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1.1 This report has been prepared to support the Farnley Estates Masterplan proposals. This report provides an updated access appraisal (original report produced in January 2016) of the Farnley Estates sites that are accessed from the A629 Penistone Road corridor, which have been included as a Draft Site Allocation.
1.2 The following Farnley Estates sites located along the A629 Penistone Road corridor have been included as Draft Site Allocations and are considered in this report:

- Site H31 allocated for 68 dwellings (Our teams previous site reference no. 2).
- Site H2684a allocated for 286 dwellings (Our teams previous site references no. 3 \& 6), which is separated into eastern and western parcels bisected by a former railway line. This site also provides access to Dartmouth Estates site H2730a (312 dwellings).
1.3 Previous assessment work undertaken by Sanderson Associates assessed the potential site access arrangements for the sites, which included a new priority junction access to service site H 31 ; and a new roundabout junction to serve site H2684a, together with realignment of Rowley Lane. Further detail was also provided as requested by Kirklees Council to confirm that a road link was achievable across the former railway line that bisects site H2684a. Details contained within the Site Appraisal reports prepared by Kirklees Council confirm that these access arrangements are achievable and that there are no physical constraints within the sites that cannot be mitigated against.
1.4 The Kirklees Council Site Appraisal report for the adjacent Dartmouth Estates site (H2730a) also states that only a limited number of units should be served by the existing Hermitage Park access road. Therefore, the majority of that site allocation may need to be accessed via the H2684a site. As such, this report included an assessment of traffic from this site, to confirm that the proposed roundabout access could also accommodate this development site.


## 2

2.1.2 For a site of this scale, a priority junction with a single lane exit would be appropriate, with right turn lane provision on Penistone Road. The junction would also require radii of 10 m due to the current 40 mph speed limit; and have visibility splays of $2.4 \times 90-120 \mathrm{~m}$, although these splays may be reduced should the speed limit be reduced on Penistone Road (or if actual speeds are lower), which may be considered appropriate by the Local Highway Authority (LHA). Due to the long site frontage on to Penistone Road, there is considerable scope to locate the site access, which achieves adequate junction spacing and visibility.

### 2.2 Site H2684 (western parcel) - Land south of Woodsome Drive, Lepton

2.2.1 The illustrative plans indicate that this site could accommodate approximately 63 no. dwellings. Based on this scale of development, the site could be served by a simple priority junction on to Rowley Lane, as shown on drawing 9058/003 included in Appendix B. As can be seen from the plan, the junction could be provided as a crossroads with Woodsome Drive.
2.2.2 For a development of this scale, a priority junction with a single lane exit would be appropriate. No right turn lane provision on Rowley Lane is considered to be required. The junction would also require radii of 6 m due to the current 30 mph speed limit; and have visibility splays of $2.4 \times 43 \mathrm{~m}$ that can be achieved in the suggested location.
2.2.3 As discussed with the Local Highway Authority, capacity problems are experienced at peak times at the Rowley Lane/Penistone Road priority junction. Therefore, to accommodate additional development traffic on Rowley Lane, improvements to this junction may be required. As such, consideration has been given to the feasibility of utilising site 3 to provide a new roundabout on Penistone Road, which would replace the existing Rowley Lane and Woodsome Road junctions, as shown on drawing 9058/007 included in Appendix B.
2.2.4 The provision of a new roundabout would address existing problems at the Rowley Lane junction and accommodate development traffic from Site H2684a (both western and eastern parcel, with the full allocation of 286 dwellings); and also the Dartmouth Estates site H2730a.
2.2.5 Preliminary capacity analysis has been undertaken of the roundabout (outlined in Section 3), which indicates that single lane approaches on both the Woodsome Road and Rowley Lane/H2684a site arms are adequate, with two lane flared approaches on both of the Penistone Road arms. The realignment of Rowley Lane would require the existing bus stops to be relocated, with indicative stop locations shown on drawing 9058/007.

### 2.3 Site H2684 (western parcel) - Land southeast of Hermitage Park, Lepton

2.3.1 There are currently no main public highways within the immediate vicinity of the western parcel of site H2584a. Therefore, to provide access to this site, some land acquisition is likely to be required.
2.3.2 To provide access via Hermitage Park, it would be necessary to purchase land from some of the existing properties to allow a suitable means of access to be created. Based on access via the existing Hermitage Park junction on to Rowley Lane, the illustrative masterplans indicate that the site could accommodate approximately 45 no. dwellings, which could be adequately served by the existing junction, which benefits from adequate visibilities splays.
2.3.3 As with the western parcel of Site H2684a, to accommodate additional development traffic on Rowley Lane, improvements to the Rowley Lane/Penistone Road junction may be required. Therefore, the viability of providing an access across the dismantled railway and gaining access via the western parcel of Site H2684a has been considered, which would enable the full site allocation (286 dwellings) to be delivered.
2.3.4 As requested by Kirklees Council, a cross section was provided to confirm that an access road link can be provided across the former railway, which is shown on drawing 9058/005 included in Appendix B. This drawing shows that there is adequate width to provide a highway corridor of sufficient width to accommodate a 6.5 m wide carriageway, with footways, verges and embankments of appropriate width and gradient on both sides. It has also been confirmed to Kirklees Council that a legal agreement is now in place between Farnley Estates and the railway embankment land owner that mean this road link is achievable.

## 3 Traffic generations and assessment

### 3.1 Scope of assessment

3.1.1 A preliminary assessment has been undertaken of the peak hour traffic that could be generated by sites H31 and H2684a onto the Penistone Road corridor, to enable Kirklees Council to consider the wider implications of the Local Plan sites.
3.1.2 The traffic generation information has also be utilised to model the proposed roundabout junction on to Penistone Road to confirm that it could operate adequately in capacity terms. In addition to this, a further assessment scenario has been undertaken that assumes that all of the traffic from site Dartmouth Estates site (H2730a) is also served via site H2684a and gains access to Penistone Road via the proposed roundabout.

### 3.2 Based traffic data and growth

3.2.1 Traffic count data has been obtained at the Rowley Lane/Penistone Road and Woodsome Road/Penistone Road junctions on Thursday $3^{\text {rd }}$ December, with the surveys recording cross movements between the Rowley Lane and Penistone Road arms. This data has been analysed, with the network peak hour flows (in PCU's) shown on Figure 2 in Appendix A.
3.2.2 For feasibility assessment purposes, 11 years traffic growth (10 years from current year) has been applied to the above survey data to ensure a robust assessment is undertaken, with the following traffic growth factors obtained from the TEMPRO 7 database (All routes in Kirklees uses, as they provide higher rates than the Urban Principal rates in the local area (MSOA Kirklees 051)):

|  | TEMPRO Growth Factors <br> 2015-2026 |
| :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak | 1.1560 |
| PM Peak | 1.1585 |

3.2.3 The 2015 base traffic data has been growthed to 2026, with the flows shown on Figure 3 in Appendix A.

### 3.3 Traffic generations and distribution

3.3.1 The TRICS database has been utilised to calculate potential traffic generations for the sites. As the type of housing that may be proposed on each site is not currently known, detailed interrogation of the TRICS database has not been undertaken. Instead, average rates has been derived for sites in England (excluding London) from the 'Houses Privately Owned' dataset, which are considered to give a reasonable indication of the likely vehicles trips that would be generated by the sites, with further detailed assessment required in due course.
3.3.2 The TRICS output data is included in Appendix C, with the weekday network peak hour trip rates shown in the following table:

|  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IN | 0.151 | 0.353 |
| OUT | 0.399 | 0.203 |

3.3.3 To determine the potential traffic distribution from the sites, a simple gravity model has been produced using method of travel to work data from the 2011 census for the Kirklees 51 Middle Super Output Layer (MSOA), with a summary of the trip distribution and route allocation included in Appendix D. Based on this assessment, site traffic has been distributed as follows:

| Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $58.6 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |

3.3.4 Based on the higher number of dwellings either identified on the illustrative masterplan drawings, or the Draft Site Allocations report, the sites could accommodate the following units:

| Site No. | Max. <br> Units |
| :---: | :---: |
| H31 | 81 |
| H2684a | 286 |
| H2730a | 312 |

3.3.5 Based on the aforementioned dwelling numbers, the trip rates identified in paragraph 3.3.2 and the traffic distribution identified in paragraph 3.3.3, the peak hour trip distribution for each site have been calculated and are shown on Figures

## 4-6 in Appendix A.

### 3.4 Traffic modelling

3.4.1 The proposed roundabout that could serve sites H2684a and replace the existing Rowley Lane and Woodsome Road junctions on to Penistone Road has been assessed using ARCADY modelling software, with the output data included in Appendix E. As can be seen from the modelling results, the roundabout would be able to operate within capacity (RFC of below 0.850) utilising the ODTab flow profile in 2026. Even with the addition of the traffic from the Dartmouth Estates site (Site H2730a) the junction would still operate within capacity (RFC remains below 1.000).
3.4.2 Therefore, it is considered that a roundabout junction of this scale would be appropriate to serve these sites and would address the existing capacity issues at the Rowley Lane and Woodsome Road junctions.

### 3.5 Waterloo Junction

3.5.1 During discussions with Kirklees Council, the traffic impact of the Farnley Estates sites on the Waterloo traffic signal control junction have been queried.
3.5.2 An assessment of this junction is considered to be outside of the scope of this access appraisal, as the impact at this junction is a matter for Kirklees Council to consider on a strategic basis as part of the Local Plan process.
3.5.3 However, this report does identify the network peak traffic flows that have been predicted to utilise the Penistone Road corridor and that could head north towards the Waterloo junction, which will allow Kirklees Council to undertake this strategic traffic assessment in conjunction with other Site Allocations.
3.5.4 Based on the traffic assessment work included in this report, this shows that as a worst case (assuming all traffic heading north utilise the Waterloo junction) up to 117 and 119 trips in the weekday AM and PM peaks respectively could utilise the junction, which would equate to 2 trips per minute. When these flows are compared to the 2026 base traffic flows on Penistone Road, this would equate to increases of between $7 \%$ and $6 \%$ in the AM and PM peaks respectively. Therefore, whilst these traffic increases would have some effect on this junction, the increases would be within existing daily traffic fluctuations that can be around $10 \%$.
3.5.5 Should the Farnley Estates Site Allocations be confirmed, planning applications would be submitted in due course. Therefore, at that time, detailed Transport Assessments will be required to support these applications, which will assess the development traffic impact at the Waterloo junction.
3.5.6 It is noted that the Waterloo junction is referred to in the Draft Local Plan as a junction of concern; and as such, is included on the Draft CIL 123 List, with a funding gap for improvement identified of £1.5-2.0 million (100\% of required funding). Therefore, as CIL contributions will be applicable to the Farnley Estates site, these contributions can be used to fund improvement works at this junction, should they be deemed necessary and of sufficiently high priority at that time.

## 4 <br> Accessibility

### 4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 As part of the Site Allocation process, Kirklees Council have reviewed the accessibility of the sites by non-car modes of transport. This assessment has been based on the accessibility criteria set out in DfT guidance, which includes 'lower' and 'upper' thresholds to a range of services based on journey time by non-car modes, with the thresholds shown in the following table:

| Criteria from DfT Accessibility Statistics Guidance 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service | Lower threshold (mins.) | Upper threshold (mins.) |
| Employment | 20 | 40 |
| Primary School | 15 | 30 |
| Secondary School | 20 | 40 |
| Further Education | 30 | 60 |
| GP | 15 | 30 |
| Hospital | 30 | 60 |
| Food store | 15 | 30 |
| Town Centre | 15 | 30 |

4.1.2 The Site Allocation process then uses the above criteria to assess the sites against 19 Objectives, 6 of which relate to accessibility. The scores for these 6 questions for each site are shown in the following table, which include both the Kirklees Council score included in the Site Appraisal report, together with our own score that we have checked based on the DfT criteria:

| Site H31 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SA Objective <br> No. | Site Allocation Objective <br> Type | Kirklees <br> Assessment | Sandersons <br> Assessment |
| 1 | Employment | ++ | ++ |
| 3 | Education | $++?$ | $++?$ |
| 4 | Health | + | + |
| 6 | Local Services | + | ++ |
| 10 | Sustainable Transport | ++ | ++ |
| 19 | Climate change | ++ | ++ |

## Site 2684a

| Site 2684a |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SA Objective <br> No. | Site Allocation Objective <br> Type | Kirklees <br> Assessment | Sandersons <br> Assessment |
| 1 | Employment | ++ | ++ |
| 3 | Education | $++? / 0 ?$ | $++?$ |
| 4 | Health | $+/-$ | + |
| 6 | Local Services | $++/-$ | ++ |
| 10 | Sustainable Transport | ++ | ++ |
| 19 | Climate change | ++ | ++ |

4.1.3 Generally the Kirklees scores are identical to those we have determined. However, the only differences are the scores for the SA Objective 6, which Kirklees state as + for site H31 and ++/- for site H2684a. Due to both sites being within close proximity to Lepton Village Centre and Kirkburton/Almondbury District Centres it is considered that a score of ++ is applicable. This assessment is backed up by the Local Plan Settlement Appraisal data that gives Lepton a maximum of 3 for it's 'Local Centre Accessibility Score'.
4.1.4 Further details of the services that are accessible by non-car modes are provided in Section 4.3 to confirm the above findings.

### 4.2 Access by non-car modes

4.2.1 New access roads and pedestrian/cycle links will be provide for both sites. This will include routes with a maximum gradient of $1: 14$, which will enable them to be accessible on foot to all people.
4.2.2 Public Rights of Way (PROW) that lie within or adjacent to the site, will be improved as necessary to ensure that they are protected and enhanced.
4.2.3 Bus stops are currently available of Rowley Lane adjacent to site H2684a and on Penistone Road either adjacent to or in close proximity to both site H31 and H2684a. As part of the proposals for site H2684a could include a realignment of Rowley Lane and a new roundabout on Penistone Road, this would require alterations to the existing bus stops on Rowley Lane. This would allow for the stops to be improved to reduce the walking distance to the Farnley Estates sites and the main urban area in Lepton.
4.2.4 The possible location of the relocated bus stops, together with the location of other existing bus stops are shown on Figure 7 in Appendix A and drawing 9058/007 in

## Appendix B.

4.2.5 From the centre of the sites H 31 and the western parcel of site H 2684 a , the existing bus stops on Penistone Road are within 200m ( $21 / 2$ minute walk). This increase to around 400 m ( 5 minute walk) to the centre of the eastern parcel of site H2684a, with the furthest part of the site around $600 \mathrm{~m}(71 / 2$ minute walk).
4.2.6 From the centre of site H31 the existing bus stops (and the suggested relocated stops) on Rowley Lane are within 400 m ( 5 minute walk). The stops are immediately adjacent to the western parcel of site H2684a and are within 400 m ( 5 minute walk) of the centre of the eastern parcel of site H2684a, with the furthest part of the site around 600 m ( $71 / 2$ minute walk).
4.2.7 It is concluded that the existing/proposed bus stop locations will be easily accessible to future residents.
4.2.8 The bus stops on Rowley Lane are currently served by 2 public services ( 80 \& 83A/84A) that operate between Huddersfield and Clayton West/Denby Dale. The only regular service is the service no. 80, which operates hourly during weekdays and Saturdays and could be used by future residents to gain access to the local services provided in Lepton Village Centre. In addition to the public services, school buses services are available on Rowley Lane to King James School (K77/K79) and Kirkburton Middle School (K89), which take approximately 5 minutes and 15 minutes respectively.
4.2.9 The bus stops on Penistone Road are currently served by 4 further public services ( $81,82,83 \& 84$, together with $80 \& 83 \mathrm{~A} / 84 \mathrm{~A}$ from Rowley Lane) that operate between Huddersfield and Clayton West/Denby Dale. These services operate with an average frequency of approximately 3 per hour during weekdays and Saturdays, with a reduced service on Sunday (1 per hour) and could be used by future residents to gain access to Huddersfield Town Centre, which take approximately 21 minutes. In addition to the public services, a school bus service
is available on Penistone Road to Shelley College (K88), which takes approximately 15 minutes.
4.2.10 It is concluded that the bus services currently available provide a range of frequent services to local/district centres, the Town Centre and to Secondary Schools/Further Education, which will allow future residents to travel by bus.

### 4.3 Location of services

4.3.1 Figure 7 in Appendix A includes plans that show the location of some of the key services that are available within walking and cycling distance of the site. The figure indicate walking zones of 800 m and 2 km , which are the generally accepted thresholds for walking, with the 800 m distance being a 5 minute comfortable walk (as set out in Manual for Streets) and 2 km being the preferred maximum walking distance for commuting journeys to work or school (from CIHT document ' Providing for Journeys on Foot). The figure also indicate a nominal cycle zone of 5 km . It is noted that both the walking and cycling thresholds are not upper limits and some more able residents are likely to be able to walk and cycle significantly further than these thresholds. It is also noted that the zones shown are not accurate isochrones, but are instead provided to give an indication of the services available within walking and cycling distance only.
4.3.2 Based on the range of services used within the DfT/Kirklees assessment criteria, a summary of the nearest services to the site are as follows:

- Primary Education - The nearest Primary School to the sites is located on Rowley Lane, which is approximately 900 m from the centre of site $\mathrm{H} 31,650 \mathrm{~m}$ from the centre of the western parcel of H2684a and 550m from the centre of the eastern parcel of H2684a. Further Primary Schools are available nearby on Station Road, Lepton, in Highburton, Kirkburton and Almondbury.
- Secondary Education - The nearest Secondary Education School is King James in Almondbury. This school is accessible by cycle or bus. Other nearby secondary schools that accessible by cycle or bus are Kirkburton Middle School and Shelley College.
- Further Education - The nearest further education facilities are provided at Shelley College; and Kirklees College and Huddersfield University located in Huddersfield Town Centre. These facilities are slightly above the upper threshold for cycling, but would still be accessible for more able cyclists and are accessible by bus.
- Doctors/Pharmacy - The nearest Doctors and Pharmacy to the sites are located in Lepton Village Centre. These facilities are located towards the upper threshold for walking; and are also accessible by cycle and bus. A further Doctors/Pharmacy is located in Kirkburton and a Pharmacy in Waterloo, which are accessible by cycle and bus.
- Hospital - The nearest Hospital is located to the north of Huddersfield Town Centre, which is accessed by buses interchanging at Huddersfield Bus Station.
- Local Facilities - The nearest local facilities, including shops, post office, pub and take-aways are located within Lepton Village Centre. These facilities are located towards the upper threshold for walking; and are also accessible by cycle and bus. Further facilities are provided nearby in Kirkburton, Fenay Bridge and Waterloo, which are accessible by cycle and bus.
- Employment - There are existing employment sites immediately south of the sites on Penistone Road, which are easily accessible on foot (within 800m). Further employment opportunities exist nearby in Lepton, Fenay Bridge, Kirkburton and Waterloo, which are also accessible of foot, by cycle and by bus.
- Town Centre - Huddersfield Town centre is located approximately 5 km from the site and is accessible by cycle and bus.
4.3.3 To review the accessibility of the sites based on the DfT Criteria, the journey time to all of the nearest service types has been assessed for walking, cycling and bus trips; which are shown in the following tables:

Huddersfield

|  | Site H31 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Walk | Cycle | Bus (service no.) | DfT Theshold |
| Primary Education | $11 \mathrm{~min} .(900 \mathrm{~m})$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | Lower |
| Secondary Education | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $9 \mathrm{~min} .(2.3 \mathrm{~km})$ | $10 \mathrm{~min} .(\mathrm{K} 77)$ | Lower |
| Further Education | N/A | $21 \mathrm{~min} .(5.6 \mathrm{~km})$ | $20 \mathrm{~min} .(\mathrm{K} 88)$ | Lower |
| University | N/A | $18 \mathrm{~min} .(4.8 \mathrm{~km})$ | $23 \mathrm{~min} .(81)$ | Lower |
| Doctor/Pharmacy | $21 \mathrm{~min} .(1.7 \mathrm{~km})$ | $6 \mathrm{~min} .(1.7 \mathrm{~km})$ | $10 \mathrm{~min} .(80)^{*}$ | Lower |
| Hospital | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $43 \mathrm{~min} . *^{*}$ | Upper |
| Local Facilities | $10 \mathrm{~min} .(800 \mathrm{~m})$ | $3 \mathrm{~min} .(800 \mathrm{~m})$ | $10 \mathrm{~min} .(80)^{*}$ | Lower |
| Employment | $8 \mathrm{~min} .(600 \mathrm{~m})$ | $2 \mathrm{~min} .(600 \mathrm{~m})$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | Lower |
| Town Centre | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $19 \mathrm{~min} .(5.0 \mathrm{~km})$ | $23 \mathrm{~min} .(81)$ | Upper |


|  | Site H2684a (western parcel) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Walk | Cycle | Bus (service no.) | DfT Theshold |
| Primary Education | $8 \mathrm{~min} .(650 \mathrm{~m})$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | Lower |
| Secondary Education | $24 \mathrm{~min} .(1.9 \mathrm{~km})$ | $7 \mathrm{~min} .(1.9 \mathrm{~km})$ | $10 \mathrm{~min} .(\mathrm{K} 77)$ | Lower |
| Further Education | N/A | $19 \mathrm{~min} .(5.2 \mathrm{~km})$ | $20 \mathrm{~min} .(\mathrm{K} 88)$ | Lower |
| University | N/A | $19 \mathrm{~min} .(5.2 \mathrm{~km})$ | $23 \mathrm{~min} .(81)$ | Lower |
| Doctor/Pharmacy | $19 \mathrm{~min} .(1.5 \mathrm{~km})$ | $6 \mathrm{~min} .(1.5 \mathrm{~km})$ | $10 \mathrm{~min} .(80)^{*}$ | Lower |
| Hospital | N/A | N/A | $43 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{*}$ | Upper |
| Local Facilities | $14 \mathrm{~min} .(1.1 \mathrm{~km})$ | $4 \mathrm{~min} .(1.1 \mathrm{~km})$ | $10 \mathrm{~min} .(80)^{*}$ | Lower |
| Employment | $3 \mathrm{~min} .(200 \mathrm{~m})$ | $1 \mathrm{~min} .(200 \mathrm{~m})$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | Lower |
| Town Centre | N/A | $20 \mathrm{~min} .(5.4 \mathrm{~km})$ | $23 \mathrm{~min} .(81)$ | Upper |


|  | Site H2684a (eastern parcel) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Walk | Cycle | Bus (service no.) | DfT Theshold |
| Primary Education | $7 \mathrm{~min} .(550 \mathrm{~m})$ | N/A | N/A | Lower |
| Secondary Education | N/A | $9 \mathrm{~min} .(2.3 \mathrm{~km})$ | $13 \mathrm{~min} .(\mathrm{K} 77)$ | Lower |
| Further Education | N/A | $21 \mathrm{~min} .(5.6 \mathrm{~km})$ | $23 \mathrm{~min} .(\mathrm{K} 88)$ | Lower |
| University | N/A | $21 \mathrm{~min} .(5.6 \mathrm{~km})$ | $26 \mathrm{~min} .(81)$ | Lower |
| Doctor/Pharmacy | $18 \mathrm{~min} .(1.4 \mathrm{~km})$ | $5 \mathrm{~min} .(1.4 \mathrm{~km})$ | $10 \mathrm{~min} .(80)^{*}$ | Lower |
| Hospital | N/A | N/A | $46 \mathrm{~min} .(81)^{* *}$ | Upper |
| Local Facilities | $13 \mathrm{~min} .(1.0 \mathrm{~m})$ | $4 \mathrm{~min} .(1.0 \mathrm{~m})$ | $10 \mathrm{~min} .(80)^{*}$ | Lower |
| Employment | $5 \mathrm{~min} .(400 \mathrm{~m})$ | $2 \mathrm{~min} .(400 \mathrm{~m})$ | N/A | Lower |
| Town Centre | N/A | $22 \mathrm{~min} .(5.8 \mathrm{~km})$ | $26 \mathrm{~min} .(81)$ | Upper |

All measurements and times are from centre of sites
Based on walking speed of $3 \mathrm{mph} / 4.8 \mathrm{kph}$
Based on cycling speed of $10 \mathrm{mph} / 16 \mathrm{kph}$
Cycling time has not been included for primary school or hospital trips in accordance with DfT guidance
*Min. bus travel time of 10 min has been used.
**5 min. interchange time at Huddersfield Bus Station is included in accordance with Kirklees methodology.
sanderson
4.3.4 As can be seen from the previous tables, the sites are accessible to all services outlined in the DfT/Kirklees criteria, with all except the location of a Hospital or Town Centre being within the lower threshold.
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| sanderson ${ }^{\circ}$ <br>  <br> (consulting engineers) Itd <br> Highwoys \| Trafflc | Transportation | Water <br> T 01924844080 mail@sandersonassociates.co.uk F 01924844081 www.sandersonassociates.co.uk <br> F. 01924844081 www.sandersonassociates.co.uk | 2026 Peak Hour Flows (PCU's) | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Drawn } \\ \text { AD } \end{array}$ | Scale | $\underset{\substack{\text { ITS }}}{\text { Qig }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Checked AD | Date Dec. |  |
|  | Farnley Masterplan | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Approved } \\ \text { AD } \end{array}$ | Drawing Number <br> Figure 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ \text { A4 } \end{gathered}$ |



| sanderson ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> a s s o ciates (consulting engineers) Itd Highways \| Traffic | Transportation | Water <br> T 01924844080 mail@sandersonassociates.co.uk F 01924844081 www.sandersonassociates.co.uk | H31 Site Flows (FE Site 2) | Drawn AD | Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Checked } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Date |  |
|  |  |  | Dec. 2016 |  |
|  | Farnley Masterplan | Approved <br> AD | Drawing Number Figure 4 | Size <br> A4 |
|  |  |  | Figure 4 | A4 |


| sanderson ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> a $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{B} 0 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{B}$ <br> (consulting engineers) Itd <br> Highwoys \| Traffic \| Transportation \| Water <br> T 01924844080 mail@sandersonassociates.co.uk F 01924844081 www.sandersonassociates.co.uk <br> Fol 1924844081 ww.sandersonassocilates.co.uk | H2684a Site Flows <br> (FE Sites 3 \& 6) | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Drawn } \\ A D \end{array}$ | Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Checked } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Date |  |
|  |  |  | Dec. 2016 |  |
|  | Farnley Masterplan | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Approved } \\ A D \end{array}$ | Drawing Number Figure 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ \text { A4 } \end{gathered}$ |



| sanderson ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> a s socidates (consulting engineers) Itd Highwoys \| Traffic | Transportation | Weter T 01924844080 F mail@sandersonassociates.co.uk 01924844081 www.sandersonassociates.co.uk | H2684a Site Flows (FE Site 3) | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \text { Drawn } \\ A D \end{array}$ | ${ }^{\text {Scale }}$ NTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Checked <br> AD | Dec. 2016 |  |
|  | Farnley Masterplan | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Approved } \\ A D \end{array}$ | Drawing Number Figure 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ \text { A4 } \end{gathered}$ |


| sanderson ${ }^{\circ}$ <br>  <br> (consulting engineers) Itd <br> Highwoys \| Traffic | Transportation | Water <br> T 0192484080 F 01924844081 mail@sandersonassociates.co.uk www.sandersonassociates.co.uk <br> (1924 4408 m w.sandersonassociates.co. | $\begin{gathered} \text { H2730a Site Flows } \\ \text { (DE Site) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Drawn } \\ \text { AD } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Checked <br> $A D$ | Date |  |
|  | Farnley Masterplan |  | Drawing Number Figure 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ \text { A4 } \end{gathered}$ |



| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Sanderson}{ }^{\text {san }}$ | H2684a Site Flows (FE Site 6) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Drown } \\ \text { AD } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Scale CTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Checked <br> AD | Dec. 2016 |  |
|  | Farnley Masterplan |  | Drawing Number Figure 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ \text { A4 } \end{gathered}$ |



## APPENDIX B

Drawing 9058/003
Drawing 9058/005
Drawing 9058/007



SECTION A-A 1:200


sanderson
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## APPENDIX C <br> TRICS Output Data

| TRICS 7.2.4 171215 B17.29 | (C) 2015 TRICS Consortium Ltd | Tuesday 05/01/ 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## TRIP RATE CALCULATI ON SELECTI ON PARAMETERS:

```
Land Use : 03-RESIDENTIAL
Category : A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
VEHI CLES
```

Selected regions and areas:
02 SOUTH EAST
ES EAST SUSSEX 1 days
EX ESSEX 1 days
HC HAMPSHIRE 1 days
SC SURREY 1 days
WS WEST SUSSEX 1 days
03 SOUTH WEST
CW CORNWALL 1 days
DC DORSET 1 days
04 EAST ANGLIA
CA CAMBRIDGESHIRE 1 days
NF NORFOLK 2 days
SF SUFFOLK 3 days
05 EAST MI DLANDS
LN LINCOLNSHIRE 3 days
06 WEST MI DLANDS
SH SHROPSHIRE
4 days
ST STAFFORDSHIRE 1 days
WK WARWICKSHIRE 2 days
WM WEST MIDLANDS 1 days
07 YORKSHI RE \& NORTH LI NCOLNSHI RE
NE NORTH EAST LINCOLNSHIRE 1 days
NY NORTH YORKSHIRE 6 days
SY SOUTH YORKSHIRE 1 days
08 NORTH WEST
CH CHESHIRE 4 days
GM GREATER MANCHESTER 1 days
MS MERSEYSIDE 1 days
09 NORTH
CB CUMBRIA 2 days
TW TYNE \& WEAR 1 days

## Filtering Stage 2 selection:

| Parameter: | Number of dwellings |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actual Range: | 6 to 432 (units: ) |
| Range Selected by User: | 6 to 4334 (units: ) |
| Public Transport Provision: |  |
| Selection by: |  |

> Include all surveys

Date Range: $\quad 01 / 01 / 07$ to $12 / 11 / 15$
Selected survey days:

| Monday | 7 days |
| :--- | ---: |
| Tuesday | 13 days |
| Wednesday | 6 days |
| Thursday | 9 days |
| Friday | 6 days |

Selected survey types:
Manual count 41 days

Directional ATC Count 0 days
Selected Locations:
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) 21
Edge of Town 20
Selected Location Sub Categories:
Residential Zone 34
No Sub Category 7

## Filtering Stage $\mathbf{3}$ selection:

| Use Class: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| C3 | 40 days |
| Population within 1 mile: |  |
| 1,001 to 5,000 | 6 days |
| 5,001 to 10,000 | 12 days |
| 10,001 to 15,000 | 6 days |
| 15,001 to 20,000 | 9 days |
| 20,001 to 25,000 | 5 days |
| 25,001 to 50,000 | 3 days |
|  |  |
| Population within 5 miles: |  |
| 5,001 to 25,000 | 3 days |
| 25,001 to 50,000 | 2 days |
| 50,001 to 75,000 | 10 days |
| 75,001 to 100,000 | 7 days |
| 100,001 to 125,000 | 7 days |
| 125,001 to 250,000 | 6 days |
| 250,001 to 500,000 | 1 days |
| 500,001 or More |  |
| Car ownership within 5 miles: | 13 days |
| 0.6 to 1.0 | 28 days |
| 1.1 to 1.5 |  |
|  |  |
| Travel Plan: | 2 days |
| Yes | 39 days |

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED

## VEHI CLES

Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.078 | 41 | 75 | 0.277 | 41 | 75 | 0.355 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.151 | 41 | 75 | 0.399 | 41 | 75 | 0.550 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.150 | 41 | 75 | 0.187 | 41 | 75 | 0.337 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.141 | 41 | 75 | 0.172 | 41 | 75 | 0.313 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.169 | 41 | 75 | 0.160 | 41 | 75 | 0.329 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.181 | 41 | 75 | 0.164 | 41 | 75 | 0.345 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.163 | 41 | 75 | 0.156 | 41 | 75 | 0.319 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.175 | 41 | 75 | 0.188 | 41 | 75 | 0.363 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.284 | 41 | 75 | 0.207 | 41 | 75 | 0.491 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.297 | 41 | 75 | 0.180 | 41 | 75 | 0.477 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.353 | 41 | 75 | 0.203 | 41 | 75 | 0.556 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.257 | 41 | 75 | 0.188 | 41 | 75 | 0.445 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 2.399 |  |  | 2.481 |  |  | 4.880 |

## Parameter summary

| Trip rate parameter range selected: | $6-432$ (units: ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Survey date date range: | $01 / 01 / 07-12 / 11 / 15$ |
| Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday): | 41 |
| Number of Saturdays: | 0 |
| Number of Sundays: | 0 |
| Surveys manually removed from selection: | 2 |

## TRI P RATE CALCULATI ON SELECTI ON PARAMETERS:

```
Land Use : 03-RESIDENTIAL
Category : A -HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
VEHI CLES
```

Selected regions and areas:
02 SOUTH EAST
HF HERTFORDSHIRE 1 days
04 EAST ANGLIA
CA CAMBRIDGESHIRE 1 days
05 EAST MI DLANDS
NR NORTHAMPTONSHIRE 1 days
06 WEST MI DLANDS
SH SHROPSHIRE 1 days
07 YORKSHI RE \& NORTH LI NCOLNSHI RE
NY NORTH YORKSHIRE
08 NORTH WEST
CH CHESHIRE
MS MERSEYSIDE 1 days

## Filtering Stage 2 selection:

| Parameter: | Number of dwellings |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actual Range: | 22 to 195 (units: ) |
| Range Selected by User: | 6 to 4334 (units: ) |

Public Transport Provision:
Selection by: Include all surveys
Date Range: $\quad 01 / 01 / 07$ to $12 / 11 / 15$

| Selected survey days: | 1 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Saturday | 8 days |


| Selected survey types: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Manual count | 9 days |
| Directional ATC Count | 0 days |

Selected Locations:
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
5
Edge of Town 4
Selected Location Sub Categories:
Residential Zone
9

## Filtering Stage $\mathbf{3}$ selection:

Use Class:

Population within 1 mile:

| 1,001 to 5,000 | 1 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5,001 to 10,000 | 1 days |
| 10,001 to 15,000 | 3 days |
| 15,001 to 2,000 | 1 days |
| 20,001 to 25,000 | 2 days |
| 25,001 to 50,000 | 1 days |


| TRICS 7.2.4 171215 B17.29 | (C) 2015 TRICS Consortium Ltd | Tuesday 05/ 01/ 16 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Page $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |

Sanderson Associates (CE) Ltd Jubilee Way, Grange Moor Huddersfield Licence No: 311901

## Filtering Stage 3 selection (Cont.):

$\frac{\text { Population within } 5 \text { miles: }}{5,001 \text { to } 25,000} \quad 2$ days

| 5,001 to 25,000 | 2 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 100,001 to 125,000 | 3 days |

125,001 to 250,000 3 days

500,001 or More
1 days
Car ownership within 5 miles:

| 0.6 to 1.0 | 2 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.1 to 1.5 | 5 days |
| 1.6 to 2.0 | 2 days |

Travel Plan:
No
9 days

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED

## VEHI CLES

Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.032 | 9 | 63 | 0.043 | 9 | 63 | 0.075 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.050 | 9 | 63 | 0.080 | 9 | 63 | 0.130 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.075 | 9 | 63 | 0.179 | 9 | 63 | 0.254 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.155 | 9 | 63 | 0.211 | 9 | 63 | 0.366 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.165 | 9 | 63 | 0.263 | 9 | 63 | 0.428 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.226 | 9 | 63 | 0.206 | 9 | 63 | 0.432 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.201 | 9 | 63 | 0.155 | 9 | 63 | 0.356 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.202 | 9 | 63 | 0.188 | 9 | 63 | 0.390 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.204 | 9 | 63 | 0.160 | 9 | 63 | 0.364 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.190 | 9 | 63 | 0.124 | 9 | 63 | 0.314 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.181 | 9 | 63 | 0.147 | 9 | 63 | 0.328 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.153 | 9 | 63 | 0.117 | 9 | 63 | 0.270 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 1.834 |  |  | 1.873 |  |  | 3.707 |

## Parameter summary

| Trip rate parameter range selected: | $22-195$ (units: ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Survey date date range: | $01 / 01 / 07-12 / 11 / 15$ |
| Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday): | 0 |
| Number of Saturdays: | 1 |
| Number of Sundays: | 8 |
| Surveys manually removed from selection: | 0 |
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APPENDIX D

| Employment Distribution Gravity Model -Sheet 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Penistone Road North | Penistone Road South | Rowley Lane East | Woodsome Road West |
| Barnsley |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Bradford | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Calderdale | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Doncaster |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Kirklees 001 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 002 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 003 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 004 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 005 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 006 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 007 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 008 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 009 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 010 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 011 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 012 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 013 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 014 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 015 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 016 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 017 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 018 |  |  | 100\% |  |
| Kirklees 019 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 020 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 021 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 022 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 023 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 024 |  |  | 100\% |  |
| Kirklees 025 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 026 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 027 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 028 |  |  | 100\% |  |
| Kirklees 029 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 030 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 031 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 032 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 033 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 034 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 035 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 036 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 037 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 038 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 039 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 040 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 041 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 042 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 043 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 044 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 045 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 046 |  |  | 100\% |  |
| Kirklees 047 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 048 | 50\% |  |  | 50\% |
| Kirklees 049 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 050 | 100\% |  |  | 100\% |
| Kirklees 051 |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Kirklees 052 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 053 |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Kirklees 054 |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Kirklees 055 |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Kirklees 056 |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Kirklees 057 |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Kirklees 058 |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Kirklees 059 |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Leeds | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |
| Manchester | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Oldham | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Rochdale | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Rotherham |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Selby | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |
| Sheffield |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Tameside | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Trafford | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Wakefield |  | 50\% | 50\% |  |
| Other | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |


| Employment Distribution Gravity Model -Sheet 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | No. | Penistone Road North | Penistone Road South | Rowley Lane East | Woodsome Road West |
| Barnsley | 64 | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Bradford | 73 | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Calderdale | 128 | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Doncaster | 13 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 001 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 002 | 3 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 003 | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 004 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 005 | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 006 | 2 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 007 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 008 | 2 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 009 | 2 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 010 | 8 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 011 | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 012 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 013 | 7 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 014 | 2 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 015 | 11 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 016 | 11 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 017 | 15 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 018 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 019 | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 020 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 021 | 7 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 022 | 18 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 023 | 8 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 024 | 10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 025 | 36 | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 026 | 25 | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 027 | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 028 | 4 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 029 | 205 | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 030 | 9 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 031 | 19 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 032 | 25 | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 033 | 54 | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 034 | 34 | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 035 | 10 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 036 | 4 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 037 | 20 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 038 | 9 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 039 | 22 | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 040 | 19 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 041 | 7 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 042 | 110 | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 043 | 21 | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 044 | 24 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 045 | 22 | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 046 | 24 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 047 | 7 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 048 | 22 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Kirklees 049 | 17 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 050 | 14 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Kirklees 051 | 116 | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 052 | 6 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 053 | 37 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% |
| Kirklees 054 | 51 | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 055 | 13 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Kirklees 056 | 56 | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 057 | 55 | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 058 | 17 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
| Kirklees 059 | 55 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% |
| Leeds | 187 | 4.4\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Manchester | 8 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Oldham | 11 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Rochdale | 7 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Rotherham | 14 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Selby | 15 | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Sheffield | 30 | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Tameside | 6 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Trafford | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Wakefield | 157 | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Other | 94 | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total | 2,118 | 58.6\% | 29.5\% | 5.5\% | 6.9\% |


|  |  | Site 2 (81 units) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |  |
| AM PEAK | IN | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 12 |  |
|  | OUT | 18 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 32 |  |
| PM PEAK | IN | 17 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 29 |  |
|  | OUT | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 16 |  |
| WEEKEND <br> PEAK | IN | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 18 |  |
|  | OUT | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 17 |  |


|  |  | Site 3 (63 units) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |
| AM PEAK | IN | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
|  | OUT | 15 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 25 |
| PM PEAK | IN | 13 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 22 |
|  | OUT | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| WEEKEND <br> PEAK | IN | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
|  | OUT | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 13 |


|  |  | Site 4 (Hub Uses) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |  |
| AM PEAK | IN | 29 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 50 |  |
|  | OUT | 29 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 50 |  |
| PM PEAK | IN | 29 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 50 |  |
|  | OUT | 29 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 50 |  |
| WEEKEND <br> PEAK | IN | 59 | 29 | 5 | 7 | 100 |  |
|  | OUT | 59 | 29 | 5 | 7 | 100 |  |


|  |  | Site 6 (45 units) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |
| AM PEAK | IN | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
|  | OUT | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| PM PEAK | IN | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
|  | OUT | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| WEEKEND <br> PEAK | IN | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
|  | OUT | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 |


|  |  | Site 16A (285 units) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |  |
| AM PEAK | IN | 25 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 43 |  |
|  | OUT | 67 | 33 | 6 | 8 | 114 |  |
| PM PEAK | IN | 59 | 30 | 5 | 7 | 101 |  |
|  | OUT | 34 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 58 |  |
| WEEKEND | IN | 38 | 19 | 3 | 4 | 64 |  |
|  | OUT | 35 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 59 |  |


|  |  | Site 17 (405 units) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |
| AM PEAK | IN | 36 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 61 |
|  | OUT | 95 | 47 | 9 | 11 | 162 |
| PM PEAK | IN | 83 | 42 | 8 | 10 | 143 |
|  | OUT | 48 | 24 | 4 | 6 | 82 |
| WEEKEND <br> PEAK | IN | 54 | 27 | 5 | 6 | 92 |
|  | OUT | 49 | 24 | 4 | 6 | 83 |
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## A R C A D Y 6

ASSESSMENT OF ROUNDABOUT CAPACITY AND DELAY

Analysis Program: Release 7.0 (FEBRUARY 2010)
(c) Copyright TRL Limited, 2010

Adapted from ARCADY/3 which is Crown Copyright by permission of the controller of HMSO

For sales and distribution information,
program advice and maintenance, contact:

| TRL Limited | Tel: | $+44(0) 1344770758$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crowthorne House | Fax: | $+44(0) 1344770356$ |
| Nine Mile Ride | Email: software@trl.co.uk |  |
| Wokingham, Berks. | Web: | www.trlsoftware.co.uk |

Web: www.trlsoftware.co.uk
RG40 3GA, UK

THE USER OF THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE SOLUTION OF AN ENGINEERING PROBLEM IS IN NO WAY RELIEVED OF THEIR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CORRECTNESS OF THE SOLUTION

Run with file:-
$" j: \backslash 9000 \backslash 9000 \backslash 9058 \_$FarnleyTyas (drive-on-the-left ) at 17:14:15 on Thursday, 8 December 2016

## FITE PROPERTIES

```
    RUN TITLE: Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
    LOCATION: Penistone Road
        DATE: 09/12/16
        CLIENT: Farnley Estates
ENUMERATOR: adam.darwin [PC115]
JOB NUMBER: 9058
    STATUS: TIA
DESCRIPTION
```

INPUT DATA
$\star * * * * * * *$
ARM A - Penistone Road (s)
ARM B - Woodsome Road
ARM C - Penistone Road (n)
ARM D - Rowley Lane
GEOMETRIC DATA

| I ARM |  | I | V (M) | I | E (M) | I | L (M) | I | R (M) | I | D (M) | I | PHI (DEG) | I | SLOPE | I | INTERCEPT (PC | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I ARM | A | I | 3.00 | I | 6.80 | I | 15.00 | I | 20.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 39.0 | I | 0.592 | I | 24.944 | I |
| I ARM | B | I | 3.00 | I | 6.00 | I | 2.00 | I | 12.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 46.0 | I | 0.470 | I | 16.197 | I |
| I ARM | C | I | 3.00 | I | 6.90 | I | 30.00 | I | 20.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 33.0 | I | 0.644 | I | 28.756 | I |
| I ARM | D | I | 3.00 | I | 6.00 | I | 6.00 | I | 20.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 34.0 | I | 0.546 | I | 20.686 | I |


| $V=$ approach half-width | $L=$ effective flare length | D = inscribed circle diameter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $E=$ entry width | $R=$ entry radius | PHI $=$ entry angle |

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

Only sets included in the current run are shown
SCALING FACTORS

IARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I
I A I $\quad 100 \quad$ I

| I | $B$ | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | C | I | 100 | I |

I 100 I

| I | ARM |  | NUMBER OF MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | FLOW |  | VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 10.14 | I |  | 5.21 | I | 10.14 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 2.24 | I |  | 3.36 | I | 2.24 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 10.10 | I |  | 5.15 | I | 10.10 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 4.14 | I |  | 6.21 | I | 4.14 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site H31 + H2684a

| I | ARM |  | I | NUM | MBER OF | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RATE |  | F FI | LOW ( | (VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLO | W STOPS | I |  | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FAL | LIING | I |  | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.20 | 1 |  | 0.30 | I | 0.20 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.05 | I |  | 0.08 | I | 0.05 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | 1 |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.46 | I |  | 0.69 | I | 0.46 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 1.35 | I |  | 2.03 | I | 1.35 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: 2026 Base


[^0]

## QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT





QUEUE AT ARM A

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |

QUEUE AT ARM B

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES <br> IN QUEUE |
|  |  |
| 07.30 |  |
| 07.45 | 0.3 |
| 08.00 |  |
| 08.15 |  |
| 08.30 |  |
| 08.45 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

QUEUE AT ARM

| TIME SEGMENT ENDING | NO. OF |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
| 07.30 | 0.6 |
| 07.45 | 0.9 |
| 08.00 | 1.4 |
| 08.15 | 1.4 |
| 08.30 | 0.9 |
| 08.45 | 0.6 |

QUEUE AT ARM D

| TIME SEGMENT ENDING | NO. OF |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
| 07.30 | 0.5 |
| 07.45 | 0.8 |
| 08.00 | 1.5 |
| 08.15 | 1.5 |
| 08.30 | 0.8 |
| 08.45 | 0.6 |

QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD

| I | ARM | I | TOTAL DEMAND |  | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { INCLUSIVE QUEUEING * } \\ \text { * DELAY * } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  | * D | DEL | AY * | I |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I |
| I | A | I | 1138.3 | I 758.9 | I | 134.0 | I | 0.12 | I | 134.1 | I | 0.12 | I |
| I | B | I | 251.9 | I 167.9 | I | 37.3 | I | 0.15 | I | 37.3 | I | 0.15 | I |
| I | C | I | 1163.1 | I 775.4 | I | 86.5 | I | 0.07 | I | 86.5 | I | 0.07 | I |
| I | D | I | 604.3 | I 402.8 | I | 85.4 | I | 0.14 | I | 85.4 | I | 0.14 | I |
| I | ALL | I | 3157.5 | I 2105.0 | I | 343.2 |  | 0.11 | I | 343.3 | I | 0.11 | I |

[^1]END OF JOB

## A R C A D Y 6

ASSESSMENT OF ROUNDABOUT CAPACITY AND DELAY

Analysis Program: Release 7.0 (FEBRUARY 2010)
(c) Copyright TRL Limited, 2010

Adapted from ARCADY/3 which is Crown Copyright by permission of the controller of HMSO

For sales and distribution information,
program advice and maintenance, contact:

| TRL Limited | Tel: | $+44(0) 1344770758$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crowthorne House | Fax: | $+44(0) 1344770356$ |
| Nine Mile Ride | Email: software@trl.co.uk |  |
| Wokingham, Berks. | Web: | www.trlsoftware.co.uk |

Web: www.trlsoftware.co.uk
RG40 3GA, UK

THE USER OF THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE SOLUTION OF AN ENGINEERING PROBLEM IS IN NO WAY RELIEVED OF THEIR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CORRECTNESS OF THE SOLUTION

Run with file:-
"j: \9000\9000\9058_FarnleyTyas \engineering\Traffic_Programs \Arcady\Rowley Lane Roundabout AM.vai" (drive-on-the-left ) at 17:21:57 on Thursday, 8 December 2016

## FITE PROPERTIES

```
    RUN TITLE: Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
    LOCATION: Penistone Road
        DATE: 09/12/16
        CLIENT: Farnley Estates
ENUMERATOR: adam.darwin [PC115]
JOB NUMBER: 9058
    STATUS: TIA
DESCRIPTION
```

INPUT DATA
*********
ARM A - Penistone Road (s)
ARM B - Woodsome Road
ARM C - Penistone Road (n)
ARM D - Rowley Lane
GEOMETRIC DATA


```
V = approach half-width
L = effective flare length
D = inscribed circle diameter
E = entry width 
```

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

Only sets included in the current run are shown
SCALING FACTORS

IARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I
I A I $\quad 100 \quad$ I

| I | $B$ | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | C | I | 100 | I |

I D I 100 I

```
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - ( 90) MINUTES
```

LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - (15) MINUTES
DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM THE TURNING COUNT DATA

```
DEMAND SET TITLE: 2026 Base
```



DEMAND SET TITLE: Site H31 + H2684a + H2730a

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM |  |  | START WHEN |  |  | I | RATE |  |  | FLOW |  | VEH/MIN) |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | STAR | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I |  | BEFORE | I |  | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I |  | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.38 | I |  | 0.56 | I | 0.38 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.09 | I |  | 0.13 | I | 0.09 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.81 | I |  | 1.22 | I | 0.81 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 2.81 | I |  | 4.22 | I | 2.81 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: 2026 Base


[^2]

## QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT





QUEUE AT ARM A

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |

QUEUE AT ARM B

TIME SEGMENT NO. OF
ENDING VEHICLES
IN QUEUE

| 07.30 | 0.3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 07.45 | 0.4 |  |
| 08.00 | 0.7 | $\star$ |
| 08.15 | 0.7 | * |
| 08.30 | 0.4 |  |
| 08.45 | 0.3 |  |

QUEUE AT ARM 0

| TIME SEGMENT ENDING | NO. OF VEHICLES IN QUEUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 07.30 | 0.7 | * |
| 07.45 | 0.9 | * |
| 08.00 | 1.5 | ** |
| 08.15 | 1.5 | ** |
| 08.30 | 1.0 | * |
| 08.45 | 0.7 |  |

QUEUE AT ARM D

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |

QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD


* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD.
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
* THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

END OF JOB

## A R C A D Y 6

ASSESSMENT OF ROUNDABOUT CAPACITY AND DELAY

Analysis Program: Release 7.0 (FEBRUARY 2010)
(c) Copyright TRL Limited, 2010

Adapted from ARCADY/3 which is Crown Copyright by permission of the controller of HMSO

For sales and distribution information,
program advice and maintenance, contact:

| TRL Limited | Tel: | $+44(0) 1344770758$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crowthorne House | Fax: | $+44(0) 1344770356$ |
| Nine Mile Ride | Email: software@trl.co.uk |  |
| Wokingham, Berks. | Web: | www.trlsoftware.co.uk |

Web: www.trlsoftware.co.uk
RG40 3GA, UK

THE USER OF THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE SOLUTION OF AN ENGINEERING PROBLEM IS IN NO WAY RELIEVED OF THEIR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CORRECTNESS OF THE SOLUTION

Run with file:-
"j: \9000\9000\9058_FarnleyTyas \engineering\Traffic_Programs \Arcady\Rowley Lane Roundabout PM.vai" (drive-on-the-left ) at 17:34:02 on Thursday, 8 December 2016

## FITE PROPERTIES

```
    RUN TITLE: Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
    LOCATION: Penistone Road
        DATE: 09/12/16
        CLIENT: Farnley Estates
ENUMERATOR: adam.darwin [PC115]
JOB NUMBER: 9058
    STATUS: TIA
DESCRIPTION
```

INPUT DATA
$\star * * * * * * *$
ARM A - Penistone Road (s)
ARM B - Woodsome Road
ARM C - Penistone Road (n)
ARM D - Rowley Lane
GEOMETRIC DATA

| I ARM |  | I | V (M) | I | E (M) | I | L (M) | I | R (M) | I | D (M) | I | PHI (DEG) | I | SLOPE | I | INTERCEPT (PC | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I ARM | A | I | 3.00 | I | 6.80 | I | 15.00 | I | 20.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 39.0 | I | 0.592 | I | 24.944 | I |
| I ARM | B | I | 3.00 | I | 6.00 | I | 2.00 | I | 12.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 46.0 | I | 0.470 | I | 16.197 | I |
| I ARM | C | I | 3.00 | I | 6.90 | I | 30.00 | I | 20.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 33.0 | I | 0.644 | I | 28.756 | I |
| I ARM | D | I | 3.00 | I | 6.00 | I | 6.00 | I | 20.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 34.0 | I | 0.546 | I | 20.686 | I |

```
V = approach half-width
L = effective flare length
D = inscribed circle diameter
E = entry width 
```

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

Only sets included in the current run are shown
SCALING FACTORS

IARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I

| I A | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| I | $B$ | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | C | I | 100 | I |

I I 100 I


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site H31 + H2684a


DEMAND SET TITLE: 2026 Base


[^3]

## QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT





QUEUE AT ARM A

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :--- | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |


| 17.15 | 0.9 | $\star$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17.30 | 1.4 | $\star$ |
| 17.45 | 2.6 | $\star * *$ |
| 18.00 | 2.6 | $\star * *$ |
| 18.15 | 1.4 | $\star$ |
| 18.30 | 0.9 | $\star$ |

QUEUE AT ARM B

TIME SEGMENT NO. OF
ENDING VEHICLES
IN QUEUE

| 17.15 | 0.2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 17.30 | 0.2 |
| 17.45 | 0.4 |
| 18.00 | 0.4 |
| 18.15 | 0.2 |
| 18.30 | 0.2 |

QUEUE AT ARM

| TIME SEGMENT ENDING | NO. OF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |
| 17.15 | 1.3 | * |
| 17.30 | 2.0 | ** |
| 17.45 | 4.8 |  |
| 18.00 | 5.0 |  |
| 18.15 | 2.1 | ** |
| 18.30 | 1.3 | * |

QUEUE AT ARM D

TIME SEGMENT NO. OF
ENDING VEHICLES
IN QUEUE

| 17.15 | 0.6 | $*$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17.30 | 0.9 | $*$ |
| 17.45 | 2.2 | $* *$ |
| 18.00 | 2.3 | $* *$ |
| 18.15 | 1.0 | $*$ |
| 18.30 | 0.6 | $*$ |

QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD

| I | ARM | I | TOTAL | DEMAND | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | * INCLUSIVE QUEUEING ** DELAY * |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & I \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | * D | EL | AY * | I |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I |
| I | A | I | 1208.5 | I 805.7 | I | 144.2 |  | 0.12 | I | 144.2 | I | 0.12 | I |
| I | B | I | 170.7 | I 113.8 | I | 22.6 | I | 0.13 | I | 22.6 | I | 0.13 | I |
| I | C | I | 1679.2 | I 1119.5 | I | 239.2 | I | 0.14 | I | 239.2 | I | 0.14 | I |
| I | D | I | 527.2 | I 351.4 | I | 109.1 |  | 0.21 | I | 109.1 | I | 0.21 | I |
| I | ALL | I | 3585.6 | I 2390.4 | I | 515.1 |  | 0.14 | I | 515.1 | I | 0.14 | I |

[^4]
## A R C A D Y 6

ASSESSMENT OF ROUNDABOUT CAPACITY AND DELAY

Analysis Program: Release 7.0 (FEBRUARY 2010)
(c) Copyright TRL Limited, 2010

Adapted from ARCADY/3 which is Crown Copyright by permission of the controller of HMSO

For sales and distribution information,
program advice and maintenance, contact:

| TRL Limited | Tel: | $+44(0) 1344770758$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crowthorne House | Fax: | $+44(0) 1344770356$ |
| Nine Mile Ride | Email: software@trl.co.uk |  |
| Wokingham, Berks. | Web: | www.trlsoftware.co.uk |

Web: www.trlsoftware.co.uk
RG40 3GA, UK

THE USER OF THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE SOLUTION OF AN ENGINEERING PROBLEM IS IN NO WAY RELIEVED OF THEIR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CORRECTNESS OF THE SOLUTION

Run with file:-
"j: \9000\9000\9058_FarnleyTyas \engineering\Traffic_Programs \Arcady\Rowley Lane Roundabout PM.vai" (drive-on-the-left ) at 17:33:11 on Thursday, 8 December 2016

## FITE PROPERTIES

```
    RUN TITLE: Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
    LOCATION: Penistone Road
        DATE: 09/12/16
        CLIENT: Farnley Estates
ENUMERATOR: adam.darwin [PC115]
JOB NUMBER: 9058
    STATUS: TIA
DESCRIPTION
```

INPUT DATA
$\star * * * * * * *$
ARM A - Penistone Road (s)
ARM B - Woodsome Road
ARM C - Penistone Road (n)
ARM D - Rowley Lane
GEOMETRIC DATA

| I ARM |  | I | V (M) | I | E (M) | I | L (M) | I | R (M) | I | D (M) | I | PHI (DEG) | I | SLOPE | I | INTERCEPT (PC | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I ARM | A | I | 3.00 | I | 6.80 | I | 15.00 | I | 20.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 39.0 | I | 0.592 | I | 24.944 | I |
| I ARM | B | I | 3.00 | I | 6.00 | I | 2.00 | I | 12.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 46.0 | I | 0.470 | I | 16.197 | I |
| I ARM | C | I | 3.00 | I | 6.90 | I | 30.00 | I | 20.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 33.0 | I | 0.644 | I | 28.756 | I |
| I ARM | D | I | 3.00 | I | 6.00 | I | 6.00 | I | 20.00 | I | 40.00 | I | 34.0 | I | 0.546 | I | 20.686 | I |

```
V = approach half-width
L = effective flare length
D = inscribed circle diameter
E = entry width 
```

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

Only sets included in the current run are shown
SCALING FACTORS

## IARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I

| I A I | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| I | $B$ | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | C | I | 100 | I |

I I 100 I

```
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - ( 90) MINUTES
```

LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - (15) MINUTES
DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM THE TURNING COUNT DATA

```
DEMAND SET TITLE: 2026 Base
```



DEMAND SET TITLE: Site H31 + H2684a + H2730a


DEMAND SET TITLE: 2026 Base


[^5]

## QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT





QUEUE AT ARM A

| TIME SEGMENT ENDING | NO. OF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |
| 17.15 | 1.0 | * |
| 17.30 | 1.5 | ** |
| 17.45 | 3.1 | ** |
| 18.00 | 3.2 | *** |
| 18.15 | 1.6 | ** |
| 18.30 | 1.0 | * |

QUEUE AT ARM B

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
|  |  |
| 17.15 | 0.2 |
| 17.30 | 0.3 |
| 17.45 | 0.4 |
| 18.00 | 0.4 |
| 18.15 | 0.3 |
| 18.30 | 0.2 |

QUEUE AT ARM 0

| TIME SEGMENT ENDING | NO. OF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |
| 17.15 | 1.5 | * |
| 17.30 | 2.5 | *** |
| 17.45 | 7.4 | *** |
| 18.00 | 8.0 | *** |
| 18.15 | 2.7 | *** |
| 18.30 | 1.5 | ** |

OUEUE AT ARM D

TIME SEGMENT NO. OF
ENDING VEHICLES
IN QUEUE

| 17.15 | 0.7 | $\star$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17.30 | 1.2 | $\star$ |
| 17.45 | 3.6 | $\star * * *$ |
| 18.00 | 4.0 | $* * * *$ |
| 18.15 | 1.3 | $\star$ |
| 18.30 | 0.7 | $\star$ |

QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD

| I | ARM | I | TOTAL | DEMAND | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | INCLUSIVE QUEUEING * <br> * DELAY * |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | * D | DL | AY * | I |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I |
| I | A | I | 1252.5 | I 835.0 | I | 169.4 | I | 0.14 | I | 169.5 | I | 0.14 | I |
| I | B | I | 181.7 | I 121.1 | I | 26.4 | I | 0.15 | I | 26.4 | I | 0.15 | I |
| I | C | I | 1767.3 | I 1178.2 | I | 333.8 | I | 0.19 | I | 333.9 | I | 0.19 | I |
| I | D | I | 609.8 | I 406.5 | I | 165.4 | I | 0.27 | I | 165.4 | I | 0.27 | I |
| I | ALL | I | 3811.3 | I 2540.9 | I | 695.1 |  | 0.18 | I | 695.2 | I | 0.18 | I |

[^6]
[^0]:    DEMAND SET TITLE: Site H31 + H2684a

[^1]:    * DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD.
    * INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
    * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

[^2]:    DEMAND SET TITLE: Site H31 + H2684a + H2730a

[^3]:    DEMAND SET TITLE: Site H31 + H2684a

[^4]:    * DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD.
    * INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD
    * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

    END OF JOB

[^5]:    DEMAND SET TITLE: Site H31 + H2684a + H2730a

[^6]:    * DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD.
    * INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
    * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

    END OF JOB

