Test and Exception Test and to be supported by a site-specific flood risk assessment (FRA), which can demonstrate that the 'development will be safe for its lifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its users, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will reduce flood risk overall'.

Environment Agency position

In the absence of a flood risk assessment (FRA), we object to this application and recommend that planning permission is refused.

Reasons

The application site lies within Flood Zone 3, which is land defined by the planning practice guidance as having a high probability of flooding. The National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 173, footnote 59) states that an FRA must be submitted when development is proposed in such locations.

An FRA is vital to making informed planning decisions. In its absence, the flood risks posed by the development flood are unknown. This is sufficient reason for refusing planning permission.

Overcoming our objection

To overcome our objection, the applicant should submit an FRA which demonstrates that the development is safe without increasing risk elsewhere. Where possible, it should reduce flood risk overall.

If this cannot be achieved, we are likely to maintain our objection. Please re-consult us on any revised FRA submitted and we'll respond within 21 days of receiving it.

Guidance on how to prepare a flood risk assessment can be found at <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-for-planning-applications>

INFORMATIVES

Environmental permit - advice to applicant

The Kitchen Clough (also known as Bradley Brook) is designated as a 'main river'. The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 require a permit to be obtained for any activities which will take place:

- on or within 8 metres of a main river (16 metres if tidal)
- on or within 8 metres of a flood defence structure or culverted main river (16 metres if tidal)
- on or within 16 metres of a sea defence
- involving quarrying or excavation within 16 metres of any main river, flood defence (including a remote defence) or culvert
- in the floodplain of a main river if the activity could affect flood flow or storage and potential impacts are not controlled by a planning permission

For further guidance please visit <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-activities-environmental-permits> or contact our National Customer Contact Centre on 03708 506 506. The applicant should not assume that a permit will automatically be forthcoming once planning permission has been granted, and we advise them to consult with us at the earliest opportunity.

Sequential test - advice to LPA

What is the sequential test and does it apply to this application?

In accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 168), development in flood risk areas should not be permitted if there are reasonably available alternative sites, appropriate for the proposed development, in areas with a lower risk of flooding. The sequential test establishes if this is the case.

Development is in a flood risk area if it is in Flood Zone 2 or 3, or it is within Flood Zone 1 and your strategic flood risk assessment shows it to be at future flood risk or at risk from other sources of flooding such as surface water or groundwater.

The only developments exempt from the sequential test in flood risk areas are:

- Householder developments such as residential extensions, conservatories or loft conversions
- Small non-residential extensions with a footprint of less than 250sqm
- Changes of use (except changes of use to a caravan, camping or chalet site, or to a mobile home or park home site)
- Applications for development on sites allocated in the development plan through the sequential test and:
 - the proposed development is consistent with the use for which the site was allocated; and
 - there have been no significant changes to the known level of flood risk to the site, now or in the future, which would have affected the outcome of the test.

Avoiding flood risk through the sequential test is the most effective way of addressing flood risk because it places the least reliance on measures such as flood defences, flood warnings and property level resilience.

Who undertakes the sequential test?

It is for you, as the local planning authority, to determine an appropriate area of search and to decide whether the sequential test has been passed, with reference to the information you hold on land availability. You may also ask the applicant to identify any other 'reasonably available' sites which are on the open market and to check on the current status of identified sites to determine if they can be considered 'reasonably available'. Further guidance on the area of search can be found in paragraphs 027-030 of the planning practice guidance [here](#).

What is our role in the sequential test?

We can advise on the relative flood risk between the proposed site and any alternative sites identified - although your strategic flood risk assessment should allow you to do this yourself in most cases. We won't advise on whether alternative sites are reasonably available or whether they would be suitable for the proposed development. We also won't advise on whether there are sustainable development objectives that mean steering the development to any alternative sites would be inappropriate. Further guidance on how to apply the sequential test to site specific applications can be found in the planning practice guidance: [Flood risk and coastal change - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#).

Exception test – advice to LPA

The exception test should only be applied as set out in flood risk table 2 of the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) following application of the sequential test. The exception test should not be used to justify the grant of planning permission in flood risk areas when the sequential test has shown that there are reasonably available, lower risk sites, appropriate for the proposed development.

In those circumstances, planning permission should be refused, unless you consider that sustainable development objectives make steering development to these lower risk sites inappropriate as outlined in PPG (ref ID: 7-031-20220825).

Our role in the exception test

The exception test is in two parts, described in the NPPF (paragraph 170). In order for the test to be passed it must be demonstrated that

1. The development would provide wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk; and
2. The development will be safe for its lifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its users, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will reduce flood risk overall.

Paragraph 171 of the NPPF makes clear that both parts need to be met for the test to be satisfied. It is for the applicant to demonstrate this.

We provide advice on the second part of the test, but it is for you, as the local planning authority, to consider the first part of the test, accounting for the findings of the flood risk assessment and our flood risk advice, and to determine whether the test, overall, has been satisfied. Development that does not satisfy both parts of the exception test should be refused.

Where the flood risk assessment shows the development will be safe throughout its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere

Even where a flood risk assessment shows the development can be made safe throughout its lifetime without increasing risk elsewhere, there will always be some remaining risk that the development will be affected either directly or indirectly by flooding. You will need to weigh these risks against any wider sustainability benefits to the community.

Planning Advice Service

Please advise the applicant that if they would like to get further specific advice on how to overcome our objection, they can take advantage of our planning advice service. We can offer services including meetings, telecons and reviews of revised information prior to formal submission. We encourage the applicant to contact us directly to discuss this further.

We currently charge £100 plus VAT per officer per hour. We will provide you with an estimated cost for any further discussions or review of documents. The standard terms for our charged for service are available [here](#).

If you need any clarification or further information, please contact me.

Yours sincerely

Bev Lambert
Sustainable Places - Planning Advisor

Telephone 020 3025 7982

Mobile 07833 234623

Direct e-mail bev.lambert@environment-agency.gov.uk

Team e-mail sp-yorkshire@environment-agency.gov.uk