Mr Philip Shaw 34 Water Lane Middlestown Wakefield WF4 4PX

Email:- philikawa1@btinternet.com

## Site no. RSSGB28 Matter 24

Dear Ms Child,

We understand stage 4 hearing is not to discuss individual sites. We use our rejected small site **<u>RSSGB28</u>** in Dewsbury South to reason why we believe The Local Plan is unsound.

We do not believe the plan supports a "thriving rural community" by not utilising sites that can be classed as brownfield sites which exist within Dewsbury South.

The policy is not consistent with the NPPF point 89

The plan doesn't address housing needs in settlements.

The plan is not consistent with the NPPF point 89

"Limited infilling or partial redevelopment of previously developed sites, whether redundant, which would not have a greater impact on the openness of the green belt" have not been utilised

Settlement 1062 952 Land north of Edge Road, Thornhill Edge 0.3 We believe this incorporates RSSGB28 the said land is privately owned, without any benefits to the open space, there are no visual natural areas on the said piece of land, rather it has been included in the whole area assessment area which it is not part of.

A clear boundary is not compromised between Kirklees and Wakefield as the land sits well within the existing boundary, this also refutes the possibility of significant sprawl.

Kind Regards

Philip Shaw

Mr Philip Shaw

34 Water Lane

Middlestown

Wakefield

WF4 4PX

Email:- philikawa1@btinternet.com

## Site no. RSSGB28 Matter 26

Dear Ms Child,

We understand stage 4 hearing is not to discuss individual sites. We use our rejected small site **<u>RSSGB28</u>** in Dewsbury South to reason why we believe The Local Plan is unsound.

The Plan over develops Dewsbury South urban areas to the detriment of rural settlements.

As in the case of the sustainable settlement of Thornhill Edge.

Kind Regards

Philip Shaw

Mr Philip Shaw

34 Water Lane

Middlestown

Wakefield

WF4 4PX

Email:- philikawa1@btinternet.com

## Site no. RSSGB28 Matter 34

Dear Ms Child,

We understand stage 4 hearing is not to discuss individual sites. We use our rejected small site **<u>RSSGB28</u>** in Dewsbury South to reason why we believe The Local Plan is unsound.

Dewsbury South allocation Site RSSGB3439 to the north of Briestfield Road is an accepted small site in green belt/greenfield., Edge Road small site RSSGB28 also in Dewsbury South is a previously developed site and hasn't been allocated in the plan. This makes the site allocations unjustified.

Kind Regards

**Philip Shaw**