

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: Whole Document	Consultee: 944150	Mr Andrew Gilpin	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RS051
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the whole plan is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 942846	Carolyn Newton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1213
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 943932	Mr Michael Howarth-Coyne	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO744
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Use brownfield sites first and use empty homes.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additional comments made relate to increased noise, air and light pollution, need to increase policing in the village, lack of recreation facilities, developments will not help biodiversity or geodiversity in the village, lack of gritting local roads, a Neighbourhood Plan should be prepared for the area.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional comments made relate to the site not being in conformity with NPPF paragraph 12 (core planning principles).			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 944355	Dianne Hirst	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO746
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 944393	James A Hirst	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO737
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **944394 E Mitchell**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO724**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **944651 Mr Simon E Cave**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1150**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **944666 Mrs Jayne Andrews**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO716**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **944670 Mr W E Booth**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO863**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 944679	Mr Howard J Chadwick	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1004
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 944684	Mr Gerry Murray	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO731
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 944803	Mr Michael Howden	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO985
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Scale of proposed development disproportionate to the size of the village. A smaller proposal for housing in the locality was refused 20 years ago.			
Proposed Change Requested	The site should not be built upon.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 944805	Elaine Mason	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1060
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 944807	Mrs L M Stanley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO847
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17. 28. 30. 34. 38. 72. 76. 77. 55. 109. 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **944816 Mr Mark Fisher** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO836**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **944860 Mr R Sherwell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO998**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council has not searched out the most suitable sites and spread them evenly throughout the area. The council has not given enough weight to objections raised by local residents.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **945018 Miss Mary Mullan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1199**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **945623 Carolyn Walker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1166**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	The scale of development proposed is disproportionate to the size of the village. Empty homes should be filled before building on greenfield sites.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **948129 Ms M L Newsome** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1169**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **950948 mr dom green** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1248**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Proposed mix of housing is not appropriate.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **954030 Mr Stephen Frost** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO718**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **954035 Mrs Cath Laurs** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO992**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development disproportionate to size of village. Reference to refused (housing) planning application 20 years ago. Other, more suitable, sites have not been allocated. Empty homes should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. The Local Plan favours North Kirklees over South Kirklees in terms of spending on infrastructure. The council has made it difficult for residents to comment on the proposals and has acted against the will of the people.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **954084 Miss Charlotte Boorman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO920**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **954091 Mr Eric Barlow** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1164**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	The council hasn't fully considered and acted upon previous objections to the Local Plan.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **954128 Mrs Carmel Boorman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1209**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **954417 Mrs J. Lofthouse** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1104**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for new housing.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The Local Plan has not taken into account the number of new homes that have been built in Scholes over the last 15 years.
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **954671 Ms Heather Wood** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1221**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **955802 Mrs Rhiannon Owens** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO811**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **956151 MRS LINDA EASTWOOD** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO839**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **956323 Miss Katie Hollingworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO869**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **956531**

Maureen Buckley

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1066**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **956582**

MR ROGER SNOW

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1192**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **956608**

miss Claire Brearley

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO862**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **956659**

Ms. Heidi Ottiger

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1053**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally, other sites have not been adequately searched for.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space. Widen the roads (although this may not be possible), consider other sites diligently, increase the capacity in local schools, ensure development does not proceed at a rapid pace and make a more moderate increase in the size of the village.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

(BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **956776 Mr Geoffrey Daniel**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1079**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **956820 Mr David Brennan**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO919**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **956854 Mr Hobson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1032**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **957082 Maggie Biggs**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1035**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Brownfield sites should be used for new homes, before allocating green sites.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **957269 Mr Mark Williams**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1207**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **957325** **Mr John Townsend** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1190**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Concerned that the council hasn't fully considered alternative sites.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **957366** **Mr John M Ainley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1102**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of Scholes.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **957520** **Mrs Monique Brennan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO923**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **957548** **Jean Dobrowski** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1152**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **957812 Miss Anna Priestley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1205**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **958051 Alan Hinchcliffe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1143**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **958590 Kathryn Winnard** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1106**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **958675 Richard & Sandra Worsnup** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1092**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for new housing.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **959052**

Rebecca Gilmartin

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO891**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **959325**

Paul Webster

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO498**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **959444**

Michael Battye

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO986**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **959466**

Carol Swallow

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO729**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 959517	Andrew Wild	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO826
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 959521	Philip Rostron	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO845
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 959814	Simon Powell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1219
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 959816	Mr Sydney Haworth	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1088
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 959883	Tim Pickup	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1155
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **960171 Christopher Simpson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO814**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **960649 Mr Howard Topham** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO870**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **960691 Frank Dickin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1065**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Reference made to historic refused planning application for '80 houses in the same area' [94/62/94132/W3?].

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **960724 June Snow** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1157**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **960739 F Small**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1168**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **960958 Cheryl Burgess**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO503**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **961430 Mr PETER BOOTH**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1135**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for new homes.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **961576 Mrs Jackie Green**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO844**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

(BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **961613 Mr David Brignell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO816**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **961654 Neil Haworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1073**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **961691 Martin Woods** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO906**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **961695 Garnet Heller** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO991**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **961810 Jean Lawton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1159**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **961883****Mrs J Greenway**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO712**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **962206****Peter Smith**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1148**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **962210****Philip Murat**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1144**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Construction process will be disruptive and dangerous.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: Specific reference to inadequate play area / space for children to exercise.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **962296****Mr Rob Laurs**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1048**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
------------------------------	---

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **963303 Mr David Crowther** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1171**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **963455 Jane Trumbell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO820**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	There are many empty houses in Kirklees; there is no need to build more.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **963736 Malcolm Mason** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1105**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **963827 Lindsey Crowther** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1167**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **963848**

Mr C. R. Hutchesson

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO928**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **964205**

Dr Lucy Douglas

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1156**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **964384**

Charles Firbank

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1038**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space. Correct the issues identified from the relevant paragraphs of the NPPF.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **964710**

John Watson

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1091**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **965001 Mrs Beverley Firbank** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1019**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **965312 Ms F C Taylor** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1179**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **965355 Mr A Symms** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1136**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The Local Plan does not contain a reasoned justification of the policies contained within it.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **965357 Mrs Sandra Topham** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1215**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **966072 Tracy Dearnley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1022**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **967227 Ms Alison Searle** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO929**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **967266 Mrs Joan Haworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1087**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **967284 Mr Robert S Joynson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1059**

Soundness - Positively Prepared A local resident on Ryecroft Lane has not heard of these proposals.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **967290 Mrs Pat Williamson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO830**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **967294**

Ernest Moore

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1140**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **967633**

Mrs C Garlick

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1005**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. It would be preferable to locate new housing on the former Midlothian Garage site in Holmfirth.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **967655**

Mr Peter Griffiths

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1012**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Dobroyd Mill and Washpit Mill redevelopments should be encouraged, as should the re-use of all brownfield sites.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **967790**

Mrs JD Hillock

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1054**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. There will be no play area in Scholes.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **967848**

Mr David Jackson

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO502**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **967862**

Ms Val Marshall

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO868**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **967864**

Laura Marshall

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO846**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **967868**

Bob Boorman

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO860**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **967905**

Mr Peter L Bradley

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1031**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **967906** **Mr Michael Berryman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1101**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **967926** **Mrs Kathryn Gibson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1160**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Particular reference to impact on historic landscape.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **967930** **Miss Emily Firbank** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1045**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **968014** **Mary Haigh** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO743**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **968058 Mrs J Mirehouse** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO721**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **968076 Machutus M Pimblett** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1046**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **968133 Alison Ramsey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO989**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally, construction traffic will be disruptive to local residents.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **968162 Paula Haigh** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1138**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Empty homes within Kirklees should be used before allocating greenfield sites.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The redevelopment of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) has not been considered within the Local Plan.
Soundness - Consistent with The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **968357 Ms Melanie Caswell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1188**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **968360 Mr Gilbert Caswell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1189**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **968448 Ms Alison Sharland** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1184**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **968463 Mrs Carole Quarmby** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1100**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **968499**

Ms Joyce Binks

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO907**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **968505**

David Wild

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1095**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **968591**

N R Binks

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO722**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **968603**

Robert & Sandra Owen

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO914**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **968630** **Mr Wilfred Battye** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1068**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **968636** **Ms Anna Dolby** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1020**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969036** **Mrs S Dixon** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO852**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969039** **Mr R Dixon** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO856**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969293** **Mrs Jill Turner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1163**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969482 I M Pell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO733**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969495 Mr James I Ainley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1191**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969524 Mrs M Holmes** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1107**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969576 Mr Alan Wainwright** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1034**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **969587 Mr David Ellis**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO993**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **969624 Mr & Mrs K Wright**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1063**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **969629 Ms Linda Moore**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1187**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **969634 Mr Adrian Moss**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO999**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional query as to whether site is in Green Belt.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969748 Mr Tony Sherlock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1094**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969754 Mr Geoff Lawton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1197**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969815 Mr Simon Hey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO900**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969818 Mr Stephen Hey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO824**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969828 R Hey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1158**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969830 Mr Thomas Hey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO896**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969835 Mrs P. Heywood** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO507**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **969853 Mrs Helen Howden** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1043**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Green sites should not be built on when there are more than 2,500 empty houses in Kirklees. Develop at the Midlothian Garage and Huddersfield Road (next to the old Drill Hall) brownfield sites first.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **970067 Ms Marilyn Hinchcliffe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO912**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **970071**

Mr B Parratt

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO717**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **970090**

Mr John P Hillock

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1080**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **970099**

Mrs Margaret Robinson

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1018**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **970106**

Ms Julia Casserly

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO933**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **970113 Ms Cheryl Booth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO831**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **970121 Ms Veronica Haigh** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1030**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **970162 Ms Karen Smith** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1057**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **970172 Mr Robert Heywood** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1006**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **970202 Ms Judith Brignell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO995**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **970209 Ms Vivien Chesman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO499**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **970353 Sylvia R H Parker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1151**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **970357 Rebecca L Haigh** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO843**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **970371 Mr John Parker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1058**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 970388	Mrs Diane Binks & Mr Duncan Dyson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO910
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 970445	Mrs Judith Howarth	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1074
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 970489	Mrs B Donnellan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1009
Soundness - Positively Prepared	There is a shortage of affordable homes for young people but a recent application proposed 4 - 5 bedroom houses. Empty homes should be used before building new ones.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 970497	Adrian Dickinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO875
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **970562 Mr Rodney Websdell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1099**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **971065 Rob Newton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1214**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **971230 Nancy Micklethwaite** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO727**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **971235 Roger Hallsworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1077**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **971238 Peter Ciesielski** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO516**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **971242 Sarah Kelly** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO713**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **971256 Tracy Ciesielska** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1011**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **971272 Mr Chris Burgess** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO518**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **971273 S J Quinn** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1015**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **971276 M J Quinn**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1042**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **971628 Miss Bridget Mullan**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1064**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **971789 Heather Lemm**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO930**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **971797 Dean Killock**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO742**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 971800	Paul Davies	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO835
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 971822	Mr Daniel Garlick	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO915
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 971827	Christine Beaumont	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO994
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 971842	Vivien Hodgkiss	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO879
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 971924	JK and R Meadows	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO878
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **972032 H.H. Whittle** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1170**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **972094 Laura Pegg** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO739**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **972116 J. Pickup** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1196**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Too many houses - not appropriate in scale.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **972654 Kathryn Fuller** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO508**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **972854**

Rebecca Wilkinson

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1085**

Soundness - Positively Prepared There is enough empty housing in Huddersfield that could be refurbished.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **972885**

Susan L Waite

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1082**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **972913**

Sandra Elizabeth Hale

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1093**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **972925**

Mr A R Waite

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO821**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 972928	Mr Steven Croft	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1218
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 972931	Mr Nicholas Webster	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1200
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 972935	Glen Wilson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1139
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2723	Consultee: 973289	Jonathan Adamson (Chair) Marcus Henfrey (Secretary)	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1261
Soundness - Justified	Inadequately justified removal of tis Local Green Space allocation. The reasons given for its rejection does not reflect in anyway the characteristics of the site or its history.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	Site perfectly fits the criteria for LocGS designation set out in the NPPF.			
Council Response	No change.The site mainly comprises woodland associated with Clayton Fields allotments which forms part of a Tree Preservation Area (01/15/W1) and has informal access. The remainder of the site includes Clayton Dike and land to the south that includes an informal footpath. The value of the woodland area is recognised through its protection as urban green space as part of allocation UGS1105. However, the site is not considered to be demonstrably special to merit designation as Local Green Space when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria set out in the NPPF and the NPPG. This assessment is set out in the Council's Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper (BP13, Appendix 5).			
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2130	Consultee: 973289	Jonathan Adamson (Chair) Marcus	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1260

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options**Henfrey (Secretary)**

Soundness - Justified	Inadequately justified removal of tis Local Green Space allocation. The reasons given for its rejection does not reflect in anyway the characteristics of the site or its history.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	Site perfectly fits the criteria for LocGS designation set out in the NPPF.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special and part of land has outline planning permission for housing. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.The council considers the woodland area is appropriately allocated as urban green space (part of site UGS1105) and that land to the south of Clayton Dike is correctly included in housing allocation H215 which has outline planning permission for residential development (application 2014/93014).

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2129** Consultee: **973289** **Jonathan Adamson (Chair) Marcus Henfrey (Secretary)** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1259**

Soundness - Justified	Inadequately justified removal of tis Local Green Space allocation. The reasons given for its rejection does not reflect in anyway the characteristics of the site or its history.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	Site perfectly fits the criteria for LocGS designation set out in the NPPF.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special and part of the land has outline planning permission for housing. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.The majority of the site comprises allotments and associated woodland which the council considers are appropriately protected through their allocation as urban green space (site UGS1105).The remainder of the site, south of Clayton Dike, extends into housing allocation H215 which has outline planning permission for residential development (application 2014/93014). Designation of this land as Local Green Space would be contrary to NPPG (paragraph 008).

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2126** Consultee: **973289** **Jonathan Adamson (Chair) Marcus Henfrey (Secretary)** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1258**

Soundness - Justified	Inadequately justified removal of tis Local Green Space allocation. The reasons given for its rejection does not reflect in anyway the characteristics of the site or its history.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	Site perfectly fits the criteria for LocGS designation set out in the NPPF.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it does not have sufficient unique qualities to be considered demonstrably special. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.The council considers the site is appropriately protected through its allocation as urban green space (part of site UGS1107).

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **973440** **Timothy Prendegast** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO810**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **973471 Mrs P Keeley**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1037**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **973516 Gary Heseltine**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO501**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **973522 Reef Healey**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO514**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **973838 Diane Bentley**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1182**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Disproportionate scale of development for the size of Scholes. Empty homes should be used before greenfield sites are developed. Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposed sites.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The redevelopment of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the quantity of new homes in the area) has not been considered within the Local Plan.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

(BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 973868	Mr Anthony Bentley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1017
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The council hasn't consulted effectively with local residents.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 973906	Michael Cook	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1000
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council hasn't attached enough weight to previous objections.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 973925	Geoffrey Verney	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1041
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 973967	Edwin Bates	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1086
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 973976	Graham Latham	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1061
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The Local Plan doesn't provide suitable homes for older people.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space. Sustainably located homes should be provided for older people.			
Council Response	No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 974315	Mr Ian & Mrs Sheila Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1089
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additional concerns raised regarding protection of trees on the site.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 974332	Neil Walker	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1195
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Too many houses proposed for the size of the village. Brownfield sites should be used for housing, before allocating greenfield sites.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 974590	Jean Cook	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1016
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. The council has made it difficult for residents to comment on the Local Plan.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **974659**

R S Gooch

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1186**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. It would be inappropriate to remove the local playground.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **974663**

Mrs R Pauline Gooch

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1024**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **974700**

Lynne Harper

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO880**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **974734**

Carole Exley

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1002**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 974755	Christian Exley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RS0510
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 974782	Ian Roger Deakin	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RS0838
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 974784	Mrs Hilary J Deakin	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RS01067
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 975141	Teresa Turner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RS0833
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 975192	Mark Hollingdale	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RS0903
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **975198 Rebecca Hollingdale** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO720**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **975257 Hilary Fletcher** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1161**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **975275 Sinead Robbins-Smith** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO832**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **975287 Lydia Washington-Quinn** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO841**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **975292**

Christopher Washington

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO890**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **975348**

Mr John Reynolds

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1025**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Concern that the design of proposals will be inappropriate.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **975378**

Yvette Whitwam

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO813**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **975407**

Vincent M Pescod

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO861**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 975416	Christopher Woolliscroft	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO848
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 975439	Dianne Heppenstall	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1014
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is inappropriate to the size of the village. Other potential sites haven't been fully considered. Empty properties within Kirklees should be used before allocating greenfield sites. The proposed development of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered in the Local Plan. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposals. Concern that new homes will be unaffordable to younger people.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 975445	Andrew Hamilton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO735
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 975680	Gillian Cohen	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1162
Duty to Co-operate	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be used for housing, before allocating greenfield sites.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **975724**

Anne Hinchliffe

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO840**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **975738**

Mr Glyn Chapman

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1193**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **975840**

Alan Gaukroger

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1147**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **975912**

Diana Zoe Wilson

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO708**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding the above, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding the above, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional comments also made in relation to impacts on local tourism and health implications of affecting enjoyment of local walking routes.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **975982 Lynne Holmes** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1056**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **975984 Jack Holmes** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1055**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **976453 Sarah Shaw** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1040**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **976553 Peter McLaren** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO730**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **976558 Joanne Griffiths** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO935**

Duty to Co-operate No comments submitted

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **976588 Peter B Griffiths** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1255**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1030027 Colin Wragg** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO934**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1030033 Graham Brake** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1217**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1030053 P.W Hinchliffe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO837**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1030056 Muriel Tinker**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO511**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1030057 John Clifford Tinker**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO710**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1030063 Avril Wilkinson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO505**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1030079 Anna Dawson-Jones**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO851**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1030103 D Steadman**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO515**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **1033725 Mrs Claire Howarth-Coyne**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1174**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Use brownfield sites first and use empty homes.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additional comments made relate to increased noise, air and light pollution, need to increase policing in the village, lack of recreation facilities, developments will not help biodiversity or geodiversity in the village, lack of gritting local roads, a Neighbourhood Plan should be prepared for the area.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional comments made relate to the site not being in conformity with NPPF paragraph 12 (core planning principles).

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **1041191 Adele Makinson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO500**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **1041197 Sheena Kaye**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1044**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **1041203 Graham Micklethwaite**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1076**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1041208 Mark Waite** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1078**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1041217 Alan and Jeanne Holmes** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO504**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1041878 Joanne Mair** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO506**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1041880 Lee Marshall** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1201**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1041883 Sean Chapman**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1069**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1041886 Kevin Chambers**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO509**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1042148 J Whittle**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO715**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1042166 Robert Riley**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1165**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1042170	David Langrick	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RS0728
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1042172	Michael Robshaw	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RS01194
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The council has been dismissive of objections to the proposed allocations.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1042177	Malcolm Robshaw	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RS01001
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council is not acting on behalf of the local community.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1042179	Wendy Robshaw	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RS0988
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Concern that the council hasn't given sufficient weight to previous objections and is not working on the behalf of the local community.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.			

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1042184	Gillian Senior	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RS01003
----------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------	-----------------------------

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1042189 Jackie Brook** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO714**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1042546 Amanda Butterworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO921**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1042552 Matthew Boorman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO926**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1042555 Bryan Hinchliffe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO732**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1042556 Linda Hinchliffe**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO734**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1042559 Alison Lowe**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO736**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1042562 John Wright**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1007**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1042565 David Grant**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO719**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1043444 Judith Fearn** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO738**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1043447 Geoffrey Cosslett** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1173**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1043448 Brenda Cosslett** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1010**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1043639 Barbara Ainley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO513**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1043641 Anne Hobson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1036**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1043643 Joanne Woods** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO747**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1043656 Bruce Armitage** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO834**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1043669 Lynn Blakely** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1096**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1043671 Carolyn North** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1097**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1043913 Roy Smith**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1098**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1044077 John Wallace**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1083**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Empty homes should be modernised and used rather than building new houses.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1044079 Valorie Elson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO818**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1044085 John Cave**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO996**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1044088** **Leighan Napthine** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1146**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1044090** **Rosemary Pettifer** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1142**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Empty homes within Kirklees should be used before allocating greenfield sites. The council have acted against the will of the people and have made it difficult to comment on proposed sites.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1044091** **Adrian Owens** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO997**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1044102** **Gillian Wallace** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1145**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1044104** **James Elson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO895**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1044911 Julie Auckland** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1103**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1044925 Matthew Roberts** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO740**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1044927 Helen Cave** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO893**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1044930 Timothy Collins** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1090**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1044972 Michael and Ruth Boothroyd**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1070**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1044975 Karen Jarman**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1047**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1044981 Brian Smith**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1062**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1046054 Joyce Beardsell**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO925**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1046060 Lisa Algar**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLR_RSO1137**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046062 Mark Algar** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO931**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046071 Mairi Binns** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1134**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046074 Martin Binns** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO927**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046305 Amy Rostron** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO829**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1046313 Mr John Tinker**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1050**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1046316 Elizabeth Clarkson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1028**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1046319 Rachel Brennan**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO913**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1046321 Ronald Backhouse**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO916**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046324 David Deakin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO897**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046325 Sally Deakin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO898**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046327 Peter Ward** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO899**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046330 Mel Ward** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO901**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046333 Anthea Purdey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1026**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046335** **Graham Wilkinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO902**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046338** **Walter Ellis** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO512**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046339** **Wendy Hollingworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO909**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1046344** **Neil Hollingworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO908**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1046348 Emily Cronin**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO911**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1046391 Mr kenneth peace**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO842**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1047086 Kathleen Gledhill**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO828**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1047096 Chris Barr**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO904**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1047100 Anne and Frank Dickinson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1084**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **1047112 Helen Simpson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1013**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Brownfield sites should be used before allocating greenfield sites for housing.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **1047116 Lisa Barr**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO905**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **1047121 Margaret Hodgskiss**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO827**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**Consultee: **1047127 Barbara Griffiths**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO825**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1047131 David Pettifer** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1081**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1047925 John Garnee** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO894**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1047935 Nick Hubbard** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1202**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1047936 Di Raven** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1181**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1047938 Deborah Bentley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1180
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Disproportionate scale of development for the size of Scholes. Empty homes should be used before greenfield sites are developed. Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposed sites.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The redevelopment of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the quantity of new homes in the area) has not been considered within the Local Plan.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1048741 Dorothy Walker	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1178
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1048742 Helen Peace	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO867
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1048763 Terrance Longbottom	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1177
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1048766 Robert Hughes	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO866
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1048768 Clare Cameron	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1176
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites should be used for housing, before allocating greenfield sites.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1048770 Nicola Simpkins	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1175
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1049295 Mr Michael Reader	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO271
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	Land should be Local Green Space as sites are valued by residents in the same way as green belt and satisfy the criteria in NPPF 77. The site has significant community value as greenfield land used for agriculture (grazed in rotation) which allows views out into the countryside. To say that the site is not demonstrably special and has no particular local significance is in our view unsupportable.		
Proposed Change Requested	Show the site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site was rejected as a Local Green Space option in the Publication Draft Local Plan. It is located on the edge of Scholes village and includes a number of fields used for grazing and agricultural purposes and Scholes Recreation Ground.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 74) and National Planning Policy Guidance. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.As a result of comments received on the Publication Draft Local Plan, new individual Local Green Space options have been created which relate to this site (options LocGS3449, LocGS3450 and LocGS3451). These are shown in the New Site Options Report April 2017 (SD30), as they		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

were submitted to the Local Planning Authority for consideration between 12th August 2019 and 7th April 2017, and will be dealt with through the examination process.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1049498 Jacqueline Garrod**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO822**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1049501 Siobhan Campbell**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1183**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1049503 Sean Sweeney**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO865**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1049515 Mrs Karen Trimming**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1008**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1049518 Mark Trimming**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1216**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1049678 Janet Park** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1049**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	There are many empty houses and brownfield sites in Kirklees which should be used before greenfield sites.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1049683 Ruth Parker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1185**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1049688 Oliver Plunkett** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1075**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.The plan should be scaled back to 10% of the proposed number of houses.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1049692 Dawn Johnson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1023**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1049696 Ian Lofthouse** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1021**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1049705 Kayley Dickinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO873**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1049708 Laura Dickinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO872**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1049710 Sarah Hill** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO871**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1049912 Vera Parker**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1072**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1049918 Jacqueline Mason**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO849**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1049921 Lisa Holmes**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO850**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1050159 Alan Garlick**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1039**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change. The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1050162 Edward Dawson-Jones**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1141**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Alternative sites haven't been fully considered. A previous planning application for housing (on part of the proposed site) was refused in 1995.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1050222 Geoff Furse	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO858
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1050446 Louise Roche	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1052
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1050465 Lesley Jackson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO859
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1050477 Mary McGivern	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1211
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1050478 Jodie Brearley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1203**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1050480 Jillian Sherwell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1153**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council has not searched out the most suitable sites and spread them evenly throughout the area. The council has not given enough weight to objections raised by local residents.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1050486 John Stanley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO517**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1050488 David Jackson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1208**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1050508**

Beverley Brown

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1204**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1050509**

Adrian Brown

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1206**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1050510**

Francis Brown

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1154**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721**

Consultee: **1050511**

Holly Brown

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1210**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Designate this site as Local Green Space.

Council Response

No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1050515 Julie Coe	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1212
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1050516 Don Fisher	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1071
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1050975 Alan Stephenson Brown	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1029
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1053436 Stephen Wilson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1280
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG on the basis it is not considered demonstrably special. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.		
Paragraph/Site: LocGS2721	Consultee: 1057467 Mr Andrew Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO1051
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Empty homes within Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Other, more suitable, sites have not been fully considered by the council. Part of the proposed development site was refused planning permission for homes in 1995. The proposed development at Dobroyd		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

	Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. The council has made it difficult to comment on proposals and has acted against the will of the people.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1059482 Sean Brennan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1256**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1059568 Mr Andrew Sugden** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO749**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1061673 Harry Trumbell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO887**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1062165 Mrs Theresa Tyrrell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1249**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Proposed housing mix is inappropriate. The council is not acting on behalf of the people.
--	---

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.Reduce the proposed number of properties.Properly consider infrastructure requirements.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1062170 Mr Darren Tyrrell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1257**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1062920 Mr Shaun Ramsey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1198**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Proposed allocations are disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LocGS2721** Consultee: **1063318 Tanya Davidson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1222**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Designate this site as Local Green Space.
Council Response	No change.The site does not meet the criteria for Local Green Space designation on the basis it is not demonstrably special when assessed against the criteria set out in NPPF paragraph 77 and NPPG. Further details are provided in the Kirklees Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), section 8 and Appendix 5.

Paragraph/Site: **LWS111** Consultee: **967018 Mrs Ann Priestman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO65**

Soundness - Justified	Since the survey was carried out for this site a community orchard has been planted. The field is used for sledging and it is proposed to upgrade the footpath to link to the Trans Pennine trail.
------------------------------	--

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Proposed Change Requested	Designate Healey Greave Meadow site LWS111 as a village leisure meadow.			
Council Response	No change.This site does not meet the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation set out in the West Yorkshire Local Wildlife Site Selection 2016, First Published 2011 (LE58). Further details are provided in the Environmental Designations Technical Paper April 2017 (BP10) (section 5.5, Appendix 3 and Appendix 4).The criteria and attributes relevant to this site relate to grassland habitat criteria Gr3 (page 31 of LE58). In order to meet this criteria a site survey score of 8 or more is required from the neutral and calcareous grassland plant species set out in table 1 and table 2 of LE58. This site was assessed by West Yorkshire Ecology in 2015 against criteria Gr3 but did not score sufficiently to meet this selection criteria (scoring only 1 out of 8).Village leisure meadow is not a designation shown on the Local Plan.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO211
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO210
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO212
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 942946	Mrs Frances Holmes	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO287
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1130
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1131
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1129
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 945097	Colin and Joy Lane	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1227
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 945097	Colin and Joy Lane	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1226
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 945097	Colin and Joy Lane	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1228
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 945518	Mr B W Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO344
Council Response	No change.Support for the reiection of SGI2109 is noted.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 945518	Mr B W Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO345
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 953982	Mr Jeremy McKinnell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO672
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 953982	Mr Jeremy McKinnell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO671
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 955850	Mr Clive Palmerley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO270
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 958539	Julie Vickerman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO274
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 959692	CH Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO325
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 959872	Ben Lawrenson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO252
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 960642	Dr James Hollingsworth	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO310
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 961633	Mr John Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO583
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 961635	Mrs Jean Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO604
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 961668	Mr Nick J Moorby	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO191
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO650
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO649
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO567
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO566
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO568
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 961896	Kate Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO443
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 961896	Kate Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO445
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 961896	Kate Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO444
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO685
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO684
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO686
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO669
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO667
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO668
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 965543	Richard Oates	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO519
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 966402	Nancie Crowther	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO932
Council Response	No change.Support welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 967922	Mrs Maura Whitehead	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO256
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 968407	Amanda Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO403
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 968407	Amanda Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO404
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 968407	Amanda Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO405
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO448
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO446
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO447
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO470
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO468
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO471
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 968950	Mr Richard Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO378
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 968968	Mr Christopher Hirst	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO187
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 969027	Mrs Jill Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO381
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 969359	Mr Martin Laithwaite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO202
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 969359	Mr Martin Laithwaite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO201
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 969359	Mr Martin Laithwaite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO200
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO581
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO580
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 970642	Nicola Cantrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO946
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 970642	Nicola Cantrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO945
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO526
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO528
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO530
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO547
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO548
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO549
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO494
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO493
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO492
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 976279	Mr & Mrs J Billington	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1277
Council Response	No change.Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 976279	Mr & Mrs J Billington	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1276
Council Response	No change.Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 977256	Lindsay Downey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO601
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 977256	Lindsay Downey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO602
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO129
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO131
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO130
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO427
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO428
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO426
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO440
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO439
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO441
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 1059665	Johanna Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1223
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 1059665	Johanna Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1224
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 1059665	Johanna Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1225
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of the country park is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO533
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO532
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO531
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO616
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2115 is welcome.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2109	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO615
Council Response	No change.Support for the rejection of SGI2109 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: SGI2115a	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO617
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site option SGI2115a is welcome.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site OptionsParagraph/Site: **E1985b**Consultee: **942768 Mr Andrew Wood**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO698****Council Response**

SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejection of employment site E1985b noted.

Paragraph/Site: **E1985**Consultee: **942293**Agent: **941820 Mr John Brooks**Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1271****Soundness - Justified**

This representation promotes the site in its entirety, as identified in the Consultation Draft Local Plan November 2015 site reference E1985, for employment development with enabling residential development. The representations can be summarised as: - Supporting the allocation of the site for employment use – Publication Draft Allocations and Designations Local Plan site reference E1985a, and Publication Draft Strategy and Policies Spatial Development Strategy. - Objecting to the removal of the northern area of the site from the proposed allocation - Publication Draft Allocations and Designations Local Plan site reference E1985a and Rejected Sites document. - Objecting to the reduction in developable area of the proposed employment site in connection to the potential highway improvements to the M62 - Publication Draft Allocations and Designations Local Plan site reference E1985a, and Publication Draft Strategy and Policies Spatial Development Strategy. - Seeking to allocate the whole site, as set out in Appendix 1, for employment use with enabling residential development totalling 23.13ha – 15ha (net).

Proposed Change Requested

Accept this site option.

Council Response

No change required - Exceptional circumstances do not exist to justify the release of land from the green belt. The northern extent of the proposed site abuts Cliffe Hollins Lane with Bradford. One of the purposes of the green belt is to prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another and although it is acknowledged there is development on the west of Bradford Road, undeveloped frontages help to maintain the appearance of separation. The extent of this site would therefore significantly reinforce merger with Bradford contrary to the role and function of the green belt. Alternative employment option E1985a has been accepted instead as the potential for merger is reduced.

Paragraph/Site: **E2333**Consultee: **968735 c/o Agent**Agent: **998185 Mrs Emma Lancaster**Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1270****Soundness - Positively Prepared**

- Support proposed allocation 16.79ha of CWDCL land for employment. However, site smaller area than 25.7ha promoted. Site is unable to deliver scale of development required by market and deemed appropriate in this location by Council to deliver Spatial Growth Strategy and Economic Strategy. - Council have calculated site is capable of delivering 52,115 sq m of employment land. This floorspace density is unlikely to be achievable due to topography and need to create development plateaus. Additional technical information on physical characteristics of CWDCL land demonstrate topography and landscape characteristics have a significant bearing on gross to net development area ratio and density of development achievable. This with the need to deliver a longstanding and defensible Green Belt Boundary means that a significantly larger allocation area is required in order to deliver 52,115 sq m of employment land. - CWDCL feasibility work has shown net developable area of intended allocation likely only to deliver in the order of 35,100- 37,250 sq m of commercial floorspace some 14,865 to 17,000 sq m less than amount required. - JLL's Market Assessment has demonstrated that there is significant latent demand for employment space in this location that, if accommodated on the Site, would create a critical mass resulting in an employment land delivery rate that would warrant an allocation area capable of delivering in the order of 52,000 sq m (565,000 sq ft) to 62,000 sq m (665,000 sq ft). - CWDCL land would if allocated, deliver scale of floorspace for market demand and is deliverable and viable. - Clayton West is distinctive in South Kirklees given prime position on A636 and proximity to M1. Location makes it attractive to regional and national occupiers, sustainable and accessible option for expanding local businesses.

Soundness - Justified

- Proposed allocation will not fully respond to existing negative commuting patterns, Rural Kirklees. Highly sustainable location of site in relation to rest of rural Kirklees and to Clayton West provides opportunity to deliver sustainable employment opportunities. - Need to take account of area's environmental constraints and sensitivities including topography. CWDCL and land would have better regard to issues than proposed allocation, can be extended without adverse implications. - Proposed policy wording suggests site lies within Flood Zone 3a. Incorrect. No part of proposed allocation or CWDCL land lies within Flood Zone 3. - No environmental constraints to development which cannot be overcome. - Landscape character and visual appraisal of CWDCL land confirmed commercial development could take place in such a way magnitude of change would be low, negligible. Grade II Parkland Landscape of Bretton Hall, development of CWDCL land not considered to have any material impact on the character of the parkland, or its setting. Scheduled Monument of Bentley Grange, no material impact upon character or setting.

Soundness - Consistent with

- CWDCL object to Plan's failure to identify an appropriately sized site that will deliver a flexible, market-facing employment opportunity capable of meeting

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

National Policy	the objectively assessed business needs of the area in full (as required by NPPF, Paragraphs 17, 20 and 21), addressing current patterns of out migration and supporting the creation of sustainable communities. - Northern boundary of CWDC land would create a stronger, more defensible Green Belt boundary than proposed boundary which will be inconsistent with strategy for meeting identified requirements for sustainable development. Will need to be altered at end of plan period (or before) (contrary to para 85) to accommodate medium to longer term development needs. Draft Local Plan does not identify any "safeguarded land" between urban area and Green Belt in order to meet longer term needs beyond Plan Period. - CWDL land appropriate, sustainable and deliverable. Promotes sustainable development when assessed against Council's sustainability criteria. Will provide significant amount of new employment floorspace providing new job opportunities locally and address significant out migration from Clayton West and South Kirklees. Will deliver sustainable rural communities in accordance with Council's Spatial Strategy. Conclusion also true for Council proposed allocation to a lesser extent, Council's suggested Green Belt boundary is less able to meet five purposes of Green Belt, does not deliver a defensible long standing boundary to north of site.			
Proposed Change Requested	Modify the plan to allocate the entire site shown on the Clayton West Development Company Ltd plan at Appendix 1 of their representation.			
Council Response	This site is proposed as a rejected employment allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for employment, The reasons for change are outlined below: This site option (E2333) is not the proposed extended site made by the site promoter (E1830), however, Kirklees Landscape Architects considers that the effect of extending the development beyond the original alignment, up onto the higher ground would have a greater adverse effect in terms of visual impact and change to character. The built form will be considerably more intrusive and the larger development will significantly increase harm to the landscape. There is a minor overlap in the south eastern corner of the E2333 with flood zone 3. The site appraisal acknowledges this fact but does not consider this to be a significant constraint due to the small area affected. It is considered that site options E2333a is the better alternative when considered against the more significant impact of the larger option (E1830) upon landscape character and the green belt. E2333a is also considered to be of sufficient size to accommodate the needs of businesses in the South and of the Kirklees district. Rejected option E2333 has been rejected in favour of E2333a on the basis of a more defensible green belt boundary, as explained below: The option as presented does not follow any feature on the ground on its northern extent and would leave the adjoining green belt vulnerable to encroachment contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. North of Wakefield Road the land rises significantly so there is a high risk of prominent development in long distance views to the detriment of the openness of the green belt. A new alternative site option (E2333a) has been accepted on this site which provides a more defensible green belt boundary to the north, in view of this E2333 has been rejected.			
Paragraph/Site: E1851	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO974
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for the rejection of employment option E1851 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: E1840	Consultee: 953464	Mrs Clare Dobson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO156
Council Response	No Change Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: E1992	Consultee: 942154	unknown	Agent: 941891	Paul Leeming Rep ID: PDLP_RSO787
Proposed Change Requested	Support the rejection of the employment option on this site. It should be allocated as a housing site to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan.			
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required. Support for rejected employment option noted. The site should be allocated as housing.			
Paragraph/Site: E1992	Consultee: 943022	Mr Robin Coghlan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO97
Council Response	No change. Support for the rejection of this option noted.			
Paragraph/Site: E1881	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO983
Soundness - Justified	Support for the allocation of this site for business and industry.			
Council Response	This site is proposed as a rejected employment allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

for employment, The reasons for change are outlined below:Site has been part developed for office and part is currently being developed for leisure. The southern part of UDP allocation B14.9 has been accepted for housing. In view of the uses already established and the housing option accepted on the remaining part of UDP allocation the employment option has been rejected.

Paragraph/Site: **E2700**

Consultee: **942336 unknown**

Agent: **941854 Mr Mark Johnson**

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1229**

Soundness - Justified

Consider there is a logical argument for removing this land from the Green Belt, particularly given the proposed employment allocation E1985a to the west of the site on the opposite side of the M606. Site E2700 would not encroach any further south than already existing development on the western side of the M606 (Business park and residential development). The appearance of separation between Oakenshaw and Cleckheaton has already been compromised by existing development, and will be more-so by employment allocation E1985a and the Highways England M62 junction 26 Chain Bar improvement scheme.

Proposed Change Requested

Allocate E2700 for employment.

Council Response

No change required -This employment option has been rejected for the following reason:Highways England confirmed there is potential for impact on the SRN. Some committed mitigation schemes underway but further mitigation would be required. The southern extent of the site would significantly undermine the strategic role of the green belt in preventing the merger of Oakenshaw with Cleckheaton. The green belt over washes the lower density ribbon development and the industrial park to the west of Bradford Road in order to prevent the intensification of built form and to prevent any depth of development to the west and so maintain the appearance of separation which would be lost should the option be accepted.Alternative green belt employment options have been assessed in this area and are considered better alternatives. Sufficient land has been identified to meet the needs of industry therefore exceptional circumstances cannot be demonstrated for the release of land in the green belt in this location.

Paragraph/Site: **E1850**

Consultee: **978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO972**

Council Response

SUPPORT - No change required support for rejection of employment option E1850 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS2042**

Consultee: **968556 Mr Anthony Aitken**

Agent: **968551 Mrs Jessica Powell**

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO108**

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 'Accommodation for Travellers' is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the "Rejected Site Options" document, each site was summarised in terms of its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG "Planning Policy for Traveller Sites". Government guidance consistently identifies the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the 'Green Belt' assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options "remote from any settlement" should not be excluded in accordance with the Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary 'scoring' for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. -GTTS 2042: Draft Local Plan confusing indicating proposed employment allocation both rejected and accepted. Given size of the site and absence of significant constraints it is considered that site, as a whole, should not be discounted.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites

Council Response

No ChangeThe Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments. The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages 72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12. The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS1963**

Consultee: **1048326 Mr ken bellamy**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO76**

Council Response

No Change Support for site GTTS1963 has been noted.

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS2044**

Consultee: **968556 Mr Anthony Aitken**

Agent: **968551 Mrs Jessica Powell**

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO104**

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 'Accommodation for Travellers' is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the "Rejected Site Options" document, each site was summarised in terms of its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG "Planning Policy for Traveller Sites". Government guidance consistently identifies the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the 'Green Belt' assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options "remote from any settlement" should not be excluded in accordance with the Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary 'scoring' for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. - GTTS2044: Discounted on that it is considered to perform an important open space function. Notwithstanding that allocation we do not consider that it performs an important function in terms of meeting local needs. There is significant open land around it which would maintain the general amenity function. Should not have been discounted.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites

Council Response

No Change The Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments. The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages 72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12. The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS2047**

Consultee: **968556 Mr Anthony Aitken**

Agent: **968551 Mrs Jessica Powell**

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO110**

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 'Accommodation for Travellers' is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the "Rejected Site Options" document, each site was summarised in terms of its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG "Planning Policy for Traveller Sites". Government guidance consistently identifies

the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the ‘Green Belt’ assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options “remote from any settlement” should not be excluded in accordance with the Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary ‘scoring’ for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. GTTS2047: Site with properties to the south, and the built development on the east of Upper Clough Road could be excluded from the green belt and included within settlement limits. The area does not contribute to ‘openness’, the fundamental characteristic of the green belt and its removal from green belt would not prejudice any of the main purposes of including land within the green belt which extends significantly around the settlement. Site should not be discounted.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites.

Council Response

No ChangeThe Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments.The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages 72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12.The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS1959**

Consultee: **968556**

Mr Anthony Aitken

Agent: **968551**

Mrs Jessica Powell

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO107**

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 ‘Accommodation for Travellers’ is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the “Rejected Site Options” document, each site was summarised in terms of its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG “Planning Policy for Traveller Sites”. Government guidance consistently identifies the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the ‘Green Belt’ assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options “remote from any settlement” should not be excluded in accordance with the Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary ‘scoring’ for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. - GTTS 1959: Brownfield site to the north is being brought forward as a housing allocation, LPA’s own analysis sees no significant objection to the release of the site from the green belt. Only constraint is in relation to the historic environment. Development of site would not take development closer to the monument nor change its immediate setting. Should not be discounted.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites,

Council Response

No ChangeThe Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments.The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12. The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS2064**

Consultee: **971141**

Martin Kilburn

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO854**

Council Response

No Change Support noted

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS2061**

Consultee: **968556**

Mr Anthony Aitken

Agent: **968551**

Mrs Jessica Powell

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO115**

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 'Accommodation for Travellers' is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the "Rejected Site Options" document, each site was summarised in terms of its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG "Planning Policy for Traveller Sites". Government guidance consistently identifies the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the 'Green Belt' assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options "remote from any settlement" should not be excluded in accordance with the Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary 'scoring' for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. GTTS2061: Currently designated urban greenspace in adopted local plan and while it has established casual walking paths its benefit seems limited. Given the nature of the open space function it is considered that the site should not be discounted.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites.

Council Response

No Change The Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments. The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages 72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12. The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS2055**

Consultee: **968556**

Mr Anthony Aitken

Agent: **968551**

Mrs Jessica Powell

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO112**

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 'Accommodation for Travellers' is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the "Rejected Site Options" document, each site was summarised in terms of its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG "Planning Policy for Traveller Sites". Government guidance consistently identifies the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the 'Green Belt' assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options "remote from any settlement" should not be excluded in accordance with the

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary 'scoring' for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. -GTTS2055: Site has been assessed as an edge of green belt site, the outcomes of the tests suggest the amber 'score' is overly negative. The site, with the short strip adjoining to the east, has defensible boundaries in the form of roads to east and south with existing housing to the west. Development would not extend the settlement any further north than the extent of the existing built-up area. The detailed assessment presented in the Green Belt Review Outcomes document does not indicate that the removal of the site from green belt would be detrimental to green belt functions. The site should not be discounted.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites.

Council Response

No ChangeThe Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments.The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages 72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12.The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: GTTS1955

Consultee: 968556

Mr Anthony Aitken

Agent: 968551

Mrs Jessica Powell

Rep ID: PDLP_RSO105

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 'Accommodation for Travellers' is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the "Rejected Site Options" document, each site was summarised in terms of its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG "Planning Policy for Traveller Sites". Government guidance consistently identifies the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the 'Green Belt' assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options "remote from any settlement" should not be excluded in accordance with the Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary 'scoring' for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. - GTTS 1955: Adjoining land to the east has been promoted for housing, site is proposed as safeguarded land while an existing employment site to the east is a draft housing allocation. The broad sustainability of the location and suitability of the site for development at some time is therefore acknowledged. Inappropriate to discount site.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites,

Council Response

No ChangeThe Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments.The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages 72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12.The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS2045**

Consultee: **968556**

Mr Anthony Aitken

Agent: **968551**

Mrs Jessica Powell

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO109**

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 'Accommodation for Travellers' is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the "Rejected Site Options" document, each site was summarised in terms of its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG "Planning Policy for Traveller Sites". Government guidance consistently identifies the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the 'Green Belt' assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options "remote from any settlement" should not be excluded in accordance with the Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary 'scoring' for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. - GTTS2045: Only open space which is indicated as a significant constraint. Site has no apparent functional open space use and its amenity value is highly questionable. Do not consider it can be discounted on this basis.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites.

Council Response

No ChangeThe Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments.The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages 72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12.The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS1956**

Consultee: **968556**

Mr Anthony Aitken

Agent: **968551**

Mrs Jessica Powell

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO106**

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 'Accommodation for Travellers' is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the "Rejected Site Options" document, each site was summarised in terms of its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG "Planning Policy for Traveller Sites". Government guidance consistently identifies the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the 'Green Belt' assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options "remote from any settlement" should not be excluded in accordance with the Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary 'scoring' for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. -GTTS 1956: Not accepted that site currently performs an open space function which merits a red 'score'. It appears that the adjoining works is proposed for residential development and so some open space function may be required. If this site is discounted for that reason, then it supports the previously stated view that nearby GTTS1955 should not be discounted.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites,

Council Response

No ChangeThe Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments.The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages 72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12.The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: GTTS2060

Consultee: 968556

Mr Anthony Aitken

Agent: 968551

Mrs Jessica Powell

Rep ID: PDLP_RSO114

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 'Accommodation for Travellers' is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the "Rejected Site Options" document, each site was summarised in terms of its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG "Planning Policy for Traveller Sites". Government guidance consistently identifies the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the 'Green Belt' assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options "remote from any settlement" should not be excluded in accordance with the Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary 'scoring' for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. GTTS2060: A proposed housing allocation sits to the west of the site and given this and the general extent of the green belt it is not considered that the site makes an essential contribution to any green belt function. In all other regards it would seem well suited, site should not be discounted.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites.

Council Response

No ChangeThe Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments.The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages 72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12.The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: GTTS2051

Consultee: 968556

Mr Anthony Aitken

Agent: 968551

Mrs Jessica Powell

Rep ID: PDLP_RSO111

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 'Accommodation for Travellers' is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the "Rejected Site Options" document, each site was summarised in terms of

its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG "Planning Policy for Traveller Sites". Government guidance consistently identifies the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the 'Green Belt' assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options "remote from any settlement" should not be excluded in accordance with the Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary 'scoring' for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. GTTS2051: Site is part of an extensive area of land identified as open space. Not in significant active use and open / amenity function could be retained if part were to be allocated. Should not be discounted.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites.

Council Response

No ChangeThe Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments.The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages 72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12.The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: GTTS2057

Consultee: 968556

Mr Anthony Aitken

Agent: 968551

Mrs Jessica Powell

Rep ID: PDLP_RSO113

Soundness - Justified

- Advice within August 2015 Traveller Sites Planning Policy emphasises the need for local policies to be supported by suitable evidence, and to be formed collaboratively with adjoining planning authorities. Decision to reject some of the sites within the context of PLP Policy 12 'Accommodation for Travellers' is not adequately justified nor soundly based. - Of the 37 sites assessed, 13 of them were hastily discounted, GTTS 1955, 1956, 1959, 2042, 2044, 2045, 2047, 2049, 2051, 2055, 2057, 2060 and 2061. - We considered all of the sites within the "Rejected Site Options" document, each site was summarised in terms of its location and proximity to shops and services, as well as the apparent reasons for the rejection of each site. Assessments arrived at different conclusions to those in the LPA documents, no evidence to support the allocated site GTTS2478 at land south of Bankwood Way can be distinguished from and preferred to the above 13 sites noted. - We also had regard to advice of Policy E of DCLG "Planning Policy for Traveller Sites". Government guidance consistently identifies the development plan process as an opportunity and as a means by which to reconsider the green belt boundaries. In which case, the 'Green Belt' assessment criteria used by Kirklees Council for each of the sites is unnecessary as options "remote from any settlement" should not be excluded in accordance with the Government Guidance. Our conclusions, 13 sites should not have been discounted. - Draft plan and associated documents fail to provide strategic/ site specific evidence that the above 13 sites are distinguished from and less preferable to the proposed allocation. Little explanation provided by LPA of the reason for the rejection of these sites in preference for the allocated site. - Summary 'scoring' for the rejected sites against the proposed allocation is also inconsistent, as the rejected sites summary is presented differently to that of the allocated site. - No differentiation between a large proportion of the sites and the allocated site based upon the available evidence presented to date. 'Sustainability Appraisal' assessed the likely impact of the proposed development on existing situations as opposed to considering the merits of the site for the intended use. As a result, several scores in the appraisal are comparable for many sites and do not therefore offer a basis for which to distinguish between the sites. GTTS2057: Without specific boundaries unable to discount the site, notwithstanding the allocations. Its location and history of use indicate that it merits more detailed assessment.

Proposed Change Requested

- Revisit rejected site options and undertake further detailed analysis to better inform and justify discounting sites.

Council Response

No ChangeThe Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments.The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports pages

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

72-88 (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29) page 12. The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175).

Paragraph/Site: GTTS2065	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO855
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H366	Consultee: 942409		Agent: 950095	Mr Jonathan Dunbavin
	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO705			
Soundness - Justified	The site has only one 'red' constraint identified in relation to open space. The assessment states the site overlaps an urban greenspace option			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The proposed urban greenspace allocation is inconsistent with National Planning Policy			
Proposed Change Requested	The site should be allocated for residential development as it performs better than a range of other proposed allocations.			
Council Response	No change The site lies within a larger area defined as strategic urban green space, (site ref UGS1068) which comprises a large area of attractive open farmland with established trees and hedgerows defining field boundaries. It provides a green lung between Cleckheaton and Hightown, defining the separation of the two settlements. UGS1068 has been assessed as having high value as open space based on its structural and landscape qualities and its significant contribution to the amenity of the area and sense of place, as well as use and enjoyment for informal recreation along the public footpath network. The whole of UGS1068 performs a strategic urban green space function meriting allocation as urban green space. H366 is similar in character and appearance to adjoining open land and is viewed as an attractive and important integral element of the open area as a whole which can be appreciated from different locations within the built-up area and along the public footpath network. The visual and open qualities of the site play a valuable role for the amenity of the area and in providing visual relief in this heavily built up area. UGS1068 is not deemed, in whole or part, to be clearly surplus to requirements.			
Paragraph/Site: H2595	Consultee: 1069872		Agent: 941934	Mr M Sizer
	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1263			
Soundness - Justified	The plan is unsound due to the failure to include H2595 as a housing site. Rejection of this site is unjustified as planning permission for redevelopment of the site for 108 apartments granted in 2005 remains valid since the development commenced before the expiry date. Discussions are underway with the council about a revised scheme to replace this permission and it is anticipated this will be submitted in early 2017. On this basis housing is deliverable and developable during the plan period.			
Proposed Change Requested	Remove the green belt designation from site H2595 and allocate the site for housing in the Allocations and Designations document and on the accepted options map. Its notional capacity of 132 dwellings should be added to the 2024 homes figures in paragraph 8.8 of the Strategies and Policies document.			
Council Response	No change. While it is acknowledged that planning permission exists for the redevelopment of Low Westwood Mill, including enabling development, this was approved with due consideration to the green belt status of the site and enabled consideration of impact on openness, a consideration which would be lost should the site be removed from the green belt.			
Paragraph/Site: H240	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO760
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H240	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO165
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H240	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO793
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H93	Consultee: 942154	unknown	Agent: 941891	Paul Leeming
	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO808			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified	Government guidance recognises that the preparation and review of Local Plan represents an opportunity to review Green Belts to allocate sites and to consider designating the designation of safeguarded land to replace POL sites which are brought forward – which is suggested to be the case in this circumstance. Consideration of the Sustainability Appraisal suggests the site would have significant positive effects but no significant adverse effects on recreation and leisure.				
Proposed Change Requested	It should be put forward as a housing allocation to address the current planned shortfall in housing allocation set out in the Draft Local Plan.				
Council Response	No change. The site has been assessed in accordance with the BP23 – Local Plan Methodology Part 2. This outcome of this site assessment was that, as set out in LE4 - Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan Rejected Site Options Report, is that the site access is poor and would require third party land meaning the site is not considered to be deliverable or developable.				
Paragraph/Site: H161	Consultee: 942154	unknown	Agent: 941891	Paul Leeming	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO774
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H261	Consultee: 1045990	Clr Kath Pinnock	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO754
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H261	Consultee: 1049393	Clr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO158
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H261	Consultee: 1058570	Clr John Lawson	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO773
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H2730	Consultee: 949313	Mrs Eleanor Barraclough	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO103
Proposed Change Requested	On the basis that H2730 has been replaced by H2730a, GAIL requests that H2730a should be rejected on the grounds of the attached Representation.				
Council Response	Support for the rejection of this site.				
Paragraph/Site: H557	Consultee: 955498	Mr & Mrs Harrison	Agent: 941932	Mr Bradley Stankler	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO371
Soundness - Positively Prepared	This part of Kirklees District can play an important role in providing housing over the plan period and this is recognised in substantial housing and employment land allocations. The Local Plan does not provide enough housing for this part of the Kirklees District and at the same time distributes larger housing numbers to those areas of the District where arguably housing sites are less deliverable.				
Soundness - Justified	The Rejected Sites Technical Assessment is factually incorrect and inconsistent compared to other sites. The Site is very well located for sustainable travel and overall conforms to typical sustainability standards The Greenbelt assessment of the Site implies a greater impact than will actually occur. Contrary to the assessment by the council, the site does not serve any demonstrable Greenbelt function.				
Soundness - Effective	The Site can be regarded as deliverable for housing development in the short term.				
Proposed Change Requested	The site should form an allocation in the Local Plan				
Council Response	No ChangeThe Kirklees Local Plan at section 6 states that “the council has considered a place based approach alongside considering the role and function of settlements to provide the flexibility needed to reflect the unique character of Kirklees. This allows most growth to be met in the main urban areas of Huddersfield and Dewsbury in accordance with the spatial vision and a more flexible approach elsewhere to achieve a sustainable pattern of development. It is an appropriate strategy for the district as it recognises the character of the district but also allows for the objectively assessed needs to be met in full”. The Green Belt Review April 2017 (document ref SD19) has assessed the wider area of green belt within which site H557 lies as one with some relationship with the wider countryside but where settlement extension could be achieved without fundamentally undermining the role and function of the green belt in this				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

location (edge ref. B/EB18). Note: this green belt edge was changed from 5_pink at November 2016 (Green Belt Review November 2016 and Green Belt Edge Maps PC10) to 3_amber at April 2017 (Green Belt Review April 2017 SD19 and Green Belt Edge Map SD20). In line with the site allocation methodology however sites not well configured in relation to the settlement form and which may result in more poorly performing areas of green belt should not be released and can be assessed as 'red' in terms of their impact on the green belt (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology document ref BP23). The release of the site from the green belt in isolation would result in the appearance of the projection of built form into the wider countryside contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt, and would result in a more poorly performing area of green belt over the allotment area to the south east. The Sustainability Appraisal (SD5) did not identify any negative impacts from site H557.

Paragraph/Site: **H2730** Consultee: **965798 GAIL** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1236**

Proposed Change Requested On the basis that H2730 has been replaced by H2730a, GAIL requests that H2730a should be rejected on the grounds of the attached Representation.
Council Response Support for the rejection of this site.

Paragraph/Site: **H557** Consultee: **978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO955**

Council Response No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H557 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H357** Consultee: **942154 unknown** Agent: **941891 Paul Leeming** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO790**

Soundness - Justified The site does not form part of the Green Belt and is surrounded on four sides by development including established housing areas. Consideration of the Sustainability Appraisal would suggest that the only potential negative effect is respect to light pollution. The summary is however confusing suggesting that the site has negative effects on leisure and recreation (and also as a positive effect) and also on biodiversity. Given that it is an agricultural field it is not clear what recreation and leisure benefits will be affected, likewise biodiversity.

Proposed Change Requested H357 Land at Rumble Road Bywell should be put forward as a housing allocation to address the current planned shortfall in housing allocation set out in the Draft Local Plan.

Council Response No change The Housing Technical Paper (SD23) explains the factors considered when calculating the housing capacity required from housing allocations in the Local Plan. Sufficient housing capacity has been allocated to meet the Local Plan housing requirement during the plan period.

Paragraph/Site: **H357** Consultee: **975291 Gareth Lloyd** Agent: **969464 Mr Adam Jackson** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO683**

Soundness - Justified The land does not offer opportunities for public recreation and cannot be identified as fulfilling the function of a 'valued landscape' in terms of paragraph 109 of the NPPF. Whilst the site is in relatively close proximity to adjacent housing it is private land. It is not demonstrably special to a local community and it is not strongly 'local' in character. Development of the site would provide considerable economic, social and environmental benefits. These benefits would outweigh any adverse impacts of the development. Furthermore development in this location would represent sustainable forms of development in line with advice in the NPPF.

Proposed Change Requested Remove the UGS allocation and allocate the land for residential development.

Council Response No changeDetails of the technical assessment undertaken to reach the conclusion that the site should be allocated as urban green space in the Local Plan are set out in the Urban Green Space & Local Green Space Technical Paper (BP13) paragraphs 5.3.1 to 5.3.13 and in Appendix 1, Local Plan reference UGS2151.

Paragraph/Site: **H357** Consultee: **1030012 Mr & Mrs S & J Mitchell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO892**

Council Response No change required.Support for the rejection of site H357 is noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H2639** Consultee: **1045990 Cllr Kath Pinnock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO753**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H2639	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO157
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H357	Consultee: 1050971	Tracy North	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1265
Soundness - Justified	Object to the proposal to build houses on open land within the planning application submitted by Persimmon Home s on the grounds of: - Loss of open space - Loss of visual amenity - Loss of wildlife habitat - The proposed access is directly in front of my house and forms part of objectors garden - Inadequate access, too narrow - inadequately considered			
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H2639	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO772
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H177	Consultee: 942963	Mr Richard Brook	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO467
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Site H177 should be accepted to allow the village of Birdsedge some sustainable development . Without some new housing, the village will die.			
Proposed Change Requested	Site H177 should be accepted to allow the village of Birdsedge some sustainable development . Without some new housing, the village will die.			
Council Response	No change.This site is closely associated with the existing settlement of Birds Edge but is poorly configured in relation to it and would not constitute infill for the purposes of national planning policy. The site could not be released in isolation and the Local Plan strategy does not include the removal of Birds Edge from the green belt.This would be contrary to the Local Plan strategy to remove the settlement from the Green Belt. Consideration has been given to the removal of the settlement from the Green Belt in the Settlement Appraisal technical paper. This concluded that it would not be appropriate for any of the over washed villages to be removed from the Green Belt, including Birds Edge. Birds Edge has a school and a village hall, but no other facilities and an infrequent bus service. The pattern of development in the settlement means that it would be difficult for a defendable Green Belt boundary to be created around it, which upheld the roles and function of Green Belt as set out in national policy.			
Paragraph/Site: H177	Consultee: 943092	Mrs Dawn Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO63
Soundness - Positively Prepared	No rationale why Birds Edge is an overwashed settlement. Settlement is constrained, lack of suitable homes for families which affects the long term viability, vibrancy and sustainability of the settlement. Site H177 could supply such housing.			
Soundness - Justified	The Draft Plan has included a 62 acre site for mineral extraction within the village of Birds Edge, which is also within the Green Belt, but has neglected to support the village needs; H177 would have no Green Belt boundary issues and could be developed quickly with little impact to open space. If the school and other services such as the village hall and chapel are to be sustained then there is an urgent requirement for development within Birds Edge. This could be achieved with a sensitive and well placed site in the heart of the village, off the main road, such as H177. The Draft Plan appears to make little or no attempt to provide for or promote sustainable housing for the maintenance of rural communities. Site has support of all 3 ward members.			
Proposed Change Requested	The inclusion of site H177 in the Local Plan.			
Council Response	No change.This site is closely associated with the existing settlement of Birds Edge but is poorly configured in relation to it and would not constitute infill for the purposes of national planning policy. The site could not be released in isolation and the Local Plan strategy does not include the removal of Birds Edge from the green belt.This would be contrary to the Local Plan strategy to remove the settlement from the Green Belt. Consideration has been given to the removal of the settlement from the Green Belt in the Settlement Appraisal technical paper. This concluded that it would not be appropriate for any of the over washed villages to be removed from the Green Belt, including Birds Edge. Birds Edge has a school and a village hall, but no other facilities and an infrequent bus service. The pattern of development in the settlement means that it would be difficult for a defendable Green Belt boundary to be created around it, which upheld the roles and function of Green Belt as set out in national policy.There is no specific evidence that identifies a local housing need in Birds Edge.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H177	Consultee: 978257	Cllr Michael Watson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1172
Soundness - Justified	- This site has been rejected on the basis that it is within the green belt. Birdsedge as a village is entirely within the green belt and therefore this clearly has a substantial impact on any potential development in the village, however, there is a need for some modest housing development and this site would be suitable to meet that need. - The rejection would appear to be part of the policy but as to whether it is justified, that decision seems to have been taken based predominantly on the location in the green belt and, in particular, there appears to be no specific justification based on proportionate evidence. Whilst of course the plan as a whole may meet housing demands based on an evidential analysis throughout the district, this development would meet a local need and therefore is unsound in that specific evidence of this need appears not to have been presented.			
Proposed Change Requested	Accept this housing option.			
Council Response	No change.This site is closely associated with the existing settlement of Birds Edge but is poorly configured in relation to it and would not constitute infill for the purposes of national planning policy. The site could not be released in isolation and the Local Plan strategy does not include the removal of Birds Edge from the green belt.This would be contrary to the Local Plan strategy to remove the settlement from the Green Belt. Consideration has been given to the removal of the settlement from the Green Belt in the Settlement Appraisal technical paper. This concluded that it would not be appropriate for any of the over washed villages to be removed from the Green Belt, including Birds Edge. Birds Edge has a school and a village hall, but no other facilities and an infrequent bus service. The pattern of development in the settlement means that it would be difficult for a defendable Green Belt boundary to be created around it, which upheld the roles and function of Green Belt as set out in national policy.There is no specific evidence that identifies a local housing need in Birds Edge.			
Paragraph/Site: H2572	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO755
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H177	Consultee: 1048793	Cllr Graham Turner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO116
Soundness - Justified	The village has had virtually no development for many years, impact on viability of local school and community centre. A mixture of private and affordable homes will help bring new life to village and maintain village facilities.			
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the site in the plan			
Council Response	No change.This site is closely associated with the existing settlement of Birds Edge but is poorly configured in relation to it and would not constitute infill for the purposes of national planning policy. The site could not be released in isolation and the Local Plan strategy does not include the removal of Birds Edge from the green belt.This would be contrary to the Local Plan strategy to remove the settlement from the Green Belt. Consideration has been given to the removal of the settlement from the Green Belt in the Settlement Appraisal technical paper. This concluded that it would not be appropriate for any of the over washed villages to be removed from the Green Belt, including Birds Edge. Birds Edge has a school and a village hall, but no other facilities and an infrequent bus service. The pattern of development in the settlement means that it would be difficult for a defendable Green Belt boundary to be created around it, which upheld the roles and function of Green Belt as set out in national policy.			
Paragraph/Site: H2572	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO159
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H2572	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO775
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H177	Consultee: 1059425	Becky Barrett	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO401
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The council has not given sufficient weight to previous comments of support from the local community. The community of Birdsedge needs a small			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

	development of affordable housing, to enable younger families to live in the area.
Soundness - Justified	There is a shortage of affordable housing for younger families in the area. The local school is currently undersubscribed and would benefit from a small influx of younger residents.
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate site H177 for housing.
Council Response	No change. This site is closely associated with the existing settlement of Birds Edge but is poorly configured in relation to it and would not constitute infill for the purposes of national planning policy. The site could not be released in isolation and the Local Plan strategy does not include the removal of Birds Edge from the green belt. This would be contrary to the Local Plan strategy to remove the settlement from the Green Belt. Consideration has been given to the removal of the settlement from the Green Belt in the Settlement Appraisal technical paper. This concluded that it would not be appropriate for any of the over washed villages to be removed from the Green Belt, including Birds Edge. Birds Edge has a school and a village hall, but no other facilities and an infrequent bus service. The pattern of development in the settlement means that it would be difficult for a defensible Green Belt boundary to be created around it, which upheld the roles and function of Green Belt as set out in national policy.

Paragraph/Site: **H653** Consultee: **953703** **Mr David Storrie** Agent: **942001** **Mr David Storrie** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1266**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	The Plan is considered unsound as the scale and spread of proposed housing allocations does not meet the needs of all of Kirklees. The reliance on three large Strategic Green Belt releases for housing providing some 25% of the new allocations is questionable in terms of delivery in the plan period and does nothing to sustain settlements where little growth is proposed.
Proposed Change Requested	Reconsider site as housing allocation.
Council Response	No Change The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessment of the site can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports page 190 (LE4). The reasons for rejection are summarised as: The option as presented does not follow any existing feature on the ground along much of its northern boundary and in addition bisects a tract of trees. Its eastern extent would leave land between the site and the settlement edge somewhat cut off from the wider green belt and therefore vulnerable to encroachment contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. The phasing in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, pages 227 - 247) as updated in SD4 (Proposed Main Modifications to the Local Plan, SP-MM33) demonstrates that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. This phasing table is based on the robust methodology set out in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 5.13 - 5.25). SD23 also sets out a detailed five year housing land supply calculation (Table 11, page 28) demonstrating five years supply of deliverable housing capacity

Paragraph/Site: **H653** Consultee: **953703** **Mr David Storrie** Agent: **942001** **Mr David Storrie** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1268**

Soundness - Justified	Site was rejected due to proposed boundary not following any existing features on the ground. A more appropriate boundary, following existing features, should have been considered. (See map attached).
Proposed Change Requested	Reconsider site H653 for housing allocation, with revised site boundaries.
Council Response	No Change The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessment of the site can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports page 190 (LE4). The reasons for rejection are summarised as: The option as presented does not follow any existing feature on the ground along much of its northern boundary and in addition bisects a tract of trees. Its eastern extent would leave land between the site and the settlement edge somewhat cut off from the wider green belt and therefore vulnerable to encroachment contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H164** Consultee: **978303** **Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO969**

Council Response	No Change Supported for rejected site option H164 is noted.
-------------------------	---

Paragraph/Site: **H185** Consultee: **968659** **Mrs Lynn Hardy** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO39**

Soundness - Justified	This site is ideal for housing or industrial. It neighbours industrial land and therefore should be considered for this.
------------------------------	--

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No change.This is an extensive green belt area but opportunities for settlement expansion are limited in this immediate location due to flooding issues associated with Park Gate Dyke.			
Paragraph/Site: H546	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO761
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H546	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO166
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H546	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO792
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H279	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO973
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H279 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H298	Consultee: 1044323	Mr and Mrs Rhodes	Agent: 1041912	Mr Frazer Sandwith Rep ID: PDLP_RSO183
Soundness - Justified	JLL consider that the allocation of land to the west of Intake/Green Crescent, Golcar for Urban Green Space (part of UGS1214) is not justified. Other than 'Open Space', there are no unacceptable constraints to the residential development of H298. UGS1214 should have been assessed as several distinct parcels of land, rather than as one. If assessed on its own merits, H298 could be considered as semi-natural green space of poor quality and value. No public access is currently possible across or into H298. The site is located in an area already well-served by all identified types of open space. H298 is sustainably located within the settlement of Golcar. It is close to existing residential development and provides good access to local amenities and services. The proposed development of H298 is deliverable (available, suitable & achievable).			
Proposed Change Requested	H298 should be allocated for housing.			
Council Response	No change. The site will be retained as urban greenspace for the reasons set out for site UGS1214 in BP13 - Accepted Site Options Technical ReportThe Open Space Study 2015 (Revised 2016) (LE119) identifies significant quantity deficiencies in open space in the ward with shortfalls in the provision of parks and recreation grounds, amenity greenspace and allotments and particularly in natural and semi-natural greenspace. As such, this site is not identified as clearly surplus to requirements. Further details are provided in the Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13) Table 3.The allocation of this site as urban green space is consistent with the methodology provided in the Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13) section 5.The definition of urban green space is provided in the council's Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13) paragraph 1.4. This includes areas of open land identified as being particularly valuable as open space, including land not necessarily in sport or recreational use. This is consistent with the NPPF Glossary definition of open space which includes all open space of public value, including that which can act as a visual amenity, and the broader definition of open space in PPG (Paragraph: 001 Reference ID: 37-001-20140306) which recognises that open space can take many forms.Urban green space allocations are identified in the Local Plan irrespective of whether the land is in public or private ownership, and are not dependent on public access being available. The NPPF does not require open space to be in public ownership or publicly accessible.			
Paragraph/Site: H84	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO953
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H84 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H111	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO642
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H476	Consultee: 975291	Gareth Lloyd	Agent: 969464	Mr Adam Jackson	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO680
Soundness - Justified	Persimmon contests the rejection of the site for housing, and considers that it is a suitable and sustainable location for residential development, which is vital for meeting the District's full objectively assessed housing needs. Suitable access can be achieved, there would be no impact upon air quality and there would be no detriment to the Green Belt in this location.				
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate this site for residential development.				
Council Response	The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessment of the site can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports page 193 (LE4). The reasons for rejection are summarised as: This is a large site that extends up the slope to the east where development would be increasingly prominent, although there is development immediately to the east of the option that is in the green belt. The extent and location of the option relative to the green belt area of Mirfield Moor would leave land to the south somewhat isolated and vulnerable to development pressure.				
Paragraph/Site: H111	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO145
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H111has been noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H497	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO758
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H497	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO163
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H497	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO778
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H143	Consultee: 1048326	Mr ken bellamy	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO78
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H143 has been noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H311	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO968
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H311 noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H2590	Consultee: 942144	unknown	Agent: 970993	Anna Turton	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO707
Soundness - Justified	The site is located in a sustainable location and is well defined by existing housing and roads; it is surrounded by development on 3 sides and is located close to shops and public transport facilities.				
Soundness - Effective	The site forms part of SHLAA site 78. Site 78 was identified as a potential 6-10 year housing site in SHLAA. The SHLAA commented the site was in multiple ownership and that access would need improving, however the Strata Homes site forms a small part of the site is in single control. Suitable access can be provided to the site.				
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The deliverability, availability and suitability of the site can be demonstrated in accordance with NPPF.				
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the New Lane, Cleckheaton site for residential development which could deliver 39 dwellings in the short term.				
Council Response	No change The Kirklees Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA 2014) (document ref LE17) states at paragraph 2.27 that "Due to the policy				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

neutral approach to the Kirklees SHLAA, sites currently constrained by policy have been assessed in the same way as other sites” and; “in these cases, although the phasing may for example show that a site is deliverable in 0-5 years, delivery of capacity could be dependent on a change in allocation or designation through the Local Plan process”. It was therefore for the Local Plan process to decide whether this area should remain as urban green space or be reallocated for housing purposes or for any other use. It must be noted that full planning permission for the erection of 39 dwellings with associated highways and landscaping (application 2014/93073) on land off New Lane was allowed on appeal on the 15 February 2017. However, to date development of this site has not commenced.

Paragraph/Site: H649	Consultee: 953703	Mr David Storrie	Agent: 942001	Mr David Storrie	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO193
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The Plan is considered unsound as the scale and spread of proposed housing allocations does not meet the needs of all of Kirklees. The reliance on three large Strategic Green Belt releases for housing providing some 25% of the new allocations is questionable in terms of delivery in the plan period and does nothing to sustain settlements where little growth is proposed.				
Proposed Change Requested	Reconsider site as housing allocation.				
Council Response	No ChangeThe site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessment of the site can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports page 198 (LE4). The reasons for rejection are summarised as: This is an extensive site which is poorly configured in relation to the area of settlement it adjoins and would isolate the group of buildings in its centre from the wider green belt. The site is bisected by a tract of trees which effectively separates the two halves of the site. The option would also leave land between the eastern edge of the site and the settlement somewhat isolated from the wider green belt.The phasing in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, pages 227 - 247) as updated in SD4 (Proposed Main Modifications to the Local Plan, SP-MM33) demonstrates that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. This phasing table is based on the robust methodology set out in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 5.13 - 5.25). SD23 also sets out a detailed five year housing land supply calculation (Table 11, page 28) demonstrating five years supply of deliverable housing capacity				
Paragraph/Site: H2590	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO756
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H2590	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO160
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H2590	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO776
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO218
Council Response	No change. Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1109
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 945518	Mr B W Mallinson	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO332
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 953982	Mr Jeremy McKinnell	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO674
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted for the rejection of Farnlev Country Park and associated housing site has been noted.				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 959692	CH Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO326
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 961633	Mr John Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO587
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 961635	Mrs Jean Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO608
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO646
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H250 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO560
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO695
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO661
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H250 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 968407	Amanda Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO414
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H253 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO460
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H250 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO480
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 968950	Mr Richard Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO388
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H250 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 969027	Mrs Jill Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO396
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H250 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 969359	Mr Martin Laithwaite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO205
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO573
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 970642	Nicola Cantrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO942
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO637
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO551
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO483
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 977256	Lindsay Downey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO594
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H352	Consultee: 978303	Clr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO978
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the rejection of rejected site option H352 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO140
Council Response	No change, support for site H250 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO420
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO433
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO544
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H250	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO629
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H352	Consultee: 1093027	Chartford Homes	Agent: 1093025	Mr Chris Atkinson Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1279
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The site assessment is based on a misunderstanding of the purposes and intention of the Green Belt.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the site for housing.				
Council Response	No ChangeThe council considers that the rejection of H352 to safeguard the countryside from encroachment is consistent with national policy on green belt. The water features form part of the countryside setting and development would fail to protect the countryside from encroachment.				
Paragraph/Site: H1796	Consultee: 944150	Mr Andrew Gilpin	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO120	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the rejection of site H1796 has been noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H1796	Consultee: 944929	Mrs Judith Gilpin	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO80	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H1796 has been noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H1796	Consultee: 953245	Mr & Mrs E & C Johnson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO60	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H1769 noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H1796	Consultee: 961677	Mrs Pamela Hutchinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO812	
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of housing option H1796 is noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H1796	Consultee: 1038022	Mrs Olwen Shaw	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO46	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H596	Consultee: 942225	Mr Matthew Naylor	Agent: 969464	Mr Adam Jackson	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO697
Soundness - Justified	A review of the reasons for rejecting the site as outlined in Rejected Site Options report has shown that the Council's conclusions that the site would represent significant encroachment into the countryside, and that it would raise significant environmental protection concerns, are unjustified. The technical assessments commissioned by KeyLand have shown that there are no technical or environmental constraints which would restrict the development of housing at the site, as was largely found in the Council's own assessments, and there are no technical reasons not to allocate the site for housing. It is available for development now, and its allocation would in fact improve the deliverability of site H198.				
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the land for residential development.				
Council Response	No ChangeIn accordance with the site selection methodology (Kirklees Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology document ref BP23), this site underwent a detailed technical assessment, the results of which can be viewed in the Publication Draft Local Plan Rejected Site Options Report (LE4 page 105). The site was rejected for its impact on the role and function of the green belt in this location and the full response to this issue can be viewed at SD13 Statement of Publication Consultation and Summary of Main issues (regulation 22) (Consultee ID 942225). The council accepts that on its own the red assessment for environmental protection would not justify the rejection of this site for housing.				
Paragraph/Site: H571	Consultee: 953703	Mr David Storrie	Agent: 942001	Mr David Storrie	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO194
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The Plan is considered unsound as the scale and spread of proposed housing allocations does not meet the needs of all of Kirklees. The reliance on three large Strategic Green Belt releases for housing providing some 25% of the new allocations is questionable in terms of delivery in the plan period and does nothing to sustain settlements where little growth is proposed. This site forms a logical rounding off of Netherthong and can deliver much needed housing in this part of the district.				
Proposed Change Requested	Allocation of the site for housing.				
Council Response	No change. This site has been rejected in accordance with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The development of this extensive site would significantly impact on the area of green belt that separates Netherthong from Holmfirth. Development would be prominent to the detriment of the				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

openness of the green belt. The phasing in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, pages 227 - 247) as updated in SD4 (Proposed Main Modifications to the Local Plan, SP-MM33) demonstrates that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. This phasing table is based on the robust methodology set out in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 5.13 - 5.25). SD23 also sets out a detailed five year housing land supply calculation (Table 11, page 28) demonstrating five years supply of deliverable housing capacity.

Paragraph/Site: H510	Consultee: 1049286 Mr Nicholas Willock	Agent: 1049237 Mr Nicholas Willock	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO153
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	Council's strategy in relation to land north of Fenay Lane is inconsistent with national planning policy and is therefore unsound. Arguments applied to accepted option H1679 in this location apply equally to H510 and all other land north of Fenay Lane (including H1681 and H2596). Fenay Lane would provide a strong defensible boundary as acknowledged by the Council. Accepting H1679 would leave an island of Green Belt north of Fenay Lane surrounded by development to the north, east and west. Other options have been submitted in this area and their removal from the green belt in addition to H510 would overcome the issue of isolating green belt land to the north of H510. If there is uncertainty about the delivery of the remaining land north of Fenay Lane not submitted by landowners they could be considered as safeguarded land. Constraints on H510 could be overcome by reducing the developable area.		
Proposed Change Requested	1) Remove all remaining land north of Fenay Lane from the Green Belt. 2) Allocate rejected site H510 for housing development, (and possibly H1681 & H2596 – although we are not appointed to represent those landowners) and safeguard other land north of Fenay Lane for possible future development.		
Council Response	No change. This site is well contained on three sides by existing roads and development and to the north by the line of a watercourse and trees. However, preserving the trees would result in a long narrow development form which would be poorly configured in relation to the settlement and appear as ribbon type development along Fenay Lane. Removing the site from the green belt would also isolate the land to the north between the site and Finthorpe Lane from the wider green belt leading to pressure for encroachment. (Rejected Site Options Report, November 2016, LE4)		

Paragraph/Site: H149	Consultee: 943764 Ms Margaret Dugdill	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO466
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The Council's approach fails to place sufficient emphasis on whether sites are sustainably located and could provide for sustainable development. Instead, too much emphasis is given to supposed 'constraints' which would be addressed in a very straightforward manner at planning application stage.		
Soundness - Justified	Rejected site options report scores the site as 'amber' in respect of 'transport', 'public health', 'education', 'historic environment', 'other constraints' and 'Green Belt edge' criteria. The 'amber' scoring of these criteria is either misleading or merely identifies matters which do not materially impact on whether the site is allocated for housing. No concerns are identified which preclude the site's future development for housing. Rejected site options report scores the site as 'red' in respect of Green Belt criterion. Consultee contends that the site fails to make any real contribution to any of the criteria which relate to the site's inclusion in the Green Belt. Crossley Lane acts as a defensible Green Belt boundary. Site relates well to the existing settlement pattern. Site is 'available', 'suitable' and 'viable' and could make a significant contribution to addressing housing needs.		
Proposed Change Requested	Remove the site from the Green Belt and allocate for housing.		
Council Response	The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports page 202 (LE4), it concluded: This site is well related to the settlement edge and well proportioned to the existing settlement pattern. This area of green belt while separated from the wider green belt by Crossley Lane is visually linked and retains a countryside character, so development would constitute encroachment. The site for a large part does not follow any feature on the ground strong enough to present a defensible new green belt boundary. This would leave adjacent land vulnerable to encroachment contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt.		

Paragraph/Site: H149	Consultee: 1067812 Mr R A Shaw	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1251
Soundness - Effective	Unwilling landowner		
Council Response	No Change Support noted.		

Paragraph/Site: H65	Consultee: 942154 unknown	Agent: 941891 Paul Leeming	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO791
Soundness - Justified	The site does not form part of the Green Belt and is surrounded on three sides by development including established housing areas. Consideration of the		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

	Sustainability Appraisal would suggest that the site has no significant negative effects, albeit this is not clear in respect of leisure and recreation which is both positive and negative. The summary suggests that these matters could be addressed through appropriate mitigations.
Proposed Change Requested	It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan
Council Response	No change. The Housing Technical Paper (SD23) explains the factors considered when calculating the housing capacity required from housing allocations in the Local Plan. Sufficient housing capacity has been allocated to meet the Local Plan housing requirement during the plan period.

Paragraph/Site: **H322** Consultee: **953703 Mr David Storrie** Agent: **942001 Mr David Storrie** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO195**

Soundness - Positively Prepared The delivery of the required housing numbers within the Plan period relies heavily on 3 large Strategic Green Belt land releases at Chidswell (1535), South Dewsbury (2310 and Bradley Park Golf Course (1577)), accounting for 25% of the required housing on new allocations. These Strategic sites will require significant infrastructure and highway network improvements to be completed before they can come forward. Given this and likely build rates, it is questionable whether the housing numbers can be deliverable in the plan period. Given their locations within the district the large allocations will do little for the needs of the settlements in rural South Kirklees. The rejected housing allocation has contiguous boundaries with the allocated housing site that already has planning permission. It can provide economies of scale to deliver affordable housing in this part of the district.

Proposed Change Requested Allocate site for housing.

Council Response No change. This site is contained by woodland to the west and Cumberworth Lane to the east with existing development to three sides. The site slopes up from the south to the north and given its size would represent a prominent extension to the settlement that may undermine the role of the green belt in this location. Development would sever East Hill Beck and its associated woodland habitat from the wider countryside contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. The phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period.

Paragraph/Site: **H322** Consultee: **1049286 Mr Nicholas Willock** Agent: **1049237 Mr Nicholas Willock** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO318**

Soundness - Justified Land at H322a (when combined with rejected sites H322 and H475) have equal or greater merit to the proposed release of areas of green belt at Inkerman Way (H233/H634). H322a forms part of a rectangle of land adjacent to the Denby Dale settlement. The land is well-contained (with Cumberworth Lane representing a strong physical boundary) and presents a suitable opportunity for rounding-off the settlement, with no risk of either Denby Dale merging with other settlements, or urban sprawl. Cumberworth Lane has a more defensible boundary and development on three sides but Inkerman only has development on one side. The Inkerman sites would not result in a satisfactory settlement rounding off. Both areas of land have been assessed as requiring third party land to achieve visibility. Cumberworth Lane sites are in a more accessible location. If the exceptional circumstances exist for the release of the Inkerman sites from the green belt they must also exist for the Cumberworth Lane sites. The issue of visibility splays can be resolved either utilising land within the site to achieve the required splays, using land to the north of using rejected sites to the south to share access.

Proposed Change Requested Allocate H322a, H322 & H475 for housing.

Council Response No change. This site is contained by woodland to the west and Cumberworth Lane to the east with existing development to three sides. The site slopes up from the south to the north and given its size would represent a prominent extension to the settlement that may undermine the role of the green belt in this location. Development would sever East Hill Beck and its associated woodland habitat from the wider countryside contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. Woodland protected by TPO comprising area of mixed deciduous trees.

Paragraph/Site: **H8** Consultee: **944860 Mr R Sherwell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1243**

Council Response No change required. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H8** Consultee: **945500 Mrs P M McKellar** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO885**

Soundness - Justified Site H8 is the only land not built on in the rectangle of the map enclosed. Building here would alleviate the very settled nature of Scholes village and enable

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

	residents to look south and west and east to fulfil their daily travel, shopping and school needs. Site B on the enclosed map, adjacent to H8, was designated building land in 1974. The plan is unsound because it is putting unwarranted pressure on the school in Scholes and on the roads towards the centre of Scholes which have parked cars on them and no footpaths in places. Reaching and parking at the post office is difficult as the parking is not long enough. There is excellent infrastructure supporting H8. Cross Lane is a good wide road and there is preference for the schools in Hade Edge and Hepworth. There is an urgent demand for bungalows, as well as small starter homes. The area is well served by 2 buses every hour from Holmfirth to Hepworth; the terrain is flats and ideal for walkers, prams and wheelchairs. Our selling this land would enable it to be developed appropriately.
Proposed Change Requested	Reinstate site H8 in the plan.
Council Response	No change. There are no exceptional circumstances to remove this site from the green belt.
Paragraph/Site: H8	Consultee: 970489 Mrs B Donnellan Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1027
Council Response	No change. Support noted.
Paragraph/Site: H8	Consultee: 1049295 Mr Michael Reader Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO266
Council Response	No change. Support for retention of this site as green belt noted.
Paragraph/Site: H8	Consultee: 1050480 Jillian Sherwell Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1237
Proposed Change Requested	No change.
Council Response	Support noted.
Paragraph/Site: H141	Consultee: 978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO948
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for rejected site option H141 is noted.
Paragraph/Site: H243	Consultee: 1045990 Cllr Kath Pinnock Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO762
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted
Paragraph/Site: H243	Consultee: 1049393 Cllr Andrew Pinnock Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO167
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted
Paragraph/Site: H243	Consultee: 1058570 Cllr John Lawson Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO795
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted
Paragraph/Site: H32	Consultee: 949313 Mrs Eleanor Barraclough Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO98
Soundness - Justified	.
Proposed Change Requested	On the basis that H32 has been replaced by H2684a, GAIL requests that H2684a should be rejected on the grounds of the attached Representation.
Council Response	No change.This option has been rejected.
Paragraph/Site: H475	Consultee: 953703 Mr David Storrie Agent: 942001 Mr David Storrie Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1267
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The delivery of the required housing numbers within the Plan period relies heavily on 3 large Strategic Green Belt land releases at Chidswell (1535), South Dewsbury (2310 and Bradley Park Golf Course (1577)), accounting for 25% of the required housing on new allocations. These Strategic sites will require

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

significant infrastructure and highway network improvements to be completed before they can come forward. Given this and likely build rates, it is questionable whether the housing numbers can be deliverable in the plan period. Given their locations within the district the large allocations will do little for the needs of the settlements in rural South Kirklees. The rejected housing allocation has contiguous boundaries with the allocated housing site that already has planning permission. It can provide economies of scale to deliver affordable housing in this part of the district.

Proposed Change Requested

Allocate site for housing.

Council Response

No change. This site is contained by woodland to the west and Cumberworth Lane to the east. The site slopes up from the south to the north and would be increasingly prominent to the north which may undermine the role of the green belt in this location. Development would sever East Hill Beck and its associated woodland habitat from the wider countryside contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. Woodland protected by TPO comprising area of mixed deciduous trees. The phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period.

Paragraph/Site: **H32**

Consultee: **965798**

GAIL

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1231**

Soundness - Justified

.

Proposed Change Requested

On the basis that H32 has been replaced by H2684a, GAIL requests that H2684a should be rejected on the grounds of the attached Representation.

Council Response

No change. This option has been rejected.

Paragraph/Site: **H475**

Consultee: **1049286**

Mr Nicholas Willock

Agent: **1049237**

Mr Nicholas Willock

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO320**

Soundness - Justified

Land at H322a (when combined with rejected sites H322 and H475) have equal or greater merit to the proposed release of areas of green belt at Inkerman Way (H233/H634). H322a forms part of a rectangle of land adjacent to the Denby Dale settlement. The land is well-contained (with Cumberworth Lane representing a strong physical boundary) and presents a suitable opportunity for rounding-off the settlement, with no risk of either Denby Dale merging with other settlements, or urban sprawl. Cumberworth Lane has a more defensible boundary and development on three sides but Inkerman only has development on one side. The Inkerman sites would not result in a satisfactory settlement rounding off. Both areas of land have been assessed as requiring third party land to achieve visibility. Cumberworth Lane sites are in a more accessible location. If the exceptional circumstances exist for the release of the Inkerman sites from the green belt they must also exist for the Cumberworth Lane sites. The issue of visibility splays can be resolved either utilising land within the site to achieve the required splays, using land to the north of using rejected sites to the south to share access.

Proposed Change Requested

Allocate H322a, H322 & H475 for housing.

Council Response

No change. This site is contained by woodland to the west and Cumberworth Lane to the east. The site slopes up from the south to the north and would be increasingly prominent to the north which may undermine the role of the green belt in this location. Development would sever East Hill Beck and its associated woodland habitat from the wider countryside contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. Woodland protected by TPO comprising area of mixed deciduous trees.

Paragraph/Site: **H27**

Consultee: **968829**

Farnley Estates Ltd

Agent: **942125**

Chris Creighton

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO748**

Soundness - Justified

It is considered that the removal of this site from the Green Belt would make the Plan sound. - The new Green Belt boundary should be Penistone Road, to make the Council's approach consistent at this location and to identify a clear and consistent boundary. - The site is not meeting any of the purposes of Green Belt land, as required by the NPPF. - The woodland area within the site would still be protected from development by its TPO status, but the remaining area of the site could be developed to create between 4 and 6 new dwellings at a sustainable location, in a similar way to the existing properties immediately to the north.

Proposed Change Requested

Allocate H27 for housing development.

Council Response

No change. The site is a rejected housing option. Site has biodiversity constraints, including a Tree Preservation Order on significant portion of the site. West Yorkshire Ecology suggest reducing the net area to 0.21ha. This is an extensive area of green belt that washes over the open countryside south of

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Huddersfield. Locally this very narrow area is separated from the wider green belt by the line of Penistone Road. It is mostly covered by protected trees.
(Rejected Site Options Report, November 2016, LE4)

Paragraph/Site: **H113** Consultee: **978303** **Clr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO962**

Council Response No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H113 is noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **942622** **Jane Faulkner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO225**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **945518** **Mr B W Mallinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO340**

Council Response No change.Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **961633** **Mr John Wright** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO591**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **961635** **Mrs Jean Wright** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO612**

Council Response No Change Support noted

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **961870** **R A Faulkner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO655**

Council Response No ChangeSupport for site H188 has been noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **962957** **Jane Faulkner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO665**

Council Response No change, support for site H188 has been noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **965543** **Richard Oates** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO520**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **968407** **Amanda Schofield** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO408**

Council Response No ChangeSupport for site H188 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **968417** **Arlene Schofield** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO453**

Council Response No ChangeSupport for site H188 has been noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **968522** **Mr Mark Schofield** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO474**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **971141** **Martin Kilburn** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO631**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H188** Consultee: **975861** **K Bellwood** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO488**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No change.Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H188	Consultee: 976279	Mr & Mrs J Billington	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1272	
Council Response	No change.Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H260	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO981	
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H260 is noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H188	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO133
Council Response	No change, support for site H188 has been noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H188	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO537	
Council Response	No changeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H188	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO621	
Council Response	No changeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H97	Consultee: 942154	unknown	Agent: 941891	Paul Leeming	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO794
Soundness - Justified	It should be put forward as a housing allocation to address the current planned shortfall in housing allocation set out in the Draft Local Plan. Government guidance recognises that the preparation and review of Local Plan represents an opportunity to review Green Belts. It is considered that the Green Belt boundary could be defined along the east following the former railway embankment as a defensible and definable physical boundary. Consideration of the Sustainability Appraisal suggests the site would have significant positive effects and no significant adverse effects. It is not clear how such a straightforward conclusion in the SA Report Annex 1 then results with a major negative on the Environmental Protection Assessment in the Rejected Site Options Report. Such an inconsistency brings into doubt the appraisal methodology.				
Proposed Change Requested	It should be put forward as a housing allocation to address the current planned shortfall in housing allocation set out in the Draft Local Plan.				
Council Response	No changeThe Housing Technical Paper (SD23) explains the factors considered when calculating the housing capacity required from housing allocations in the Local Plan. Sufficient housing capacity has been allocated to meet the Local Plan housing requirement during the plan period.The site is within the green belt and it is considered that it does not have a defendable south eastern boundary and would reinforce merger with property at Park House Farm, extending the linear settlement pattern and increasing the risk of encroachment.				
Paragraph/Site: H3387	Consultee: 1019782	Mr Stephen Wragg	Agent: 1019776	Mr Jason White	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO582
Soundness - Positively Prepared	In the Mirfield area the plan includes removal of substantial areas of land from the green belt, whereas nearby accessible, deliverable and sustainable brownfield sites have been rejected for residential development.				
Soundness - Justified	The site is suitable, available and achievable. Allocating the site for development would provide opportunity to address parking issues at Mirfield Station. Site benefits from being near existing housing, employment, shops, services and railway station. This project will promote the opportunity of leisure and recreation opportunities by offering a further 5 acres of urban green space on land lying to the north of the railway within the same ownership for community use.				
Soundness - Effective	The site is immediately available to deliver housing supporting local planning in identifying sites to provide housing in the next three to five years.				
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	Not consistent with NPPF paragraphs 79, 80 and 83				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the site in the plan			
Council Response	No ChangeThe site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessment of the site can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports page 211 (LE4). The reasons for rejection are summarised as: No site frontage to the adopted highway. The site is around 25% functional floodplain and around 50% outside flood zone 1. This whole site has been identified in the Wildlife Habitat Network for woodland			
Paragraph/Site: H1810	Consultee: 1045990	Clr Kath Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO757
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1810	Consultee: 1049393	Clr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO162
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1810	Consultee: 1058570	Clr John Lawson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO777
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H362	Consultee: 942154	unknown	Agent: 941891	Paul Leeming Rep ID: PDLP_RSO782
Soundness - Positively Prepared	- In our view the Council must seek to allocate sufficient land to meet the objectively assessed needs in full and identify the infrastructure required to support it. - This site should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan. The site does not form part of the Green Belt and is surrounded on three sides by development including established housing areas.			
Proposed Change Requested	This site should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan. The site does not form part of the Green Belt and is surrounded on three sides by development including established housing areas.			
Council Response	No ChangeSD23 Housing Technical paper Section 4 sets out additional information on calculating the objectively assessed housing needs for Kirklees. This is also set out at SD1 Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan 8.14.The council considers that the plan is deliverable and evidence is outlined at SD1 Kirklees Publication Draft Local plan section 81. Housing Strategy, 8.17 meeting the housing requirement, 8.20 Maintaining a supply of deliverable housing sites and Appendix 3 Housing delivery and phasing table. Further evidence is set out in SD23 Housing Technical paper - Section 6 housing delivery (page 27).			
Paragraph/Site: H659	Consultee: 949313	Mrs Eleanor Barraclough	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO101
Proposed Change Requested	On the basis that H659 has been replaced by H2730a, GAIL requests that H2730a should be rejected on the grounds of the attached Representation.			
Council Response	Support for the rejection of this site.			
Paragraph/Site: H659	Consultee: 965798	GAIL	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1234
Proposed Change Requested	On the basis that H659 has been replaced by H2730a, GAIL requests that H2730a should be rejected on the grounds of the attached Representation.			
Council Response	Support for the rejection of this site.			
Paragraph/Site: H78a	Consultee: 942788	Mr Michael Rush	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO886
Soundness - Justified	This site is not shown for housing in the plan and I would like to make the land available for affordable housing for young families. The land was not originally designated as green belt but was industrial railway land (line from Wakefield to Bradford) and building has already taken place on either side of this line for housing and transport and warehousing a quarter of a mile away. With regards to the boundaries, site H78a has a dyke and fencing which separates it from adjoining green belt land and existing houses on the Bradford Road side. The site has the required access to Bradford Road with drop curb access and with regard to drainage the land is extremely dry.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Proposed Change Requested	Include site H78a in the plan for affordable housing.			
Council Response	No Change The site is a proposed rejected housing site. This site was received after the publication of the draft local plan and has been assessed in accordance with the council's site methodology. The site is partly contained by an urban land use to the south west and has only a limited relationship with the wider countryside it adjoins. However, the site is poorly configured and would partly result in unsatisfactory backland development. Sites poorly configured in relationship to the settlement can be rejected on green belt grounds in accordance with the site allocation methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology document ref BP23). It is acknowledged that this land was formally the railway line but the degree of rejuvenation means that it is not considered to be brownfield. Open watercourses can form green belt boundaries but the council is not aware of the presence of one in this instance, and while there is a change of character from the site to the adjoining agricultural land the boundary is weak. Kirklees Local Plan Policy PLP 11 Housing Mix and Affordable Housing (as amended by SP-MM15 List of Additional Modifications to the Publication Local Plan (SD4)) sets out the manner in which the council will ensure that sufficient affordable homes are delivered over the plan period.			
Paragraph/Site: H466	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO975
Council Response	No Change Supported for rejected site option H466 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H602	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1127
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H255	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO574
Council Response	No change Support noted			
Paragraph/Site: H2600	Consultee: 974190		Agent: 941908	Mr Andrew Rose Rep ID: PDLP_RSO703
Soundness - Justified	Full advantage has not been taken of the new infrastructure and new junction provided on the M62 motorway at Junction 24A.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The site is available, suitable and achievable and is deliverable. The site can make efficient use of land in a sustainable location within Huddersfield. It is a well connected site located close to a range of services and facilities including shops, public houses, primary school and other community facilities. In terms of the green belt, the site is contained by development including residential properties, businesses and M62 motorway and has a limited impact on openness and a low impact on the purposes of including land within the green belt. The context is amplified by the proposed new M62 Junction 24A. Support for nearby H351 but a further housing allocation to the north would create a more logical and robust long term green belt boundary. Principle site access could be Bradford Road. The site accords with NPPF paragraph 85. The current green belt boundary proposed in this location is not logical and robust for the long term. There are no known constraints to development and the site will reinforce the housing supply for the short to medium term.			
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the site H2600 to the west of Bradford Road (3ha) for residential development, which could deliver approximately 60 dwellings.			
Council Response	No change. This site is a rejected housing option. This site is located within an area of green belt that performs the strategic role of preventing the further merger of Kirklees with Calderdale. There are however some opportunities for settlement extension which due to the existing settlement form and land use features could be achieved without significantly undermining the role of the green belt in this location. However, this site has little relationship with Fixby as there is a significant tract of open land and protected trees between the site and the settlement edge. All this land would need to be removed from the green belt in order for the site to have any relationship with the settlement. The site appears as an integral part of this open land and to these countryside features which means that release of the site would constitute encroachment into the countryside contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. (Rejected Site Options Report, November 2016, LE4)			
Paragraph/Site: H2638	Consultee: 1063620	Mr Brent Matthewman	Agent: 941854	Mr Mark Johnson Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1230
Soundness - Justified	Site H2638 is a sustainable site on the edge of an existing settlement, very close to existing services within the local centre and highly accessible via public transport to other parts of the District. The housing requirement in Kirklees and the need to identify additional land for long term development justifies the			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

	release of this site, adjacent to the built form, and its allocation for housing.			
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate H2638 for housing.			
Council Response	No change.The site is an extensive area of green belt which maintains separation between villages. This site is physically separated from Brockholes by the line of the railway which forms a clear and defensible green belt boundary and defines the northern extent of the settlement. Development on the site would appear as an isolated area of urban form in this expansive and elevated area of countryside which would undermine the purpose of the green belt which is to safeguard the countryside from encroachment.			
Paragraph/Site: H455	Consultee: 949313	Mrs Eleanor Barraclough	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO100
Proposed Change Requested	On the basis that H455 has been replaced by H2730a, GAIL requests that H2730a should be rejected on the grounds of the attached Representation.			
Council Response	No change.This option has been rejected.			
Paragraph/Site: H455	Consultee: 965798	GAIL	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1233
Proposed Change Requested	On the basis that H455 has been replaced by H2730a, GAIL requests that H2730a should be rejected on the grounds of the attached Representation.			
Council Response	No change.This option has been rejected.			
Paragraph/Site: H482	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO763
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H482	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO168
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H482	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO796
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO217
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1110
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 945518	Mr B W Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO333
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 953982	Mr Jeremy McKinnell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO675
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted for the rejection of Farnley Country Park and associated housing site has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 959692	CH Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO327
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 961633	Mr John Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO586
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 961635	Mrs Jean Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO607
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO645
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the rejectiong of site H251 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO561
Council Response	No chnage. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO696
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO662
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H251 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 968407	Amanda Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO412
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H251 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO458
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H251 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 968950	Mr Richard Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO389
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H251 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 969027	Mrs Jill Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO397
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H251 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 969359	Mr Martin Laithwaite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO206
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 970642	Nicola Cantrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO943
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO638
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO552
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO484
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 977256	Lindsay Downey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO595
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO141
Council Response	No change, support for site H251 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO421
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO434
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO542
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H251	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO627
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO215
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1111
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 945518	Mr B W Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO336
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 953982	Mr Jeremy McKinnell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO676
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted for the rejection of Farnley Country Park and associated housing site has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 959692	CH Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO330
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 961633	Mr John Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO585
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 961635	Mrs Jean Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO606
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO644
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H252 have been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO556
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO691
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO657
Council Response	No change, support for site H252 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 965543	Richard Oates	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO522
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO457
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H252 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO477
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 968829	Farnley Estates Ltd	Agent: 942125	Chris Creighton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO741
Soundness - Justified	The approach to housing allocations across the Kirklees Rural sub-area and to Farnley Tyas in particular is not fully justified.. It is considered that the allocation of these two sites for housing would make the Plan sound. It has not properly considered the role and function of the village of Farnley Tyas as a sustainable settlement for an appropriate level of housing growth, for which provision should be made. It is considered that the revised site areas put forward would allow a small number of new houses, i.e. between 38 and 44 (incl. an element of affordable housing) to be developed at Farnley Tyas over the lifetime of the Local Plan, without having any significant adverse impact on either the Conservation Area, the nearby listed buildings or the Green Belt. In addition, the sites would offer a significant area of new public open space to the wider benefit of the village.			
Soundness - Effective	The sites at Farnley Tyas are in single ownership and deliverable within the Plan period and should therefore be considered as part the Council's proposed allocations in order to meet the required housing supply within the plan period.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The Plan's approach, in respect of Farnley Tyas, to the planning of housing, protecting the historic environment and the Green Belt are not fully consistent with national policy			
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate this site for housing development.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No change. This site has been rejected in accordance with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The development of this site would have a major impact on the Farnley Tyas Conservation Area and listed buildings with no mitigation possible. The site lacks a defensible boundary to the south and is poorly related to the existing settlement pattern. It would result in an incursion of built form into the countryside to the detriment of openness and contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 968950	Mr Richard Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO385
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H252 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 969027	Mrs Jill Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO393
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H252 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 969359	Mr Martin Laithwaite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO207
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO575
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 970642	Nicola Cantrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO939
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO639
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO553
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO485
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 977256	Lindsay Downey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO599
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO142
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for H252 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO416
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO429
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 1059665	Johanna Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO465
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO541
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H252	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO626
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H460	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO770
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H460	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO174
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H460	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO804
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO223
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1114
Council Response	No change.Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 945518	Mr B W Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO341
Council Response	No change.Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 961633	Mr John Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO592
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 961635	Mrs Jean Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO613
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO656
Council Response	No change, support for site H189 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO565
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO690
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO666
Council Response	No change, support for site H189 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 965543	Richard Oates	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO521
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 968407	Amanda Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO409
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H189 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO454
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for H189 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 968968	Mr Christopher Hirst	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO189
Council Response	Support for the rejection of H189			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO571
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO632
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO489
Council Response	No change.Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 976279	Mr & Mrs J Billington	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1273
Council Response	No change.Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H2640	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO764
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO135
Council Response	No change, support for site H189 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H2640	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO169
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H2640	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO797
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO425
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO438
Council Response	No change.Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO538
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H189	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO622
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H79	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1108
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H673	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO970
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H673 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H1792	Consultee: 942149	unknown	Agent: 971739	Kellie Hainsworth Rep ID: PDLP_RSO751
Soundness - Justified	Land owner does not propose to include any of the Adwalton Battlefield A single point of access is proposed to serve the site from Whitehall Road A58, by forming a new roundabout. Works will also provide an eastern to Birkenshaw village. Defensive landscape boundaries would protect the historic battlefield from any visual harm. proposed public open space would be adjacent to area to form a buffer. Retention of the battlefield and the use of existing access to Hill Top Farm will provide a strong defensible green belt boundary. Sustainable location - regular bus service between Leeds, Cleckheaton and Dewsbury close to amenities/facilities - schools shops and services. OAN should be higher. Site is deliverable.			
Proposed Change Requested	Include site within the plan			
Council Response	No change The Kirklees housing requirement has been calculated in accordance with NPPF including using CLG Household Projections as a starting point and considering a range of factors listed in NPPF/NPPG. This process is summarised in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 4.8 - 4.13) and in more detail in the Kirklees SHMA (LE17, paragraphs 6.1 - 6.44). Chapter 8 of the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies (document ref SD1) sets out the Local Plan policies for the supply of housing and this is supported by the Housing Technical Paper and the Kirklees Strategic Housing Market Assessment. The phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MMM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. The Housing Technical Paper at paragraphs 5.19 to 5.25 considers phasing, lead-in-times and build rates. Build rates have where relevant been led by information in developer masterplans. The Housing Technical Paper at section 4 sets out how the objectively assessed need for housing in Kirklees has been calculated, which the Local Plan will meet in full (paragraph 6.1 Spatial Development Strategy SD1). The Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4) sets out the technical assessment for site H1792. The site was rejected based on consultation with Historic England concerning the proximity of the site to the registered battlefield at Adwalton and on the impact on green belt purposes. Further coresspondance with Historic England confirms they support the council's decision on preserving the setting of Adwalton Battlefield. (Document ref LE66)			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H1792	Consultee: 942695	John Hall	Agent: 1059913	Katrina Hulse	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO603
Soundness - Justified	Does not identify sufficient land for the longer term needs of the district. Over reliant on strategic sites. Council do not have a 5 year supply of housing. Birkenshaw has a good range of facilities, primary school, doctors, pharmacy, supermarket, pubs, restaurants and sports hall. Well connected to local and strategic highway network - A58, A651, M62 Site could support between 250-300 dwellings at 30 units per hectare 2 access points currently available - Old Lane & off Whitehall Road - need improvements. Site forms a logical extension to Birkenshaw - significant extension to the built form. There are defensible boundaries to the east and south of the site No protected species or habitats on site Heritage asset could be protected and enhanced through sensitive master planning. Site is located within flood zone 1				
Council Response	No changeThe Kirklees housing requirement has been calculated in accordance with NPPF including using CLG Household Projections as a starting point and considering a range of factors listed in NPPF/NPPG. This process is summarised in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 4.8 - 4.13) and in more detail in the Kirklees SHMA (LE17, paragraphs 6.1 - 6.44). Chapter 8 of the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies (document ref SD1) sets out the Local Plan policies for the supply of housing and this is supported by the Housing Technical Paper and the Kirklees Strategic Housing Market Assessment. The phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. The Housing Technical Paper at paragraphs 5.19 to 5.25 considers phasing, lead-in-times and build rates. Build rates have where relevant been led by information in developer masterplans. The Housing Technical Paper at section 4 sets out how the objectively assessed need for housing in Kirklees has been calculated, which the Local Plan will meet in full (paragraph 6.1 Spatial Development Strategy SD1). The Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4) sets out the technical assessment for site H1792. The site was rejected based on consultation with Historic England concerning the proximity of the site to the registered battlefield at Adwalton and on the impact on green belt purposes. Further coresspondance with Historic England confirms they support the council's decision on preserving the setting of Adwalton Battlefield. (Document ref LE66)				
Paragraph/Site: H1792	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO956
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H1792 is noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H125	Consultee: 1067812	Mr R A Shaw	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1250
Soundness - Effective	Unwilling landowner				
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H594	Consultee: 942155	unknown	Agent: 941779	Stephen Sadler	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO670
Soundness - Justified	The decision to reject this site has been made without full regard to the available evidence. This shows that access is achievable from Spring Place Park with no requirement for third party land. The degree of contamination present would not inhibit development and delivery of the site is not prejudiced by the proximity of historic assets, a lack of school places, public health issues or access to open space. In all cases therefore the Council's assessment of these issues should be 'green' instead of 'amber'. The green belt edge in this location is incorrectly assessed as having an important role in preventing merger (test 2a) as new development would be a modest extension of existing development south of Shillbank Lane and would not impact on the extent of the gap between Mirfield and Ravensthorpe any more than the existing development at Spring Place Court. It is also incorrectly assessed as having an important role in preventing sprawl (test 2b) as there are landscape features that could present new long term defensible boundaries. The site is not highly visible due to local topography and woodland and this is an urban fringe location. The site is not part of the wider countryside and is therefore not important in protecting the countryside from encroachment. The site does not contribute to the 5 purposes of including land in the green belt and it is not necessary to keep it permanently open. This is a deliverable unconstrained and sustainable site.				
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate site H594 for housing in the Local Plan.				
Council Response	The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessment of the site can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports page 227 (LE4). The reasons for rejection are summerised as: This site sits within a strategic area of green				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLR Rejected Site Options

belt that maintains separation between Mirfield and Ravensthorpe. While the site is reasonably well related to the settlement edge its northern most extent would result in built form that would further narrow the gap that allows the green belt to flow over land to the south. As such development would compromise the role and function of the green belt in this area. Although it is acknowledged that the gap is already narrow at this point, development of the site would reduce the appearance of separation

Paragraph/Site: h226	Consultee: 942301	Mr Sarah and Peter Hall	Agent: 942076	Mr Richard Irving	Rep ID: PDLR_RSO462
Soundness - Positively Prepared	H226 is a sustainable location for housing development to help meet identified housing requirement and support growth and wellbeing of Liversedge FC				
Soundness - Justified	It is not clear as to how assessment findings result in sites being rejected. The amber rating for transport has not considered previous submissions / discussions re: site access. ID Planning are unaware of any Environmental Protection constraints.				
Soundness - Effective	It is maintained the allocation of site H226 would result in a clearly defined Green Belt boundary in this area that would be effective and is consistent with national policy.				
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	It is maintained the allocation of site H226 would result in a clearly defined Green Belt boundary in this area that would be effective and is consistent with national policy.				
Proposed Change Requested	H226 should be allocated.				
Council Response	No change This site did not receive any negative sustainability appraisal impacts (Kirklees Local Plan Sustainability Appraisal document ref SD5). The site received a 'green' rating for access consistent with the site selection methodology (Kirklees Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology document ref BP23), the results of which can be viewed in the Publication Draft Local Plan Rejected Site Options Report (LE4 page 134). The site was rejected for its impact on the role and function of the green belt in this location and the full response to this issue can be viewed at SD13 Statement of Publication Consultation and Summary of Main issues (regulation 22)				
Paragraph/Site: H226	Consultee: 944150	Mr Andrew Gilpin	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLR_RSO83
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the retention of green belt has been noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H226	Consultee: 944150	Mr Andrew Gilpin	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLR_RSO118
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H226 has been noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H226	Consultee: 944929	Mrs Judith Gilpin	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLR_RSO54
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the rejection of H226 noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H226	Consultee: 953245	Mr & Mrs E & C Johnson	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLR_RSO61
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H226 noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H226	Consultee: 961677	Mrs Pamela Hutchinson	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLR_RSO817
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H226	Consultee: 961855	Colin Berry	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLR_RSO45
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H226	Consultee: 1038022	Mrs Olwen Shaw	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLR_RSO47
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H226 noted.				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: **H594**

Consultee: **1049857 Mr Ged Collingwood**

Agent: **1049852 Miss Philippa Plumtree-Varley**

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO196**

Soundness - Justified

The decision to reject this site has been made without full regard to the available evidence. This shows that access is achievable from Spring Place Park with no requirement for third party land. The degree of contamination present would not inhibit development and delivery of the site is not prejudiced by the proximity of historic assets, a lack of school places, public health issues or access to open space. In all cases therefore the Council's assessment of these issues should be 'green' instead of 'amber'. The green belt edge in this location is incorrectly assessed as having an important role in preventing merger (test 2a) as new development would be a modest extension of existing development south of Shillbank Lane and would not impact on the extent of the gap between Mirfield and Ravensthorpe any more than the existing development at Spring Place Court. It is also incorrectly assessed as having an important role in preventing sprawl (test 2b) as there are landscape features that could present new long term defensible boundaries. The site is not highly visible due to local topography and woodland and this is an urban fringe location. The site is not part of the wider countryside and is therefore not important in protecting the countryside from encroachment. The site does not contribute to the 5 purposes of including land in the green belt and it is not necessary to keep it permanently open. This is a deliverable unconstrained and sustainable site.

Proposed Change Requested

Allocate site H594 for housing in the Local Plan.

Council Response

The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessment of the site can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports page 227 (LE4). The reasons for rejection are summarised as: This site sits within a strategic area of green belt that maintains separation between Mirfield and Ravensthorpe. While the site is reasonably well related to the settlement edge its northern most extent would result in built form that would further narrow the gap that allows the green belt to flow over land to the south. As such development would compromise the role and function of the green belt in this area. Although it is acknowledged that the gap is already narrow at this point, development of the site would reduce the appearance of separation

Paragraph/Site: **H524**

Consultee: **942142 unknown**

Agent: **950095 Mr Jonathan Dunbavin**

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO725**

Soundness - Justified

Objection is to the rejection of site H524 as a housing allocation. Only one 'red' constraint is identified in the rejected site options report for site H524, which relates to open space with the assessment reflecting the Council's intention to include the land as part of a wider urban greenspace allocation. The site has been rejected as a proposed housing site as a result of the Council's open space assessment of the site. Allocation of this site as urban greenspace is unsound as it does not meet the definition of natural and semi-natural greenspace in PPG17 nor is it local green space or a valued landscape. The Fieldhead Farm site performs better than sites H138, H509, H758, H1747 and H351, MX1905, H2089 and H2159. The Fieldhead Farm site is suitable, available, achievable and developable for residential development.

Proposed Change Requested

The Fieldhead Farm site at H674/H524/H525 should be identified as a housing allocation.

Council Response

No ChangeThe council considers this site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 (Revised 2016) (document ref LE119) and the review of urban green space set out in the Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), Appendix 1. The definition of urban green space is provided in the council's Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13) paragraph 1.4. This includes areas of open land identified as being particularly valuable as open space, including land important for its visual amenity and not necessarily in sport or recreational use. Open space can also have value in providing variety in urban townscapes and for its contribution to the landscape. This is consistent with the NPPF Glossary definition of open space which includes all open space of public value, including that which can act as a visual amenity, and the broader definition of open space in PPG (Paragraph: 001 Reference ID: 37-001-20140306) which recognises that open space can take many forms. The allocation of this site as urban green space is consistent with the methodology provided in the Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13) section 5 and with the site allocation methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 ; Site Allocation Methodology (BP23). H524 has value as open space in its own right and as part of the wider urban green space allocation UGS973. Site comprises attractive open grazing land fronting White Lee Road on the western edge of UGS973. Assessed as part of a larger natural and semi-natural greenspace having high value as open space based on its structural and landscape qualities and its significant contribution to the amenity of the area and sense of place, as well as use and enjoyment for informal recreation along the public footpath network. The site itself is as an integral and important part of the wider open space that can be

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

viewed from different vantage points and plays a valuable role in giving an open aspect from White Lee Road. UGS973 is not deemed, in whole or part, to be clearly surplus to requirements.

Paragraph/Site: **H598** Consultee: **947780** **Mr Daniel Bray** Agent: **968969** **Miss Clare Plant** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO307**

Soundness - Justified The site is available now and is deliverable, it has no significant constraints. The reasons for the Council’s previous rejection of the site include its proximity within 1000m of a Special Protection Area, the Council’s proposed policy map indicates that the nearest SPA (at Deer Hill) is in fact approximately 1500m away, some distance from the site. It is considered that the national park designation to the west helps achieves openness in this area and Green Belt designation is not required.

Soundness - Effective Although currently designated as Green Belt land, the removal of this site from the Green Belt and allocation as safeguarded land for future housing development would help ensure the Plan contains sufficient flexibility to meet its growth target should strategic allocations not deliver at the rate anticipated during the Plan Period.

Council Response No change. This area of green belt sits between the edge of Meltham and the boundary of the Peak District National Park. These open areas contribute to the immediate setting of the national park and are recognised for the role they play in maintaining landscape character beyond the boundary of the national park. The green belt in this location therefore plays an important role in maintaining this openness by protecting the areas from the encroachment of built form.

Paragraph/Site: **H745** Consultee: **1045990** **Cllr Kath Pinnock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO768**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H745** Consultee: **1049393** **Cllr Andrew Pinnock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO173**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H745** Consultee: **1058570** **Cllr John Lawson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO802**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H90** Consultee: **942154** **unknown** Agent: **941891** **Paul Leeming** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO807**

Soundness - Justified Government guidance recognises that the preparation and review of Local Plan represents an opportunity to review Green Belts to allocate sites and to consider designating the designation of safeguarded land to replace POL sites which are brought forward – which is suggested to be the case in this circumstance. Consideration of the Sustainability Appraisal suggests the site would have significant positive effects but no significant adverse effects on recreation and leisure. As with other sites there must be a question regarding the accuracy of the SA report given the proximity to education facilities as the appraisal appears to be a “cut and paste” which fails to acknowledge the presence of a Primary School in the village, within 100m of the site.

Proposed Change Requested It should be put forward as a housing allocation to address the current planned shortfall in housing allocation set out in the Draft Local Plan.

Council Response No change. The site has been assessed in accordance with the BP23 – Local Plan Methodology Part 2. This outcome of this site assessment was that, as set out in LE4 - Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan Rejected Site Options Report, is that the site has no access to highway frontage and therefore has no achievable access meaning the site is not considered to be deliverable or developable.

Paragraph/Site: **H77** Consultee: **942154** **unknown** Agent: **941891** **Paul Leeming** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO783**

Soundness - Justified It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan. The site does not form part of the Green Belt and is surrounded on three sides by development including established housing areas.

Proposed Change Requested It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan.

Council Response No ChangeThe Kirklees housing requirement has been calculated in accordance with NPPF including using CLG Household Projections as a starting point and

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

considering a range of factors listed in NPPF/NPPG. This process is summarised in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 4.8 - 4.13) and in more detail in the Kirklees SHMA (LE17, paragraphs 6.1 - 6.44). Chapter 8 of the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies (document ref SD1) sets out the Local Plan policies for the supply of housing and this is supported by the Housing Technical Paper and the Kirklees Strategic Housing Market Assessment. The phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. The Housing Technical Paper at section 4 sets out how the objectively assessed need for housing in Kirklees has been calculated, which the Local Plan will meet in full (paragraph 6.1 Spatial Development Strategy SD1). The Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4 page 137) sets out the technical assessment for site H77. The site was rejected based on the impact on green belt purposes.

Paragraph/Site: **H692** Consultee: **1057679 Mrs Susan Screaton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO282**

Council Response No change. Support for the rejection of H692 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H247** Consultee: **978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO967**

Council Response No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H247 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H231** Consultee: **975291 Gareth Lloyd** Agent: **969464 Mr Adam Jackson** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO681**

Soundness - Justified Green belt boundary - strong separation between Gomersal & Birkenshaw is provided by the M62. M62 is a stronger boundary than Dewsbury Road to the south of the site. Trees and watercourse do not influence the perception or the role of the green belt. Existing buildings and hotel are urbanising features Air Quality - AQSA has been submitted No buffer has been issued on other motorway sites. (H601, H218, H508, H1704, H2066) Technical note provided on potential noise impacts and mitigation. Noise is not listed as an issue on above sites. Site can provide 110 dwellings and retain trees allowing for a 10m, at least, buffer zone and provide a strong defensible future green belt boundary along the north of the site.

Proposed Change Requested Re-draw the green belt boundary along Moor Lane, encompassing these existing urban features and site H231 as part of Gomersal.

Council Response No Change The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. The site was proposed as a rejected site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its rejection is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology (BP23). The site option has been assessed in accordance with the Local Plan Methodology Part 2 (BP23). The site is near an area of poor air quality. Air quality emissions as they affect this site have been considered and it is not considered to be a suitable location in terms of residential amenity and health. A quantified assessment of the potential impacts of new development and associated traffic is set out in the Kirklees Council Air Quality Assessment (document ref - LE118) which identifies this locality in Birkenshaw at potential risk. The Local Plan – Strategy and Policies document (SD1) also confirms this. Notwithstanding this, the option has been rejected on green belt grounds. It is an urban fringe area where built form is already present, but there are also sensitive environmental areas including extensive areas of protected trees and an open watercourse. The site is visually linked to the neighbouring parkland. The drive to the Gomersal Park Hotel/Wheatley Farm does not present a sufficiently strong feature on the ground to form a new defensible green belt boundary. This area of green belt forms a narrow gap separating Gomersal from Birkenshaw. However the presence of the M62 means that there is no risk of sprawl to the north and no risk of settlement merger. The existing settlement pattern and land use features present some opportunity for settlement extension although these are limited by natural features and protected trees.

Paragraph/Site: **H231** Consultee: **978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO965**

Council Response No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H231 is noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H263** Consultee: **978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO971**

Council Response No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H263 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H256** Consultee: **942622 Jane Faulkner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO221**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No change. Support noted.		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1115
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for the rejection of this housing site noted.		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 945518	Mr B W Mallinson	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO338
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for the rejection of this housing site noted.		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 961633	Mr John Wright	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO593
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 961635	Mrs Jean Wright	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO614
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO653
Council Response	No change, support for site H256 has been noted.		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO562
Council Response	No change. Support noted.		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO687
Council Response	No change. Support noted.		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO663
Council Response	No change, support for site H189 has been noted.		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 965543	Richard Oates	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO524
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 968407	Amanda Schofield	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO407
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the rejection of site H256 noted.		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO452
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H256 has been noted		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO475
Council Response	No changeSupport noted		
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO578

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No changeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO633	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO490	
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for the rejection of this housing site noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 976279	Mr & Mrs J Billington	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1274	
Council Response	No change.Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO136
Council Response	No changeSupport for site H256 has been noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO422	
Council Response	No change. Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO435	
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for the rejection of this housing site noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO536	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H256	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO620	
Council Response	No changeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H315	Consultee: 953703	Mr David Storrie	Agent: 942001	Mr David Storrie	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO198
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The reliance on three large Strategic Green Belt releases for housing providing some 25% of the new allocations is questionable in terms of delivery in the plan period. Smaller sites such as H315, where site constraints can be overcome, should be reconsidered for housing.				
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate site H315 for housing.				
Council Response	No ChangeThe council considers that the plan is deliverable and evidence is outlined at SD1 Kirklees Publication Draft Local plan section 81. Housing Strategy, 8.17 meeting the housing requirement, 8.20 Maintaining a supply of deliverable housing sites and Appendix 3 Housing delivery and phasing table. Further evidence is set out in SD23 Housing Technical paper - Section 6 housing delivery (page 27).				
Paragraph/Site: H315	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO963	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for rejected site option H315 is noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 951450	Mr PETER ILSLEY	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO85	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H1701 has been noted.				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 951450	Mr PETER ILSLEY	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO87
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H1701 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H2551	Consultee: 951517	Messrs Hudson, Kelly, Bray & Samson	Agent: 942058	Mr Michael Townsend
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Allocation of the site would support the vision and objectives of the Local Plan and PLP3 and PLP7			
Soundness - Justified	The site can play a role in providing sustainable housing land, being part brownfield, it is not necessary to keep permanently open			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The removal of the land from Green Belt would not be in conflict with purposes of including land in Green Belt set out in NPPF			
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate site for housing or POL			
Council Response	No changeIt is considered that this area of green belt forms a narrow strategic gap separating Mirfield and Ravensthorpe. This site sits within a strategic area of green belt that maintains separation between Mirfield and Ravensthorpe. Removal of the option from the green belt would join Mirfield with Ravensthorpe along Shill Bank Lane and completely isolate a large area of green belt to the south, significantly compromising the role and function of the green belt in this location.			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 951542	Mr Richard Quincey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO295
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 952158	Mr Richard Blakeley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO50
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for rejected site option H1701 and allocation as an urban green space is noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 953910	Mr Philip Day	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO261
Council Response	No change.Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 955858	Mr David Gill	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO301
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 956134	Mr Spencer Bain	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO237
Council Response	No change Support noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 956374	Mr John Darton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO298
Council Response	No Change Support noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 956658	Mr Khalid Aziz	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO290
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 960788	Kathleen Anderson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO286
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 960887	Mr Philip Bristow	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO6

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 961344	Mr Stephen Walker	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO249
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 961411	Mr John Downs	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO247
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H1701 and allocation as an urban green space is noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 961444	Mr John Mellor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO8
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 962051	Cynthia Hardiman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO275
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 962151	Frank & Elaine Lodge	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO272
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 963057	Mrs Shirley Downs	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO248
Council Response	No ChangeSupport Noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 963204	Mrs Tracy Blackburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO265
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 963289	Mr Jonathan Enstock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO2
Council Response	No ChangeSupport rejected site option H1701 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 963307	Miss Linda Shaw	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO9
Council Response	No ChangeSupport Noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 963308	Mr Paul Weston	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO240
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 963319	Mrs Margaret Rogers	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO251
Council Response	No Change Support Noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 963322	Mr Phillip Gott	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO702
Council Response	No change, support for the rejection of H1701 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 963425	Maureen Griffiths	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO241

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 963666	Mrs Ann Day	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO262
Council Response	No Change Support noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 964616	Mr R.L. Norton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO299
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 965226	Mr Frederick Alan Graves	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO250
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H1701 and allocation as an urban green space is noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 965273	Mr Philip Wray	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO49
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H1701 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 965564	Mrs Christine Bristow	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO7
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 966107	Miss Anya Weston-Shaw	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO239
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 966559	Mr Ian J Harms	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO264
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 966970	Mrs Anne Wade	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO300
Council Response	No ChangeSee attached			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 967060	Mrs Mary Gott	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO701
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the rejection of site H1701 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 967670	Mr Graham Woodall	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO268
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 967772	Dr CD and Mrs P Givens	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO294
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 968238	Mr & Mrs Paul & Christine Horbury	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO232
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 970444	D I Bland	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO291

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No changeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974227	Barbara Wilson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO233
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974230	Mrs K Blewett	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO242
Council Response	No ChangeSupport Noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974235	Mrs June Rawcliffe	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO258
Council Response	No Change Support noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974245	Mrs Margaret Pugh	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO303
Council Response	No Change Support noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974254	Shelley Mellor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO246
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974258	Paul Marsden	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO364
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974259	Carol Marsden	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO366
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974262	Neelam & Arun Goel	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO273
Council Response	No changeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974270	Nadine Knox	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO244
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974287	Mr and Mrs A Gledhill	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO278
Council Response	No changeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974291	Drs CK & S Biswas	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO284
Council Response	No changeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974298	Lawrence and Margaret Sheard	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO285
Council Response	No ChangeSupport Noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 974305	Ajit & Meena Mehrotra	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO238

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No Change Support noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 974308	Kate Addison	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO267
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 974331	Michael Green	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO243
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 974337	Mr Brian Cawthray	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO263
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 974953	Mrs Yvonne Quincey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO255
Council Response	No Change Support Noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 1039545	Mr David Sykes	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO10
Council Response	No ChangeSupport Noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 1044922	John Grimbleby	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO236
Council Response	No change support noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 1045345	Mrs Margaret White	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO41
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 1045374	Mr John White	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO42
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H1701 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 1048781	Peter Stainton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO363
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 1048801	Brenda Bradford	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO360
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 1048802	Clifford Deighton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO361
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 1048804	Winifred Latham	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO362
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1701	Consultee: 1048805	Richard Armstead	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO359

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1048922	Tracey Lister	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO358
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1048924	Ahmed Shoaib	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO357
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1048927	Carol and Alan Waller	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO356
Council Response	No Change Support noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1048932	John Clayton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO353
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1048935	Dianne Lee	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO354
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1048937	Ian Lovell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO315
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1048939	David Bradford	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO349
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1049519	David Adolf	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO314
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1049520	Lamia Hassain	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO312
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1049522	Hazel Ackroyd	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO311
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1049523	Melvin Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO309
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1049524	Jane Jones	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO308
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H1701		Consultee: 1049527	Brian Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO304

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options**Council Response** No ChangeSupport notedParagraph/Site: **H1701**Consultee: **1057648 Mr Ian Spedding**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO277****Council Response** No changeSupport notedParagraph/Site: **H78**Consultee: **978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO950****Council Response** No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H78 noted.Paragraph/Site: **H675**Consultee: **942149 unknown**Agent: **971739 Kellie Hainsworth**Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO752****Soundness - Justified**

Land owner does not propose to include any of the Adwalton Battlefield A single point of access is proposed to serve the site from Whitehall Road A58, by forming a new roundabout. Works will also provide an eastern to Birkenshaw village. Defensive landscape boundaries would protect the historic battlefield from any visual harm. proposed public open space would be adjacent to area to form a buffer. Retention of the battlefield and the use of existing access to Hill Top Farm will provide a strong defensible green belt boundary. Sustainable location - regular bus service between Leeds, Cleckheaton and Dewsbury close to amenities/facilities - schools shops and services. OAN should be higher. Site is deliverable.

Proposed Change Requested

Site should be included in the plan

Council Response

No ChangeThe Kirklees housing requirement has been calculated in accordance with NPPF including using CLG Household Projections as a starting point and considering a range of factors listed in NPPF/NPPG. This process is summarised in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 4.8 - 4.13) and in more detail in the Kirklees SHMA (LE17, paragraphs 6.1 - 6.44). Chapter 8 of the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies (document ref SD1) sets out the Local Plan policies for the supply of housing and this is supported by the Housing Technical Paper and the Kirklees Strategic Housing Market Assessment. The phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. The Housing Technical Paper at paragraphs 5.19 to 5.25 considers phasing, lead-in-times and build rates. Build rates have where relevant been led by information in developer masterplans. The Housing Technical Paper at section 4 sets out how the objectively assessed need for housing in Kirklees has been calculated, which the Local Plan will meet in full (paragraph 6.1 Spatial Development Strategy SD1). The Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4) sets out the technical assessment for site H675. The site was rejected based on consultation with Historic England concerning the proximity of the site to the registered battlefield at Adwalton and on the impact on green belt purposes. Further coresspondance with Historic England confirms they support the council's decision on preserving the setting of Adwalton Battlefield. (Document ref LE66)

Paragraph/Site: **H91**Consultee: **942154 unknown**Agent: **941891 Paul Leeming**Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO800****Soundness - Justified**

Site capable of delivering 36 units. Government guidance recognises that the preparation and review of Local Plan represents an opportunity to review Green Belts. It is considered that the Green Belt boundary could be defined between the edge of housing to the east and the covered reservoir to the west as a defensible and definable physical boundary. Consideration of the Sustainability Appraisal suggests the site would have significant positive effects and no significant adverse effects. It is not clear how such a straightforward conclusion in the SA Report Annex 1 then results with a major negative on the Transport aspects in the Rejected Site Options Report. Such an inconsistency brings into doubt the appraisal methodology.

Proposed Change Requested

It should be allocated allocation to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan.

Council Response

No changeThe Housing Technical Paper (SD23) explains the factors considered when calculating the housing capacity required from housing allocations in the Local Plan. Sufficient housing capacity has been allocated to meet the Local Plan housing requirement during the plan period. The site is within the Green Belt and it does not relate well to existing development as the reservoirs to the west are not strong urban features. The lack of a boundary to the north risks ridge line development and encroachment onto the prominent hillside.

Paragraph/Site: **H675**Consultee: **942695 John Hall**Agent: **1059913 Katrina Hulse**Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO598****Soundness - Justified**

Does not identify sufficient land for the longer term needs of the district. Over reliant on strategic sites. Council do not have a 5 year supply of housing

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Birkenshaw has a good range of facilities, primary school, doctors, pharmacy, supermarket, pubs, restaurants and sports hall. Well connected to local and strategic highway network - A58, A651, M62 Site could support between 250-300 dwellings at 30 units per hectare 2 access points currently available - Old Lane & off Whitehall Road - need improvements. Site forms a logical extension to Birkenshaw - significant extension to the built form. There are defensible boundaries to the east and south of the site No protected species or habitats on site Heritage asset could be protected and enhanced through sensitive master planning. Site is located within flood zone 1

Council Response

No change The Kirklees housing requirement has been calculated in accordance with NPPF including using CLG Household Projections as a starting point and considering a range of factors listed in NPPF/NPPG. This process is summarised in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 4.8 - 4.13) and in more detail in the Kirklees SHMA (LE17, paragraphs 6.1 - 6.44). Chapter 8 of the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies (document ref SD1) sets out the Local Plan policies for the supply of housing and this is supported by the Housing Technical Paper and the Kirklees Strategic Housing Market Assessment. The phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. The Housing Technical Paper at paragraphs 5.19 to 5.25 considers phasing, lead-in-times and build rates. Build rates have where relevant been led by information in developer masterplans. The Housing Technical Paper at section 4 sets out how the objectively assessed need for housing in Kirklees has been calculated, which the Local Plan will meet in full (paragraph 6.1 Spatial Development Strategy SD1). The Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4) sets out the technical assessment for site H675. The site was rejected based on consultation with Historic England concerning the proximity of the site to the registered battlefield at Adwalton and on the impact on green belt purposes. Further coresspondance with Historic England confirms they support the council’s decision on preserving the setting of Adwalton Battlefield. (Document ref LE66)

Paragraph/Site: **H675** Consultee: **978303** **Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO958**

Council Response

No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H675 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H6** Consultee: **978303** **Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO964**

Council Response

No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H1701 and allocation as an urban green space is noted

Paragraph/Site: **H1813** Consultee: **978303** **Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO982**

Council Response

No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H1813 is noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H464** Consultee: **1045990** **Cllr Kath Pinnock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO759**

Council Response

No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H464** Consultee: **1049393** **Cllr Andrew Pinnock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO164**

Council Response

No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H464** Consultee: **1058570** **Cllr John Lawson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO780**

Council Response

No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H561** Consultee: **1067812** **Mr R A Shaw** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1252**

Soundness - Effective

Unwilling landowner

Council Response

No changeSupport for the rejection of H561 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H3** Consultee: **972762** **Brian Mortimer Esq** Agent: **1060394** **Mr Josh Brear** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO711**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified	This site is the most appropriate site option when considered against reasonable alternatives in Birstall. The site is bordered by development on all three sides and constitutes an infill site. Birstall is an appropriate location for new development. It is considered that the site is suitable, available and achievable.			
Soundness - Effective	The brownfield element of the site could come forward within the first five years of the plan period. The site is fully deliverable in terms of highway access.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The removal of the site from the Green Belt would not harm any of the five purposes set out in Paragraph 80 of NPPF.			
Council Response	No Change The council's reasons for the rejection H3 are set out in PC11 Kirklees Local Plan Rejected Site Options. Reasons for rejection included: This site is partly contained on three sides by development but is still extensive enough to appear as an area of countryside. The site contains two open watercourses on the boundary whose relationship with their countryside setting would be compromised. These features and their related important wildlife habitats are best protected by the green belt designation. One of the purposes of the green belt is to safeguard the countryside from encroachment. Nova Beck and Scotland Beck and their important wildlife habitats are countryside features that form the east and west edges with the settlement in this location and whose protection is best achieved through the green belt designation. Alternative options have been assessed through SD5 Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan Sustainability Appraisal			
Paragraph/Site: H3	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO979
Council Response	No Change Support for rejected site option H3 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H3	Consultee: 1093027	Chartford Homes	Agent: 1093025	Mr Chris Atkinson Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1278
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The site assessment is based on a misunderstanding of the purposes and intention of the Green Belt.			
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the site for housing.			
Council Response	No Change The council considers that the rejection of H3 to safeguard the countryside from encroachment is consistent with national policy on green belt. The water features form part of the countryside setting and development would fail to protect the countryside from encroachment.			
Paragraph/Site: H2601	Consultee: 974190		Agent: 941908	Mr Andrew Rose Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1242
Soundness - Justified	Full advantage has not been taken of the new infrastructure and new junction provided on the M62 motorway at Junction 24A.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The site is available, suitable and achievable and is deliverable. The site can make efficient use of land in a sustainable location within Huddersfield. It is a well connected site located close to a range of services and facilities including shops, public houses, primary school and other community facilities. In terms of the green belt, the site is contained by development including residential properties, businesses and M62 motorway and has a limited impact on openness and a low impact on the purposes of including land within the green belt. The context is amplified by the proposed new M62 Junction 24A. Support for nearby H351 but a further housing allocation to the north would create a more logical and robust long term green belt boundary. Principle site access could be Bradford Road. The site accords with NPPF paragraph 85. The current green belt boundary proposed in this location is not logical and robust for the long term. There are no known constraints to development and the site will reinforce the housing supply for the short to medium term.			
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the site H2601 to the east of Bradford Road (14ha) for residential development for approximately 300 dwellings.			
Council Response	No change. The rejection of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The land slopes up towards the south and this undeveloped slope is highly visible in views from the south, and from the motorway. Development would therefore encroach into the countryside and be highly visible in long distance views to the detriment of openness and contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. Development at the north western extreme of this site would reduce the width of the strategic gap in Kirklees solely to the motorway but there is green belt in Calderdale which would retain an undeveloped gap.			
Paragraph/Site: H525	Consultee: 942142	unknown	Agent: 950095	Mr Jonathan Dunbavin Rep ID: PDLP_RSO726
Soundness - Justified	The allocation of the site as urban greenspace is not justified. The site performs better than several Green Belt allocations.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Proposed Change Requested	The Fieldhead Farm site should be identified as a housing allocation			
Council Response	No change.The council considers this site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 (Revised 2016) (document ref LE119) and the review of urban green space set out in the Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), Appendix 1. This site is an integral part of a larger strategically important green space identified through the council's Open Space Study 2015 (Revised 2016) (LE119) as a highly valuable open space based on its structural and landscape qualities as a green wedge within the built up area and its importance in separating distinct communities. It makes a significant contribution to local amenity and character of the area as an attractive greenspace with extensive open countryside qualities important for providing visual relief within the built up area and for use and enjoyment for informal recreation along the public footpath network. Public health comments support the use of the site as urban green space. The site is not deemed to be surplus to requirements as open space. The protection of this valuable green space is considered to be more important than the delivery of housing on this site. Additionally insufficient evidence has been provided to demonstrate that the site could be satisfactorily accessed without the need for third party land.The site is part of a larger area subject to an appeal against the non-determination of an outline planning application (2016/93746) for the erection of up to 200 dwellings and formation of public open space (within a conservation area).			
Paragraph/Site: H1713	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO980
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H552	Consultee: 1033839	Me Mark Loker	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1
Council Response	No change.Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H160	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO771
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H160	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO175
Council Response	No changeComment noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H160	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO806
Council Response	No changeComment noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H226a	Consultee: 944150	Mr Andrew Gilpin	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO119
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the rejection of site H226a has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H226A	Consultee: 944929	Mrs Judith Gilpin	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO57
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H226a has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H226a	Consultee: 953245	Mr & Mrs E & C Johnson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO62
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H226a noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H226a	Consultee: 961677	Mrs Pamela Hutchinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO815
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H226a	Consultee: 961855	Colin Berry	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1239
Council Response	No changeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H226a	Consultee: 1038022	Mrs Olwen Shaw	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO48
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H226a noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H41	Consultee: 1048326	Mr ken bellamy	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO77
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H41 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H334	Consultee: 949313	Mrs Eleanor Barraclough	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO99
Council Response	No changeSupport for the rejection of H334 noted. Please refer to GAIL's attached Representation that details objections to the new Site H2684a.			
Paragraph/Site: H334	Consultee: 965798	GAIL	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1232
Council Response	No changeSupport for the rejection of H334 noted. Please refer to GAIL's attached Representation that details objections to the new Site H2684a.			
Paragraph/Site: H264	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO966
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H264 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H227	Consultee: 942332	Mr A Shepherd	Agent: 941934	Mr M Sizer Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1264
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The identification for housing of part of H227 (shown blue on the attached plan) would be consistent with the approach of concentrating most growth within the main areas of Huddersfield and Dewsbury.			
Soundness - Justified	The plan is unsound as it fails to include H227 as a housing site which is unjustified. The land edged blue on the attached plan comprises a plant nursery with retail sales. It is a brownfield site and a new access road, approved to serve the garden centre, can cater for up to 100 houses. The site is cluttered with permanent buildings, polytunnels and other substantial structures and the character of the area can be improved by clearance and replacement with residential development sympathetic to its surroundings. As such, the site's inclusion within the Green Belt is unjustified. The only reason for rejection for housing relate to green belt issues. Yet H1679 has been accepted and there is no reason why an extension of the settlement edge across from and beyond it should not be considered. The site has a clearly defined boundary formed by a stone wall w.hich meets NPPF paragraph 85.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	Allocation of site H227 for housing would be consistent with the sustainable development policies set out in NPPF, especially in respect of the re-use of brownfield land.			
Proposed Change Requested	Identify part of site H227 (edged blue on the attached plan) as a housing site in the Allocations and Designations Document and on the Accepted Options Map.			
Council Response	No change.This site is detached from any settlement and could not be released from the green belt in isolation as this would create a small pocket of non-green belt land surrounded by green belt which is contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. (Rejected Site Options Report, Nov, 2016, LE4)			
Paragraph/Site: H227	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO572
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H288	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1117
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H288	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO564

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No change. Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H288	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO689	
Council Response	No change. Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H288	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO424	
Council Response	No change. Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H288	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO437	
Council Response	No change. Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H505	Consultee: 942154	unknown	Agent: 941891	Paul Leeming	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO784
Soundness - Justified	It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan. The site does not form part of the Green Belt and is surrounded on three sides by development including established housing areas.				
Proposed Change Requested	It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan.				
Council Response	No ChangeSD23 Housing Technical paper Section 4 sets out additional information on calculating the objectively assessed housing needs for Kirklees. This is also set out at SD1 Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan 8.14.The council considers that the plan is deliverable and evidence is outlined at SD1 Kirklees Publication Draft Local plan section 81. Housing Strategy, 8.17 meeting the housing requirement, 8.20 Maintaining a supply of deliverable housing sites and Appendix 3 Housing delivery and phasing table. Further evidence is set out in SD23 Housing Technical paper - Section 6 housing delivery (page 27).				
Paragraph/Site: H505	Consultee: 943022	Mr Robin Coghlan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO94	
Council Response	No change. Support for the rejection of this option noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H249	Consultee: 1048793	Cllr Graham Turner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1240	
Soundness - Justified	The village has had virtually no development for many years, impact on viability of local school and community centre. A mixture of private and affordable homes will help bring new life to village and maintain village facilities.				
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the site in the plan				
Council Response	No change. Removal of this site from the green belt would create an area of non-green belt land surrounded by green belt, which is contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. The site is located on the edge of the existing settlement of Birds Edge but is both poorly located and large in relation to the existing settlement pattern. The Local Plan strategy does not include the removal of Birds Edge from the green belt.				
Paragraph/Site: H71	Consultee: 942154	unknown	Agent: 941891	Paul Leeming	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO803
Soundness - Justified	Site along with H1794 has the potential to provide 200 units. It is considered that the Green Belt boundary could be defined along Langley Lane to the north which would provide a defensible and definable physical boundary. Consideration of the Sustainability Appraisal suggests the site would have significant positive effects and potential significant adverse effects on recreation and leisure. The SA appears flawed where limited consideration appears to be given to the proximity to existing employment sites (and the proposed E2333 employment allocation).				
Proposed Change Requested	It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan.				
Council Response	No change. The site is located on green belt edge CWS14, which SD19 – Green Belt Boundary Review considers that this green belt edge plays an important role in checking urban sprawl and safeguarding the countryside from encroachment. Allocation of this site and the adjacent site H1794 would therefore have				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

a negative impact on the role and function of the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H2684** Consultee: **949313 Mrs Eleanor Barraclough** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO102**

Proposed Change Requested On the basis that H2684 has been replaced by H2684a, GAIL requests that H2684a should be rejected on the grounds of the attached Representation.
Council Response Support for the rejection of this site.

Paragraph/Site: **H644** Consultee: **957798 Mr Richard Hodgson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO624**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H2684** Consultee: **965798 GAIL** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1235**

Proposed Change Requested On the basis that H2684 has been replaced by H2684a, GAIL requests that H2684a should be rejected on the grounds of the attached Representation.
Council Response Support for the rejection of this site.

Paragraph/Site: **H644** Consultee: **978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO977**

Council Response No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H644 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H522** Consultee: **978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO947**

Council Response No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H522 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H493** Consultee: **942154 unknown** Agent: **941891 Paul Leeming** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO781**

Soundness - Justified It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan. The site does not form part of the Green Belt and is surrounded on three sides by development including established housing areas.

Proposed Change Requested It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan.

Council Response No Change The Kirklees housing requirement has been calculated in accordance with NPPF including using CLG Household Projections as a starting point and considering a range of factors listed in NPPF/NPPG. This process is summarised in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 4.8 - 4.13) and in more detail in the Kirklees SHMA (LE17, paragraphs 6.1 - 6.44). Chapter 8 of the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies (document ref SD1) sets out the Local Plan policies for the supply of housing and this is supported by the Housing Technical Paper and the Kirklees Strategic Housing Market Assessment. The phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. The Housing Technical Paper at section 4 sets out how the objectively assessed need for housing in Kirklees has been calculated, which the Local Plan will meet in full (paragraph 6.1 Spatial Development Strategy SD1). The Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4 page 159) sets out the technical assessment for site H493. The site was rejected based on the impact on green belt purposes.

Paragraph/Site: **H493** Consultee: **943022 Mr Robin Coghlan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO92**

Council Response No change. Support for the rejection of this option noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H275** Consultee: **943445 Cllr John Taylor** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1122**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H471** Consultee: **1042983 Mr James Mcloughlin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO257**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified	The plot is bounded by Ladyhouse Lane & Newsome Rd & the settlement of Berry Brow. These residential areas and the location of the plot would form a contiguous development. The plot of land is classified as 'greenbelt' however this is actually misleading, the plot is on the boundary of the greenbelt and would not form an isolated development should permission be granted. As potential development would be effectively located within the settlement of Berry Brow and NOT an isolated greenfield site visual impact (on setting of Castle Hill) would be minor.			
Proposed Change Requested	The site should be reconsidered for inclusion in the development plan.			
Council Response	No change. The site is a rejected housing option. The site was accepted in the draft local plan but has been rejected considering the negative impact on the setting on Castle Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument. This site forms part of the undeveloped land which makes a critical contribution to the setting of the Scheduled Monument at Castle Hill as outlined in the Castle Hill Setting Study.			
Paragraph/Site: H1798	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO766
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1798	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO171
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H1798	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO799
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H163	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO951
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H163 is noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H672	Consultee: 973538		Agent: 950095	Mr Jonathan Dunbavin Rep ID: PDLP_RSO497
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The site is suitable, available, achievable and developable.			
Soundness - Justified	The site performs less of a green belt role than the allocations at H1747, MX1905 and H2089. The council's assessment of the green belt boundary for the site is not sound.			
Proposed Change Requested	The site should be allocated.			
Council Response	No Change In accordance with the site selection methodology (Kirklees Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology document ref BP23), all sites underwent a detailed technical assessment, the results of which can be viewed in the Accepted Site Options Technical Appraisals (BP29) and the Publication Draft Local Plan Rejected Site Options Report (LE4). Site H672 was rejected for its impact on the role and function of the green belt in this location and the full response to this issue can be viewed at SD13 Statement of Publication Consultation and Summary of Main issues (regulation 22) (Consultee ID 973538).			
Paragraph/Site: H672	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO960
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H672 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H2596	Consultee: 1069754	Mr & Mrs Nichols	Agent: 941934	Mr M Sizer Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1262
Soundness - Justified	The plan is unsound due to the failure to include site H2596 as part of an enlarged site H1679. The refusal to identify the site as an extension to H1679 is illogical. If it is appropriate to release H1679 then there is no reasonable objection to release H2596. It is illogical to release an open greenfield site (H1679) from the green belt but not a garden within a loose knit residential area. The garden to the west of the existing house could accommodate a second dwelling. There is no reason why a site in multiple ownership should not be identified for housing and no reason for rejection on sustainability grounds. Access can			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

	accommodate to serve both the existing and proposed house without any undue detriment to highway safety. Development of an extra dwelling will have no adverse impacts. Continued designation as green belt would mean a new dwelling would be inappropriate unless very special circumstances were shown. Allocation for housing would not require such a test.
Proposed Change Requested	Exclude site H2596 fromn the green belt and and allocate the land for housing as an extension to site H1679.
Council Response	No change.This site is a rejected housing option. Access onto Fleminghouse Lane is insufficient in width to accommodate any proposed intensification of use. This site consists of a large detached house and its grounds which sits within an extensive area of green belt that washes over the open countryside south of Huddersfield but which is separated from the wider green belt by Fenay Lane. The site is reasonably well contained by trees and boundary features although its boundary to the south with the neighbouring property is a soft feature on the ground. The site is detached from the settlement edge of Almondbury and could not be released from the green belt in isolation. Notwithstanding the site boundary submitted, the site promoter is only suggesting an area of garden below 0.4ha for one dwelling. (Rejected Site Options Report, November 2016, LE4)
Paragraph/Site: H1797	Consultee: 1045990 Cllr Kath Pinnock Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO765
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted
Paragraph/Site: H1797	Consultee: 1049393 Cllr Andrew Pinnock Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO170
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted
Paragraph/Site: H1797	Consultee: 1058570 Cllr John Lawson Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO798
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted
Paragraph/Site: H523	Consultee: 968476 Agent: 970993 Anna Turton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO709
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Jones Homes is concerned that the level of housing proposed within Batley over the plan period does not reflect the size, status, function and sustainability of the settlement and that Green Belt sites are proposed for release elsewhere in the district ahead of non-Green Belt sites such as White Lee Road, Batley.
Soundness - Justified	The site is a sustainable site in a sustainable location and is a logical extension to Batley. The site is surrounded by development on 3 sides and is effectively an infill plot.
Soundness - Effective	The site forms part of SHLAA site 991. This was rated as a 0-5 year site. The SHLAA commented that access may need improving and that there will be costs associated with this. Jones Homes have demonstrated that suitable access can be provided and a residential scheme is viable.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The site is available, suitable and achievable and is deliverable in accordance with the Framework and represents a sustainable residential opportunity within an established residential area.
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the White Lee Road, Batley site for residential development which could deliver 66 dwellings in the short term.
Council Response	No ChangeThe site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. It formed rejected housing option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).The site overlaps with housing option H612 which was granted a reserved matters application for 24 dwellings in February 2015 (2014/61/93425/E). The principle of housing development has therefore been accepted on that part of the site. Option H523 also extends into a wider urban green space area (site ref. UGS973) and for the reasons of the overlap with H612 and the UGS, H523 has been rejected. The Kirklees Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA 2014) (document ref LE17) states at paragraph 2.27 that “Due to the policy neutral approach to the Kirklees SHLAA, sites currently constrained by policy have been assessed in the same way as other sites” and; “in these cases, although the phasing may for example show that a site is deliverable in 0-5 years, delivery of capacity could be dependent on a change in allocation or designation through the Local Plan process”. It was therefore for the Local Plan process to decide whether this area should remain as urban green space or be reallocated for housing purposes or for any other use. This site has value as open space in its own right and as part of the wider urban green space allocation UGS973. The site comprises agricultural grazing land and forms the north western part of UGS973. It has been assessed as part of a larger area of natural and semi-natural greenspace having high value as open space based on its structural and landscape

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

qualities and its significant contribution to the amenity of the area and sense of place, as well as use and enjoyment for informal recreation along the public footpath network. Being similar in character and appearance to this adjoining open land, the site itself is viewed as an integral part of the wider open space that can be appreciated from different vantage points and plays a valuable role in providing an open aspect from the public footpath network adjacent the site and in the wider area. UGS973 is not deemed, in whole or part, to be clearly surplus to requirements. The spatial development strategy (Local Plan Strategy and Policies document ref SD1) considers the role of open spaces in urban areas and states at paragraph 6.2 that “the development strategy therefore supports the rejection of development options where sites are required to fulfil this open space role”. Appendix 2 of the Housing Technical paper (April 2017) (document ref SD23) shows the number of new houses proposed within Batley and the council considers this number to be proportionate to its size and function.

Paragraph/Site: **H136** Consultee: **942622** **Jane Faulkner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO219**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H136** Consultee: **943445** **Cllr John Taylor** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1123**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H136** Consultee: **945518** **Mr B W Mallinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO335**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H136** Consultee: **953982** **Mr Jeremy McKinnell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO673**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted for the rejection of Farnley Country Park and associated housing site has been noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H136** Consultee: **959692** **CH Mallinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO329**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H136** Consultee: **961633** **Mr John Wright** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO589**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H136** Consultee: **961635** **Mrs Jean Wright** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO610**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H136** Consultee: **961870** **R A Faulkner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO648**

Council Response No change support for site H136 has been noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H136** Consultee: **961889** **J. Edward Gaunt** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO558**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H136** Consultee: **961904** **David Gaunt** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO693**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H136** Consultee: **962957** **Jane Faulkner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO659**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No change, support for site H136 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 968407	Amanda Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO415
Council Response	No ChangeSupport to site H136 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO461
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H136 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO481
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 968950	Mr Richard Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO387
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H136 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 969027	Mrs Jill Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO395
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H136 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 969359	Mr Martin Laithwaite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO203
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO570
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 970642	Nicola Cantrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO941
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO636
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO550
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO482
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 977256	Lindsay Downey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO597
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H520	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO767

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO139
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H136 has been noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H520	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO172
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H520	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO801
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO418
Council Response	No change. Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO431
Council Response	No change. Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO545
Council Response	No changeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H136	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO630
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H500	Consultee: 942154	unknown	Agent: 941891	Paul Leeming	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO785
Soundness - Justified	It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan. The site does not form part of the Green Belt and is surrounded on three sides by development including established housing areas.				
Proposed Change Requested	It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan.				
Council Response	No ChangeSD23 Housing Technical paper Section 4 sets out additional information on calculating the objectively assessed housing needs for Kirklees. This is also set out at SD1 Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan 8.14.The council considers that the plan is deliverable and evidence is outlined at SD1 Kirklees Publication Draft Local plan section 81. Housing Strategy, 8.17 meeting the housing requirement, 8.20 Maintaining a supply of deliverable housing sites and Appendix 3 Housing delivery and phasing table. Further evidence is set out in SD23 Housing Technical paper - Section 6 housing delivery (page 27).				
Paragraph/Site: H500	Consultee: 943022	Mr Robin Coghlan	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO93
Council Response	No change. Support for the rejection of this option noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H115	Consultee: 1048695	Mr Stuart Gilchrist	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO192
Soundness - Justified	- Decision made in advance, green belt to be preserved at all costs. Reasons then been sought to support pre-position. Sites have been rejected almost universally where only one single red appears for green belt, all other 11 or so categories being amber/green. - Some rejection comments contradictory. Site quite large, differing criteria could be applied to different sections. Development could be authorised in certain parts only. - Green belt designation containment possible. M62 barrier to Scholes/Cleckheaton merger. Plenty of green belt separating Bradford and Kirklees area. - Other objections small in				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

general, can be overcome. - Transport red, access. Professional drawings cater for reservation. - Site, historically brownfield. Footpath could be maintained as grassed verges. - Scholes dying community, needs fresh injection to stimulate well-being. - Houses would be a useful contribution to the homes required by the plan. Even if reduced in scale would add strength to community as useful infill.

Council Response

No change National Planning Policy Framework at paragraph 83 states that green belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances, through the preparation or review of the Local Plan. Whether a site is to be removed from the green belt is a decision that is taken through the comprehensive assessment of sites, supported by the Green Belt Review (document ref SD19) in accordance with the site allocation methodology, of which the green belt assessment is one part (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology April 2017 document ref BP23), and in accordance with the Local Plan spatial development strategy (Kirklees Local Plan section 6 document ref SD1).

Paragraph/Site: **H115**

Consultee: **1059536**

Agent: **942076**

Mr Richard Irving

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO853**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

The Whitechapel Road site H115 is suitable, available, achievable and developable for residential development.

Soundness - Justified

The site performs better and as well as a number of other allocations proposed in the Allocations and Designations document. Those allocations are supported but it is not considered they will deliver the required housing in the area and it is requested that the Whitechapel Road site is identified as a residential allocation (H115)

Proposed Change Requested

Allocate the site for residential development.

Council Response

No change Chapter 8 of the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies (document ref SD1) sets out the Local Plan policies for the supply of housing and this is supported by the Housing Technical Paper (document ref SD23) and the Kirklees Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) (document ref LE17). The phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. Each site has been assessed in accordance with the site allocation methodology (Kirklees Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology document ref BP23) and the reasons for the rejection of site H115 are set out in the Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4) at page 169.

Paragraph/Site: **H674**

Consultee: **942142**

unknown

Agent: **950095**

Mr Jonathan Dunbavin

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO723**

Soundness - Justified

The allocation of the site as urban greenspace is not justified. The site performs better than several Green Belt allocations.

Proposed Change Requested

The Fieldhead Farm site should be identified as a housing allocation

Council Response

No change. The council considers this site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 (Revised 2016) (document ref LE119) and the review of urban green space set out in the Urban Green Space and Local Green Space Technical Paper April 2017 (BP13), Appendix 1. The site is an extensive and attractive area of mainly agricultural grazing land covering the western half of UGS973. It is an integral and fundamental part of a larger strategically important green space identified through the council's Open Space Study 2015 (Revised 2016) (LE119) as a highly valuable open space based on its structural and landscape qualities as a green wedge within the built up area and its importance in separating distinct communities. It makes a significant contribution to local amenity and character of the area as an attractive green space with extensive open countryside qualities important for providing visual relief within the built up area that can be viewed from different vantage points and plays a valuable role in giving an open aspect from White Lee Road. It is also enjoyed by users of the public footpath network. The site is not deemed to be surplus to requirements as open space. The protection of this valuable green space is considered to be more important than the delivery of housing on this site. The site is subject to an appeal against the non-determination of an outline planning application (2016/93746) for the erection of up to 200 dwellings and formation of public open space (within a conservation area).

Paragraph/Site: **H168**

Consultee: **942154**

unknown

Agent: **941891**

Paul Leeming

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO805**

Soundness - Justified

It is considered that the Green Belt boundary could be defined along the hedgerow to the west and the railway to the north as a defensible and definable physical boundary. Within the Green Belt assessment the site could be removed from the Green Belt without affecting its openness. Consideration of the Sustainability Appraisal suggests the site would have significant positive effects and some adverse effects. The SA must be questioned however; as it suggests

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

	that the northern part of the site does not have access to secondary and primary schooling, whilst the southern part of the site does. Given that a primary school and secondary school are within 50 m of the site (as the crow flies) some doubt needs to be given to the accuracy of the appraisals. Such an inconsistency brings into doubt the appraisal methodology.			
Proposed Change Requested	It should be put forward as a housing allocation to address the current planned shortfall in housing allocation set out in the Draft Local Plan.			
Council Response	No change.The Rejected Site Options Report (LE4) considers that a reasonably defensible Green Belt boundary could be made. The site has been rejected as it has no frontage to an adopted road.			
Paragraph/Site: H564	Consultee: 944150	Mr Andrew Gilpin	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO82
Council Response	No ChangeRejection of site supported. Site to be retained as green belt.			
Paragraph/Site: H564	Consultee: 944929	Mrs Judith Gilpin	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO55
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H564 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H564	Consultee: 953245	Mr & Mrs E & C Johnson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO56
Proposed Change Requested	Maybe not the correct place to add this comment but it would be advantageous to make the following correction to the rejected sites document for H564. The colour code for the 'Green belt' comment should be red and not orange to comply with what is said in the 'Conclusion'.			
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H564 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H564	Consultee: 961400	Jack Ashforth	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO374
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H564	Consultee: 961677	Mrs Pamela Hutchinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO377
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H564	Consultee: 961855	Colin Berry	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO43
Proposed Change Requested	The reason for the rejection of H564 as a housing development, and for its retention as green belt should be recorded as - THERE ARE NO EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES FOR REMOVAL OF THIS SITE OR ANY PART OF IT FROM THE GREEN BELT.			
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H564 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H564	Consultee: 962974	Mr Derek Barker	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO182
Proposed Change Requested	That this area is designated as green belt.			
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H564 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H564	Consultee: 963699	Mr Kevin M. Lockwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO376
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H564	Consultee: 963760	Mrs Carol Lockwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO380
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H564	Consultee: 965839	A.F. & J.A. Bye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO375

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options**Council Response** No ChangeSupport notedParagraph/Site: **H459**Consultee: **966915 Mr Adrian Broadbent**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO877****Soundness - Justified**

- Existing traffic flow along Far Bank is frequently congested & dangerous, exacerbated by vehicles parked on road side. Further traffic from new site will have a serious negative effect, particularly at the 2 main road junctions. Similarly, if Near bank is used for access, serious problems will occur at the existing dangerous junction with Huddersfield Road.
- Serious negative effect on surrounding area resulting from noise & light pollution, particularly during construction phase.
- Negative effect on existing local school education & health care facilities.
- Potential negative effect on stability of steep bank to North & its associated underground water courses, springs & wells.
- Negative effect on the character of the local landscape.

Proposed Change Requested

Main road junctions on Far Bank & Near Bank should be redesigned & consideration given to controlling road side parking. Expansion to local school education & health care facilities. Provision for extensive land drainage system to handle the various water flows from steep bank to North

Council Response

No change. Support for the rejection of H459 noted. Part of the land subject to option H458 has been accepted as safeguarded land (SL3356). For SL3356 there is a lack of evidence that access can be achieved to ensure a deliverable or developable site during the plan period. This option has therefore been accepted as a safeguarded land option. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome in the future to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period along with the adjacent SL2173. Significant third party land required for access. Suitable access could only be achieved through land to the east which is within a rejected housing option. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth and will be considered further at the next review of the Local Plan. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H564**Consultee: **970332 Dorothy Robinson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO302****Council Response**

SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H564 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H564**Consultee: **975384**Agent: **975382 Mike OBrien**Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO809****Soundness - Justified**

The land owner has demonstrated that the site can be accessed via a new access road, to be constructed over Council-owned land to the west, and linking with Hightown Road. Transport technical note provided. Visibility splays can be achieved at site access. Tree survey submitted. Concludes no trees are category A. Access located to minimise impact on trees. As the plan indicates, locations for mitigatory planting have been shown and this could include species such as oak and native birch. Alternative access can be achieved from Chiltern Way - suitable for 20-50 residential units. Located in a sustainable location. More positive impacts in SA than negative. Site does not form a major green belt function. The higher score for encroachment on HT1 can be addressed in the masterplanning of the site. No part of the site falls in flood zone 2 or 3 Site does not appear to be located in a high value landscape area. Development will have limited impact on trees and can be mitigated with native planting.

Proposed Change Requested

i. The Local Plan should include a specific policy stipulating housing target, and the wording of that policy should reflect the fact that this figure is a minimum. ii. The Local Plan should include a specific policy that seeks to address the importance of meeting the need for elderly housing. iii. Site H564 should be included within the Submission Version of the Local Plan.

Council Response

No ChangeThe site promoter provided additional information on access and trees as part of the consultation on the Publication Plan. The council is still of the opinion that access to the site from Hightown Road would impact to a greater or lesser extent on the substantial treed frontage to this site. The trees are of a quality to warrant retention and due to their age and vigour should have long term viability.

Paragraph/Site: **H564**Consultee: **1038022 Mrs Olwen Shaw**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO40****Council Response**

No ChangeSupport for site H564 noted.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site OptionsParagraph/Site: **H459**Consultee: **1057679 Mrs Susan Screaton**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO279****Council Response**

No change. Support for the rejection of H459 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H666**Consultee: **972916**Agent: **941905 Mr Robert Halstead**Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO938****Soundness - Justified**

- Proposed release of other large sites for housing/mixed use in northern half of borough prior to client's land is not most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives. - Land off Carlinghow Lane reasonable alternative site for development. Land is surrounded on all sides by development, with exception of Cricket field. Physical link to wider Green Belt cut off, openness lost, does not function as contiguous part of wider Kirklees Green Belt. Carlinghow forms part of wider urban mass containing Batley, Dewsbury, Heckmondwike and Liversedge. It is not a separate, distinct settlement. Only key Green Belt purpose Council refers to is that land currently prevents merging of neighbouring towns. Council's Rejected Site Options Report recognises settlements to some extent already joined. - Land surrounded by all sides by development form clear boundaries for any re-drafting of new Green Belt boundary. Also form of infill development. that would not conflict with other key purposes of Green Belt. - Access. Landowner report confirms principal access from Carlinghow Lane and third party land required to achieve secondary access from Smithies Moor Lane. Purchase of third party land part of normal development process. Remainder of site in one ownership, reasonable prospect site could be developed in Local Plan period. Same cannot be said for H2089, mixed ownership. Part UDP housing allocation H10.5 remains undeveloped. - Land available, suitable and viable for development, would provide significant contribution to housing target. Situated within a sustainable urban area with excellent transport links, good access to employment, shops, education and services. - Purposes of including land within Green Belt no longer served by site, provides opportunity to fulfill urban extension criteria achieving one key aspect of spatial development strategy. - Site would provide significant contribution housing need, give rise to materially lesser degree of harm to Green Belt than Chidswell and Ravensthorpe proposals.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

- Proposed allocation H2089 significantly conflicts with three of the five purposes of Green Belt, in particular it would result in the virtual merging of Dewsbury and Thornhill Edge. The new Green Belt boundary would be largely unrecognisable and therefore unlikely to withstand the test of permanence. - Proposed allocation of MX1905 significantly conflicts with three of the five purposes of Green Belt, in particular it would result in the virtual merging of Kirklees, Wakefield and Leeds boroughs. The new Green Belt boundary would be largely unrecognisable and therefore unlikely to withstand the test of permanence.

Proposed Change Requested

- Site should be allocated for development prior to the other sites being considered suitable.

Council Response

No Change In accordance with the site selection methodology (Kirklees Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology document ref BP23), all sites underwent a detailed technical assessment, the results of which can be viewed in the Accepted Site Options Technical Appraisals (BP29) and the Publication Draft Local Plan Rejected Site Options Report (LE4). Site H666 was rejected for its impact on the role and function of the green belt in this location and the reason is set out in the Rejected Site Options Report (LE4) on page 173. A previous response to this issue can be viewed at SD12 Kirklees Council Statement of pre-Submission Consultation November 2016 ref DLP_GBR238. In addition, the site also receives a red transport assessment. A minimum of two accesses are required for a development of this scale. The 180 metre site frontage along Carlinghow Lane is a sufficient length to provide two access points. However, due to size of site, these two access points located in such close proximity would be insufficient to serve the remainder of the site. No other points of access are achievable due to the site being land locked. There is a current application for residential development on UDP housing allocation H10.5, now part of H2089 outside of the green belt. The remaining separation between Dewsbury and Thornhill, particularly given that the landform reinforces the appearance of separation, is sufficient to ensure that the green belt retains its purpose of preventing merger. The new position for the green belt boundary around accepted option MX1905 is consistent with paragraph 85 of NPPF which states that green belt boundaries should be defined clearly, using readily recognisable features that are likely to be permanent. The boundary has been established along roads, a watercourse, field boundaries and areas of woodland, all of which are compatible with national guidance and present defensible boundaries to a greater or lesser extent. The outcomes of the council's green belt assessment are set out in the Accepted Options Technical Paper (BP29), Green Belt Review documents (SD19-SD21) and the Green Belt Review Supporting Document (BP25).

Paragraph/Site: **H586**Consultee: **942235 unknown**Agent: **941775 Mr Paul Butler**Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO936****Soundness - Positively Prepared**

There are no other sites allocated within the Batley area that can deliver a comprehensive landscape led development of market and affordable housing

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

within the first 5 years of the plan alongside a substantial level of green infrastructure. The houses that can be delivered on this site are needed to meet the housing requirements of the Plan and will be a mix of housing for first time buyers, family homes, homes for older people and 100 affordable homes. The site is suitable, available and achievable. The development has the potential to deliver educational facilities where need is evidenced and community facilities through consultation with local community groups and residents. There is opportunity for an allotment site as part of the development as there are none currently within 1200m of the site. Existing public rights of way will be retained and enhanced and could become green corridors.

Soundness - Justified

The impact of the site in terms of the highway network are considered to be less than those of other proposed housing allocations in the vicinity. The site will provide well defined, robust and enduring green belt boundaries and its removal from the green belt would not cause overall harm to green belt purpose. The perception of a 'pinch-point' between Batley and West Ardsley already exists and would not be made worse by the release of the site from the green belt. The experience of travelling between separate built up areas is already compromised by existing built form. The site is of lesser landscape value than the wider countryside because of its containment by existing built form and a compartmentalised and fragmented landscape. There is no evidence of protected species associated with the on-site dry pond. The majority of the site is habitat of low ecological value. Hedges and trees of higher ecological value within the site will be retained where possible.

Proposed Change Requested

Allocate site H586 for housing in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No Change The Kirklees housing requirement has been calculated in accordance with NPPF including using CLG Household Projections as a starting point and considering a range of factors listed in NPPF/NPPG. This process is summarised in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 4.8 - 4.13) and in more detail in the Kirklees SHMA (LE17, paragraphs 6.1 - 6.44). Chapter 8 of the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies (document ref SD1) sets out the Local Plan policies for the supply of housing and this is supported by the Housing Technical Paper and the Kirklees Strategic Housing Market Assessment. The phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. The Housing Technical Paper at section 4 sets out how the objectively assessed need for housing in Kirklees has been calculated, which the Local Plan will meet in full (paragraph 6.1 Spatial Development Strategy SD1). Each site has been assessed in accordance with the site allocation methodology (Kirklees Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology document ref BP23) and the reasons for the rejection of site H586 are set out in the Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4) at page 174. The site has been rejected on the impact removal of the site would have on green belt purposes. Also in line with the site allocation methodology sites poorly configured in relation to the existing settlement pattern and which may result in more poorly performing areas of green belt can be assessed as 'red' in terms of their impact on the green belt. The release of the site from the green belt in isolation would completely sever a large area of green belt land to the west from the wider green belt, contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H586**

Consultee: **943022 Mr Robin Coghlan**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO96**

Council Response

No change. Support for the rejection of this option noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H262**

Consultee: **978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO954**

Council Response

No Change Supported for rejected site option H262 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H29a**

Consultee: **1049220 MR WILL HEYS**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1245**

Soundness - Justified

This option should not be considered as it was not proposed as an option in the draft Local Plan. The capacity of the site is still too high as this only covers part of the site. H29 should be considered with a lower capacity.

Council Response

No change. The site capacity is based on an indicative capacity of 35 dwellings per hectare. This is based on an average of past completions, as set out in SD23 Housing Technical Paper. In terms of impact on the local highway network, the site is considered to be unacceptable due to constraints on the road network between the site and the B6116. This site option was generated following consultation on the draft Local Plan.

Paragraph/Site: **H254**

Consultee: **942622 Jane Faulkner**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO214**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 943445	Clr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1113
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 945518	Mr B W Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO337
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 953982	Mr Jeremy McKinnell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO678
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted for the rejection of Farnley Country Park and associated housing site has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 959692	CH Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO331
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 961633	Mr John Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO584
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 961635	Mrs Jean Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO605
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO643
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H254 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO557
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO692
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO658
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H254 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 965543	Richard Oates	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO523
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 968407	Amanda Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO411
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H254 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO456

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H254 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO478
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 968829	Farnley Estates Ltd	Agent: 942125	Chris Creighton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO745
Soundness - Justified	The approach to housing allocations across the Kirklees Rural sub-area and to Farnley Tyas in particular is not fully justified.. It is considered that the allocation of these two sites for housing would make the Plan sound. It has not properly considered the role and function of the village of Farnley Tyas as a sustainable settlement for an appropriate level of housing growth, for which provision should be made. It is considered that the revised site areas put forward would allow a small number of new houses, i.e. between 38 and 44 (incl. an element of affordable housing) to be developed at Farnley Tyas over the lifetime of the Local Plan, without having any significant adverse impact on either the Conservation Area, the nearby listed buildings or the Green Belt. In addition, the sites would offer a significant area of new public open space to the wider benefit of the village.			
Soundness - Effective	The sites at Farnley Tyas are in single ownership and deliverable within the Plan period and should therefore be considered as part the Council's proposed allocations in order to meet the required housing supply within the plan period.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The Plan's approach, in respect of Farnley Tyas, to the planning of housing, protecting the historic environment and the Green Belt are not fully consistent with national policy			
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate H254 for housing development.			
Council Response	No change. This site has been rejected in accordance with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The development of this site would have a major impact on the setting of the adjacent listed church and Farnley Tyas Conservation Area with no mitigation possible to overcome this impact.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 968950	Mr Richard Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO384
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H254 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 969027	Mrs Jill Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO392
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H254 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 969359	Mr Martin Laithwaite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO209
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO576
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO577
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 970642	Nicola Cantrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO940
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO641
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO555
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO487
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 977256	Lindsay Downey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO600
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO144
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H254 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO417
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO430
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 1059665	Johanna Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO464
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO540
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H254	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO625
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H558	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO957
Council Response	No changeSupport for the rejection of H558 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H76	Consultee: 942154	unknown	Agent: 941891	Paul Leeming Rep ID: PDLP_RSO779
Soundness - Justified	It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan. The site does not form part of the Green Belt and is surrounded on three sides by development including established housing areas.			
Proposed Change Requested	It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan.			
Council Response	No ChangeNo Change The Kirklees housing requirement has been calculated in accordance with NPPF including using CLG Household Projections as a starting point and considering a range of factors listed in NPPF/NPPG. This process is summarised in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 4.8 - 4.13) and in more detail in the Kirklees SHMA (LE17, paragraphs 6.1 - 6.44). Chapter 8 of the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies (document ref SD1) sets out the Local Plan policies for the supply of housing and this is supported by the Housing Technical Paper and the Kirklees Strategic Housing Market Assessment. The			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. The Housing Technical Paper at section 4 sets out how the objectively assessed need for housing in Kirklees has been calculated, which the Local Plan will meet in full (paragraph 6.1 Spatial Development Strategy SD1). The Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4 page 181) sets out the technical assessment for site H76. The site was rejected based on the impact on green belt purposes.

Paragraph/Site: **H76** Consultee: **943022** **Mr Robin Coghlan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO91**

Council Response No change. Support for the rejection of this option noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H517** Consultee: **942154** **unknown** Agent: **941891** **Paul Leeming** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO786**

Soundness - Justified It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan. The site does not form part of the Green Belt and is surrounded on three sides by development including established housing areas.

Proposed Change Requested It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan.

Council Response No ChangeSD23 Housing Technical paper Section 4 sets out additional information on calculating the objectively assessed housing needs for Kirklees. This is also set out at SD1 Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan 8.14.The council considers that the plan is deliverable and evidence is outlined at SD1 Kirklees Publication Draft Local plan section 81. Housing Strategy, 8.17 meeting the housing requirement, 8.20 Maintaining a supply of deliverable housing sites and Appendix 3 Housing delivery and phasing table. Further evidence is set out in SD23 Housing Technical paper - Section 6 housing delivery (page 27).

Paragraph/Site: **H517** Consultee: **943022** **Mr Robin Coghlan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO95**

Council Response No change. Support for the rejection of this option noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H37** Consultee: **978303** **Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO949**

Council Response No ChangeSupport for rejected site option H37 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H265** Consultee: **978303** **Cllr Andrew Palfreeman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO959**

Council Response No ChangeSupported for rejected site option H265 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H2714** Consultee: **942466** **unknown** Agent: **941849** **Iain Bath** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1253**

Soundness - Positively Prepared The Local Plan Housing Strategy acknowledges the need for significant new housing allocation focused on the main urban areas and the need for greenfield site allocations to assist in achieving the required housing land. At the current time the council cannot demonstrate a 5 year supply of housing land.

Soundness - Justified The site within the University's ownership was assessed as a suitable SHLAA site to come forward as a housing opportunity. The site is well related to the existing urban area of Huddersfield in a highly sustainable location. The University has undertaken detailed access appraisal of land in the university's ownership (rejected H312) and privately owned land (rejected H578) that demonstrates that a single comprehensive development of 11 ha is capable of being released for housing and that a number of alternative access options exist. The land does not currently perform any recreation function or urban greenspace characteristics. They are vacant and unused green areas with no activity, facility or public access. An indicative layout and planning brief would be needed to support this proposal.

Proposed Change Requested Allocate the site edged red on plan [attached] for residential development with associated text advising of the need for a planning brief to link the residual area of UGS1240 to assist in the delivery of enhanced urban green space facilities as part of any residential scheme.

Council Response No change.The site is a rejected housing option. The site forms part of a larger accepted Urban Greenspace option. Large urban greenspace with various open space uses, including:- (I) Huddersfield New College - adult football pitches, senior rugby league pitch and full size 3G pitch with good community use. PPS

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

recommends protect. (ii) Salendine Nook High School - football and rugby league pitches. PPS recommends protect. (iii) Former Britannia Works Sports Club - Former cricket ground with 2x youth 11v11 marked on outfield. PPS recommends currently protect due to shortfalls in the area. (iv) Salendine Nook University Playing Fields: Site appears to be lapsed. Previously accommodated at least one adult pitch. PPS recommends currently protect due to shortfalls in the area. (v) Celandine Avenue Recreation Ground - Local recreation ground with equipped children's play and public playing fields with adult football pitch which PPS recommends protect. (vi) Celandine Avenue Allotments - Well used council allotments. (Rejected Site Options Report, November 2016, LE4)

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **942622** **Jane Faulkner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO220**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **943445** **Cllr John Taylor** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1116**

Council Response SUPPORT - No change, support for this rejected housing site noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **943445** **Cllr John Taylor** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1120**

Council Response SUPPORT - No change, support for this rejected housing site noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **945518** **Mr B W Mallinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO339**

Council Response SUPPORT - No change, support for this rejected housing site noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **961633** **Mr John Wright** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO590**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **961635** **Mrs Jean Wright** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO611**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **961870** **R A Faulkner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO654**

Council Response No change, support for site H257 has been noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **961889** **J. Edward Gaunt** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO563**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **961904** **David Gaunt** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO688**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **962957** **Jane Faulkner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO664**

Council Response No change, support for site H257 has been noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **965543** **Richard Oates** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO525**

Council Response No ChangeSupport noted

Paragraph/Site: **H257** Consultee: **968407** **Amanda Schofield** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO410**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H257 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO455
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H257 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO476
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 968968	Mr Christopher Hirst	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO188
Council Response	Support for the rejected site option.			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 969811	Mr Andrew Liddiment	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO579
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO635
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO491
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for this rejected housing site noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 976279	Mr & Mrs J Billington	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1275
Council Response	No change.Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO138
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H257 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO423
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO436
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for this rejected housing site noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO539
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H257	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO623
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO216

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 943445	Clr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1112
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 945518	Mr B W Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO334
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 953982	Mr Jeremy McKinnell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO677
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted for the rejection of Farnley Country Park and associated housing site has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 959692	CH Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO328
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 961633	Mr John Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO588
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 961635	Mrs Jean Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO609
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO647
Council Response	No changeSupport for site H253 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO559
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO694
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO660
Council Response	No change, support for site H253 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 968407	Amanda Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO413
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H253 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO459
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H253 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO479

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 968950	Mr Richard Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO386
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H253 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 969027	Mrs Jill Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO394
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejected site option H253 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 969359	Mr Martin Laithwaite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO208
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 970642	Nicola Cantrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO944
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO640
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO554
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO486
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 977256	Lindsay Downey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO596
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO143
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H253 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO419
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO432
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO543
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H253	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO628

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: H184	Consultee: 969443	Mr S Blyth	Agent: 941947	Mr Andy Rushby	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO706
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Denby Dale currently provides good facilities and infrastructure but this will only be sustainable if more residential properties are planned for the area.				
Soundness - Justified	The evidence provided in the 'Technical Paper: Spatial Development Strategy and Settlement Appraisal' is inadequate and does not explain differences in allocations across different settlements. The site appraisal for H184 within the 'Rejected Site Options Report' states that the Green Belt site has been rejected on the grounds that it is isolated from any settlement. The consultee contends that this is not the case, and that the site is very well connected to the settlement of Denby Dale. The allocation of site H184 for housing would make a useful contribution to an increase in residential development in Denby Dale.				
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate more residential sites (including H184) in Denby Dale.				
Council Response	No change.This site is isolated from any settlement and its removal from the green belt would create a small pocket of non-green belt land surrounded by green belt, which is contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. The site is located over 1km from the centre of Denby Dale.				
Paragraph/Site: H530	Consultee: 1028892	Mr Adrian Saxton	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1269
Soundness - Justified	The plot that has direct access off Holmfirth Road has potential as development land and could take several house units without having any negative impact on any trees. With Kirklees in need of sites to fulfil its requirement for housing then this would seem an ideal plot to develop for that purpose.				
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate part of site H530 (plot 3) with direct access off Holmfirth Road for housing.				
Council Response	No change.Any separate 'plots' within this site would be too small for the Local Plan to allocate. The whole site is covered by a TPO area and is lowland mixed deciduous woodland UK BAP priority habitat therefore development is not appropriate.				
Paragraph/Site: H330	Consultee: 947780	Mr Daniel Bray	Agent: 968969	Miss Clare Plant	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO305
Soundness - Justified	The site is available now and is deliverable, it has no significant constraints. The reasons for the Council's previous rejection of the site include its proximity within 1000m of a Special Protection Area, the Council's proposed policy map indicates that the nearest SPA (at Deer Hill) is in fact approximately 1500m away, some distance from the site. It is considered that the national park designation to the west helps achieves openness in this area and Green Belt designation is not required.				
Soundness - Effective	Although currently designated as Green Belt land, the removal of this site from the Green Belt and allocation as safeguarded land for future housing development would help ensure the Plan contains sufficient flexibility to meet its growth target should strategic allocations not deliver at the rate anticipated during the Plan Period.				
Council Response	No change.This site is in the green belt, between the edge of Meltham, the Peak Park and the Dark Peak SSSI/SPA/SAC. The site forms part of an open area that contributes to the immediate setting of the national park and is recognised for its role in maintaining landscape character beyond the boundary of the national park.				
Paragraph/Site: H170	Consultee: 1046658	Brian & Jenny Priestley	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO924
Council Response	No change required.Support for the rejection of site H170 is noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H291	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:		Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1124
Council Response	No change. Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: H3325	Consultee: 1053492	c/o agent	Agent: 998185	Mrs Emma Lancaster	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1254
Soundness - Justified	Allocating the site would result in negligible green belt change. The release of the site in full would actively support the reuse of previously developed land that is soon to become vacant. The development of the site would have economic benefits in terms of creation of construction jobs, council tax receipts, New				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

	Homes Bonus and Developer contributions. Development would have social benefits assisting in meeting housing requirement and providing affordable housing, supporting pedestrian and cycle connectivity to Clayton West and removing the nuisance of employment uses for existing residents. The development would have environmental benefits through reusing a brownfield site, contributing to the council's regeneration ambitions and enable setting of Grade II packhorse bridge to be enhanced.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The Green Belt that forms part of the site is not required to fulfil the purposes of Green Belt that are set out in national policy. Redrawing the Green Belt boundary would provide the opportunity for a strong defensible boundary to the north-east of the settlement, complementary to the proposed employment allocation to the north.
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate H3325 instead of H3325a.
Council Response	No change.LE4 the Rejected Site Options report sets out the reason for this site. The site covers an extensive area of green belt that maintains separation between villages but there are land use features, such as field boundaries and isolated development to the north-east, which present limited opportunities for settlement extension without compromising the role and function of the green belt. The settlement edge to the south of Wakefield Road follows the line of the River Dearne which presents a strong natural edge to the settlement and which is a countryside feature associated with important wildlife habitat.

Paragraph/Site: **H169** Consultee: **942405** **unknown** Agent: **941908** **Mr Andrew Rose** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO699**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	The site is in a sustainable location and is a logical extension to Shelley. Shelley scores favourably in the settlement appraisal but has no Local Plan housing allocations during the plan period. Land has been allocated for housing the Local Plan in less sustainable settlements.
Soundness - Justified	The Council raise concerns over long distance views and whether the site is prominent. Landscape evidence provided to support the applicant case that the site is not visible from long distances. The site is self-contained and well screened and will assimilate into the built form landscape on the edge of the settlement. There are no known constraints to the development of this site. Suitable access can be achieved and the site is deliverable (detailed Delivery Statement included in representation).
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The green belt assessment for this site does not reflect the contained setting of the site, strong physical features and boundaries surrounding the site or the poor boundary that currently exists. Containment of the site means its release would have a limited impact on openness and would not lead to unrestricted sprawl or encroachment. No impact on the setting of a historic town. The current green belt boundary is not robust (low walls and fences to the rear of residential properties) and does not accord with the Framework. The revised boundary would accord with the Framework and ensure the permanency of a robust boundary for the future. Detailed Green Belt Assessment included.
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate H169 for residential development. Alternatively, the site at Penistone Road can be allocated as follows: First phase for 100 dwellings and the second phase for approximately 200 dwellings allocated within the Plan period or safeguarded for delivery in the next Plan period (H2731 and SL2732 together cover the area of H169).
Council Response	No change. This is in an area of countryside west of the existing edge of Shelley. The prominence of the site in long distance views would significantly encroach into the countryside to the detriment of openness and contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H137** Consultee: **1037597** **Mr Keith Callaghan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO33**

Council Response No ChangeSupport for site H137 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H26** Consultee: **942337** **unknown** Agent: **941775** **Mr Paul Butler** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO937**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Additional sites to those currently identified in the plan will need to be identified in order to meet the district's full objectively assessed housing needs. Sites such as H26 can make an important contribution to meeting those needs. The site is suitable, available for development now and a viable development could be delivered within the first 5 years of the plan period. Release of the site would provide significant economic, social and environmental benefits. Holmfirth is defined as a town centre and an area for growth and the site could deliver much needed affordable homes and a full range of house types. .
Soundness - Justified	The site is situated in a suitable and highly sustainable location and there are no technical or environmental (built and natural) constraints to development. Residential development would not have any long-term impact on wider visual amenity or landscape character of the area. The site is well contained and not

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

visible from surrounding areas. The site does not fulfil any of the 5 stated purposes of the green belt. The site has strong defensible boundaries so there would be no risk of sprawl. The site is not required to prevent towns merging as the nearest detached settlements are over 1km away. The site does not assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment because of the significant amount of open countryside to the west of the site. There would be no adverse impact on the nearby Conservation Area and the general purpose of assisting urban regeneration will not be adversely affected.

Proposed Change Requested

Allocate site H26 for housing in the plan.

Council Response

No change. The Local Plan proposes sufficient allocations to meet the housing requirement during the plan period. The rejection of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. This site is on a small plateau of land that sits above the narrow steep valley of the River Ribble which is at a significantly lower level than the site. As such the site would be prominent and intrusive to the detriment of the openness of the wider green belt. This is an area of countryside where new development would appear as encroachment contrary to purposes of including land in the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H606**

Consultee: **943445**

Cllr John Taylor

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1126**

Council Response

No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H472**

Consultee: **1048326**

Mr ken bellamy

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO79**

Council Response

No changeSupport for site H472 has been noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H603**

Consultee: **1057679**

Mrs Susan Screaton

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO283**

Council Response

No change. Support for the rejection of H603 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H2731**

Consultee: **942405**

unknown

Agent: **941908**

Mr Andrew Rose

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO700**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

The site is in a sustainable location and is a logical extension to Shelley. Shelley scores favourably in the settlement appraisal but has no Local Plan housing allocations during the plan period. Land has been allocated for housing the Local Plan in less sustainable settlements.

Soundness - Justified

The Council raise concerns over long distance views and whether the site is prominent. Landscape evidence provided to support the applicant case that the site is not visible from long distances. The site is self-contained and well screened and will assimilate into the built form landscape on the edge of the settlement. There are no known constraints to the development of this site. Suitable access can be achieved and the site is deliverable (detailed Delivery Statement included in representation).

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The green belt assessment for this site does not reflect the contained setting of the site, strong physical features and boundaries surrounding the site or the poor boundary that currently exists. Containment of the site means its release would have a limited impact on openness and would not lead to unrestricted sprawl or encroachment. No impact on the setting of a historic town. The current green belt boundary is not robust (low walls and fences to the rear of residential properties) and does not accord with the Framework. The revised boundary would accord with the Framework and ensure the permanency of a robust boundary for the future. Detailed Green Belt Assessment included.

Proposed Change Requested

Allocate H169 for residential development. Alternatively, the site at Penistone Road can be allocated as follows: First phase for 100 dwellings and the second phase for approximately 200 dwellings allocated within the Plan period or safeguarded for delivery in the next Plan period (H2731 and SL2732 together cover the area of H169).

Council Response

No change. The rejection of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. This is in an area of countryside west of the existing edge of Shelley. The prominence of the site in long distance views would significantly encroach into the countryside to the detriment of openness and contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H2598**

Consultee: **972565**

Agent: **1059531**

Rachael Martin

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO469**

Soundness - Justified

The Green Belt Review is inaccurate. The overall site assessment has not aid due regard to previous reps made in Local Plan process. The SA does not attract

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

	any significant negative scores.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The site does not serve an important role in the Green Belt.
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the site in the Local Plan
Council Response	No change. The site plays an important role in the Green Belt as development here would breach the existing strong linear edge to the settlement of Honley and the immediate transition from urban area to open agricultural landscape. This is open, elevated countryside where new development would be prominent in long distance views to the significant detriment of the openness of the wider green belt and would represent encroachment into the countryside. See HB2 in SD19 - Green Belt Review.

Paragraph/Site: **H1738** Consultee: **943445** **Cllr John Taylor** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1119**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H457** Consultee: **966915** **Mr Adrian Broadbent** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO874**

Soundness - Justified

- Existing traffic flow along Far Bank is frequently congested & dangerous, exacerbated by vehicles parked on road side. Further traffic from new site will have a serious negative effect, particularly at the 2 main road junctions. Similarly, if Near bank is used for access, serious problems will occur at the existing dangerous junction with Huddersfield Road.
- Serious negative effect on surrounding area resulting from noise & light pollution, particularly during construction phase.
- Negative effect on existing local school education & health care facilities.
- Potential negative effect on stability of steep bank to North & its associated underground water courses, springs & wells.
- Negative effect on the character of the local landscape.

Proposed Change Requested Main road junctions on Far Bank & Near Bank should be redesigned & consideration given to controlling road side parking. Expansion to local school education & health care facilities. Provision for extensive land drainage system to handle the various water flows from steep bank to North

Council Response No change. Support for the rejection of H457 noted. This site is part of a larger safeguarded land option (SL3356). For SL3356 there is a lack of evidence that access can be achieved to ensure a deliverable or developable site during the plan period. This option has therefore been accepted as a safeguarded land option. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome in the future to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period along with the adjacent SL2173. Significant third party land required for access. Suitable access could only be achieved through land to the east which is within a rejected housing option. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth and will be considered further at the next review of the Local Plan. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H457** Consultee: **1057679** **Mrs Susan Screaton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO281**

Council Response No change. Support for the rejection of H457 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H457** Consultee: **1058413** **Mr Stephen Allen** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO369**

Soundness - Justified This proposed document is ill thought out without proper infrastructure, and should never become a reality.

Proposed Change Requested The whole area would need multi-millions spending to make infrastructure fit for purpose, such as roads, rail, bus services, education, medical services, and flood defences etc.

Council Response This site is a rejected option in the Local Plan, therefore it is not being allocated for housing. However, the site is part of a Safeguarded Land site.

Paragraph/Site: **H314** Consultee: **1061164** **Janet Armitage** Agent: **1061159** **Megan Wilmott** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO857**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Soundness - Justified	The site has not been properly assessed in terms of its suitability for housing. The rejected site H314 is directly adjacent to accepted site H47 and, as such, it is considered that both assessments should be similar. The consultee currently owns both sites H47 and H314, and will retain a right of access across H47 should it change ownership. It is, therefore, contended that there are no access constraints. The consultee considers that there are no irresolvable factors that would affect the site's future development for housing - it is suitable, achievable and available in the short term.			
Proposed Change Requested	Reconsider the site assessment for H314, with reference to the assessment for the neighbouring site H47.			
Council Response	No change. The current UDP designation of accepted housing option H47 (to the north of H314) is Provisional Open Land (POL) which is land safeguarded to potentially be considered for development at the review of the plan. This is a different designation to H314 which is currently in the green belt. This site has been rejected in accordance with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The desirable route in the wider highway network is constrained due to width, alignment, gradient and on-street parking and is therefore considered unsuitable for the intensification of use proposed.			
Paragraph/Site: H450	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1125
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 943067	Mike Greetham	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO313
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1118
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 943925	Mr Adrian Jackman-Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO400
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 944145	Mr G Barnard	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO254
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 945488	Mrs A Tyrrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO367
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 951461	Mr Howard Boothroyd	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO365
Council Response	No change; support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 952150	Carol Hirst	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO38
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 952830	Mr Stuart Brook	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO26
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 953065	Mrs Nina Brook	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO27
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 955288	Mr John Goodyear	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO17
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 956385	miss Rachael Davies	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO185
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for the rejection of site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 962832	Mr Ian Fillan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO84
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 963621	Dr Graham Cox	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO28
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 964584	Gina Dean	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO399
Council Response	Support for the rejection of this site.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 965091	Mr John Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO234
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 966402	Nancie Crowther	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO316
Proposed Change Requested	No change, see attached.			
Council Response	No change; support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 966926	Mr Chris Dean	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO402
Council Response	Support for the rejection of this site.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 967004	Mrs Angela Cross	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO259
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 967019	Mr Martin Kenworthy	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO177
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 967073	David and Lillian Mollitt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO368
Council Response	No change; support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 969018	Mrs Linda Barnard	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO293
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 970459	F. Doreen Barraclough	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO297
Council Response	No change; support noted.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 971640	Margaret & Anthony Nichols	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1246
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 975291	Gareth Lloyd	Agent: 969464	Mr Adam Jackson
Soundness - Justified	Persimmon contests the rejection of the site for housing, and considers that it is a suitable and sustainable location for residential development, which is vital for meeting the District's full objectively assessed housing needs.			
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the site for residential development.			
Council Response	No change. Although there is limited risk of sprawl from this site, the character and extent of this site are such that it appears as part of the wider countryside and development would therefore constitute encroachment. The rejection of this site is considered to be consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Although the site assessment refers to the potential impact on a Grade II listed property to the south-east of the site, the green belt impacts are the reason this site was rejected.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1037597	Mr Keith Callaghan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO53
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1039584	Mrs Janet Whiteley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO231
Council Response	Support for the rejection of housing option H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1039832	Mrs Louise Lewis	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO11
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1039833	Miss Laura Tattersley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO12
Council Response	No changeSupport for site H575 noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1039848	Dr. Philip Butterworth	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO13
Council Response	No changeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1039954	Mr Jake Lockwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO14
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1039981	Mr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO15
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1040369	mr jeremy hirst	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO18
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1040528	Mr Phil Spencer	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO19
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1040633	Mr David Atkinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO20
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1040639	Miss Lauren Atkinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO21
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1040851	Mrs Barbara Moss	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO22
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1041222	D.C Gordge	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO391
Council Response	No change; support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1041249	Mr A Sykes	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO25
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1043297	Mr David Cooke	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO30
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: h575	Consultee: 1043325	Mrs Juliana Jackson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO31
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1043330	Mr Neil Jackson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO32
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1043377	Mrs Margaret Turner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO117
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1044267	Mrs Janice Senior	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO37
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1044485	Mrs Carole Hallam	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO44
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1044496	Stewart	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO35
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1044904	Bob & Brenda Cunningham	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO351
Proposed Change Requested	No change requested.			
Council Response	No change; support noted.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1044947	Mr W L Middleton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO186
Council Response	SUPPORT - No changed required, support for the rejection of site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1044970	S Spivey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO347
Proposed Change Requested	No change requested.			
Council Response	No change; support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1045967	Mrs Tricia Tomlinson-Callaghan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO52
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1046356	Kathryn Sheehy	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO245
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1046667	Mr Eugene Goodwill	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO58
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1047093	Carolyn Thirlwell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO390
Council Response	No change; support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1047403	Mr Richard Bligh-Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO64
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1047432	Mrs Christine Newsome	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO68
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1047437	Mr Kevin Browne	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO69
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1047439	miss Katie Browne	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO70
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1047440	mrs vera newsome	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO71
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1047762	Mrs Allison O'Donnell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO66
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1047814	Mr Carl O'Donnell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO67

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1047926	Steven Hirst	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO292
Council Response	No change; support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1047928	Rachel Hirst	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO296
Council Response	No change; support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1047930	Hayley Chadwick	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO289
Council Response	No change; support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1048042	Mr Kevin Ledger	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO74
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1048064	Mr Edward Haigh	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO373
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1048081	Mr David Harriden	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO72
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1048090	Mr Michael Rowland	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO73
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1048096	Mr DAVID DRISCOLL	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO75
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1048474	Ms Sara Hughes	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO86
Council Response	No changeSupport for site H575 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1048516	Mr Nigel Dews	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO88
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1048630	Miss CLAIRE BERRY	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO89
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1048679	Ms Jayne Hallas	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO90
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1048699	Mr Brian Moreton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO146

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1048996	Mr Jonathan Livesey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO750
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: h575	Consultee: 1049084	mrs Lynn Calderwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO227
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049113	Mr Phillip Ellis	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO122
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site noted due to lack of infrastructure and loss of green space.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049118	Mr Richard Senior	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO181
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049120	Mr Hamish Gray	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO121
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049157	Mr James Rangeley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO917
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049182	Mr Andy Byrne	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO124
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049202	Mr Vincent Pool	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO125
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049214	Crowther	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO463
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049224	Mr Kevin Henderson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO127
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change required, support for rejection of site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049232	Mrs Frances Tunbridge	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO128
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the rejection of site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049287	Ms Sonja Macura	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO149
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the rejections of site H575 noted.Schools full, not appropriate for the village, wildlife and full health services.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049292	Mrs Enid Fiddes	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO372

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No changeSupport for site H575 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049303	Mr Joseph Meehan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO148
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049335	Mr Mark Hepworth	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO199
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049337	Mrs Linda Hepworth	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO184
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049352	Mr Richard Metcalf	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO155
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049413	Mrs Paula Gray	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO176
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049440	Miss Angela Farmer	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO178
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049448	Mr Matthew Evans	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO179
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049471	Mrs Katherine Metcalf	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO180
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049882	S Chaplin	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO346
Proposed Change Requested	No change requested.			
Council Response	No change; support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049924	I Wade	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO352
Council Response	No changeSupport noted, site to be retained as green belt.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049926	Dr Alan Hall	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO204
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1049971	Anna Roe	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO288
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1050060	Mr jeremy wilson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO228
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1050125	Mr Michael Curtis	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO230
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1056401	Andrea Munt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO235
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1057202	Lynn Brooks	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO253
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1057285	Matt Barnard	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO260
Council Response	No changesupport noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1059257	Denise Armitage	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO398
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site H575 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1059574	Andrew Howarth	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO442
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1059586	David Ward	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO451
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H575	Consultee: 1060023	Susan Haigh	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO679
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: H274	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1121
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H1742	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO1128
Council Response	No change. Support for the rejection of H1742 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H29	Consultee: 1049220	MR WILL HEYS	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO226
Soundness - Justified	The number of units on the site has increased from 141 in draft Local Plan, to 164. Any development would see improvements to Pilling Lane / Little Pilling Lane. The development would have little adverse impact on the school in terms of traffic. The main sewer running through the site would present an opportunity to improve the sewerage system.			
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate the site with a lower capacity			
Council Response	No change.The site capacity is based on an indicative capacity of 35 dwellings per hectare. This is based on an average of past completions, as set out in SD23			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Housing Technical Paper. In terms of impact on the local highway network, the site is considered to be unacceptable due to constraints on the road network between the site and the B6116.

Paragraph/Site: **H458**

Consultee: **966915 Mr Adrian Broadbent**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO876**

Soundness - Justified

• Existing traffic flow along Far Bank is frequently congested & dangerous, exacerbated by vehicles parked on road side. Further traffic from new site will have a serious negative effect, particularly at the 2 main road junctions. Similarly, if Near bank is used for access, serious problems will occur at the existing dangerous junction with Huddersfield Road. • Serious negative effect on surrounding area resulting from noise & light pollution, particularly during construction phase. • Negative effect on existing local school education & health care facilities. • Potential negative effect on stability of steep bank to North & its associated underground water courses, springs & wells. • Negative effect on the character of the local landscape.

Proposed Change Requested

Main road junctions on Far Bank & Near Bank should be redesigned & consideration given to controlling road side parking. Expansion to local school education & health care facilities. Provision for extensive land drainage system to handle the various water flows from steep bank to North

Council Response

No change. Support for the rejection of H458 noted. Part of the land subject to option H458 has been accepted as safeguarded land (SL3356). For SL3356 there is a lack of evidence that access can be achieved to ensure a deliverable or developable site during the plan period. This option has therefore been accepted as a safeguarded land option. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome in the future to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period along with the adjacent SL2173. Significant third party land required for access. Suitable access could only be achieved through land to the east which is within a rejected housing option. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth and will be considered further at the next review of the Local Plan. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H458**

Consultee: **1050435 Diane Lowther**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO881**

Soundness - Justified

Far Bank is already congested due to existing traffic, school drop-off and pick ups, its narrow width and parking problems which leaves one lane to use most of the time. There is no infrastructure for further housing, such as schools and health facilities. Flooding occurs on Penistone Road at times and more run off would be caused through a very old chapel (cemetery) causing pollution and drainage systems problems.

Proposed Change Requested

Wider road and reduce traffic flow through Far Bank. No access into and out of Far Bank but onto New Bank. Less traffic

Council Response

No change. Support for the rejection of H458 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H458**

Consultee: **1057679 Mrs Susan Screaton**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO280**

Council Response

No change. Support for the rejection of H458 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H458**

Consultee: **1058413 Mr Stephen Allen**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO370**

Soundness - Justified

This proposed document is ill thought out without proper infrastructure, and should never become a reality.

Proposed Change Requested

The whole area would need multi-millions spending to make infrastructure fit for purpose, such as roads, rail, bus services, education, medical services, and flood defences etc.

Council Response

This site is a rejected option in the Local Plan, therefore it is not being allocated for housing. However, the site is part of a Safeguarded Land site.

Paragraph/Site: **H309**

Consultee: **947780 Mr Daniel Bray**

Agent: **968969 Miss Clare Plant**

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO306**

Soundness - Justified

The site is available now and is deliverable, it has no significant constraints. The reasons for the Council's previous rejection of the site include its proximity within 1000m of a Special Protection Area, the Council's proposed policy map indicates that the nearest SPA (at Deer Hill) is in fact approximately 1500m

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

	away, some distance from the site. It is considered that the national park designation to the west helps achieves openness in this area and Green Belt designation is not required.
Soundness - Effective	Although currently designated as Green Belt land, the removal of this site from the Green Belt and allocation as safeguarded land for future housing development would help ensure the Plan contains sufficient flexibility to meet its growth target should strategic allocations not deliver at the rate anticipated during the Plan Period.
Council Response	No change.Site is part of open areas contributing to the immediate setting of the national park and are recognised for the role they play in maintaining landscape character beyond the boundary of the national park. The green belt in this location therefore plays an important role in maintaining this openness by protecting the areas from the encroachment of built form. Due to the proximity to the Dark Peak SSSI/SPA/SAC, impacts would need to be assessed further.
Paragraph/Site: H256a	Consultee: 942622 Jane Faulkner Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO222
Council Response	No change. Support noted.
Paragraph/Site: H256a	Consultee: 945518 Mr B W Mallinson Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO342
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for the rejection of this housing site noted.
Paragraph/Site: H256a	Consultee: 968968 Mr Christopher Hirst Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO190
Council Response	Support for the rejection of H256a.
Paragraph/Site: H256a	Consultee: 971141 Martin Kilburn Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO634
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted
Paragraph/Site: H256a	Consultee: 1048184 Mr George Anthony Clifton Agent: 1048163 Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO137
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for H256a has been noted.
Paragraph/Site: H322a	Consultee: 1049286 Mr Nicholas Willock Agent: 1049237 Mr Nicholas Willock Rep ID: PDLP_RSO229
Soundness - Justified	Land at H322a (when combined with rejected sites H322 and H475) have equal or greater merit to the proposed release of areas of green belt at Inkerman Way (H233/H634).H322a forms part of a rectangle of land adjacent to the Denby Dale settlement. The land is well-contained (with Cumberworth Lane representing a strong physical boundary) and presents a suitable opportunity for rounding-off the settlement, with no risk of either Denby Dale merging with other settlements, or urban sprawl. Cumberworth Lane has a more defensible boundary and development on three sides but Inkerman only has development on one side. The Inkerman sites would not result in a satisfactory settlement rounding off. Both areas of land have been assessed as requiring third party land to achieve visibility. Cumberworth Lane sites are in a more accessible location. If the exceptional circumstances exist for the release of the Inkerman sites from the green belt they must also exist for the Cumberworth Lane sites. The issue of visibility splays can be resolved either utilising land within the site to achieve the required splays, using land to the north of using rejected sites to the south to share access.
Proposed Change Requested	Allocate H322a, H322 & H475 for housing.
Council Response	No change.This site does not have any relationship with Denby Dale as it is separated from it by a tract of protected trees and the open grazing land along Cumberworth Road. As such it would appear as an isolated projection of development in the countryside contrary to the role and function of the green belt.
Paragraph/Site: ME1972	Consultee: 943847 Mrs Sally Barber Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_RSO884
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for this rejected site noted.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Paragraph/Site: ME1972	Consultee: 978193	Cllr Charles Greaves	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO322
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for this rejected site noted.			
Paragraph/Site: ME1971	Consultee: 943847	Mrs Sally Barber	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO883
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for this rejected site noted.			
Paragraph/Site: ME1971	Consultee: 978193	Cllr Charles Greaves	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO321
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for this rejected site noted.			
Paragraph/Site: ME1970	Consultee: 943847	Mrs Sally Barber	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO882
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for this rejected site noted.			
Paragraph/Site: ME1970	Consultee: 978193	Cllr Charles Greaves	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO319
Council Response	SUPPORT - No change, support for this rejected site noted.			
Paragraph/Site: MX1904	Consultee: 942410		Agent: 950095	Mr Jonathan Dunbavin Rep ID: PDLP_RSO569
Soundness - Justified	The 'red' constraints for the site are for education and Green Belt. It is considered that education constraint can be mitigated. Green Belt assessment is based on Green Belt Review. It is considered Green Belt review in this location is inaccurate. The council's site assessment has not paid due regard to the detailed submissions made with the representations to the site throughout the Local Plan process.			
Proposed Change Requested	This site should be allocated as a mixed use site.			
Council Response	No change. The site is a rejected mixed use option. This is an area of attractive and prominent countryside with countryside features including Grimescar Dike and its associated important wildlife habitats, a significant number of protected trees which define the edge of the settlement and Grimescar Wood and Gernhill Wood areas of ancient woodland. Although the site is large enough to maintain a buffer with the areas of ancient woodland, development that respected the watercourse and its habitats would have a poor relationship with the existing settlement form, being effectively detached from it. The extent of the site on its northern edge on steeply rising ground would impact significantly in long distance views and therefore be detrimental to the openness of the wider green belt. (Rejected Site Options Report, November 2016, LE4)			
Paragraph/Site: MX3371	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO213
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: MX3371	Consultee: 945518	Mr B W Mallinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO343
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: MX3371	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO652
Council Response	No change. Support for the rejection of MX3371 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: MX3371	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO450
Council Response	No Change. Support for site MX3371 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: MX3371	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO473

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: MX3371	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO529
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: MX3371	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO496
Council Response	No change.Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: MX3371	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton Rep ID: PDLP_RSO132
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site MX3371 has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: MX3371	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO535
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: MX3371	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO619
Council Response	No changeSupport noted			
Paragraph/Site: MX1914	Consultee: 962044	John Bastow	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO4
Soundness - Justified	Support the rejection of MX1914 on the grounds of: - traffic congestion - air quality/pollution and impact on health and well-being and respiratory issues - loss of urban green space and green belt - impact on habitat flora and fauna including endangered species, crested newts - flood risk - lack of local amenities, no nearby shops, so increasing transport issues, no nearby schools or hospitals or police stations. No nearby sports or recreation - brownfield sites exist.			
Proposed Change Requested	Support rejected site option MX1914. However, object to site allocation H69 on same site. See PDLP_AD818.			
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option MX1914 noted. This site is now allocated for housing. See H69.			
Paragraph/Site: MX1914	Consultee: 1045990	Cllr Kath Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO382
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option MX1914 noted. This site is now allocated for housing. See H69.			
Paragraph/Site: MX1914	Consultee: 1049393	Cllr Andrew Pinnock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO379
Proposed Change Requested	Retain MX1914 as a rejected site.			
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option MX1914 noted. This site is now allocated for housing. See H69.			
Paragraph/Site: MX1914	Consultee: 1058570	Cllr John Lawson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO383
Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H69 from the Plan.			
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option MX1914 noted. This site is now allocated for housing. See H69.			
Paragraph/Site: MX1902	Consultee: 978303	Cllr Andrew Palfreeman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO961
Council Response	No ChangeSupported for rejected site option MX1902 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: MX2681	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO224

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response	No change. Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: MX2681	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO651	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for the rejection of site MX2681				
Paragraph/Site: MX2681	Consultee: 968407	Amanda Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO406	
Council Response	No change.Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: MX2681	Consultee: 968417	Arlene Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO449	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site MX2618 noted.				
Paragraph/Site: MX2681	Consultee: 968522	Mr Mark Schofield	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO472	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: MX2681	Consultee: 971141	Martin Kilburn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO527	
Council Response	No ChangeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: MX2681	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO546	
Council Response	No change.Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: MX2681	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO495	
Council Response	No change.Support noted.				
Paragraph/Site: MX2681	Consultee: 1048184	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Agent: 1048163	Mr George Anthony Clifton	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO134
Council Response	No ChangeSupport for site MX2681 has been noted.				
Paragraph/Site: MX2681	Consultee: 1059845	Stephanie Blakey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO534	
Council Response	No changeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: MX2681	Consultee: 1059937	Matthew Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO618	
Council Response	No changeSupport noted				
Paragraph/Site: MX1924	Consultee: 1061186	Mr Michael Smith	Agent: 1061184	Mr Martin Devey	Rep ID: PDLP_RSO864
Soundness - Justified	<p>- National and local policy favouring sustainable brownfield sites has been ignored. - Inspectors comments in decision (2008/92072) concluded if need shown for additional housing, a development should be allowed on grounds it is sustainable development, there were no other factors, including biodiversity. protected species and highways which would outweigh argument for development. - Major part of site contains water tanks, remaining land held for future expansion of textile operations. - Draft Local Plan Strategy & Policies, Nov 2015 includes a number of applicable statements: Contribution brownfield land 2.7 Kirklees' industrial past has provided a legacy of brownfield land where buildings have been demolished or are unused...It represents a valuable source of development land, especially for housing... Delivering growth and sustainable development:Identification of land to meet development needs in order of priority: a. previously developed land and buildings within settlements; 4.5 The draft Local Plan seeks to meet housing and employment needs with a priority</p>				

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

for the delivery of brownfield sites. 4.2 Policy DLP 1 - presumption in favour of sustainable development. 4.11 positively seek opportunities to meet development needs of district and meet objectively assessed needs unless adverse impacts would significantly and demonstrably outweigh benefits 4.3 Location of new development Policy DLP 2 (1) focus of new development, including housing, employment, retail and mixed use development, will take place within the urban areas taking advantage of existing services and high levels of accessibility...Site located in Newsome, meets above criteria, capable of sustainable development.

Proposed Change Requested

- Include site MX1924 as allocated for Mixed Use development.

Council Response

No change.The site is currently unallocated.This site is a rejected mixed use option. The site of former allotment gardens is unused rough land with bramble scrub and some shrubs and trees. This part is too small as Urban Greenspace on its own. There is a TPO area on the western boundary, The overall open space assessment is made on the basis of the appeal dismissed on the former allotments site (2008/92072) as the site provides valuable visual relief in otherwise densely developed area and development would have an unacceptable impact on the character and appearance of the area. Site includes mill tanks with no biodiversity or protected species impact at time of appeal.(Rejected Site Options Report, November 2016, LE4)

Paragraph/Site: **MX1925**

Consultee: **942154 unknown**

Agent: **941891 Paul Leeming**

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO788**

Soundness - Justified

It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan. The site does not form part of the Green Belt and is surrounded on three sides by development including established housing areas.

Proposed Change Requested

It should be allocated to address the current planned shortfall in housing set out in the Draft Local Plan.

Council Response

No Change The Kirklees housing requirement has been calculated in accordance with NPPF including using CLG Household Projections as a starting point and considering a range of factors listed in NPPF/NPPG. This process is summarised in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 4.8 - 4.13) and in more detail in the Kirklees SHMA (LE17, paragraphs 6.1 - 6.44). Chapter 8 of the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies (document ref SD1) sets out the Local Plan policies for the supply of housing and this is supported by the Housing Technical Paper and the Kirklees Strategic Housing Market Assessment. The phasing table set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, Appendix 3, pages 225 - 247) as amended by minor modification SP-MM33 (SD4, Proposed Modifications to the Local Plan) sets out information on a site specific basis to demonstrate that the Local Plan housing requirement can be met during the plan period. The Housing Technical Paper at section 4 sets out how the objectively assessed need for housing in Kirklees has been calculated, which the Local Plan will meet in full (paragraph 6.1 Spatial Development Strategy SD1). The Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4 page 496) sets out the technical assessment for site MX1925. The site was rejected based on the impact on the highway network and on green belt purposes.

Paragraph/Site: **MX1908**

Consultee: **978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO976**

Council Response

No ChangeSupported for rejected site option MX1908 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2293**

Consultee: **978303 Cllr Andrew Palfreeman**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO984**

Council Response

No changeSupport for the rejection of this site as a safeguarded land option has been noted.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2916**

Consultee: **951517 Messrs Hudson, Kelly, Bray & Samson**

Agent: **942058 Mr Michael Townsend**

Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO889**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Allocation of the site would support the vision and objectives of the Local Plan and PLP3 and PLP7

Soundness - Justified

The site can play a role in providing sustainable housing land, being part brownfield, it is not necessary to keep permanently open

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The removal of the land from Green Belt would not be in conflict with purposes of including land in Green Belt set out in NPPF

Proposed Change Requested

Allocate site for housing or POL

Council Response

No changeThe site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessment of the site can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports page 514 (LE4). The reasons for rejection are summarised as: This site sits within a strategic area of

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

green belt that maintains separation between Mirfield and Ravensthorpe. Removal of this site from the green belt would join Mirfield with Ravensthorpe along Shill Bank Lane and completely isolate a large area of green belt to the south, significantly compromising the role and function of the green belt in this location.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2732** Consultee: **942405** **unknown** Agent: **941908** **Mr Andrew Rose** Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1241**

Soundness - Positively Prepared The site is in a sustainable location and is a logical extension to Shelley. Shelley scores favourably in the settlement appraisal but has no Local Plan housing allocations during the plan period. Land has been allocated for housing the Local Plan in less sustainable settlements.

Soundness - Justified The Council raise concerns over long distance views and whether the site is prominent. Landscape evidence provided to support the applicant case that the site is not visible from long distances. The site is self-contained and well screened and will assimilate into the built form landscape on the edge of the settlement. There are no known constraints to the development of this site. Suitable access can be achieved and the site is deliverable (detailed Delivery Statement included in representation).

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The green belt assessment for this site does not reflect the contained setting of the site, strong physical features and boundaries surrounding the site or the poor boundary that currently exists. Containment of the site means its release would have a limited impact on openness and would not lead to unrestricted sprawl or encroachment. No impact on the setting of a historic town. The current green belt boundary is not robust (low walls and fences to the rear of residential properties) and does not accord with the Framework. The revised boundary would accord with the Framework and ensure the permanency of a robust boundary for the future. Detailed Green Belt Assessment included.

Proposed Change Requested Allocate H169 for residential development. Alternatively, the site at Penistone Road can be allocated as follows: First phase for 100 dwellings and the second phase for approximately 200 dwellings allocated within the Plan period or safeguarded for delivery in the next Plan period (SL2732).

Council Response No change. This site is detached from Shelley and would require a significant amount of additional land to be released in order to give it a relationship with the settlement. The housing option between this land and the urban area has been rejected. The prominence of the site in long distance views particularly at its northern extent would detrimentally impact on openness and represent encroachment into the countryside contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2280** Consultee: **1042528** **Mr James Broughton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO29**

Council Response No ChangeSupport for site SL2280 noted.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2300** Consultee: **944860** **Mr R Sherwell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1244**

Proposed Change Requested No change.

Council Response No change required.Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2300** Consultee: **970489** **Mrs B Donnellan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1033**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2300** Consultee: **1049295** **Mr Michael Reader** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO269**

Council Response No change. Support for retention of this site as green belt noted.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2300** Consultee: **1050480** **Jillian Sherwell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1238**

Proposed Change Requested No change.

Council Response Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2286** Consultee: **943465** **Mr R Clifton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO769**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Rejected Site Options

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2286** Consultee: **964462** **Isobel Pollock-Hulf** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO276**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2286** Consultee: **971640** **Margaret & Anthony Nichols** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO1247**

Council Response No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **SL2286** Consultee: **1037597** **Mr Keith Callaghan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_RSO59**

Council Response No ChangeSupport for site SL2286 noted