

DCAdmin

From:
Sent: 27 October 2023 10:28
To: DCAdmin
Subject: BankField Drive Holmbridge ref 2023/91212

Dear Sirs,

BankField Drive Holmbridge ref 2023/91212

Please note my objection to the above noted planning application for the building of new residential dwellings adjacent to the existing Bankfield Drive estate. I object to both the original scheme for 16 no. dwellings and also the revised application for 21 no. dwellings.

- The proposed development is on the edge of the village extending building into yet more green land. Infact close to if not directly in the **greenbelt** area of Holmbridge. The site also borders the north end of the Peak District National Park.
- The access to the proposed development is clearly not suitable, it already services a reasonable sized residential close. Access once leaving the main woodhead road through the village is steep and narrow and winding in more than one location. (There are two routes in from the main woodhead road and both routes suffer the same constrictions and difficulties) There are no footpaths on the majority of the access routes which already accommodate many parked vehicles. They are routes continually used by pedestrians walking in the road throughout the day and are school routes with young children walking to and from school.
- The local infrastructure in all aspects is not sufficient in Holmbridge to cope with further residential development.
- The proposed site not only impacting the area generally will also greatly impact the lives of existing residents of Laithbank Drive and the balance of the access routes who will have to endure additional traffic, noise and disturbance of passing vehicles/persons.
- The proposed site is immediately adjacent to open countryside and public green footpaths along the edge of the proposed site.
- Over time the beautiful village of Holmbridge is being slowly ruined by the number of developments being considered and passed for construction. We are losing our countryside, green spaces, character and charm. The countryside, scenic views, peace and tranquillity are disappearing, and all in the name of commercial gain and greed.
- I would strongly suggest that all the persons on the planning committee visit site prior to making any decisions regarding this development. (not just one maybe two as is often the case, if any). It is totally wrong that people who sit upon the planning committee who do not reside in the immediate local vicinity of the development in question often do not fully familiarise themselves with the full facts and a firsthand view and tour of the location to understand the implications it will place on the already overloaded infrastructure, the wishes of the wider local community and the immediate existing village residents.
- I believe it is wrong to add another 5 properties onto the development to attempt to meet the criteria for affordable housing, if anything 5 properties from the existing development proposal

should be amended to affordable housing, although i reiterate my objection to the original development scheme anyway. I believe this particular point serves only to highlight the commercial drivers to maximise profits for this project, it is in no way being put forward as a development for the benefit of the community and its local residents.

Please record my objection.

Holmbridge Resident