



Here are my reasons to oppose this application.

1 The lodges originally approved were for 4 small camping pods of a type in keeping with the local Green Belt area. The cabins that have been constructed are of an angled roof design that detracts from the Green Belt area and are an eyesore for local residents and walkers.

2 The floor area of the new application asks for double the footprint and changes from three two person and one family pod to three four person lodges and one two person lodge further detracting from the Green Belt natural nature of this environment which has been decimated by this development.

3 Referring now to the application for The Little Lock cafe on Marsden Lane, a condition was added to ensure the large red Biffa refuse bins had to be stored behind the cafe to conceal them from public view. This application on Green Belt land shows the bin store near the entrance, these bins are now on site and the ugly bins are on full view of residents and walkers as the wall in front is far too short to cover them.

4 Already the work on this development has had a detrimental effect on the wildlife in the area. There was once a diverse population of birds and mammals. We no longer see bats swooping around and we no longer hear owls at night as we had done for 22 years. On top of this the new application asks for:

6x3 metre lighting columns

13 Soffit lights

12 Down lights

23 Illuminated bollards

This level of lighting will have a major impact on local residents and any wildlife which may try to use the area. This lighting scheme is more fitting for a holiday park not a Green Belt camp site.

5 The canal boat placed at the trackside is supposed to be a temporary addition. This canal boat is an ugly addition to the Green Belt land and from 'A Place In The Pennines' website is advertised as accommodation that can be rented. How can this be possible if this is to be a temporary site office. No time scale has been indicated as to how long this 'temporary addition' will be in place. The windows look directly out onto several properties thus affecting the privacy and enjoyment of local residents.

6 The proposed hedging has been omitted from the front of the first finished units thus allowing vehicles to be parked directly outside the units, no planning application previously or currently has asked for this again causing noise at night and light pollution from vehicles to disturb local residents.

7 The original concept for this site was a campsite with small pods and minimal disturbance to wildlife and the economy of the land. How can this be achieved with this new proposal? The previous application had 75 comments from local people and 72 of them had concerns against the development, I hope the planning committee will take note of these concerns as now this new application will be even more detrimental to local residents.