



I wish to object against the proposal for two large four bedroom detached houses planning application: 2023/62/91768/W. Disappointingly, no information has been shared with my household about this application.

The reasons I wish to object includes :

As a frequent user of the public footpath, the safety of myself and my young family and dog is pertinent. Cars travelling up the single track would jeopardise our safety and that of other users. Access is unsuitable particularly given its width and also the impact that winter weather conditions would pose. Such properties would likely attract multiple occupants, meaning possibly 3-4+ cars per household (+visitors) adding to existing parking challenges and busy traffic flow in the area. I find it difficult to comprehend how large vehicles would access the site safely.

The local wildlife is vast, to which we are fortunate to witness all year around. The wildlife report does not comprehensively acknowledge the local wild life, nor the significant impact the proposal would have on it. This would be better understood and appreciated through multiple visits throughout the year.

This is greenbelt open land which contributes to the surrounding natural beauty of the countryside and should be protected being such a prominent position opposite Butterly reservoir. Other sites in the valley being developed would be better suited or many other brown field sites that are in a closer proximeter to the centre - such as derelict mills and wasteland.

Rainfall challenges have not been considered when suggesting the use of septic tanks. The field serves a natural drainage therefore tons of concrete and tarmac will prohibit this process.

I Agree with previous concerns raised about added light pollution from the new properties and the possibility of damage to the trees to the boundaries of the properties. Also, the application does not clearly demonstrate how these homes will obtain access to services without going across other peoples property and land or using unsightly overhead cables.

Therefore, for the above reasons I strongly object to this proposal.