

From:
To: [Nick Hirst](#)
Cc: [DCAdmin](#)
Subject: Planning Application 2020/62/93954/W
Date: 27 November 2022 09:07:56

Good morning Nick,

As you know, this planning application has gone through a range of submissions.

Errors and omissions contributing significantly to each submission being changed for the next.

I note, the applicant has now made a further submission.

As part of it, a rebuttal has this time been included.

Presumably, the rebuttal is the applicant's attempt to put objectors right, on a number of points.

Instead, what it does is raise many, many questions about the application, and the advice the applicant (claims he) is receiving from KMC planners.

It also makes false and/or misleading claims, which I believe would inevitably taint ones view of reality, in the minds of planners or councillors.

Plus, once again, the plans (within the latest submission) differ significantly, with newly introduced changes being casually different or absent from one plan to the next: I refer you to *Choiceplace Properties Ltd v SoS for Housing, Communities and Local Government*

There also appears to be an unsavoury attempt to conceal inconvenient/controversial aspects from public scrutiny, in order to smooth the application's passage, which I find extremely troubling.

Further, in a second way, the applicant is (in my opinion, cynically) proposing to alter greenbelt, which he does not own, outside of the site in question.

In order to digest all of this and have an appropriate opportunity to comment, I believe the consultation period must be re-opened and extended for a period of no less than 21 days.

As a matter of urgency, can you please confirm that the consultation will be thus extended?

Kind regards