

About the application

Application number: 2024/90253	
What is the application for?:	Outline application for erection of residential development with associated biod
Address of the site or building:	Land at, Cuckstool Road, Denby Dale, Huddersfield, HD8 8RB
Postcode:	

User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	Yes
<p>It is quite clear there is no genuine rationale to approve this application. There are many points highlighted by others objecting to this application and I do not need to waste my time repeating these. I am also confident that competent members of the Kirklees Planning department know there cannot be a legitimate route to approving this request.</p> <p>Standing back, it is known to all parties that this is a developer who is acting solely in their own interests and counter to that of the local authorities and residents. There can be no disputing this.</p> <p>This is evidenced through multiple instances of disregarding laws and regulations/environmental acts since taking ownership of the land in 2019.</p> <p>Therefore the question to be asked is why Kirklees Planning continue to allow applications where the residents of Kirklees are the not the priority of the decision-making? Further, why is so much time and resource being wasted through repeated spurious planning requests which should not be progressed in this manner.</p> <p>Quite simply, the planning committee has two choices. Approve this application - providing an immaterial contribution to housing targets - but inviting an expensive legal challenge, demonstrating that certain developer behaviours are acceptable to Kirklees Council, and whilst undermining any serious statement the Council make in respect of biodiversity and broader environmental standards. In short - there is no upside to the council or the resident to approving this application.</p> <p>The other option is to sensibly reject this application and to also protect against further spurious requests.</p> <p>I am confident in saying all local residents would like to see the current site enhanced, but that is stating the obvious given what has happened since 2019. Any attempt to baseline the current site condition as an argument for approving this request would not pass a legal test.</p>	