



# **Kirklees Local Plan**

## **Technical Paper: Infrastructure**

**November 2015**

Planning Policy Group  
Investment and Regeneration Service  
Kirklees Council  
PO Box B93  
Civic Centre III  
Huddersfield  
HD1 2JR

| <b>CONTENTS</b>                         | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| <b>1 Introduction</b>                   | <b>1</b>    |
| 1.2 Site Assessment Process             | 1           |
| 1.3 Infrastructure Delivery Plan        | 1           |
| <b>2 National Planning Context</b>      | <b>2</b>    |
| 2.1 National Planning Policy Framework  | 2           |
| 2.2 National Planning Practice Guidance | 2           |
| <b>3 Education Infrastructure</b>       | <b>4</b>    |
| <b>4 Health Infrastructure</b>          | <b>9</b>    |
| <b>5 Transport Infrastructure</b>       | <b>11</b>   |
| <b>Appendices</b>                       |             |
| Appendix A                              | 12          |
| Appendix B                              | 30          |

## **1. Introduction**

1.1 This technical paper builds on the infrastructure planning that has been on-going as part of the local plan process. This technical paper provides further information about the areas of education, health and transport infrastructure.

### **Site Assessment Process**

1.2 The following infrastructure providers have undertaken an assessment of local plan development site options as part of the site assessment process, and provided information about the site's individual impact:

- Highways England
- The Environment Agency
- National Grid
- Yorkshire Water
- Northern Gas Networks
- Northern Powergrid
- Network Rail
- Kirklees Clinical Commissioning Groups
- Kirklees Highways (Strategic and Development Management)
- Kirklees Strategic Drainage
- Kirklees Public Health
- Kirklees Education

More detail about these assessments can be found in the Draft Local Plan Methodology.

### **The Infrastructure Delivery Plan**

1.3 The Infrastructure Delivery Plan looks at the cumulative impacts of the draft local plan, providing a comprehensive appraisal of the current provision of infrastructure and what investment will be required to support the growth promoted by the local plan. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan considers the following infrastructure categories:

- Transport
- Utilities
- Waste Management
- Telecoms
- Flood Risk and Drainage
- Education
- Health and Wellbeing
- Community and Cultural
- Emergency Services
- Open Space and Green Infrastructure
- Sports Leisure and Recreation

## 2. National Planning Context

### National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

2.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) places great importance on Local Plans being evidence based. Paragraph 162 sets out the infrastructure evidence base required for Local Plans. This states that Local Planning Authorities should work with other authorities and providers to:

- Assess the quality and capacity of infrastructure for transport, water supply, wastewater and its treatment, energy (including heat), telecommunications, utilities, water, health, social care, education, flood risk and coastal change management, and its ability to meet forecast demands; and
- Take account of the need for strategic infrastructure, including nationally significant infrastructure within their areas.

The NPPF also promotes the deliverability of planned infrastructure and timeliness with which this can be brought forward. Paragraph 173 states that plans should be deliverable and sustainable development requires careful attention to viability and costs in plan-making and decision-taking. Specifically in relation to infrastructure delivery, the NPPF Paragraph 177 states that:

‘It is equally important to ensure that there is a reasonable prospect that planned infrastructure is deliverable in a timely fashion. To facilitate this, it is important that local planning authorities understand district-wide development costs at the time Local Plans are drawn-up. For this reason, infrastructure and development policies should be planned at the same time, in the Local Plan. Any affordable housing or local standards requirements that may be applied to development should be assessed at the plan-making stage, where possible, and kept under review’.

The NPPF also states that Local Plans should ‘plan positively for the development and infrastructure required in the area to meet the objectives, principles and policies of the NPPF’ (paragraph 157).

### National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG)

2.2 With regard to infrastructure, paragraph 018, reference 12-018-20140306 of the 2014 Planning Practice Guidance states:

A Local Plan is an opportunity for the local planning authority to set out a positive vision for the area, but the plan should also be realistic about what can be achieved and when (including in relation to infrastructure). This means paying careful attention to providing an adequate supply of land, identifying what infrastructure is required and how it can be funded and brought on stream at the appropriate time; and ensuring that the requirements of the plan as a whole will not prejudice the viability of development.

Early discussion with infrastructure and service providers is particularly important to help understand their investment plans and critical dependencies. The local planning authority should also involve the Local Enterprise Partnership at an early stage in considering the strategic issues facing their area, including the prospects for investment in infrastructure.

The Local Plan should make clear, for at least the first five years, what infrastructure is required, who is going to fund and provide it, and how it relates to the anticipated rate and phasing of development. This may help in reviewing the plan and in development management decisions. For the later stages of the plan period less detail may be provided as the position regarding the provision of infrastructure is likely to be less certain. If it is known that a development is unlikely to come forward until after the plan period due, for example, to uncertainty over deliverability of key infrastructure, then this should be clearly stated in the draft plan.

Where the deliverability of critical infrastructure is uncertain then the plan should address the consequences of this, including possible contingency arrangements and alternative strategies. The detail concerning planned infrastructure provision can be set out in a supporting document such as an infrastructure delivery programme that can be updated regularly. However the key infrastructure requirements on which delivery of the plan depends should be contained in the Local Plan itself.

The evidence which accompanies an emerging Local Plan should show how the policies in the plan have been tested for their impact on the viability of development, including (where relevant) the impact which the Community Infrastructure Levy is expected to have. Where local planning authorities intend to bring forward a Community Infrastructure Levy regime, there is a strong advantage in doing so in parallel with producing the Local Plan, as this allows questions about infrastructure funding and the viability of policies to be addressed in a comprehensive and coordinated way.

### **3. Education Infrastructure**

- 3.1 There are a number of on-going assessments and discussions which have fed into the planning process for education infrastructure to support the draft local plan. As part of the site assessment process, each housing and mixed use option has been considered against the existing primary and secondary school needs and emerging trends. This is explained in the Draft Local Plan Methodology. The district wide assessment of current schools infrastructure, future investment and needs is summarised in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and considered in more detail in the council's 'Securing Sufficient High Quality Learning and Childcare Places Document (2015)'.
- 3.2 Building on these pieces of evidence, work is on-going to understand the impacts of the future growth brought by the local plan upon the provision of early learning and childcare, primary and secondary school infrastructure. Meetings have been held between officers in the Kirklees Planning department, those in the School Organisation and Planning department of the Learning and Skills Service, and their counterparts in bordering authorities including Leeds, Wakefield, Bradford and Calderdale. This is important to build a picture of emerging cross border impacts on schools and early learning and childcare place provision within Kirklees and in bordering authorities as a result of the growth proposed in the draft local plan, also considering the emerging plans in these bordering authorities. This process is an important element of meeting the legal Duty to Cooperate, which applies to the process of creating local plans.

#### **School Place Planning**

- 3.3 The on-going discussions between Kirklees School Organisation and Planning and Planning Policy Teams have resulted in the sharing of relevant and mutually beneficial information which is informing the forward planning for future school infrastructure.
- 3.4 As the School Organisation and Planning Team have updated their assessment of existing needs in the Securing Sufficient High Quality Learning and Childcare Places Document (2015), the accepted housing sites in the draft local plan have been considered. Further to this, the information about when the houses on each development site will be built in the draft local plan phasing table has been assessed. The phased housing growth has been considered against primary and secondary place planning area boundaries to understand the potential impact in each.
- 3.5 This has provided the opportunity to add a further layer of analysis on top of the detailed considerations in the Securing Sufficient School Places document, looking at the phased impact of future growth in 5 year time periods. This provides the starting evidence to explore the correct type of intervention required in each area to meet the needs of future growth, and ensure that the correct infrastructure is in place at the correct time. The methodology and results of this analysis can be found in Appendix A.

## Large Strategic Housing Sites

3.6 The draft local plan includes three sites that each plan for over 1000 dwellings and they have been considered in more detail due to their likely impact on local school infrastructure:

### **(H2089) Land to the south of Ravensthorpe/Lees Road, Dewsbury**

3.7 This site plans for approximately 2500 homes within the local plan period which is up to the year 2031, with a further 1500 homes to come after the plan period, resulting in a total of 4000 homes within the site boundary.

3.8 The site falls within the Dewsbury South and Mirfield Primary Planning Areas. The Securing Sufficient High Quality Learning and Childcare Places Document (2015) for Dewsbury South Primary Planning Area states;

*In the context of the potential impact of proposed strategic site development in the **Local Plan**, it is anticipated that there will be a requirement for additional primary places in future years through additional infrastructure. A more strategic approach to sufficiency planning may be required across a wider geographical area and should take into account existing capacity in existing schools.*

3.9 The site falls within the secondary planning Areas of Dewsbury and Cleckheaton, Heckmondwike, Liversedge & Mirfield. The Securing Sufficient High Quality Learning and Childcare Places Document (2015) states;

*In the context of the potential impact of two proposed strategic site developments, one in Dewsbury East and Dewsbury South in the **Local Plan**, it is anticipated that there could be a requirement for additional places over and above those already provided. A more strategic approach to sufficiency planning will be required across a wider geographical area, including in Batley, Birstall, Birkenshaw and Gomersal, and in Cleckheaton, Heckmondwike, Liversedge and Mirfield.*

3.10 The current assessment demonstrates that based on existing capacity and trends, and the scale of development proposed, this site will require at least a two form entry<sup>1</sup> school building to be developed on site, with the potential of a four form entry school, subject to further analysis.

### **(H1747) Land north of Bradley Road, Bradley, Huddersfield also considering growth from adjacent site: (H351) Land north of Bradley Road, Bradley, Huddersfield**

3.11 This area includes two adjacent accepted housing options which result in approximately 2000 homes within the local plan period up to 2031, with a further 300 homes to come after the plan period, resulting in a total of 2300 homes in the immediate area. The site

---

<sup>1</sup> 1 class of entry assumes 30 pupil places per yeargroup

falls within Huddersfield North Primary Planning Area. Assessment within the Securing Sufficient High Quality Learning and Childcare Places Document (2015) states that;

*In the context of the potential impact of a proposed strategic site development in the **Local Plan**, it is anticipated that there will be a requirement for further additional primary places over and above those already required. There is an indication that land provision could be made available on the site for additional school infrastructure.*

3.12 The site falls within Secondary Planning Area of Huddersfield North & West. Assessment within the Securing Sufficient High Quality Learning and Childcare Places Document (2015) identifies that;

*In the context of the potential impact of proposed strategic site development in the **Local Plan**, it is anticipated that there will be a requirement for additional places. However, a more strategic approach to sufficiency planning will be required across a wider geographical area, including Holme Valley, Honley and Meltham, Huddersfield South West and East and Colne Valley.*

3.13 This area is also close to the Calderdale border, therefore the impact of this site and any development close by within Calderdale requires on-going and careful consideration. The current assessment demonstrates that based on existing capacity and trends, and the scale of development proposed, this site will require at least a two form entry school to be developed on site, with the possibility of a four form entry, subject to further analysis.

**(MX1905) Land east of 932-1110 Leeds Road, Shaw Cross/Woodkirk, Dewsbury**

3.14 This is a mixed use site which includes 122,500 square metres of employment land and approximately 1500 homes. The site falls within the Dewsbury East and Batley East Primary Planning Areas. Assessment of these within the Securing Sufficient High Quality Learning and Childcare Places Document (2015) identifies that there will be a requirement for additional primary places through additional infrastructure due to the impact of this site.

3.15 The site falls within Secondary Planning Areas of Batley, Birkenshaw, Birstall & Gomersal, and Dewsbury. Assessment within the Securing Sufficient High Quality Learning and Childcare Places Document (2015) identifies that;

*In the context of the potential impact of proposed strategic site development in the **Local Plan**, it is anticipated that there will be a requirement for additional places. However, a more strategic approach to sufficiency planning will be required across a wider geographical area, including Cleckheaton, Heckmondwike, Liversedge and Mirfield and Dewsbury.*

3.16 This site is also close to the Leeds and Wakefield borders, therefore the impact of this site and any development close by within these authorities requires on-going and careful consideration. The current assessment therefore demonstrates that based on existing capacity and trends, and the proposed scale of development, this site will require at least a two form entry primary school building to be developed on site, with the potential of a



four form entry, subject to further analysis. In addition, the site would require additional secondary places, either to be commissioned at existing schools, or potentially via on site provision.

## **Limitations**

3.17 It is recognised that the exercise of school place planning is complex, and a number of the reasons for this are outlined in the Securing Sufficient High Quality Learning and Childcare Places Document (2015). It should also be recognised that the phasing table which calculates when development sites will come forward is based on a number of assumptions and has to be treated as broadly indicative. What this analysis does however provide is a strong indication of where future pressures will arise for school places as a result of the draft local plan, and provides a robust evidence base to build on to ensure that investment is made in the right places to insure that the correct infrastructure is in place at the point the need arises.

## **Conclusions**

3.18 An assessment had been undertaken to consider the impact of the accepted sites in the draft local plan on future learning places. This has been based on the data sets as document in the Securing Sufficient High Quality Learning and Childcare Places Document (2015) considering the accepted housing sites in the draft local plan and when these are likely to come forward. The planning of school places is complex, as outlined in the Securing Sufficient High Quality Learning and Childcare Places Document (2015), however this analysis provides an evidence based foundation to establish future interventions and investments to ensure that there are sufficient school places available when developments are completed. The table in Appendix A identifies where further places will be required within 5, 10 and 15 year time frames.

3.19 The significant scale of housing proposed at the sites south of Ravensthorpe/Lees Road, Dewsbury, north of Bradley Road, Bradley and east of 932-1110 Leeds Road, Shaw Cross/Woodkirk, Dewsbury will result in a level of need that will require at least a 2 form entry school building on each site, with the possible requirement for a 4 form entry school building if the need is established following further analysis.

## **Early Learning and Childcare Places**

3.20 The council has a duty to ensure that there is a sufficiency of early learning and childcare places, and this is explained in more detail in the Early Learning and Childcare Strategy 2014-2018. Discussions have been on-going between the Early Learning and Childcare and Planning Policy Teams alongside school place planning discussions due to their integral interrelationship.

3.21 Early learning and childcare needs are assessed using council ward boundaries. The phasing assessments for housing sites in the draft local plan have been considered by ward by the Early Learning and Childcare Team so that the impact of future growth promoted by

the draft local plan can be assessed. The methodology and outcomes of this analysis can be found in Appendix B.

### **Limitations**

3.22 The provision of early learning and childcare infrastructure is provided by the public, private, voluntary and independent sectors. The consideration of future provision has to therefore be flexible due to the ability of these sectors to provide future capacity in different ways. It should also be recognised that the phasing table that calculates when development sites will come forward is based on a number of assumptions and has to be treated as broadly indicative.

### **Conclusions**

3.23 An assessment had been undertaken to consider the impact of the accepted sites in the draft local plan on future early learning and childcare places. This has been based on the findings of the 2015 Kirklees Childcare Sufficiency Assessment considering the accepted housing sites in the draft local plan and when these are likely to come forward. The planning of early learning and childcare places is complex, however this analysis provides an evidence based foundation to establish future interventions and investments to ensure that there are sufficient early learning and childcare places available when developments are completed. The table in Appendix B identifies where further places will be required within 5, 10 and 15 year time frames.

## 4. Health Infrastructure

- 4.1 Health services in Kirklees are delivered by a number of different organisations. Primary health care (e.g. GPs) is planned for by the North Kirklees Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) and the Greater Huddersfield CCG. Secondary health care (e.g. hospitals) are managed by a number of Trusts, including Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust and The Mid Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust. Other areas of NHS infrastructure are managed by NHS England, and NHS Property Services (Prop Co). This reflects some of the complexity with the structures of the NHS in managing their infrastructure and future planning. This is covered in more detail in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
- 4.2 Health issues have also been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan through a Comprehensive Health and Wellbeing Impact Assessment for Planning Tool. Further detail about this process can be found in the draft local plan methodology.
- 4.3 Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs and NHS Property Services (Pro Co) to plan for the impacts of the allocations in the draft local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. The outcome of this cooperation is an important element of the duty to cooperate with health infrastructure providers as part of the local plan process and ensures that a mechanism is in place to deliver the health infrastructure required to support the growth that the local plan promotes.
- 4.4 The draft local plan is being considered as part of the forward plans for both Huddersfield and North Kirklees CCGs, including their 5 year strategies.

### Large Strategic Housing Sites

- 4.5 The draft local plan includes three sites that each plan for over 1000 dwellings and they have been considered in more detail due to their likely impact on local health infrastructure:

#### **(H2089) Land to the south of Ravensthorpe/Lees Road, Dewsbury**

- 4.6 This site plans for approximately 2500 homes within the local plan period which is up to the year 2031, with a further 1500 homes to come after the plan period, resulting in a total of 4000 homes within the site boundary. Due to the scale of this proposal it is highly likely that a health and well-being facility will be required on site as part of a development masterplan.

#### **(H1747) Land north of Bradley Road, Bradley, Huddersfield also considering growth from adjacent site: (H351) Land north of Bradley Road, Bradley, Huddersfield**

- 4.7 This area includes two adjacent accepted housing options which result in approximately 2000 homes within the local plan period up to 2031, with a further 300 homes to come after the plan period, resulting in a total of 2300 homes in the immediate area. Due to the

scale of this proposal it is highly likely that a health and well-being facility will be required on site as part of a development masterplan.

**(MX1905) Land east of 932-1110 Leeds Road, Shaw Cross/Woodkirk**

4.8 This is a mixed use site which includes 122,500 square metres of employment land and approximately 1500 homes. Due to the scale of this proposal it is highly likely that a health and well-being facility will be required on site as part of a development masterplan.

**Limitations**

4.9 The planning of NHS services is complex, with different Clinical Commissioning Groups planning GP provision and different NHS Hospital Trusts providing different hospital services. NHS England also has other responsibilities and NHS Property Company manage some of the property assets along with hospital trusts and individual GPs. Further detail about the planning of NHS services can be found in the Kirklees Local Plan Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

**Conclusions**

4.10 An assessment of current health infrastructure has been undertaken as part of the Kirklees Local Plan Infrastructure Delivery Plan, and discussions are on-going between the Planning Policy Team and NHS representatives to ensure that health infrastructure is in place to meet future needs. The significant scale of housing proposed at the sites south of Ravensthorpe/Lees Road, Dewsbury, north of Bradley Road, Bradley and east of 932-1110 Leeds Road, Shaw Cross/Woodkirk, Dewsbury will result in a level of need that will require a health and well-being facility to be incorporated into the masterplans for these sites. The specific nature of which will be established through on-going discussions between the council, site promoters and NHS representatives.

## **5. Transport Infrastructure**

- 5.1 Transport infrastructure has been considered at a number of stages of development of the draft local plan, and is on-going to ensure that the accepted development sites and cumulative impact of the strategy will be supported by relevant transport infrastructure.
- 5.2 Each development option has been assessed by the council's development management highways team to access factors such as site access and local highway issues. Highways England has also been consulted to understand whether a site would have an impact on the strategic highway network. More detail about this process can be found in the draft local plan methodology.
- 5.3 The Kirklees Local Plan Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) summarises the current transport infrastructure position and planned future investment schemes. This includes a summary of the strategic highway assessment that has been undertaken for the whole of Kirklees factoring in the accepted development sites within the draft local plan. The Transport Modelling Technical Paper explains in more detail the methodology and outcomes of this assessment.

## **Appendix A**

### **Draft Local Plan - methodology for establishing the impact on school place planning**

Using data already provided by the planning department, a model has been developed that provides a firm evidence base for establishing the number of additional school places needed over the fifteen year period of the Local Plan.

1. By school place planning area across each year of the implementation period of the Local Plan.
2. Years 0-5-to provide as much clarity and certainly as possible regarding the number of places needed and how this need could be met whilst taking into account area where there are existing pressures that are in the process of delivery of are being delivered, e.g. new school building and or expansion of existing school buildings.  
Years 6-10-to try and identify what the impact of housing development may look like, making careful assumptions about demography, migration and associated factors that affect demand.  
Years 11-15- to provide high level estimates

#### **The model shows.**

##### **Pupil yield**

Primary (R-Y6) - 3 children per 100 houses per year group

For example the Local Plan states that there will be a need to build 151 family dwellings in the Batley primary planning during the course of 2014-15.

Applying the primary pupil yield formula this would generate 32 additional places ( $0.03 \times 151 \times 7$ )

Secondary (Y7 – Y11) – 2 children per 100 houses per yeargroup

In the same example above; 151 family dwellings in the Batley primary planning during the course of 2014-15.

Applying the secondary pupil yield formula this would generate 15 additional places ( $0.02 \times 151 \times 5$ )

##### **The number of available places**

The number of available places in each planning area is an aggregate of the determined 2016-17 Published Admission Number (PAN) for those schools in each planning area that admit children at Reception.

##### **NHS data-projected numbers**

Using 2015 NHS GP registration data to identify potential demand for school places from those living in the planning area.

This is achieved by using 2015 place planning data that maps potential demand between 2011-2018 for Reception places against the available places as at 2016/17 academic year. To establish potential demand the data used is based on a trend between 2011-2018. This provides a more accurate understanding of potential demand as smoothing is applied to fluctuations in demand over this period.

### **Number of unfilled places**

This is established by deducting the projected number based on NHS data places from the available number of places.

### **Net impact of inward and outward movement**

An adjustment needs to be made to account for the movement of children between planning areas, i.e. children living in a particular planning area but attending school in another planning area, because of parental preference, for example. The net impact of this movement needs to be understood in order that a greater level of precision can be achieved in the forecast data.

This is achieved by looking at place planning data that describes the actual movement of three cohorts of pupils from R-Y2 to establish an average. For example Batley in the table below:

| <b>Place planning summary for Planning Area 1. Batley</b>   |   |
|---|---|
| Place planning data for three cohorts of children : Reception, Y1 and Y2<br>From NHS Jan 2015 and School Census Jan 2015<br><i>Note numbers in [square brackets] show the <b>average</b> number of children per yeargroup</i>   |   |
| <b>1435 [478] children live in this PA (NHS)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1130 [377] go to schools in <b>this</b> PA (Census)</li> <li>• 222 [74] go to schools in <b>other</b> Kirklees PAs</li> <li>• 7 [2] go to schools in <b>Leeds LA</b> (previous census)</li> <li>• 76 [25] go to schools <b>not known</b></li> </ul> | <b>1431 [477] children go to school in this PA (Census)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1130 [377] Live in <b>this</b> PA</li> <li>• 291 [97] Live in <b>other</b> PAs</li> <li>• 10 [3] Live <b>outside Kirklees</b></li> </ul> |

222 children live in this planning area but go to school in another Kirklees planning area. However, this needs to be offset against that fact that 291 children attend school in Batley that live outside of the planning area and in another Kirklees planning area.

Therefore, in terms of understanding the net impact of this movement (291-222), we can see that this planning area is a net importer of children between these year groups of 69 children, which if divided by three (R-Y2) indicates 23 children that needs to be added to the projected number.

### **Secondary**

Secondary analysis follows the same pattern as primary in that the net impact of movement of Kirklees children and young people between planning areas is accounted for.

### **Cross Border Movement (Primary and Secondary)**

We have also built in to our model an appreciation of the net impact of cross border movement, calculated in the same way as the net impact of inward/outward movement between Kirklees school planning areas. The data deliberately excludes a number of pupils for whom it is not clear as to the type of education setting that they may or may not be attending.

### **Accounting for future yields**

As analysis becomes more sophisticated, further work will be required model impact year on year, particularly as new data becomes available. For example consideration will need to be given to adding a 7<sup>th</sup> (R-Y6) of the total yield figure from 2014-15 to 2015-16 and from 2015-16 to 2016-17 so on for future years. This is because as the houses are anticipated to come on line, more children will as a consequence be resident in a particular planning area in future years.



**Local Plan- Assessment of the impact on school places**  
**School Organisation and Planning**  
**September 2015**

**No anticipated need.** There is sufficient capacity in the planning area to absorb growth in pupil population.

**Anticipated Need** The LA would explore a range of options in partnership with schools in the planning area to identify and agree the best solution to meet the level of anticipated need. This could involve agreements with school to adjust a school(s) Published Admission Number (PAN), where anticipated need is relatively low (1-10) and where adjustments to the organisation of existing accommodation may be able to be made to enable small numbers of additional pupils to be accommodated. It may also involve physical expansion of existing school buildings where anticipated need could not be accommodated through re-organisation of existing space. Options could also include reaching agreements with schools to maximise admission numbers, as well as establishing new school buildings.

**High level of anticipated need.** This would involve establishing a **new school building(s)** (not necessarily a new school) in order to be able to meet this need over the Local Plan period.

The LA may also want to explore more creative solutions to meet the need across planning areas, which may reflect the geographical diversity of the borough. Solutions could also involve looking at the way admissions are made to schools.

Note\* Cohort group. Primary (Reception-Year 6). Secondary (Year 7- 11)

| PA No. | Primary Planning area name | IMPACT - 5 years                          | Comments | CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 10 years  | Comments   | CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 15 years                                       | Comments  |
|--------|----------------------------|---|----------|---|--|--|---|
| 1      | Batley                     | No anticipated need for additional places |          | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |  | No anticipated need for additional places                          |   |
| 2      | Batley Birstall            | No anticipated need for additional places |          | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |  | No anticipated need for additional places                          |   |
| 3      | Batley East                | No anticipated need for additional places |          | Anticipated need for establishing up to 20 additional places per cohort group | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area. | Anticipated need for establishing up to 35 places per cohort group | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area |
| 4      | Birkenshaw &               | No anticipated need                       |          | No anticipated need for   |  | No anticipated need  |   |

| <b>PA No.</b> | <b>Primary Planning area name</b> | <b>IMPACT - 5 years</b>   | <b>Comments</b>   | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 10 years</b>   | <b>Comments</b>  | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 15 years</b>   | <b>Comments</b>   |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|
|               | Gomersal                          | for additional places   |   | additional places   |  | for additional places   |   |
| 5             | Cleckheaton                       | Anticipated need for establishing up to 10 additional places per cohort group | Potential to be met via agreement with local schools to adjust Pupil Admission Number                                   | Anticipated need for establishing up to 30 additional places per cohort group | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area                                | Anticipated need for establishing up to 30 additional places per cohort group | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area |
| 6             | Colne Valley                      | Anticipated need for establishing up to 4 additional places per cohort group  | Potential to be met via agreement with local schools to adjust Pupil Admission Number using existing physical capacity. | Anticipated need for establishing up to 16 additional places per cohort group | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area                                | Anticipated need for establishing up to 21 additional places per cohort group | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area |
| 7             | Dewsbury East                     | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |   | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |  | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |   |
| 8             | Dewsbury South                    | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |   | Anticipated need for additional places  | Additional 2 places required to be met via agreement with local schools to adjust Pupil Admission Number | Anticipated need for establishing up to 30 additional places per cohort group | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area |
| 9             | Dewsbury West                     | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |   | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |  | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |   |
| 10            | Golcar                            | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |   | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |  | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |   |
| 11            | Heckmondwike                      | Anticipated need for establishing up to 10 additional places per cohort group | Potential to be met via agreement with local schools to adjust Pupil Admission Number                                   | Anticipated need for establishing up to 10 additional places per cohort group | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area                                | Anticipated need for establishing up to 12 additional places per cohort group | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area |
| 12            | Holme Valley                      | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |   | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |  | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |   |
| 13            | Honley & Meltham                  | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |   | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |  | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |   |

| PA No. | Primary Planning area name       | IMPACT - 5 years   | Comments  | CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 10 years   | Comments  | CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 15 years   | Comments  |
|--------|----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| 14     | Huddersfield North               | High level of anticipated need for establishing up to 100 additional places per cohort group | Additional 60 places planned to be added over the first five years of the Local Plan. Capacity could therefore increase to 495. New school building may be required to meet planned growth across the Local Plan period | High level of anticipated need for establishing up to 125 additional places per cohort group |   | High level of anticipated need for establishing up to 147 additional places per cohort group | New 2 form entry (420 places) school building may be required to meet planned growth across the Local Plan period |
| 15     | Huddersfield North West          | Anticipated need for establishing up to 56 additional places per cohort group                | TBC   | Anticipated need for establishing up to 83 additional places per cohort group                | TBC   | Anticipated need for establishing up to 88 additional places per cohort group                | TBC   |
| 16     | Huddersfield South East          | No anticipated need for additional places  |   | No anticipated need for additional places  |   | No anticipated need for additional places  |   |
| 17     | Huddersfield South West          | Anticipated need for establishing up to 6 additional places per cohort group                 | Additional 90 places planned to be added over the first five years of the Local Plan. Capacity will therefore increase to 460   | Anticipated need for establishing up to 19 additional places per cohort group                | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area | Anticipated need for establishing up to 33 additional places per cohort group                | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area   |
| 18     | Kirkheaton, Lepton & Grange Moor | No anticipated need for additional places  |   | No anticipated need for additional places  |   | No anticipated need for additional places  |   |
| 19     | Liversedge                       | No anticipated need for additional places  |   | No anticipated need for additional places  |   | No anticipated need for additional places  |   |
| 20     | Mirfield                         | No anticipated need for additional places  |   | No anticipated need for additional places  |   | No anticipated need for additional places  |   |
| 21     | Newsome                          | Anticipated need for establishing up to 10 additional places per cohort group                | Potential to be met via agreement with local schools to adjust Pupil Admission Number   | Anticipated need for establishing up to 14 additional places per cohort group                | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area | Anticipated need for establishing up to 20 additional places per cohort group                | May require physical expansion of existing school(s) in the planning area   |


| <b>PA No.</b> | <b>Primary Planning area name</b> | <b>IMPACT - 5 years</b>                   | <b>Comments</b> | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 10 years</b>       | <b>Comments</b> | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 15 years</b>       | <b>Comments</b> |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|-----------------|
| 22            | Shelley                           | No anticipated need for additional places |                 | No anticipated need for additional places |                 | No anticipated need for additional places |                 |
| 23            | Skelmanthorpe and Denby Dale      | No anticipated need for additional places |                 | No anticipated need for additional places |                 | No anticipated need for additional places |                 |

## Secondary


| <b>PA No.</b> | <b>Secondary Planning area name</b>              | <b>IMPACT - 5 years</b>  | <b>Comments</b> | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 10 years</b>   | <b>Comments</b> | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 15 years</b>  | <b>Comments</b> |
|---------------|--|--|-----------------|---|-----------------|--|-----------------|
| 24            | Batley, Birkenshaw, Birstall & Gomersal          | No anticipated need for additional places  |                 | Anticipated need for establishing up to 71 additional places per cohort group               |                 | Anticipated need for establishing up to 64 additional places per cohort group              |                 |
| 25            | Cleckheaton, Heckmondwike, Liversedge & Mirfield | High level of anticipated need for establishing up to 3 additional places per cohort group |                 | High level of anticipated need for establishing up to 34 additional places per cohort group |                 | High level of anticipated need for establishing up to 7 additional places per cohort group |                 |
| 26            | Colne Valley                                     | No anticipated need for additional places  |                 | Anticipated need for establishing up to 31 additional places per cohort group               |                 | Anticipated need for establishing up to 32 additional places per cohort group              |                 |
| 27            | Denby Dale, Kirkburton & Shelley                 | Anticipated need for establishing up to 7 additional                                       |                 | Anticipated need for establishing up to 8 additional places per                             |                 | No anticipated need for additional places  |                 |

| <b>PA No.</b> | <b>Secondary Planning area name</b>      | <b>IMPACT - 5 years</b>   | <b>Comments</b> | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 10 years</b>  | <b>Comments</b> | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 15 years</b>  | <b>Comments</b> |
|---------------|--|---|-----------------|--|-----------------|--|-----------------|
|               |  | places per cohort group   |                 | cohort group   |                 |  |                 |
| 28            | Dewsbury                                 | Anticipated need for establishing up to 20 additional places per cohort group |                 | Anticipated need for establishing up to 117 additional places per cohort group               |                 | High level of anticipated need for establishing up to 100 additional places per cohort group |                 |
| 29            | Holme Valley, Honley & Meltham           | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |                 | No anticipated need for additional places  |                 | No anticipated need for additional places  |                 |
| 30            | Huddersfield North and West              | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |                 | High level of anticipated need for establishing up to 113 additional places per cohort group |                 | High level of anticipated need for establishing up to 80 additional places per cohort group  |                 |
| 31            | Huddersfield South & East and Kirkheaton | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |                 | No anticipated need for additional places  |                 | No anticipated need for additional places  |                 |
| 32            | Huddersfield South West                  | No anticipated need for additional places                                     |                 | Anticipated need for establishing up to 24 additional year places per cohort group           |                 | High level of anticipated need for establishing up to 35 additional places per cohort group  |                 |

# Indicative Map of Draft Local Plan Housing and Mixed Use Accepted Options, Primary Planning Areas and School Points



# Indicative Map of Draft Local Plan Housing and Mixed Use Accepted Options, Secondary Planning Areas and School Points




## Kirklees School Planning Areas and Maps

### Primary Planning Areas

#### Planning Area 1: Batley

- Batley Grammar School
- Batley Parish CE (VA) J I & N School
- Carlinghow Princess Royal J I & N School
- Field Lane J I & N School
- Healey J I & N School
- Hyrstmount Junior School
- Manorfield I & N School
- Park Road J I & N School
- Purlwell I & N School
- St Mary's Catholic Primary School
- Staincliffe CE (VC) Junior School
- Warwick Road J I & N School




#### Planning Area 2: Batley and Birstall

- Birstall Primary Academy
- Fieldhead Primary Academy
- St Patrick's Catholic Primary School, Birstall
- St Peter's CE (VA) J I and EY School
- Windmill CE(VC) Primary School




#### Planning Area 3: Batley East

- Lydgate J & I School
- Mill Lane Primary School



#### Planning Area 4: Birkenshaw and Gomersal


- Birkenshaw CE(VC) Primary School
- East Bierley CE(VC) Primary School
- Gomersal Primary School
- Gomersal St Mary's CE(VC) Primary School






### Planning Area 5. Cleckheaton

- Heaton Avenue Primary School
- High Bank J I & N School
- Hightown J I & N School
- Howard Park Community School
- Scholes Village Primary School
- Whitechapel Church of England Primary School



### Planning Area 6. Colne Valley

- Linthwaite Ardron CE(VA) J & I School
- Linthwaite Clough J I & EY Unit
- Marsden I & N School
- Marsden Junior School
- Nields J I & N School
- Slaithwaite CE(VC) J & I School
- Wilberlee J & I School




### Planning Area 7: Dewsbury East

- Bywell CE (VC) Junior School
- Chickenley Community J I & N School
- Earlsheaton Infant School
- Eastborough J I & N School
- Hanging Heaton CE (VC) J & I School
- Shaw Cross I & N School




### Planning Area 8: Dewsbury South

- Headfield CE (VC) Junior School
- Overthorpe CofE Academy
- Pentland I & N School
- Savile Town CE (VC) I & N School
- Thornhill J & I School
- Thornhill Lees CE (VC) I & N School




### Planning Area 9: Dewsbury West

- Boothroyd Primary Academy
- Carlton J & I School
- Diamond Wood Community Academy
- Flatts Nursery School
- Ravensthorpe CE (VC) Junior School
- St John's CE (VC) Infant School
- St Joseph's Catholic Primary School (Dewsbury)
- St Paulinus Catholic Primary School
- Westmoor Primary School




### Planning Area 10: Golcar

- Clough Head J & I School
- Cowlersley Primary School
- Crow Lane Primary & Foundation Stage School
- Golcar J I & N School
- Royds Hall Community School – Beech site
- Scapegoat Hill J & I School
- St John's CE(VA) J & I School
- Wellhouse J & I School




### Planning Area 11: Heckmondwike

- Heckmondwike Primary School
- Holy Spirit Catholic Primary School
- Millbridge J I & N School
- The John Curwen Co-operative Primary Academy




### Planning Area 12: Holme Valley

- Brockholes CE(VC) J & I School
- Hade Edge J & I School
- Hepworth J & I School
- Hinchliffe Mill J & I School
- Holme J & I School
- Holmfirth J I & N School
- Kirkroyds Infant School
- Netherthong Primary School
- Scholes J & I School
- Upperthong J & I School
- Wooldale Junior School




### Planning Area 13: Honley and Meltham

- Helme CE(VA) J & I School
- Honley CE(VC) I & N School
- Honley CE(VC) Junior School
- Meltham CE(VC) Primary School
- Meltham Moor Primary School
- Netherton I & N School
- South Crosland CE(VA) Junior School




### Planning Area 14: Huddersfield North

- Ashbrow School
- Birkby I & N School
- Birkby Junior School
- Christ Church CE Academy
- Fixby J & I School
- Our Lady of Lourdes Catholic Primary School
- St Patrick's Catholic Primary School (Huddersfield)
- St Thomas CE(VC) Primary School




### Planning Area 15: Huddersfield North West

- Lindley Church of England Infant School
- Lindley Junior School
- Moorlands Primary School
- Reinwood I & N School
- Reinwood Community Junior School




### Planning Area 16: Huddersfield South East

- All Hallows' CE(VA) I & N School
- Almondbury Community School
- Dalton School
- Moldgreen Community Primary School
- Rawthorpe St James CE(VC) I & N School
- Rawthorpe Junior School
- St Joseph's Catholic Primary School (Hudds)




### Planning Area 17: Huddersfield South West

- Crosland Moor Junior School
- Dryclough CE(VC) Infant School
- Mount Pleasant Primary School
- Paddock J I & N School
- Royds Hall Community School
- Spring Grove J I & N School
- Thornton Lodge Nursery School




### Planning Area 18: Kirkheaton, Lepton and Grange Moor

- Grange Moor Primary School
- Kirkheaton Primary School
- Lepton CE(VC) J I & N School
- Rowley Lane J I & N School



### Planning Area 19: Liversedge

- Hartshead J & I School
- Headlands CE(VC) J I & N School
- Littletown J I & N School
- Norristhorpe J & I School
- Roberttown CE(VC) J & I School



### Planning Area 20: Mirfield

- Battleyford CE(VC) Primary School
- Crossley Fields J & I School
- Crowlees CE(VC) J & I School
- Hopton Primary School
- Old Bank J I & N School



### Planning Area 21: Newsome

- Berry Brow I & N School
- Hillside Primary School
- Lowerhouses CE(VC) J I & EY School
- Newsome Junior School



## Planning Area 22: Shelley

- Emley First School
- Farnley Tyas CE(VC) First School
- Highburton CE(VC) First School
- Kirkburton CE(VA) First School
- Shelley First School
- Shepley First School
- Thurstonland Endowed (VC) First School



## Planning Area 23: Skelmanthorpe and Denby Dale

- Birdsedge First School
- Cumberworth CE(VA) First School
- Denby CE(VA) First School
- Denby Dale F & N School
- Flockton CE(VC) First School
- Kaye's F & N School
- Scissett CE(VA) First School
- Skelmanthorpe F & N School
- St Aidan's CE(VA) First School



## Secondary Planning Areas

### Planning Area 24: Batley, Birkenshaw, Birstall and Gomersal

- ❖ Batley Girls' High School - Visual Arts College
- ❖ Batley Grammar School
- ❖ BBG Academy
- ❖ Upper Batley High School




### Planning Area 25: Cleckheaton, Heckmondwike, Liversedge and Mirfield

- ❖ Castle Hall Academy
- ❖ Heckmondwike Grammar School
- ❖ Spen Valley High School
- ❖ The Mirfield Free Grammar and Sixth Form
- ❖ Whitcliffe Mount School




**Planning Area 26: Colne Valley**

- ❖ Colne Valley High School




**Planning Area 27: Denby Dale, Kirkburton and Shelley**

- ❖ Kirkburton Middle School
- ❖ Scissett Middle School
- ❖ Shelley College



**Planning Area 28: Dewsbury**

- ❖ Manor Croft Academy
- ❖ St John Fisher Catholic Voluntary Academy
- ❖ Thornhill Community Academy
- ❖ Westborough High School



**Planning Area 29: Holme Valley, Honley and Meltham**

- ❖ Holmfirth High School
- ❖ Honley High School



**Planning Area 30: Huddersfield North and West**

- ❖ All Saints Catholic College, Specialist in Humanities
- ❖ North Huddersfield Trust School
- ❖ Royds Hall Community School
- ❖ Salendine Nook High School Academy



**Planning Area 31: Huddersfield South and East, Kirkheaton**

- ❖ Almondbury Community School
- ❖ King James's School
- ❖ Netherhall Learning Campus High School



**Planning Area 32: Huddersfield South West**

- ❖ Moor End Academy
- ❖ Newsome High School and Sports College



## Appendix B

### Draft Local Plan – Early Learning and Childcare

#### Phase 2 - considering the impact of proposed developments phased at a ward level

#### Methodology

1. Establishing a future demand estimate using a conversion rate of 100 new houses produces 1.5 Early Learning and Childcare places per age group (0 to 5 years). The 1.5 conversion rate is based on the established 3.0 conversion rate used for school places adjusted down on the basis that the total number of Early Learning and Childcare places per age group (0 to 5 years) is approximately half the number of school places required per age group.

2. Four full age groups are used to estimate demand for Early Learning and Childcare to reflect:

- the effect maternity leave has on the demand for childcare from children under 1 year old
- the majority of children start school reception the September after their 4<sup>th</sup> birthday (the demand for reception places is covered in School Place Planning)

3. Early estimates of new demand from the government policy to introduce “30 hours free childcare” for 3 and 4 year olds has been included at a ward level. Further details including the methodology for new demand can be found in the 2015 Kirklees Childcare Sufficiency Assessment ([www.kirklees.gov.uk/childcaresufficiency](http://www.kirklees.gov.uk/childcaresufficiency)).

4. For after school clubs there is currently approximately 1 place for every 10 children aged 5 to 11 years in Kirklees. Estimated new demand has been calculated by the established 3.0 conversion rate per 100 houses used for school places per year multiplied by 7 year groups and a 10% conversion representing the number of existing places per child. Existing vacancies have been deducted to estimate expected shortfalls in places at a ward level. The resulting conversion is 2 after school places required for every 100 homes.

#### Risks

- No distinction has been made between the type of childcare places required in terms of services design and age range between 0 and 5 years and 5+ years
- Other than “30 hours free childcare”, no assumptions have been included for any other factors influencing future demand (e.g. rising population, political policy and fluctuations in the childcare market)
- Vacant places are based on the best information available at a fixed point in time
- The demand for childcare services in a specific geographical area is challenging to quantify as parental choice is a major factor (e.g. some parents choose to access childcare on their way to work or nearer to their place of work)



**Local Plan - Early Learning and Childcare Places**  
**Childcare Sufficiency Team, Kirklees Learning and Skills Service**  
**September 2015**

**No anticipated Need.** There is sufficient capacity at ward level to absorb anticipated growth in child population (less than 5 places required).

**Anticipated Need** There is not sufficient capacity at ward level to absorb anticipated growth in child population (5 to 49 places required).

**High level of anticipated need.** In excess of 50 new early learning and childcare places are expected to be required at ward level to meet this need over the Local Plan period.

| <b>Ward No.</b> | <b>Ward</b>             | <b>IMPACT - 5 years</b>   | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 10 years</b>   | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 15 years</b>   |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|
| 1               | Almondbury              | No anticipated need for additional places                           | No anticipated need for additional places   | Anticipated need for approximately 25 additional places (0-5 years) and 7 after school places (5+ years)                |
| 2               | Ashbrow                 | Anticipated need for approximately 18 additional places (0-5 years) | High level of anticipated need for approximately 78 additional places (0-5 years)                         | High level of anticipated need for approximately 134 additional places (0-5 years)                                      |
| 3               | Batley East             | No anticipated need for additional places                           | Anticipated need for approximately 33 additional places (0-5 years) and 16 after school places (5+ years) | High level of anticipated need for approximately 76 additional places (0-5 years) and 30 after school places (5+ years) |
| 4               | Batley West             | No anticipated need for additional places                           | No anticipated need for additional places   | No anticipated need for additional places   |
| 5               | Birstall and Birkenshaw | No anticipated need for additional places                           | Anticipated need for approximately 16 additional places (0-5 years)                                       | Anticipated need for approximately 25 additional places (0-5 years)   |
| 6               | Cleckheaton             | Anticipated need for approximately 14 additional places (0-5 years) | Anticipated need for approximately 41 additional places (0-5 years)                                       | High level of anticipated need for approximately 50 additional places (0-5 years)                                       |

| <b>Ward No.</b> | <b>Ward</b>               | <b>IMPACT - 5 years</b>   | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 10 years</b>  | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 15 years</b>  |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| 7               | Colne Valley              | Anticipated need for approximately 35 additional places (0-5 years)               | High level of anticipated need for approximately 63 additional places (0-5 years)  | High level of anticipated need for approximately 80 additional places (0-5 years)  |
| 8               | Crosland Moor & Netherton | No anticipated need for additional places   | Anticipated need for approximately 29 additional places (0-5 years)  | High level of anticipated need for approximately 54 additional places (0-5 years) and 7 after school places (5+ years)   |
| 9               | Dalton                    | Anticipated need for approximately 31 additional places (0-5 years)               | Anticipated need for approximately 44 additional places (0-5 years)  | High level of anticipated need for approximately 54 additional places (0-5 years)  |
| 10              | Denby Dale                | Anticipated need for approximately 17 additional places (0-5 years)               | High level of anticipated need for approximately 59 additional places (0-5 years)  | High level of anticipated need for approximately 81 additional places (0-5 years) and 8 after school places (5+ years)   |
| 11              | Dewsbury East             | No anticipated need for additional places   | No anticipated need for additional places  | Anticipated need for approximately 18 additional places (0-5 years)  |
| 12              | Dewsbury South            | High level of anticipated need for approximately 75 additional places (0-5 years) | High level of anticipated need for approximately 145 additional places (0-5 years) and 25 after school places (5+ years) | High level of anticipated need for approximately 206 additional places (0-5 years) and 45 after school places (5+ years) |
| 13              | Dewsbury West             | No anticipated need for additional places   | No anticipated need for additional places  | Anticipated need for approximately 17 additional places (0-5 years)  |
| 14              | Golcar                    | No anticipated need for additional places   | No anticipated need for additional places  | No anticipated need for additional places  |
| 15              | Greenhead                 | No anticipated need for additional places   | No anticipated need for additional places  | Anticipated need for approximately 8 additional places (0-5 years)   |
| 16              | Heckmondwike              | No anticipated need for additional places   | No anticipated need for additional places  | No anticipated need for additional places  |

| <b>Ward No.</b> | <b>Ward</b>           | <b>IMPACT - 5 years</b>   | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 10 years</b>  | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACT - 15 years</b>  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| 17              | Holme Valley North    | Anticipated need for approximately 35 additional places (0-5 years)               | High level of anticipated need for approximately 67 additional places (0-5 years)                                      | High level of anticipated need for approximately 74 additional places (0-5 years)                                      |
| 18              | Holme Valley South    | No anticipated need for additional places   | Anticipated need for approximately 20 additional places (0-5 years)  | Anticipated need for approximately 35 additional places (0-5 years)  |
| 19              | Kirkburton            | No anticipated need for additional places   | Anticipated need for approximately 27 additional places (0-5 years)  | Anticipated need for approximately 33 additional places (0-5 years)  |
| 20              | Lindley               | Anticipated need for approximately 45 additional places (0-5 years)               | High level of anticipated need for approximately 88 additional places (0-5 years) and 5 after school places (5+ years) | High level of anticipated need for approximately 97 additional places (0-5 years) and 8 after school places (5+ years) |
| 21              | Liversedge & Gomersal | High level of anticipated need for approximately 70 additional places (0-5 years) | High level of anticipated need for approximately 89 additional places (0-5 years)                                      | High level of anticipated need for approximately 108 additional places (0-5 years)                                     |
| 22              | Mirfield              | High level of anticipated need for approximately 50 additional places (0-5 years) | High level of anticipated need for approximately 64 additional places (0-5 years)                                      | High level of anticipated need for approximately 72 additional places (0-5 years)                                      |
| 23              | Newsome               | No anticipated need for additional places   | Anticipated need for approximately 21 additional places (0-5 years)  | Anticipated need for approximately 43 additional places (0-5 years) and 9 after school places (5+ years)               |