

Search application details

Application number: 2020/92284	
What is the application for?:	Erection of single storey out building to provide home office/studio (within a C
Address of the site or building:	33, Wilshaw Road, Meltham, Holmfirth, HD9 4DZ
Postcode:	OL3 5TN

User comments

Type of comment: An objection

Having read all the latest 11 comments about this application

have therefore felt compelled to write and make a few important points.

claims that comments should have been submitted to the original planning application of 2017/92124. However, all the unrest that has been created is purely because what has been built is different to the planning permission.

The comments and allegation made regarding the garden are irrelevant as they have established use like most other gardens in the area. Years ago, all the surrounding gardens and properties were pasture land and the usage has gradually evolved. It is when proposals affect others that they are entitled to protection from unwanted harmful change by planning rules designed to protect them and the environment. This is a conservation area and the additional protection afforded by this is one of the reasons residents choose to live here and why they feel strongly about what has been happening on this site.

There has been considerable disruption to the land in some areas to a considerable depth and changes to water flow can happen. The neighbours who have lived there many years know that their land has suddenly become waterlogged after these building works and the lack of concern speaks volumes.

The 2017/92124 planning permission decision notice states that : “the development hereby permitted shall be carried out IN COMPLETE ACCORDANCE with the plans and specification schedule listed in the decision noticeto ensure amongst other things that the character and appearance of the Wilshaw Conservation Area is retained and enhanced”

It is perfectly obvious from all the comments received and the planning history of this site that this development has in fact damaged the conservation area because of the way it has strayed from the granted permission. In view of this alone, and there are other valid reasons, I feel strongly that this application should be refused.