

Project: **Risk Assessment of Trees**

Site: **Brooks Plantation at Australia, Wishaw Mill Rd, Meltham, HD9 4EB**

On Behalf of: **DW Woods**

Date: **20/11/2021**

Reference: **BA11118**



DOCUMENT CONTROL

Surveyed by*	Trevor Grigg	Report date	09/11/2021		
Prepared by*	Trevor Grigg				
Reviewed by*	Sue Barnes S				
Revision	A	Date	20/11/2021	Notes:	
	* Refer to qualifications and experience appendix				

SUMMARY OF TREE INFORMATION

I have undertaken a ground-based walkthrough risk assessment of the trees onsite to assess their general condition and their relationship with significant targets.

Most trees offer a Broadly Acceptable Risk and are assumed to be at a point where the risk is already 'As Low as Reasonably Practicable' (ALARP) when considered over the coming year. Overall, the risk offered by most of the trees are low and within the boundaries of tolerability that might ordinarily be applied by a reasonable and informed landowner and so were not recorded.

Of the trees assessed, no trees were classed as offering a **Moderate Risk** or a **High Risk** to principal targets.

However, there was noted some low-risk issues which may develop in the medium term to **Moderate** or **High Risk** and therefore are included in Section 3.17, the Tree Schedule and Site Plans, and also the Brief Woodland Management Plan in Section 3.18.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARBORICULTURAL ASSESSMENT

APPENDICES

	Page No	
1	INTRODUCTION	5
2	METHODOLOGY	6
3	SITE ASSESSMENT	8
4	CONCLUSION	14
		QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE
		TREE SCHEDULE & EXPLANATORY NOTES
		SITE PLANS
		FURTHER ASSESSMENTS
		TREES & RISK
		LEGAL ASPECTS OF TREE MANAGEMENT

Limitations of use and copyright: All rights in this report are reserved. No part of it may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature, without our written permission. Its content and format are for the exclusive use of the addressee in dealing with this site. It may not be sold, lent, hired out or divulged to any third party not directly involved in this site without the written consent of Barnes & Associates ©.

WALK THROUGH RISK ASSESSMENT

This ground-based visual assessment was carried out to assess the current risk they offer to site users. The report also includes recommendations both for current and future works required to maintain or improve the condition of trees, simplify management or to improve safety.

Its purpose is to provide initial information on the condition of trees and the risk offered by trees, based upon their condition, location, likelihood of failure and potential to impact property and people.

This assessment has been undertaken by a qualified arboriculturalist.

This arboricultural assessment includes general information on tree condition and management. The report includes:

- a **visual tree assessment**, which is prepared in line with best practice.
- a **tree assessment of risk trees**, detailing significant issues with suggested works.
- a **tree schedule & survey plan** within the appendices, which details the principal management issues and trees that pose an elevated risk to the site users and neighbours.

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 **The Terms of Reference.** This report is based upon a ground-based assessment and is based upon the Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) methodology, as devised by Mattheck (1993) in addition to Hazard Evaluation devised by Matheny & Clark (1993). Guidance is also taken from Lonsdale (1999) Principles of Tree Hazard Assessment and Management. The format of the survey follows the guidelines of British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition & construction - Recommendations' & The ISA Tree Risk Assessment Manual (2017).
- 1.2 **Objective.** To carry out an assessment on the condition of trees and to identify the trees which pose a threat to site users and neighbours, and where such trees are located propose management to enable reasonable risk levels to be achieved. Some areas of this report have taken the individual assessment stance where areas of tree population have been assessed and included within the report, this was completed at the request of the client. The assessment and report are primarily aimed at reviewing the risks from the structural failure of the trees.
- 1.3 **Surveyors:** The assessment was undertaken by Trevor Grigg and John Evans. Brief details on qualifications and experience are included in APPENDIX – CONSULTANT BRIEF QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE. This report is based on onsite observations and the provided information.
- 1.4 **The scope of this report:** This preliminary assessment is concerned with the health and risk offered by the trees, in addition, comments relating to general management requirements are included; remedial recommendations are included in the tree schedule in APPENDIX – TREE SCHEDULE & EXPLANATORY NOTES.
- 1.4.1 The statements made in this report do not take account of the effects of extremes of climate, vandalism, or accident, whether physical, chemical or fire. Barnes & Associates cannot, therefore, accept any liability about these factors, nor where prescribed work is not carried out correctly and professionally in accordance with current good practice. The authority of this report ceases at any stated time limit within it, or if none stated after two years from the date of the survey, or when any site conditions change, or pruning or other works unspecified in the report are carried out to, or affecting, the subject tree(s), whichever is the sooner.
- 1.4.2 Assessment of the potential influence of trees upon buildings or other structures resulting from the effects of trees abstracting water from shrinkable load-bearing soils was not included in my instruction and is not considered here. Though issues relating to current or foreseeable direct damage related to tree growth is included as appropriate.

METHODOLOGY.

2.0 **Visual Tree Assessment (VTA)** relies upon a tree's response to loading and adaptation to weakness to help provide details of the tree's internal condition and stability. As the stress distribution in a tree is changed in response to the presence of a defect or loading the tree attaches or lays down more wood in overloaded locations to strengthen that area. As a result, bulges or dents are formed near hollows, ribs, near cracks or in areas of increased loading. These changes in the tree's appearance or its body language can be interpreted.

2.0.1 Visually examining a tree and a tree response to its environment, an arboriculturalist can gather information on the condition of its roots, trunk, main branch structure, crown, buds, and leaves to make an assessment and draw conclusions about the general condition, health, and vitality.


2.0.2 Additional, biological signs, such as undersized leaves, discoloured foliage, dead branches, large or numerous cankers, and fungal fruiting bodies, help inform the assessment which can be compared to typical growth patterns and appearance of the tree involved. If mechanical weakness is suspected, there may be a need for more detailed investigation using specialist decay detection and measuring equipment.

2.1 **Potential Risk from Trees.** Trees, unlike built structures, are a dynamic structure and offer several specific management issues that need to be considered. Reasonable risk management generally aims to provide trees that can be regarded as stable in a normal/foreseeable, storm event.

2.2 **Wind Speed.** In the UK wind speed typically expected winds range between 21.5m/s and 31m/s. General, the windiest parts of the UK are the north and west. This is because the prevailing west to south-westerly winds across the UK lead to northern and western areas being typically more exposed than the south and east.

2.2.1 There are also a lot of localised effects with most hills, mountains and coasts being windier than low-lying inland areas, with coastal areas having typically higher winds as the sea surface produces less friction than the land.

2.2.2 Based upon information published in the UK National Annex to Eurocode 1 - Actions on structures Part 1-4: General actions - Wind actions In this region, we expect to receive peak wind speeds around of 22.5m/s (meters per second) which when adjusted for altitude would suggest that this site would typically expect to receive winds speeds of 29m/s. This wind equates to 104.4Kph (64.87mph) or a force 11 Violent Storm on the Beaufort Wind Scale. I have included further general information upon the Tree and Risk in APPENDIX – TREES AND RISK.



2.3 **Target evaluation.** To enable a balanced approach to the site assessment, I undertook an initial review of the associated risks onsite to identify likely levels of occupation, areas where trees are within striking range of valuable or fragile structures or higher human occupancy locations. Targets are broadly zoned in relation to occupancy rates, population, and value. These are included in the Occupancy Rates Plan, BA11118/OP in APPENDIX – SITE PLANS.

2.4 **Risk Assessment.** The risk assessment centres on the area likely to be affected by trees; this is typically considered to be the area within 0.5 times the trees height when falling branches/deadwood etc are concerned and 1.5 times the trees height when total tree failure is predicted.

2.5 The assessment follows the general principles of Risk Assessment; to reduce the risk of injury to people, property damage or disruption of services. The International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) Tree Risk Assessment Methodology takes a qualitative rather than a quantitative approach to risk assessment. The system uses the output of matrix 1 (below) to compare the likelihood of failure of a tree or tree part, the likelihood of impacting the target with the potential consequences of failure, which is output in matrix 2 (below),

Matrix 1. Likelihood of failure

Likelihood of failure	Likelihood of Impacting Target			
	Very low	Low	Medium	High
Imminent	Unlikely	Somewhat likely	likely	Very likely
Probable	Unlikely	Unlikely	Somewhat likely	Likely
Possible	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Somewhat likely
Improbable	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely

Matrix 2. Risk Rating matrix

Likelihood of failure & impact	Consequences of Failure			
	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe
Very likely	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme
Likely	Low	Moderate	High	High
Somewhat likely	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Unlikely	Low	Low	Low	Low

2.6 The Risk Rating helps inform priority for action with the highest risk rating with the greatest target values requiring work urgently. Where the priority of trees is recorded as being low and a low target value, works required to improve the tree's risk of harm, are expected to be undertaken as part of the normal estate management.

2.7 **Tree Management.** We take a balanced approach to managing trees taking account of their contribution to biodiversity, the environment, human health, safety, and quality of life. An appropriate response to tree risk takes account of the human and financial costs involved in controlling risks. It also gives due regard to the value of trees in the widest sense, and how wholesale tree removal impoverishes our environment.

2.8 At the same time, we aim to provide holistic management guidelines to help both maintain and improve the condition of a tree, whilst attempting to predict management or structural problems or where trees are inappropriately located and offer a foreseeable nuisance. In doing so we hope to strike a balance between cost-effective management, timely intervention, and the guidelines of current best practice.

SITE ASSESSMENT

- 3.1 **The purpose of this report.** This is an arboricultural assessment outlining the current condition and safety of the trees onsite and aims to recommend works to improve the tree's condition, pre-emptive works to simplify tree management and identify the trees offering an elevated risk of harm. Its purpose is to provide initial information on the potential risks offered by trees, their condition and to suggest either further assessment or works to improve safety and extend their safe life.
- 3.2 **Background Information.** Subject to physical access is available, to assess all the significant trees on site from ground level.
- 3.2.1 The trees were tagged at above head height using a single nail and a white identification tag, and the locations recorded on the aerial image.
- 3.2.2 Information on the trees is recorded and their details are discussed in the tree schedule, which is included in APPENDIX – TREE SCHEDULE & EXPLANATORY NOTES.
- 3.3 **Date of Assessment.** Our tree survey was undertaken on 04/11/2021.
- 3.4 **Weather conditions.** The weather was bright, clear, and dry with good visibility.
- 3.5 **Boundaries:** The outer site boundaries are well defined by walls and fencing. This helped inform my assessment of the occupancy rates, recorded on the plan, BA11118/OP in APPENDIX – SITE PLANS.
- 3.6 **Brief site description.** The site is a predominantly broadleaved woodland adjacent to Wilshaw Mill Road and Australia Farm.

- 3.6.1 I have highlighted the approximate location of the site boundaries in red on the aerial photograph below, image courtesy of ©Google and third-party suppliers noted on the plan.



- 3.7 **Tree Population.** The trees compose of a reasonably diverse mix of large, moderate growing native and near-native trees. No rare species were observed.
- 3.8 **Amenity Value.** Although the woodland is unnaturally square in shape, the trees offer many ecological, habitat and amenity benefits to the wider community.
- 3.9 **Legislative Protection:** Information on Kirklees Councils online resource suggests the woodland is subject to a Tree Preservation Order, ID: 17/75/a6
- 3.10 **Principal Targets.** The woodland is located adjacent to Wilshaw Mill Road and Australia Farm. The users of the public Highway, the entrance drive to Australia Farm and the farm buildings are considered to be the principal targets.

- 3.11 **Pedestrian Access.** The woodland is privately owned and therefore, there is no public access.
- 3.12 **Vehicle Access.** Vehicle access is restricted to areas where there are suitable cleared tracks.
- 3.13 **Condition of Trees.** The trees are generally in a good condition. This inspection provides an assessment of the condition of the principal trees, within the existing site along with landscape and environmental constraints. The trees have been assessed from ground level only. Information upon the trees is in the Tree Schedule in APPENDIX – TREE SCHEDULE & EXPLANATORY NOTES.
- 3.14 **Identification and location of the trees.** I have illustrated the location of the recorded trees on Tree Location Plan BA11118/TP in APPENDIX – SITE PLANS. Trees are shown on the plan, which is for illustrative purposes only and should not be used for directly scaling measurements.
- 3.15 **Visual assessment of trees.** The assessment of the trees was undertaken from ground level using Visual Tree Assessment (VTA), this is a non-invasive method of examining the health and structural condition of individual trees. The assessment provides information on the condition of the roots, trunk, main branch structure, crown, buds and leaves together providing an assessment of general tree health and vitality.
- 3.15.1 Basic decay detection tools such as mallets and probes were utilised to determine whether further investigation is required.
- 3.15.2 Other than where the height of a tree is critical to the outcome of the assessment, approximately 1 in 10 trees are measured using a clinometer and the remainder estimated against the measured trees. Where possible canopies are measured using either tape or measuring wheel, where access is restricted, they are estimated. Stem diameters are measured using a

rounded-down diameter tape to avoid variations due to stem shape, otherwise where trees are in a group and/ or not accessible the stem's mean stem diameters are estimated to provide a reasonable basis for ageing.

- 3.16 **Risk Assessment.** I have undertaken a tree survey to identify the general nature of the trees and their relationship with significant targets. The level of detail with which the trees have been assessed is informed by their relationship with targets. Based on these larger trees adjacent to higher-value targets were more closely assessed than smaller trees adjacent to a lower value target when viewed over the next year.
- 3.16.1 The majority of trees offer a Broadly Acceptable Risk and are assumed to be at a point where the risk is already 'As Low as Reasonably Practicable' (ALARP) when considered over the coming year. Overall, the risk offered is low and within the boundaries of tolerability that might ordinarily be applied by a reasonable and informed landowner.
- 3.17 **Low Risk Trees.** On-site, 4 trees are assessed as currently offering a Low Risk but were worth highlighting as the risk may develop in the medium term. These trees are:
- 3.17.1 **T1972 (Beech).** This tree has recently suffered large, co-dominant stem failure which would have affected Wilshaw Mill Road. The tree is now low risk as the part likely to fail, has failed. The remaining canopy near the road has been reduced and therefore there is now little risk to the road. However, the wound that has resulted from the stem failure will, over time, be open to fungal decay and disease which may affect the trees structural stability. Due to its location, the tree may have to be removed in the future but currently presents a 'tolerable risk' to the road.

The photograph below shows the stem of T1972 and the wound resulting from the stem failure:



The photograph below shows the reduced canopy of T1972 on the roadside:



3.17.2 **T1973 (Beech).** This tree has the possible signs of Beech Bark Disease, suggested by the dark, oozing patches on the lower stem. This has led to a dysfunctional cambium column (strip of dead bark tissue) from ground level to the first two primary branches over the road. These two primary branches are also tightly included (a weak branch union). Although a low risk, it is advised that the canopy over the road is crown reduced/remodelled to reduce the risk of failure onto Wilshaw Mill Road.

The photograph below shows the stem of T1973, and the arrows show the strip of dead bark:



The arrows in the photograph below shows the two primary branches of concern on T1973:



3.17.3 **T1974 (Downy Birch).** This tree has an asymmetric crown shape that is biased towards Wilshaw Mill Road. There is decay starting to form at the base and the buttress roots are exposed/lifting from the ground. It is recommended to fell this tree and allow the natural regeneration surrounding the tree to replace it.

The photograph below shows the stem of T1974:



3.17.4 **G1975 (Birch & Rowan).** This small group is swamped in ivy and showing signs of advanced decline. Due to its proximity to the shed, it is recommended to fell the trees and allow the natural regeneration surrounding the trees to replace them.

The photograph below shows G1975:



3.18 **Brief Woodland Management Plan.** Assuming the aims for managing the woodland are continuous cover, promotion and conservation of habitats and improving diversity, the following are suggestions for the ongoing management of Brooks Plantation:

3.18.1 **Species mix and age classes.** Beech are the dominant species within the woodland, followed by Birch, then Oak. There are a smaller number of specimens of Rowan, Scots Pine, Larch and one example of Monterey Pine. The age class is reasonably well mixed, with examples of mature trees down to natural regeneration saplings. The Veteran age class is well represented with many trees having natural branch failures, cavities, and decay. No Ancient Trees are present in the woodland.

3.18.2 **Habitat/ecology.** The woodland is rich in fungi and there are numerous examples of standing and fallen dead wood, cavities, splits etc, which all provide good habitats. These should be preserved where possible, as long as they do not pose a risk.

3.18.3 **Thinning of young saplings.** There is an area to the northwest of the woodland which is densely populated by Birch regeneration or regrowth from old stumps. This area would benefit thinning (removal of selected trees) to improve the growing conditions for the remaining trees and allow the area to be accessed. The Birch in this area would also be suited to coppice management where the trees are cut down to 'stools' on a rotational basis and allow to regrow multiple stemmed. This can be a source of useful material or firewood and also provide a useful habitat.

The photograph below shows the area described:



3.18.4 **Drainage.** Drainage pipes have been directed into the woodland in the middle of the southern border, near the large shed. This is affecting the rooting area of several trees, including two Oaks, by reducing oxygen levels within the soil. Ideally, this drainage should be directed to a more suitable location away from the woodland or any other trees.

3.18.5 **Vehicle use.** Heavy vehicle use should be avoided in the woodland to protect the soils from compaction. Tracks should not be cleared through the woodland that are designed for vehicle use.

3.18.6 **Reducing long term risks.** Although not currently posing an unreasonable risk, several mature trees close to Wilshaw Mill Road have features/defects that may limit their safe life expectancy. Due to the prevalence of Beech and Holly saplings from natural regeneration, it would

be prudent to plan for the phased replacement of the mature trees closest to Wilshaw Road that have these defects and allow the saplings to take their place. This also has the benefit of introducing a different age class into the woodland. The following photographs are of trees with structural features or conditions that would be suitable for medium to long term replacement:

The photograph below shows a Beech tree near Wilshaw Mill Rd with co-dominant stems which may reduce its safe life expectancy:



The photograph below shows a Beech tree near Wilshaw Mill Rd with oozing marks on the stem, most likely signifying Beech Bark Disease:



The photograph below shows an Oak tree near Wilshaw Mill Rd with a significant bend in the stem and a lean towards the road:



3.18.7

Minor Issues: On the southern border, halfway between the large shed and the entrance driveway is a Scots Pine adjacent to a gate. There is a medium sized dead branch hung up in the lower canopy of this tree and therefore it may be prudent to remove it if the gate is often used.

The photograph below shows the tree, and the arrow shows the hanging branch:



CONCLUSION

- 4.1 **Generally**, the trees are in good condition and suggest that the site has been managed to date.
- 4.2 **Tree Management.** The age class of the trees within the woodland could be improved and this should ideally be amended through a tree management program centred around improving immediate safety in addition to the phased establishment of replacement trees and removal of problem trees which would be beneficial to maintain tree cover.
- 4.1.1 Trees potentially live for many generations their environment and people's attitudes can change significantly over their life spans, and management practices can change. Plans and procedures should be reviewed regularly to ensure they remain effective and current, particularly in relation to climate change issues and current best practices.
- 4.2 **Remedial Tree Works.** Several trees contain defects and require works to improve their current condition or require further investigation. These works are detailed within the schedule of the tree listed in APPENDIX – TREE SCHEDULE & EXPLANATORY NOTES.
- 4.3 **Additional and ongoing requirements.** The site will require an ongoing assessment to maintain a reasonable level of safety.
- 4.4 **Limiting Site Access.** It is reasonable to assume a 'Storm' of force 10 using the Beaufort Scale (winds of 87.9kph-102.2kph (54.8-63.6 mph) on land) will occur annually and such a risk should be built into the site risk management. Recent work has shown even sound trees that would typically be regarded as safe can fail during high winds through several factors relating to wood physiology, dynamics and the relationship between the root system and the supporting soils.
- 4.4.1 Typically, trees have evolved to fail in part, i.e., twigs and branches are sacrificed/fail from a parent tree rather than the tree being lost entirely. Observations at various sites have found that twigs and branches, can break from trees at wind speeds of as little as 50kph (31mph), the upper limit of a 'strong breeze' as detailed in Beaufort Scale 6 (38.6 kph- 49.7 kph (24.1mph - 31.0mph)). Such branch failures are difficult to predict with any great level of detail and as such, I would recommend a defensive position is best adopted.
- 4.4.2 Considering this, I would suggest that changes to the opening/access arrangements or warning signage is considered. Ideally, access to the site is restricted when the wind speeds approach 'Near Gale' or 'Moderate Gale' - Beaufort Force 7, (49.8kph - 61.5kph (31.1mph -38.3mph)) or 30mph based upon normal broadcast weather forecasts. Where this is not possible owners are likely to be required to maintain an elevated level of management to help ensure safety.
- 4.5 **Trees subject to statutory controls.** If the trees are covered by a tree preservation order, located in a Conservation Area, other legal planning constraints or on neighbouring land works may be restricted. The works specified are necessary for reasonable management and should be acceptable to the local authority.
- 4.6 **Implementation of works.** I would always suggest that you get at least three fixed priced quotations before deciding upon a contractor to undertake the works on your behalf.
- 4.6.1 You should ensure that any contractor employed for the above works is suitably qualified and experienced, familiar with current best practice and covered by current, public, products, and employee liability insurance, to an

adequate level. I would advise that any Arboricultural work is carried out by a reputable contractor.

owner should inspect their trees personally on a regular basis, particularly after stormy weather or high winds.

4.6.2 The contractor should carry out all tree works to BS3998 (2010) Tree Work – Recommendations and/or the European Tree Pruning Guide - European Arboricultural Council (English Version) and the Industry Code of Practice for Arboriculture: Tree Work at Height (Edition 1, February 2015). Works should be undertaken in strict accordance with current arboricultural best practice ensuring that any pruning works accord with current target pruning methodology. They should be fully conversant with current Arboricultural best practice and adhere to all relevant legislation including the New Road & Street Works Act 1991 for works in proximity of highways, and The Working at Heights Regulation 2005. In addition to the dangers & legislation associated with working close to Electrical Supplies.

4.6.3 Additionally, they should be aware of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. In addition, the amendments of 1985 and its implications to tree works. Works should be planned to avoid times when birds are nesting and be aware that a bat survey may be needed on significant tree hollows. If bats are discovered during inspection or subsequent work, Natural England must be informed immediately.

4.7 **Legal Duty.** Tree owners have a statutory duty of care under the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974 and the Occupiers Liability Acts of 1957 & 1984 in addition to the Management of Health and Safety Regulations 1999, to ensure that members of the public and staff are not to be put at risk because of any failure by the owner and to take all reasonable precautions to ensure their safety.

4.8 **Future considerations.** Trees are living organisms whose health and condition can change rapidly. The health, condition and safety of trees should be checked on a regular basis. In addition to professional inspection, a tree

APPENDICES

APPENDIX – CONSULTANT BRIEF QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

Mr Ian Barnes – Director

*RCArbor.A, F.Arbor.A, C.Hort, CEnv,
 Arboricultural Association Registered Consultant, Fellow Arboricultural Association, Chartered Horticulturalist, Chartered Environmentalist.
 Professional member Consulting Arborist Society.
 BSc (Hons), Arboriculture and Urban Forestry, HND Arboriculture. NDHT/Arb, Cert Arb L4 (ABC), ISA TRAQ Qualified, QTRA Licensed*

Ian has been in the Horticulture and Arboricultural industry since 1985. He has experience in commercial horticulture, Local Authority, and Highway Authority tree surveying. He has been a commercial Arboricultural climber for 15 years. He ran in partnership a tree and landscape contracting business for over 15 years. He has been a full time Arboricultural consultant since 2007. His main area of works are trees and development (BS5837) and advanced tree assessments using various advanced techniques. He is a qualified tree risk assessor and experienced in trees and subsidence claims. He is a trainer in the UK for Fakopp equipment, Sonic and Electronic tomography, and Dynaroot and Static Tree pulls. He is also director of a hi-tech arborist/ landscape equipment and training company Tree Diagnostics Ltd providing training to arborists in advanced assessments. He undertakes ground-penetrating radar (Tree Radar) scans.

Mrs Sue Barnes – Director

*CMLI, F.Arbor.A, C.Hort, CEnv, MBALI
 Chartered Landscape Architect, Fellow Arboricultural Association, Chartered Horticulturalist, Chartered Environmentalist, Registered Designer BALI.
 FdSc Arboriculture, NDHT/Arb
 Professional Member Consulting Arborist Society, Affiliate member RIBA,*

Sue has been in the Horticulture / Arboricultural industry since 1986. She has experience in amenity parks and gardens and has been a head gardener for Local Health Authority. In partnership she ran a tree contracting and landscape design and build company for 15 years and also has been a tree and landscape consultant full time since 2007. Her main area of works is detailed commercial planting design, specifications (NBS), tree planting specifications and Arboricultural management, Trees on development sites BS5837 reports and plans. Experienced in trees and subsidence and also legal and planning conditions in regard to trees and landscapes. Sue undertakes ground-penetrating radar (Tree Radar) scans along with assisting with other further investigation works on trees such as tomography scans and assists in dynamic and static tree tests.

Mr Matt Metcalfe – Consulting Arborist/Team Leader

*M.Arbor.A
 Professional member of the Arboricultural Association, City and Guilds NPTC assessor/ Instructor, Lantra Apprenticeship End Point Assessor
 FdSc Arboriculture, National Diploma in Arboriculture, Level 5 Certificate in Education.
 VALID tree risk validator*

Matt has worked in the Arboricultural Industry since 2000. Firstly, as a climbing arborist in both the public and private sector. He became a lecturer at a land-based college in York in 2009 where he taught practical and theoretical Arboriculture at level 2/3 and then became the course manager in Arborist apprenticeships and an internal verifier. He became a City and Guilds NPTC Assessor in 2012, in ground-based and aerial Arboriculture and NPTC City and Guilds Instructor/Assessor in land-based industries. Matt is also an apprenticeship endpoint assessor for Lantra. In 2018 he became a fulltime consulting arborist and provides advanced tree assessment training assistance, undertakes BS5837 tree surveys, Arboricultural safety audits and is a trained tree risk assessor/validator. He undertakes ground-penetrating radar (Tree Radar) scans along with other further assessments on trees such as tomography, and dynamic tree testing and static tree pulls.

Mr Scott McMillan – Consulting Arborist

*Professional member of the Arboricultural Association,
 Member of Consulting Arborist Society
 FdSc Arboriculture, HNC Countryside and Conservation Management,
 NC integrated Rural land use*

Prior to arboriculture he was an education ranger teaching Horticultural and Woodland skills. He started his career in the Arboricultural industry in 2007, firstly as a groundsman and progressed to plant health care specialist in 2010. He worked alongside Arboricultural consultants and then became more involved in the application of chemical treatments as part of plant health care. He has worked on numerous Arboricultural contracts on a variety of sites. He has run a contracting business for 6 years which involves client liaison advice and organisation skills. He is developing his advisory skills, knowledge and use of advanced assessments equipment. He undertakes BS5837 surveys. He assists in tomography scans, tree static tree pull tests along with dynamic tests. He is undertaking ground-penetrating radar (Tree Radar) scans training as part of his development.

Mr Trevor Grigg – Consulting Arborist

*Technical member of the Arboricultural Association,
 Cert Arb L4 (ABC)
 NC Horticulture (Arboriculture)
 Lantra Professional Tree Inspector
 QTRA Licensed*

Since 2004, Trevor has been involved in arboriculture firstly as a climbing arborist, then as an Arboricultural Officer for a local authority. He has gained experience of working with a wide range of clients, from residential tree owners to schools, Parish Councils and Highways departments providing a variety of tasks and requests such as risk assessments, management plans and replanting schemes. Trevor joined Barnes Associates in 2021 with a view to widening his experience of trees in relation to developments and further investigations of trees using the specialist equipment available.

Mr John Evans – Consulting Arborist

*Technical member of the Arboricultural Association,
 Forestry and Arboriculture Level 3*

For the past six years, John has been a climbing arborist, firstly working freelance for utility and domestic clients, then joining Darlington Borough Council. Whilst working for the council, he continued his professional development and working below and observing Darlington's Tree Officer. John was very excited to move into a role with Barnes Associates to continue his development, learning how to use the advanced tree surveying equipment and developing into BS5837 report writing.

APPENDIX – TREE SCHEDULE & EXPLANATORY NOTES

The following survey has been prepared from a visual assessment taken from ground level without any detailed investigation. Observations are based upon the body language of the trees and any visual indicators present at the time of inspection. This survey should be regarded as a preliminary overview; ongoing inspections will be required as specified individually. In most situations the health, condition and safety of trees should be checked on a cyclic basis, alternating between early and late seasons to ensure a full picture of tree health is established. Inspections should only be carried out by a suitably qualified arborist.

Similarly, numerous potential defects may not be detectable dependent upon timing of inspection; in particular, wood decay fungi may only produce external fructifications annually (rather than perennially), or may not provide external symptoms until an advanced state is achieved.

Reasonable risk management generally aims to provide a tree that can be regarded stable in normal / foreseeable, regularly experienced storm events i.e. force 10 storms. The level of risk offered by the tree will be significantly greater as the wind speed that the tree is exposed to increases beyond this level. Additionally, the threat from aerial parts i.e., included unions may remain even following works, although failures of such parts are likely to be limited to small diameter branches and to periods of extreme weather.

As an arborist, I am a tree specialist and use my knowledge, education, training and experience to examine trees, recommend measures to enhance their beauty and health, and attempt to reduce the risk of living near trees. As a client, you may choose to accept or disregard these recommendations, or seek additional advice.

As an arborist I cannot detect every condition that could possibly lead to a tree or limb failure. Trees are living organisms that may fail in many ways, some of which we do not fully understand.

Conditions are often hidden within the tree and below the ground. As arborists, we cannot guarantee that a tree will be healthy or safe under all circumstances, or for a specified period of time. Sometimes trees may appear "healthy," but may be structurally unsound. Likewise, remedial treatment, like any medicine, cannot be guaranteed.

Treatment, pruning and removal of trees may involve considerations beyond the Arboricultural perspective, such as property boundaries and ownership, disputes between neighbours, planning issues, sight lines, landlord-tenant matters etc. Arborists cannot take such issues into account unless complete and accurate information is given to them. Likewise, as an arborist I cannot accept any responsibility for the authorisation or non-authorisation of any recommended treatment or remedial measure.

Furthermore, certain trees are borderline cases as to whether they should remain or be removed. If conditions change a tree may need further monitoring in the future to determine its health and structure. Trees can be managed, but they cannot be controlled, and to live near a tree is to accept some degree of risk.

Mathematical abbreviations: > Greater than, < Less than.

Measurements / estimates: Measurements are taken with a tape, clinometer or laser. Dimensions that are estimated are indicated with a '#'. Less reliable estimated dimensions are indicated with a '?'.

Tree number: Numbered Tag attached to each stem usually on the inside face of the stem at roughly 2.5 metres. Where the number is prefixed by a T, G, H, A, ST, S or W this denotes that the tag refers to a Tree, Group, Hedge, Area, Stump, Shrub or Woodland.

Name: Tree species are detailed by their common name- Latin can be provided upon request.

Age: I record the age as an estimate of the tree likely span for guidance only i.e.:

Y Young	Recently established/planted tree.	M Mature	The middle one third of its likely expected life span
SM Semi Mature	Fully established and growing with high vigour	OM Over Mature	The later one third of its likely expected life span with sign of canopy retrenchment.
EM Early Mature	The first third of its likely expected life span	V Veteran	An aged example of the species, typically with defects & conservation value
		A Ancient	Beyond its expected Life span possible of historical interest or in a state of decline

Height: I estimate height to the nearest metre to the mean height.

Height to underside: I estimate height to the nearest half metre to the mean underside of the canopy.

Diameter: These figures relate to a measurement of the stem at 1.5m above ground level recorded in millimetres, measured with a rounded down diameter tape.

Canopy (N S E W): I estimate the distance of the canopy radius to the nearest metre to provide a mean distance of separation between the stem and the outer canopy.

Vitality: Is a personal assessment of the tree's growth rate in the current season, in comparison to other trees within the locality, region and an indicator of the tree likely response to site change.

Good	A tree of normal vitality	Poor	A tree of low vitality
Fair	A tree of lower vitality	Dead	A dead or very low vitality tree

Safe Life: Is a personal assessment of the trees likely expected remaining safe life span in years, assuming the current site management continues, or the tree is protected from significant environmental change. Trees can enter into serious decline with site changes and likewise, the expected safe life can be significantly improved following changes / improvements to site management and following remedial works.

Category: I included a method adopted from BS5837 to enable rapid assessment of a tree's quality, detailed below.

Category and definition		Colour Code
Category A - High Quality Trees Trees with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years.	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups. Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features and/or significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. Locally notable trees, Veteran, Ancient trees or wood-pasture).	Green on Plan
Category B - Moderate Quality Trees Trees with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.	Trees downgraded because of impaired condition, or having remediable defects, such as unsympathetic past management or damage, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals or trees with material conservation or other cultural value.	Blue on Plan
Category C - Low Quality Trees Trees with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees stem < 150 mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories. Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value	Grey on Plan
Category U – Unsuitable for retention Trees that cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	Tree which are poorly located close to existing built structures and offer a foreseeable risk of damage or nuisance. Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse and are not expected to respond to pruning. Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline or infected with pathogens of significance to the health <i>Please Note Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value, which it might be desirable to preserve though canopy reduction or removal.</i>	Red on Plan

Comments / Observations: General comments referring to tree health, structure and condition.

Management Options: Comments detailing remedial works required improving immediate safety or improve the management of the tree.

Priority: Guidance for the time scale in which works should be completed, from the date of the report.

Tree Risk Assessment: The International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) Tree Risk Assessment Qualification (TRAQ) takes a qualitative rather than quantitative approach to risk assessment. It uses matrices to compare the likelihood of failure of a tree or tree part, the likelihood that it will impact the target and the potential consequences of failure. **Unless stated otherwise the risk assessment assumes the risk is offered over the next year.**

Matrix 1. Likelihood of failure

Likelihood of failure	Likelihood of Impacting Target			
	Very low	Low	Medium	High
Imminent	Unlikely	Somewhat likely	likely	Very likely
Probable	Unlikely	Unlikely	Somewhat likely	Likely
Possible	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Somewhat likely
Improbable	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely




Matrix 2. Risk Rating matrix

Likelihood of failure & impact	Consequences of Failure			
	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe
Very likely	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme
Likely	Low	Moderate	High	High
Somewhat likely	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Unlikely	Low	Low	Low	Low

Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment - This should not be referred to as a specification of Arboricultural Work

Pos	Tag No.	Species	Age	Height	Height to canopy	North	South	East	West	Vitality	Safe Life	Category	Stem Dia (mm)	No. Stems	Observations	Management Options	Risk	Priority
Est Pos	T1972	Fagus sylvatica (Beech)	M	22	6	9	8	10	8	Fair	20+	B	1080	1	Growing as part of a woodland. Growing next to a drystone wall and road. Wounding from branch failures visible. The canopy has been reduced. Fruiting bodies on pruning cuts in mid canopy – no significance at this stage. Reduction has reduced risk to road.	No works required. Will require removal in the medium to long term as the wounded stem is exposed to decay fungi/disease.	Low	Advisory
Est Pos	T1973	Fagus sylvatica (Beech)	M	20	1	8	8	9	9	Fair	20+	B	970	1	Single stem. Tightly included bi-fork below canopy. Stem has a moderate structural issue. Large hollow visible in main stem. Dysfunctional cambium column from ground level to first two primary branches over road.	Canopy remodelling to improve safety. Crown reduce 2 lower main primary branches over road to reduce stress on union.	Low	Within 1 year
Est Pos	T1974	Betula pubescens (Downy Birch)	M	18	4	5.5	5	6	4	Fair	<10	U	400	1	Located on boundary. Located next to road. Damage Visible. Decay within the main roots can be seen.	Tree felling in line with section 12.2, BS3998:2010.	Low	Within 1 year
Est Pos	G1975	Betula pendula (Silver Birch), Sorbus aucuparia (Rowan)	M	14	12	2	2	2	2	Fair	<5	U	350	1	Branch failures visible. Canopy swamped by ivy. Tree is in decline. Close to shed.	Tree felling in line with section 12.2, BS3998:2010.	Low	Within 1 year

APPENDIX – SITE PLANS



NOTE: This plan should be viewed in **COLOUR**. Please do not scale from this drawing. Copyright of Barnes & Associates. All right described in Chapter IV of the Copyright; Design & Patents Act 1988 have been generally asserted © 20/11/2021. Copyright of this plan remains with Barnes Associates until all fees are paid in full. Base image courtesy of © Google and third-party suppliers.

Assumed Site Boundary



Principle Access



Constant Occupancy



Frequent Occupancy



Occasional Occupancy



Rare Occupancy
(Unshaded)



Title: Occupancy Rates Plan
Drawing No: BA11118/OP
Date: 20/11/21
Drawn By: MM
Scale: Not to Scale.



NOTE: This plan should be viewed in **COLOUR**. Please do not scale from this drawing. Copyright of Barnes & Associates. All right described in Chapter IV of the Copyright; Design & Patents Act 1988 have been generally asserted © 20/11/2021. Copyright of this plan remains with Barnes Associates until all fees are paid in full. Base image courtesy of © Google and third-party suppliers.

Assumed Site Boundary 

High Quality Tree 
Moderate Quality Tree 
Low Quality Tree 
Unsuitable Tree 

Low Risk Tree 
Moderate Risk Tree 
High Risk Tree 
Extreme Risk Tree 

Title: Tree Location Plan
Drawing No: BA11118/TP
Date: 20/11/21
Drawn By: TG
Scale: Not to Scale.

APPENDIX – FURTHER ASSESSMENTS

Detailed below are the further assessments that have been identified during the initial site appraisal, these include both more detailed assessments to confirm the actual level of risk of trees highlighted in the report as well as the regular and seasonal assessment associated with normal site management.

Further Assessments:

	None Required	
--	---------------	--

Non-Urgent Further Assessments:

Whole site	Reassess periodically and particularly after high winds.	Advisory
------------	--	----------

Ongoing Assessment

Risk Assessment	Assess the areas populated by Trees and the risk offered by them to structures and site users.	Undertake on an 18 month cycle alternating assessments between periods when the trees are in and out of leaf.
-----------------	--	---

DETAILS OF FURTHER ASSESSMENT METHODS.

Please find below a brief outline of methods that can be employed to provide additional information in relation to possible internal decay and help make decisions on tree safety.

INCREMENT BORE - After screwing the tube into the tree, an extractor is used to remove the wood core. The thickness of sound wood can be measured accurately. Increment borers provide good information but create a significant hole (up to 1cm or so) that can breach a tree's internal defence mechanism, we typically only use this where there is a significant safety concern or as a last resort.




RESISTOGRAPH - This measures the drilling resistance of a needle drill. Data can be displayed as a paper trace (shown opposite) or as a digital output for a more detailed assessment of the internal condition of the tree. Again this method can breach a tree's internal defence mechanism and as a result we only use this method where there is strong suspicion of decay or to confirm other test results.



THERMAL IMAGING CAMERA (TI) -

Produce images upon the amount of infrared energy emitted, transmitted, and reflected by an object. A thermal imaging camera will show subtle temperature changes when the tissues of the wood or bark are altered or destroyed by physical actions or pathogens in addition to identifying areas of restricted vascular activity or destroyed tissues below the surface.



CHLOROPHYLL FLUORESCENCE

By measuring the capacity of a plant to carry out photochemistry this can provide a measure of health and identify impacts from a range of issues including stresses caused by environmental conditions.

It is used as a means of detecting physiological damage caused by biotic or abiotic stress factors.




STRESS WAVE TIMER - Stress wave techniques are the equivalent of a single shot Tomograph. The time taken for a sound wave to travel across a known distance give an insight into the deterioration in wood structure. Deterioration in tree stems increases the time taken for the signal as the sound wave needs to travel around faults or holes between the two sensors. The reference velocity depends on tree species.





STABILITY MODELLING – Key dimensional information is used to compute various factors in relation to tree stability, enabling determination and comparative evaluation of:

- Tree wind load and centre of gravity.
- Safety improvement following crown reduction.
- Stability reduction by decay.
- Tipping-stability reduction by root decay and/or trenching.
- Enable safety-balancing between the retained stem cross-section and wind-load experienced by the tree.



This allows the determination of strength loss due to structural defects in the cross sections of stems and branches and anchorage plate losses in relation to canopy size and expected wind-loads. In addition, the method enables evaluation of load reduction by crown reduction pruning to further achieve higher safety in damaged trees.




SONIC TOMOGRAPHY (SOT) - A non-invasive tool for assessing decay in trees – shown to the right. It works on the principle that sound waves passing through decay move more slowly than sound waves traversing solid wood. Sonic tomography sends sound waves from a number of points around a tree trunk to the same number of receiving points, the relative speed of the sound can be calculated, and a two-dimensional image of the cross-section of the tree, 'a tomogram', can be generated. Using the differences in the transit times between each pair of sensors, the analysis software constructs a two-dimensional picture (acoustic tomogram), which show zones of differing sound transmission properties within the stem. These results can be combined with other scans in a 3D representation to provide a better understanding of the internal condition of the stem.



ELECTRICAL IMPEADANCE TOMOGRAPHY (EIT) – This method gathers chemical information about the wood such as water and/or ion concentration and physical properties that provides information about the internal condition of the stem. Low resistivity can identify increased moisture content, whereas hollowed structures cause increases in resistance. After collecting all the measurements, the information is displayed in the form of a coloured distribution plan for analysis as shown opposite. Again, these results can be combined with other scans in a 3D representation to provide a better understanding of the internal condition of the stem.




Dynamic Tree Stability - Sensors attached to the base of the tree enable us to test the root anchorage & stem stability. When wind blows trees start to sway and this load is transmitted into the ground via the stem and root plate - transferred to the root plate. We use sensors to record sway motion of trees in natural winds. The motion of the tree shows the real response of a tree to the natural conditions and enable identification of excessive movement and helps identify weak trees.




STATIC PULLING TEST - The tree-pulling test provides information about the breaking stability of the trunk and the stability of the roots. It is used to assess a tree's stability with regard to stem fracture and uprooting precisely and non-invasively.

In a pulling test, a load (substituting for the wind) is exerted on a tree using a winch and a steel cable. The reaction of the stressed tree under this defined load is measured with high resolution devices (elastometer and inclinometer), and the data obtained are compared with those of sound trees. The major components to be considered in such calculations are the wind-load (the surface of the load-bearing structure, tree height, etc.) and the material properties of green wood.



Root Mapping with Tree Root RADAR

Understanding where tree roots are is of key importance, Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) measurement provide a high level of detail mapping tree roots down to 1cm and has several advantages.




It is capable of scanning root systems of large trees under field conditions in a short time and is non-invasive, does not disturb the soil or damage the tree.

Tests can be repeated and may reveal long-term root system development and can be undertaken beneath hard surfaces (concrete, tarmac, brick) in roads and within buildings,


Create 2D Virtual Trench

Showing the location of roots

Or Showing Root Density



2D Density plans or 3D Morphology Plans



APPENDIX – TREES & RISK

Tree owners should take a balanced and proportionate approach to tree management

It is recognised that trees are managed for a variety of reasons and therefore that the expectation of a "suitable and sufficient risk assessment" referred to by the HSE varies with context. In general, the risk from trees has certainly reached the situation where residual risks (those that remain after management for safety) are sufficiently low that investment in additional measures is likely to be disproportionate to any safety benefit. As the HSE itself notes in *Reducing risks, protecting people*:

"Any informed discussion quickly raises ethical, social, economic and scientific considerations, for example: ... how to achieve the necessary trade-offs between benefits to society and ensuring that individuals are adequately protected; the need to avoid the imposition of unnecessary restrictions on the freedom of the individual."

Extremely low risk of harm

HSE guidance for its inspectors and local authority enforcement officers on the standard of tree risk management and the DARM research commissioned by the NTSG on behalf of landowners confirm that the overall real risk of serious harm from trees in the UK is "extremely low". Indeed, the levels of risk are so low that they are "comparable to those that people regard as insignificant or trivial in their daily lives", near the bottom of the spectrum of what the HSE considers as an acceptable risk:

"Risks falling into this region are generally regarded as insignificant and adequately controlled. We, as regulators, would not usually require further action to reduce risks unless reasonably practicable measures are available. The levels of risk characterising this region are comparable to those that people regard as insignificant or trivial in their daily lives. They are typical of the risk from activities that are inherently not very hazardous or from hazardous activities that can be, and are, readily controlled to produce very low risks."

Reasonable risk management generally aims to provide trees that can be regarded stable in a normal / foreseeable, regularly experienced storm event in relation to the situation / context of the tree. In this region, it is reasonable to assume a 'Storm' of force 10 using the Beaufort Scale (55 - 63 miles per hour) of wind speeds on land will occur annually. It should be realised that all trees do pose a risk; recent work in Germany has shown even sound trees that would typically be regarded as safe can fail during high winds through various factors relating to wood physiology, dynamics and the relationship between the root system and the supporting soils. It should be remembered that for any given tree regardless of its stability, there will always be a wind load that has the potential to break or uproot a tree regardless of its condition.

Typically, trees have evolved to fail in part, i.e. twigs and branches are sacrificed / fail from a parent tree rather than the tree being lost entirely. Observations at various sites in this country have found that twigs and branches can break from trees at wind speeds of as little as 31 miles per hour, the upper limit of a 'strong breeze' as detailed in Beaufort Scale 6 (25 - 31 miles per hour). This has led to a recommendation for certain sites with grounds open to the public to be closed when the wind speeds approaching 'Near Gale' or Force 7, as detailed by the Beaufort Scale (32-38 miles per hour). Such failures are difficult to predict with any great level of detail and a general position is best adopted. Typically, the level of risk offered by trees will be significantly greater as the force of the wind increases, the threat from aerial parts i.e., deadwood, tight unions and elongated branches may remain even following remedial works. Branch failures are likely to be limited to small diameter branches and to periods of extreme weather, though as often seen in any natural model, exceptions to the rule can be expected. Therefore, although in managing trees we are aiming to limit or reduce the risk to nearby features, unfortunately it is not possible to remove the risk offered by a tree entirely.

As an arborist, I am a tree specialist and use my knowledge, education, training and experience to examine trees, to recommend measures to enhance their beauty and health, and attempt to reduce the risk of living near trees. As a client, you may choose to accept or disregard these recommendations, or seek additional advice. As an arborist, I cannot detect every condition that could possibly lead to a tree or limb failure. Trees are living organisms that may fail in many ways, some of which we do not fully understand.

Conditions are often hidden within the tree and below the ground. As arborists, we cannot guarantee that a tree will be healthy or safe under all circumstances, or for a specified period, of time. Sometimes trees may appear "healthy," but may be structurally unsound. Likewise, remedial treatment, like any medicine, cannot be guaranteed.

Treatment, pruning and removal of trees may involve considerations beyond the arboricultural perspective, such as property boundaries and ownership, disputes between neighbours, planning issues, sight lines, landlord-tenant matters etc. Arborists cannot take such issues into account unless complete and accurate information is given to them. Likewise, as an arborist, I cannot accept any responsibility for the authorisation or non-authorisation of any recommended treatment or remedial measure. Furthermore, certain trees are borderline cases as to whether they should remain or be removed. Also, conditions change, and a tree may need further monitoring in the future to determine its health and structure.

**Even healthy trees unaffected by defects can fail in extreme weather conditions.
Trees can be managed, but they cannot be controlled, and to live near a tree is to accept some degree of risk.**

APPENDIX – LEGAL ASPECTS OF TREE MANAGEMENT

The laws relating to trees are included within both common and statute law and need to be considered when managing trees, particularly those trees on neighbouring land. **Statute Law** is written down in Acts of Parliament and encompasses areas of administrative, constitutional and criminal law. **Common Law** is about dealings between individuals and is formulated by precedent or past cases. Many aspects of Common Law fall under the branch of law known as TORT. These are not crimes but civil wrongs and are committed by one private person against another.

Trees may cause friction between neighbours which may end up as legal disputes. In an ever more litigious society, it is as well to have some idea of your legal rights and obligations. Problems caused by growing trees cover ownership, dangerous trees, protruding branches and encroaching roots.

The Principle Laws relating to trees are set out below:-

Statute Law

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

This Act contains legislation which gives powers to the Local Authority (LA) to protect single or multiple trees by way of a Tree Preservation Order (TPO). The order prevents anyone from pruning or felling the tree without permission from the LA; anyone considering felling or pruning without such permission should consider the maximum penalty of £20,000 which the courts could evoke. Without this legislation many of our mature trees would have been lost. Additionally, this act contains legislation to protect the immediate pruning and felling of trees contained within Conservation Areas.

Forestry Act 1967

This Act contains legislation which gives the Forestry Commission powers to control the quantity of trees being felled at any one time.

Anti-social Behaviour Act 2003

Part 8 of the Anti-social Behaviour Act 2003 creates new procedures to enable local authorities in England and Wales to deal with complaints about **high hedges**.

Highways Act 1980

This Act covers laws associated with roads. Section 41 states that the Highway Authority (H.A) have a duty to maintain the highway.

Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976

This Act contains legislation in sections 23 and 24 that enables the Local Authority (LA) to deal with dangerous trees on private property. An example of such a situation could be 'that a tree is dangerous to third parties and the owner refuses to make it safe; the LA can serve notice on the owner to make the tree safe, if the owner still refuses then the LA can enter on to the owners property to make the tree safe and recover the expenses incurred from the owner'.

The Occupiers Liability Act 1957/1984

This Act lays down a duty for occupiers to take reasonable steps to ensure that premises (including woodland) are reasonably safe for visitors permitted to be there. This affects managers of woodland and forest who need to make regular safety inspections of trees adjacent to car parks, footpaths, picnic areas, public areas etc.

Access to Neighbouring Lands Act 1992

Should someone need to enter onto someone else's land to carry out works to their own land but cannot do so because the owner refuses consent, they can use the legislation contained within this act to apply to a court for an access order. The courts will only grant such an order if it is satisfied that the works are necessary and that they cannot be carried out, or would be

substantially more difficult to carry out, from the owners own land. Such works include, amongst other things, the felling, removal or replacement of any hedge, tree, shrub etc which is, or is in danger of becoming, a hazard and danger.

Hedgerow Regulations 1997 (applying to native field hedgerows)

In response to the wide spread loss of our hedgerows within the countryside the government has developed these regulations in an attempt to reduce and regulate further losses. The regulations were made law in 1997 and fall under Statutory Instrument (SI) No 1160; they describe the criteria and exemptions applicable to protected hedges. The regulations are published in their entirety on the HMSO web site; however, for those of you who would prefer an easier read, the Department of Environment produce a leaflet and book explaining the regulations in a brief and easy to understand way. The leaflet is called 'The Hedgerow Regulations'.

Common Law

In addition to Statue Law the development of Case law has provided basic principles of tree management of trees which overhang boundaries or develop beyond boundaries.

If branches from a neighbour's tree overhangs your property you may cut these branches back to the property boundary [See note 1 below]. Although you do not need to obtain permission from the tree owner to carry out these works it would be neighbourly to notify them of your intentions. However, you **must** first obtain permission from the owner if you need to enter onto their property to carry out such operations. The removed branches (and any fruit) remain the property of the tree owner and should be offered back to them!

Just as branches can be pruned back to the property boundary, so can a neighbouring tree's roots. However, it is recommended that professional advice be obtained prior to such operations. [See note 1 below]. It may be possible that you can claim compensation in respect of damage caused by roots from neighbouring trees, if you have problems with such damage it is advised that you contact a solicitor for more information.

Note 1: You should take care not to promote disease or decay by poor pruning technique or unbalance a tree by severing anchor roots or by removing large limbs from one side of the tree only; should the tree subsequently fail due to your actions, you may be liable for any damages caused by your actions (seek professional advice if you are unsure). If the tree is located within a conservation area or is subject to a TPO then permission must be obtained from the Local Authority prior to any branch or root pruning operations.

Common Problems

These various laws provide guidance on tree management and helps form the basis of reasonable management principles. I have included some general information in relation to common situations, if you require any additional information please feel free to contact me.

LOSS OF LIGHT

There is no absolute right to light or to a view, therefore you would normally need to negotiate with your neighbour about managing their trees. In extreme cases it is possible to apply to a magistrate's court for an injunction to control or restrict the growth of trees however this requires specialist legal advice and only applies in limited circumstances – there is also no specific law on how tall trees are allowed to grow and cases for loss of light normally only apply to windows in buildings not gardens (see also 'high hedges' below).

THE RIGHT TO LIGHT

The "right to light" is often quoted in relation to trees cutting out light to adjacent property. Whilst there is an established right in the case of new buildings obstructing light there is no clear precedent that trees cutting out light can infringe a person's "right to light".

DANGEROUS TREES

Every tree owner has a general duty of care to ensure their trees do not pose an unacceptable risk to other people on or adjacent to their land – you will however only be liable for injury or damage caused by trees if you are found to be negligent in some way e.g., by not taking reasonable care to inspect them or undertake essential work such as removing deadwood that could easily be seen to be hazardous etc. Any work must be limited to that required to remove the immediate danger. ***The burden of proof is on the tree owner and the person doing the work to prove that it was necessary for urgent safety reasons.*** This can be very difficult after the event and professional arboricultural advice should be taken before carrying out any urgent works. It would also help if photographs were taken of the tree and the defects which create the need for the emergency work.

FALLING LEAVES, FRUIT/FLOWERS, DEBRIS

Although they can cause a lot of inconvenience, falling leaves & debris are not regarded as a 'nuisance' in the legal sense and a tree owner has no obligation to clear them – it is normally up to each landowner whether they own a tree or not to undertake their own 'property maintenance' if for example, they need to clear paths or gutters. Where leaves are making the footpaths and road slippery or unsafe Street Cleansing should be notified to ensure the paths are swept and are safe and clean.

HIGH HEDGES / CONIFERS (Leyland Cypress)

This relates to evergreen or semi evergreen hedges only, not ordinary deciduous trees. It is sometimes possible to apply for enforcement action from the Council to restrict the growth of a neighbours' hedge if it exceeds a certain height in relation to its distance from a property. There are specific criteria for doing this and also a cost.

TREE ROOTS & DAMAGE TO PROPERTY

If a tree is found to be causing damage to a neighbouring property it is classed as a legal nuisance and the tree owner would normally be liable for the associated costs of any loss or damage. In the event of any form of damage it is advised that you notify your neighbour and also contact your property insurers for further advice – they can often investigate and deal with the issue on your behalf.

TREE POSITION - GENERAL NUISANCE AND INTERFERENCE

Although trees may be considered an inconvenience to those living adjacent to them, by law they must be shown to be a substantial interference to a neighbour's comfort and convenience to be considered a 'nuisance'. As a general policy the Council will not undertake the topping, thinning or felling of trees simply to prevent the accumulation of leaves, seeds or minor debris on adjacent property, where the trees in question would not otherwise require any surgery.

UTILITY SERVICES

Instances of underground pipes being broken by the growth of tree roots are very rare, but penetration and blockage of damaged pipes is not uncommon. As a general policy the Council will not undertake the topping, thinning or felling of trees to prevent roots entering damaged pipes. Repair of the defect in the pipe is the only certain remedy that will prevent future problems. Modern materials and joints will significantly reduce pipe damage and subsequent root encroachment in the future.

TV & SATELLITE RECEPTION

TV interference can be caused by trees however there is no legal right to TV reception. We recommend you consult a television engineer to assess your aerial/signal.

TREES AND IVY

Ivy is a climbing, scrambling plant abundant as a groundcover shrub in the under storey of many rural woodlands. It has a variety of conservation benefits and forms an integral part of a woodland's habitat. In the urban environment there is the need to balance three main considerations for its retention: tree safety, conservation and aesthetics. Ivy generally causes no direct damage to trees. However, in some situations it may be considered unsightly and more importantly can create problems for efficient management by obscuring structural defects and fungal

fruiting bodies. It also increases the weight of a tree's crown and the 'sail' effect during the wet windier winter months, when deciduous trees have shed their leaves. As a general policy the Council undertake the removal of ivy from trees only where it is considered necessary to aid visual tree health assessment.

INSPECTIONS

Landowners are responsible for all trees within the boundary of their property. They have a duty to maintain the trees in a safe condition. To discharge this duty an owner must ensure the trees are inspected regularly for any signs that they are unsafe. The importance of regular, detailed inspections is to minimise the likelihood of damage or injury occurring if the tree or parts of it were to fall. If the risk is high, e.g., a large old tree next to a road, the importance is much greater. An owner without specialist knowledge would be expected to employ a suitably experienced and qualified arboriculturist to inspect them every 1 to 3 years depending on the degree of risk.

TREES OBSTRUCTING THE HIGHWAY

The Highways Act 1980 requires that trees and other vegetation do not obstruct the passage of users. The Highway Authority requires a minimum clearance over any part of a footpath of 2.4 metres and over any part of a road of 5.2 metres. The Highway Authority have the powers to enforce these clearances. If the tree is protected by a Tree Preservation Order (TPO) or growing in a Conservation Area you should consult the Borough Council's Arboricultural Officer before carrying out any work.

PLANTING OF TREES

Generally, trees can be planted anywhere on a property and there is no requirement for trees or hedges to be set back a certain distance from the boundary. However, restrictions may exist through other controls such as covenants or planning controls.

HEIGHT OF TREES

There is no maximum height beyond which tree owners must not allow their trees or hedges to grow. They can be as tall as the owner wishes, provided they do not cause damage to adjoining property unless restrictions are imposed through other controls such as covenants or planning conditions.

TREE SURVEYS

Health & Safety Surveys
Risk Assessments
Homebuyer (Mortgage and Insurance)
Veteran & Venerable Trees
Legal & Law (TPO & Valuations)

ADVANCED ASSESSMENTS

Decay & Defect Scans
Tree Stability Checks
Tree & Plant Health Care
Root Detection & Mapping
Aerial Inspections

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

BS5837 Tree Surveys
Impact Assessments
Method Statements
Planning Conditions
CAD Plans (2D & 3D)

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

Commercial Landscape Design
LVIA (Landscape Visual Impact Assessments)
Landscape Management
Garden Design
Green Infrastructure