



Tree Hazard Survey

at
4 Thong Lane
Holmfirth



Table of Contents

- 1 General Observations.....3
- 2 Introduction.....4
 - 2.1 Purpose and scope of report.....4
 - 2.2 Legal Constraints.....4
- 3 Data collection methods.....5
 - 3.1 Survey conditions.....5
 - 3.2 Measurements.....5
 - 3.3 Hazard and Risk.....5
 - 3.4 Works priority.....6
- 4 Contact Details.....7
- Appendix 1: Tree data tables.....8
- Appendix 2: Plans.....9

1 General Observations

This survey covers one tree as shown on the attached plans at 4 Thong Lane Holmfirth
Please see the data tables at the appendix for details.



2 Introduction

2.1 *Purpose and scope of report*

This is a preliminary hazard and risk evaluation of the trees as shown on the attached plans only.

The recommendations of this report provide the necessary information to prioritise works to trees in order to better manage the risks of harm from those trees.

All tree works should be carried out to the current BS 3998: '*Recommendations for tree work*' unless otherwise stated in this report. All works should be undertaken by suitably qualified and insured contractors.

This report is based upon a visual survey undertaken from ground level. The trees were not climbed, and no specialist diagnostic techniques or equipment were used.

There shall be no responsibility for factors which were not apparent at the time of the survey. Any factor which becomes apparent after the date of survey must be brought brought to the consultant's attention immediately.

No liability can be accepted by the consultant unless the recommendations of this report are carried out under their supervision and within the period of time as recommended.

It is recommended that trees are regularly inspected by a suitably qualified tree inspector. In this instance it is recommended that within twelve months of this survey there is an assessment of which trees need to be surveyed. Guidance on the frequency of tree survey is based on the THREATS system and examples are given in the appendix.

2.2 *Legal Constraints*

No check has been made with the local planning authority or the Forestry Commission.

It is advised that the local planning authority is contacted to check whether the trees on this site are protected by a Tree Preservation Order or are within a Conservation Area.

It is also advised that the local Forestry Commission Conservancy is contacted to check whether the trees surveyed are protected under the Forestry Act.

Trees may also be subject to legal protection under a range of other legislation, much of which is aimed at wildlife and habitat protection.

No work should be done to any trees until either suitable permission has been granted or it has been verified that the intended work does not require permission.



3 Data collection methods

3.1 *Survey conditions*

The survey was carried out on 20th November 2020 by James Royston: the weather was dry.

3.2 *Measurements*

Age Class is divided into young, semi-mature, early mature, mature and over mature. This is an indication of which stage a tree is at in its natural life cycle. This allows for an assessment of how energy and growth will be prioritised within a tree.

Diameter is estimated at approximately 1.5m above ground level. Where a tree divides into multiple stems below 1.5m, an estimate of the diameter at the lowest point above the root flare will be made

Height is estimated in metres from ground level to the highest point of the tree.

Estimates of diameter and height are made with the aid of clinometers and specialist tape measures but should not be taken as an accurate measure of size. The dimensions included in this report are given to as an aid to description and identification only.

3.3 *Hazard and Risk*

Based on Health and Safety Executive (HSE) guidance, a hazard is any object or any situation which has the potential to cause harm.

Risk is defined as the likelihood of harm from hazards combined with an assessment of how serious the harm could be.

In this report a hazard is any part of a tree which shows signs that there is a significant possibility that it may fail within twelve months from the date of the survey. The hazard is identified and an indication of the size of the part of the tree most likely to be of significance is given.

An assessment is then made as to the likelihood that the stated part will fail within twelve months from the date of survey.

An indication is also given of the likelihood of something or someone being struck, and the level of damage or injury which may expected.

The risk is then assessed by combining the information about the hazard with information about both the likelihood and the significance of harm which could be caused should the identified part fail.

Recommendations are made to lower the risks to a level which is as low as reasonably practicable on the assumption that it is desirable to retain trees where possible.

As trees are living organisms with complex interactions with their environment there will always be an element of uncertainty in any tree risk assessment. No tree can ever be described as totally safe and nothing in this report should be taken as a guarantee that a tree is without risk.

All factors are assessed using the experience and knowledge of the author based on the author's understanding of current research, legislation and best practice guidance.



3.4 *Works priority*

The priority for works is allocated on a scale from 1 to 4.

Category 1 works (shown as red on the plan) are those which are very urgent and should be dealt with as soon as is reasonably practicable.

Category 2 works (shown as orange on the plan) should also be considered as important and should be done as soon as is reasonably practicable, but these works could be done after category 1 works where resources are limited. A maximum of 3 months from the date of survey is suggested.

Category 3 works (shown as green on the plan) are not urgent, but there is the possibility that observed defects may become more significant in the future. These trees should be monitored for signs of deterioration. It is sometimes cost effective to include these works as part of an ongoing arboricultural management plan.

Category 4 works (shown as gray on the plan) have no recommendation for work at the time of survey, or have a recommendation (or suggestion) for work which is for reasons other than hazard management.



4 Contact Details

I hope this report provides all the required information. However, if further advice is needed then please contact me and I will be happy to help.

James Royston – Independent Arboricultural Consultant

MSc Arboriculture and Urban Forestry, BSc (Hons) Forestry.

The Media Centre

7 Northumberland Street

Huddersfield

HD1 1RL

Report completed 15th October 2021




Appendix 1: Tree data tables



Tree Number	Common Name	Botanical Name	Age	Diameter (cm)	Height (m)	Structural condition	Physiological condition	Size of hazard part	Likelihood of Failure	Target rating	Description of that which might be harmed	Observations	Recommendations	Works priority
1	Horse chestnut	<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>	Mature	900	19	Poor	Fair	Large	Medium	Medium	Private gardens and structures	A single stem leaning tree. The tree has a severe lean with signs of bark stretching on the tension side. The main stem has a large cavity at approximately 2m above ground level. The ground shows signs of potential soil movement – there are cracks in the adjacent retaining wall. This is potentially a hazardous tree.	Remove	2

Appendix 2: Plans







James Royston
Arboricultural Consultant
The Media Centre - 7 Northumberland Street - Huddersfield - HD1 1BU

Arboricultural Hazard Survey at:
4 Thong Lane

1:200

PAPER SIZE A3

Key	
	Category 1 Works
	Category 2 Works
	Category 3 Works
	Category 4 Works

Note: Plans are for guidance only. These plans should not be taken as an accurate representation of scale or distance.