

To the side of plot 33 is proposed to stand a wall.

The banking, running alongside, would see the wall measuring circa 1m tall, at its most accessible point.

At its highest point, the wall would be circa 6m tall.

I'm concerned this represents an unnecessary health and safety risk.

Being so accessible, the wall could very well prove too tempting for children or young people to show their (foolish) bravery; choosing to either simply walk its length, or to jump from it.

Falling from such a height could prove life-changing, if (God forbid) not fatal.

Whatever the reason the applicant thinks it necessary, to have this wall, I cannot condone the risk it poses.

In my opinion, it is not good design to (presumably unwittingly) introduce such a risk.

This illustrates the general concern I have for this application.

To my mind, this typifies the whole application; ill conceived and completely unsuitable.

There are just too many issues, to see this application granted.

