
From: Claire Richardson
Sent: 11 April 2022 12:01
To: Teresa Harlow
Cc: Phil Champion
Subject: RE: 2021/93051 - Fieldhouse Lane bridge, Huds HD1 1AG Highways comments re surfacing

Hi Teresa

Apologies for the delay in getting back to you on this.

Copied from the Planning Report (for ease of reference):

2. No works including any works of demolition shall commence until specifications of all construction and surfacing materials and a methodology for construction has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter the development shall be constructed in accordance with the approved details and be retained thereafter.

There are three separate surfacing types at this location - the bridge deck, the “dog-leg” ramp and the stairs. (Phil might also have a view on the straight approach ramp, which I think is proposed to be compacted granular material, to (broadly) match the existing.)

- i. NR’s letter TP/LNE/2022-045 dated 08-03-2022, appears to cite the condition incorrectly.
- ii. We have NOT yet signed the AIP for the proposed footbridge structure - does this constitute the written approval cited in the condition? Or do you (Planning) issue that written approval on the back of our recent conversations and this email? Our sign-off of the AIP (on behalf of the Highway Authority) is anticipated imminently.
- iii. “Construction Info” document (page 2, Elevation A-A) cites “Combined non-slip waterproofing and surfacing to bridge deck plates...” This is in line with our requirements for the bridge deck surfacing material.
- iv. “Construction Info” document also cites “note 15” in the same annotation, which we cannot find. Is this pertinent to the approval?
- v. The surfacing material of the steps is cited as 6mm thick GRP tread with nosing. It is assumed that this will be a non-slip finish - I can’t find this confirmation in the documentation - NR should confirm this requirement.
- vi. “Construction Info” document (page 3, Section E-E) cites unreinforced concrete with a brushed finish to the “dog-leg” ramp, which contradicts drawing no 151667-TSA-31-MVL3-DRG-T-LP-162115, which shows a

granular construction ("Section C-C" and "Typical section through ramp"). We have already agreed the concrete finish with NR in discussions about the bridge and PRow.

vii. Has Phil Champion (copied in) had the opportunity to comment?

I trust that helps - please do get back in touch if you want to discuss anything further.

Regards
Claire

Claire Richardson
Principal Engineer
Structures Section
Highways and Operations
Kirklees Council

Environment Services | Flint Street | Fartown | Huddersfield | HD1 6LG

☎ **External:** 01484 221000

Mobile: None

✉ **Email:**

