

	<b>Planning March 26 2024.</b>
90372	Defer to Kirklees officers
90369	No objections
90253	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Noted that groundwater flood risk was inaccurately assessed</li> <li>• Noted that there were several different therefore/inaccurate versions of the plans</li> <li>• This land is designated Urban Greenspace (UG403) and part of the Kirklees Wildlife Habitat Network (KWHN) with previous wild growth, public access and a desire line for which a PROW application has been made.</li> <li>• The current negative visual aspect of the site, and the lack of wildflowers and biodiversity, is a direct result of the developer's actions after previous applications have been rejected. The ugly industrial fencing is the developer's own; the broken wall is because the developer broke it; the lack of trees and meadow is because the developer felled a number of broadleaf trees and has sprayed weed killer on the flowers. Using this wanton destruction as a reason to develop the site is perverse.</li> </ul> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The land is Urban Greenspace. The applicant has destroyed the habitat, covered the surface with gravel and continues to weed kill any natural growth. This has been continuous attrition since 2020 when broadleaf trees, shrubs, topsoil, hedgerows and the wall were all destroyed during the nesting season.</li> <li>2. These actions despoliated this area of designated KWHN. Destruction was only halted by two trees with TPOs being rescued by residents (TPO 10/20 and TPO 11/20.)</li> <li>3. Applicant claims that Denby Dale has 'enough' green space were dismissed by the inspector in 2022. There are no 'targets' as such. In addition, since then there have been many major developments and proposals (Leak Hall Road, Wood Nook, Cliff Hill, Barnsley Road x 2) and a number of smaller ones (Old School, Viaduct, Dale Inn). That the developer refers to Denby Dale as 'urban' tells its own tale.</li> <li>4. When the last planning application was refused at appeal, the applicant was instructed to restore green space. This is taken to mean removing the applicant's ugly metal fencing, restoring the knocked down drystone wall where the illegal and dangerous entrance was made and allowing the site to regenerate. This has not been enforced.</li> <li>5. The Coal Authority Objection of 4 August 2022 is reserved on two conditions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Prior to, or concurrent with, the submission: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- site investigations and risk assessments;</li> <li>- findings from intrusive site investigations identifying mine entries, zones of influence and no-build zones should be shown on layout plans.</li> </ul> </li> <li>ii) Prior to commencement of development : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- remediation works based on the above investigations.</li> </ul> </li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p>The Parish Council strongly objects and proposes that the site is restored as UG403 and KWHN.</p>
90275	Overlooks neighbouring property. Overdevelopment of site.
90304	No objections
90124	No objections
90518	No objections
90500	No objections
93714	Defer to Kirklees officers
90571	No objections in principle. Concerns about type of shop.
90747	No objections
90728	Concerns over overdevelopment
Footpath	No objections to change of route.