

## About the application

Application number: 2021/62/91914/E	
What is the application for?:	Demolition of one dwelling, erection of 45 dwellings with access and associated
Address of the site or building:	rear of, 28, Northorpe Lane, Northorpe, Mirfield, WF14 0QN
Postcode:	

## User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	Yes
<p>I wish to object to the planning proposal for a number of reasons, the main one however being the impact to traffic and congestion on Northorpe Lane and surrounding roads. Northorpe Lane especially, but also the lower sections of Crossley Lane are not suitable for the increased traffic that will be generated by these houses. I use both lanes daily whilst out riding and walking my dog and I feel that it will no longer be safe to do so with increased traffic volumes. The junctions at the end of both lanes are already frequently hazardous, and during peak times standing traffic can build up significantly whilst waiting to pull out into Shillbank Lane. Just this morning, I sat behind 4 cars on Northorpe Lane waiting several minutes for breaks in the Shillbank Lane traffic in order to pull out. 48 new dwellings will generate up to 100 additional cars pulling out onto both Northorpe Lane and subsequently Shillbank Lane or out of Mirfield via Crossley Lane. Neither Northorpe nor Crossley Lanes are adequate for that much additional traffic, particularly at peak times. Both are narrow with parked cars being common and any additional stress to either lane will frequently bring traffic to a standstill. Pavements are not available along much of the length of either lane and it will become increasingly unsafe to walk on the roads.</p> <p>I also object to Kirklees' statements that The Green that borders Northorpe Hall is part of the highway and therefore available for the developer to turn into a lay-by. Kirklees have been provided evidence that this land is NOT park of the highway but has failed to respond to that evidence. Kirklees has an obligation to respond to this evidence in full, providing its own evidence if there is any to support the claim.</p>	