

About the application

Application number: 2023/92268	
What is the application for?:	Prior notification for installation of telecommunications lattice tower and equi
Address of the site or building:	land adj allotments, Golcar, Huddersfield, HD7 4QH
Postcode:	RG7 4SA

User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	Yes
I object to this Planning Application as the siting is incredibly inappropriate and the appearance of the mast is ugly. It will be detrimental to the area. A further consideration is that the mast will instil anxiety in the local residents regarding the lack of medium-term epidemiological medical research that proves that living near a 5G mast is safe and healthy. It is not fair to impose this level of anxiety on millions of people all over the UK. You must please realise that the research to conclusively prove the safety of 5G does not exist. Everyone knows that too much exposure to electromagnetic radiation can cause cancer. We know that the effects are cumulative over time. We should wait for the researchers to prove safety. As argued by John Frank, Emeritus Professor of Epidemiology at the University of Edinburgh. Here is the British Medical Journal reviewing his paper: https://www.bmj.com/company/newsroom/stop-global-roll-out-of-5g-networks-until-safety-is-confirmed-urges-expert/ The Self-Certification letter which has been lodged with the Planning Application usually reads as follows: “is designed to be in full compliance with the requirements of the radio frequency public exposure guidelines of the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection[1] as expressed in EU Council Recommendation 1999/519/EC of 12 July 1999 “on the limitation of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields (0 Hz to 300 GHz)”. 1) This is the link he ICNIRP Safety Guidelines which were published in 1998 and are now very out of date. https://www.icnirp.org/cms/upload/publications/ICNIRPemfgdl.pdf 2) This is the link to the EU Council Recommendation which is also now very out of date. https://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_determinants/environment/EMF/implement_rep_en.pdf	

My comments are as follows. Both guidelines are very out of date.

The ICNIRP Guidelines specifically state on page three (including the cover page) that the guidelines DO NOT APPLY TO ANYONE WITH METAL in their body and states that: "These guidelines will be periodically revised and updated as advances are made in identifying the adverse health effects of time-varying electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields" as per this paragraph from page 3 of the ICNIRP Guidelines.

Compliance with the present guidelines may not necessarily preclude interference with, or effects on, medical devices such as metallic prostheses, cardiac pacemakers and defibrillators, wearable diabetic devices (e.g.: subcutaneous glucose monitors and insulin pumps) and cochlear implants. Interference with pacemakers and other medical devices may occur at levels below the recommended reference levels. Advice on avoiding these problems is beyond the scope of the present document. Some advice is available elsewhere (e.g.: UNEP/WHO/IRPA 1993), although this document is now 20 years out of date and may not be relevant to medical devices currently in use. These guidelines should be periodically revised and updated as advances are made in identifying the adverse health effects of time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields.

Many who live near the location of the mast will have pacemakers, hip replacements or other medical devices and these ICNIRP Safety Guidelines DO NOT APPLY to them. Where are the promised periodic revisions as advances are made in identifying adverse health effects? Have we not had any in a quarter of a century.

I plead with you to refuse this planning application on the grounds that its appearance and siting are FAR TOO DETRIMENTAL.

(You have been handed a gift horse which gives you a GET OUT OF JAIL FREE CARD because I do understand that you have been told that you are not allowed to officially and specifically question the HIGHLY QUESTIONABLE SAFETY GUIDELINES WHICH THE UK GOVERNMENT HAS ASKED YOU TO BLINDLY TRUST).

Please do the right thing. The mast is ugly and the siting is inappropriate.

Thank you in advance.