

Kirklees Council  
Planning and Development Service  
PO Box 1720  
Huddersfield, HD1 9EL

Date: 04/03/2025

Ref: **2023/91907**

**Rear of, 18 Kingsley Avenue, Huddersfield, HD1 3SR**

Dear Sir,

I'm writing to object to the revised planning permission application for the DEMOLITION OF DWELLING TO CREATE ACCESS AND ERECTION OF 10 DWELLINGS. This letter reflects the ongoing concerns of the community, which have not been adequately addressed in the revised proposal. While we acknowledge the changes made, many of the objections raised in response to the previous planning application remain valid and unsatisfied. Therefore, all the comments made on the previously must be included when considering this revised application.

**Key Concerns Raised in Previous Objections:**

**1. Traffic Congestion and Safety:**

- In the previous round of consultation, many residents expressed concern over the increased traffic congestion that would result from the development. This issue has not been properly addressed, as the revised plans do not provide adequate measures to mitigate the impact on Kingsley Avenue. Our road is already struggling with traffic bottlenecks, and the proposed development will exacerbate these problems. Our bin collections have been missed several times due to lack of access caused by too many cars parked on Kingsley Avenue.

**2. Overdevelopment and Loss of Community Space:**

- One of the strongest objections from residents was the overdevelopment of the area. The revised plans still result in overcrowding, leading to a loss of much-needed open spaces for recreation and community activities. The impact of overdevelopment on the character of the neighbourhood remains unchanged, with the proposal still too dense for the local infrastructure and community needs.

**3. Inadequate Infrastructure:**

- Concerns were raised about the lack of sufficient infrastructure to support the proposed development. This includes the strain on local schools, healthcare facilities, and utilities. The revised application does not include adequate provisions for improving these essential services, leaving the local community at risk of overburdened facilities.

**4. Noise and Disturbance:**

- The noise and disturbance caused by construction and the long-term impact on the surrounding area was another key concern. Despite revisions, the proposal still fails to offer satisfactory noise mitigation measures, which could significantly affect the quality of life for residents, particularly in nearby residential areas.

**Conclusion:** While we appreciate the efforts made to revise the planning application, the key concerns expressed in the original objections have not been meaningfully addressed. We strongly urge the planning authority to reject the revised application and consider the valid concerns of the community, which continue to remain unchanged.

We call upon the planning authority to respect the rights of residents, protect the environment, and ensure that any development in this area is sustainable, proportionate, and beneficial to the community.