

18th June 2021

Dear Ms Longbottom,

I hope this email finds in you the best of health.

I write to you today with regards to the planning application for:

Ref: 2021/90940

12 Thornhill Park Avenue, Dewsbury, WF12 0DA

I would like to use my right to object to the planning permission for 12 Thornhill Park Avenue (Ref 2021/90940).

I would like to firstly point out that I was not aware of the planning application made by or on behalf of 12 Thornhill Park Avenue, Dewsbury, WF12 0DA. As far to my knowledge, the residents or households to the rear of Thornhill Park Avenue (Park House Drive) have not had any documents, notices or correspondents with regards to this application.

To justify my objection, I would like to provide with some reasoning and observations I have made in a short period of time. One of the main reasons for my objection is the access drawn in the plans for 4 vehicles in the drive at the rear of the property.

The concerns here are:

- If access is given here, then this could set a precedent for other properties in the street to do the same. Thornhill Park Avenue have their own drives at the front of their properties as well as street parking. Many properties on that street also have garages which means they could potentially park several cars at once.
- The access they are planning for is at a blind spot bend which is very dangerous as vehicles currently drive past and maneuver to make a turn. The road is tight as it is, more vehicles means more traffic and more noise and chances of accidents.
- Household at Park House Drive have at least 2 vehicles per home already.
- Having a look at drive access from the rear of the property, the planning is at a flaw as it is definitely not wide enough for 4 vehicles. Furthermore, hypothetically even if it was, the actual space to turn the car in, and drive the car out onto the highway without impeding on pedestrian area is very tight indeed and this would raise safety concerns specially when there is a children's play area immediately opposite the proposed access. Park House Drive has families with children and babies who often use the play area as there is no other alternatives in the immediate vicinity.

- Allowing this access will only add to the congestion that is already there and this would also mean extra emission and noise pollution that can easily be avoided. I am not only concerned about the congestion that will arise from the vehicles owned by the resident at 12 Thornhill Park Avenue but also any visitors or other parties this could potentially involve. This is a chaos waiting to occur.

I would like to make a point about the disruption already caused by the proprietor at the rear side of their property by deciding to chop the down large trees from inside and outside of the property boundary.

- This was a natural habitat for birds, squirrels and other living creatures that made this their home. Surely this should have been considered prior to touching them.
- The trees and plants acted as a privacy barrier between the rear of that street and our cul-de-sac. If the resident did this from inside his land , then this would be fair in some way (although my opinion would be to build an alternative). However trees were brought down from outside the fence too and this is almost of a threatening behavior to act like they can do whatever they like? Again this was done without any courtesy nor consultation. This is felt almost like an invasion of privacy. I feel that this action has taken the Park House Residents by surprise and has raised eye brows.
- I feel that if the trees were still rooted, council would not allow the access from the rear. You are able to see the photos before and after the removal of the trees.

I also have a concern about the planning about actual dwelling too. The plans show a three storey building with numerous windows. I think this would be invading privacy even more by over looking and over shadowing the properties around it. I think it would stop some sort of natural light allowing to pass onto the play area behind it and the houses at the rear.

I also think allowing such a build o go ahead would go against the aesthetic and look that the area has. Around the property there are other bungalows and two-storey buildings. I feel this would stick out and not look as part of the area.

There are other reasons and justifications to my thoughts, however I feel that my main reasons have been listed.

I would like to thank you for having a read and I hope that this letter is seriously and genuinely taken into consideration when making the planning decision.

Thank you

Yours faithfully



Figure 1WITH TREES

