

Address: 44 Lower Mill Lane Holmfirth HD92JB

About the application

Application number: 2023/91491	
What is the application for?:	Erection of 61 age-restricted apartments with ancillary accommodation including
Address of the site or building:	Prickleden Mills, Woodhead Road, Holmfirth, HD9 2JU
Postcode:	

User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	No
<p>Living at the SW end of Lower Mill Lane, I have been in a position to observe the high water levels in the River Holme at times of peak rainfall. The area of the proposed development, immediately upstream and on the opposite bank of the river, has on several occasions been less than 0.5m below the water level in the river. It should therefore be considered to be at particularly high risk of flooding.</p> <p>The cul-de-sac public highway in Lower Mill Lane carries traffic from visitors, cars parking, and commercial vehicles serving premises in the town centre as well as from the 44 residences. It is already overloaded and unfit for purpose. There is a discontinuous walkway on only one side of the road which is frequently blocked by parked cars or commercial vehicles making deliveries. The opposite side of the road is lined almost continuously by cars parked at right angles so that there is no safe space for pedestrians between the carriageway and the houses, many of which are occupied by families with young children. The narrow neck, where the road passes between the original Lower Mill and the river bank, has substandard width and sight-lines for an urban road.</p> <p>Our own property, is the closest to the highway, built only 0.5m from the kerb on the corner of the turn-around area. The stonework on our external wall has been scraped and the plant containers which we have positioned to protect it are already frequently damaged and displaced by passing vehicles.</p> <p>I did not expect when we bought the property that the this could become a through road, as it clearly wasn't designed to be one. The proposal to add at least a further 100 cars (61 residents + visitors and service vehicles) therefore seems irresponsible.</p>	