

## DC Admin

---

**From:**  
**Sent:** 06 October 2025 11:30  
**To:** DC Admin  
**Cc:** chidswellactiongroup@gmail.com  
**Subject:** 2020/60/92350/E. Heybeck Lane (and Chidswell) draft (engrossment) Section 106 Agreement.

Having only recently been made aware of the current situation, whilst at the same time finding that there seems to be unseemly haste being asked for local residents to make comment, I would like to make the following objections:

1) Having been found wanting at Judicial Review regarding procedure in respect of the draft Section 106 for Heybeck Lane, we are now being asked to accept that the draft (engrossment) will not need to be tested at Strategic Planning Committee, but will be officer delegated - students marking their own homework springs to mind! Significant issues relating to these major developments need to be considered at Committee.

2) As part of the draft (engrossments) for Heybeck Lane and Chidswell I understand that it is proposed to offset damage caused by the proposed developments by work to Dum and Dogloitch Woods. This being offered as offsite biodiversity net gain! Really? Both are Ancient Woodland, unique, established and certainly not land that is currently of low biodiversity value, the improvement of which should be the aim.

It stands to be repeated, the potential loss of valuable quality agricultural land, amenity space, "green lungs", wildlife, the inevitable coalescence of communities and the resulting increase in traffic, congestion and pollution all still remain major concerns.

Acknowledgement of this objection, and even comment, would be much appreciated.

WF12 7HX.