

From:
To: [DCAdmin](#)
Subject: Planning application no: 2020/91092 - Adj 81 Common End Lane, Lepton
Date: 16 June 2020 17:18:43

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to raise an objection to the above application. This is the third proposed development (see 2019/90144 and 2019/93469) on a site previously belonging to a single property which adjoins an area of woodland designated by the Council as an area of Urban Green Space (UGS). This application seems to directly contravene the condition you placed on approval of the earlier application 2019/93469 for the extension of 81 Common End Lane which was intended to prevent overdevelopment of the site. Your previous decision notice said: "it is considered that the removal of the annexe avoids any overdevelopment of the site" and you considered the previous scheme to be acceptable "on balance taking into account the demolition of the annexe". The removal of the annexe (and not its replacement with a large detached house as proposed by this latest application) was therefore a condition of the previous approval intended to "prevent overdevelopment of the site or ensuring the cumulative impact remains subservient to the host". I therefore hope you will apply the condition attached to the approval of 2019/93469 and reject this latest application.

I agree with many of the issues raised by the other respondents on your website, in particular the significant concerns regarding potential further negative environmental impact, the negative impact on neighbouring properties and the difficult access to the site (particularly during construction) from a narrow lane without pavements regularly used by children going to and from the nearby school on Station Road. I should point out that we have already seen some of the negative environmental impact as the applicants cleared the proposed site of trees earlier this spring. My wife and I looked on in dismay as a number of mature trees came crashing to the ground in what I can only describe as an act of environmental vandalism. I note from your website that an ecological survey for the proposed scheme has only just been completed (on 8 June); I think the survey would have come up with a very different report had it been undertaken a few months ago before the trees had been removed from the site. I also believe part of the proposed site falls within the designated UGS and you may therefore want to check if the proposed scheme (including the site clearance already undertaken) is in breach of the rules applicable to such areas.

I look forward to hearing your response in due course.