

## Search application details

Application number: 2019/62/91617/W	
What is the application for?:	Demolition of existing builders store/depot and erection of 5 dwellings, formati
Address of the site or building:	Store adj, 5, Fernside Avenue, Almondbury, Huddersfield, HD5 8NR
Postcode:	HD4 6EN

## User comments

Type of comment: An objection
<p>Our objection is:</p> <p>Originally, the access in-front of _____ was only for foot access for Nos 3 &amp; 5 to the front and rear of their properties and vehicle access for the council depot (of vehicles under 3.5 tonne). To add, No3 is omitted from all the plans despite its existence, please note North Light site block plan, section C-C shows as all No1. No1 is on the left and No 3 on the right. You should also note that No 3 has access rights to the rear of their property and their rear-garden area (not shown on plans). The rear door to No 3 is part of an under-dwelling to No5. Both Nos5 &amp; 3 have a rear yard area including access to the rear of their properties. We can see from proposed plans that plot 2 front blocks all foot access to the rear of Nos 3 &amp; 5 and plot 1. Logistically this makes no sense as maintenance and upkeep of the lawns at the fronts of the block would involve occupants having to take lawn mowers, etc either through their properties or, around the new proposed cul-de-sac, onto Fernside Avenue (public highway) then back along the front along a proposed footpath. This makes no sense. It would be more logical for a footpath to run along the front of plot 2, directly from there onto the new road/footpath allowing occupants to have more direct access into the rear of the properties.</p> <p>We note from the plans (proposed site section B-B there is elevation from the front to the rear of plot 2. This requires an elevated footpath from the front of plot 2 to meet the new road/foot path to meet the elevated foot path on the proposed cul-de-sack road, once again allowing properties-Nos 3, 5 and Plot 1 &amp;2 a safe direct route to both fronts and rears of properties. This would make better sense than expecting everyone to make a detour onto a public highway</p> <p>By grassing the fronts, we agree that this would enhance the look of the area. As No3 has no vehicle access rights. Foot access rights would be maintained but from the cul-de-sac and not the busy main junction. This would result in only our vehicles exiting on a very dangerous junction thus improving highway safety. We would then also like to grass the front of our property thus making it a sole entry drive. This would also further enhance the front as we could match the grassed areas.</p> <p>Additionally, we have objections to plot 4 and 5 top floor windows looking over into _____ thus compromising our privacy.</p>