

From:

Sent: 14 July 2020 20:17

To: Kate Mansell

Subject: Application 2020/91488

Hi Kate,

I'd like to express my concerns with the recent application for the junction 26 development.

I currently reside on Bradford Road, which is reasonably close to the proposed site entrance.

Let me first start with the positive that it's great to see the unused waste water land being used to support the local economy. The proposed jobs and general injection to the local economy is great to see, especially in the current climate.

However, although the use of the unused land is great, the thoughts and planning behind the logistics and access are not. The thought of introducing a high volume of traffic, let alone HGVs to such a small section of roads amazes me, and to think people have genuinely approved this decision shows a complete lack of awareness.

I don't live close enough where this will affect me massively, so I hope you take my concerns as almost an outsiders perspective. As I commute daily, either along bradford road and the m62 or actually past the site entrance and up cliff hollins Lane, I would hope my view is of use. My key concerns would be;

- the large increase in traffic including HGVs to an area that is quite frankly not fit for purpose
- the safety risk the above point presents to the immediate residents and the children of woodlands school
- the reduction in air quality to a widely regarded school that already resides under a

motorway, with almost all HGVs running off diesel, to which is proven to be damaging to a child's development

- the increase in traffic to bradford Road, that not only has no speeding cameras, but is littered with pot holes, to which when a HGV travelling at speed hits them, shakes our entire house

- the very narrow roads of cliff hollins Lane/mill Carr hill Road are currently not fit for the traffic they receive, this prior an entire industrial estate is added at the end of them

- the junction of bradford road to chain bar is the only junction on chain bar that has no traffic lights. I can quite often wait for 30 minutes at this junction as cars attempt to dash themselves onto the roundabout - this alone surely needs rectifying currently as to why it doesn't have lights, but the introduction of the proposed site will make this farcical. We're adding more vehicles (and larger ones) to a current bottle neck.

Based on the above points, although it may not appear, I am very much a fan of the proposed junction 26. I just believe it needs more consideration for the local residents, the children, and the general output they wish to achieve. Having an entire industrial estate entrance where it is proposed to be is bad for everyone. The business will encounter problems manoeuvring their vehicles in/out, especially during pick up/drop off school times and the residents will obviously encounter all forms of pollution.

Although by this point, a large proportion of the decisions may have already been made, if not too late, I would strongly ask to search for alternative site entrance points to avoid all the unnecessary hassle it currently presents to all but retain the development. What about an entrance point of the M606? Would additional cost now be offset in better accessibility for future tenants? Again this is behind my knowledge but if we're taking about this development being in place for a long time, which I would guess is the idea, then surely a long term view should be the way forward.

Thank you for taking the time to read my email and apologies for the length. I don't expect, nor want a reply, I just wanted to express my thoughts. I appreciate the challenges your role presents in trying to balance the economy and the large investment the site proposes with the understandable concerns of local residents and to that I wish you good luck. I would hope my email even if slightly, helps to explore the 'best of both worlds' alternative, where a possible increase in initial outlay on a proper access point reaps the rewards for all in the future.

Kind Regards