

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	reflect NPPF paragraphs 28 (supporting a prosperous rural economy), 74/76/77/78 (promoting healthy communities), 93/94/95/103 (meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding & coastal change), 109/110/111/113/114/115/116/117/118/119/120/123/124/125 (conserving and enhancing the natural environment), 126/128/129/130/131/132/133/134/135/141 (conserving & enhancing the historic environment).
Proposed Change Requested	Return the site to the Green Belt.
Council Response	No change. Site H288a is allocated as Provisional Open Land (safeguarded land) in the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan and is proposed as a housing allocation in the Local Plan. The allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocation methodology. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area, in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment (SD10). The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and no concerns have been raised in relation to site H288a. Historic England fully acknowledge that the selection of sites which have been put forward as allocations in the Local Plan have been arrived at following a series of evaluations by the council of the potential impacts that of all the sites might have upon the historic environment. Historic England endorse the process undertaken to arrive at the selection of sites included in the Local Plan and this has involved full consultation with, and considerable input from, Historic England throughout. Historic England have also confirmed that the Local Plan does not include any sites whose development will result in substantial harm to a designated heritage asset (and whose allocation, therefore, would be likely to conflict with national policy guidance). The Local Plan seeks to meet the housing needs of Kirklees and the Spatial Development Strategy set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, page 36) sets out that most growth will be met in the main urban areas of Huddersfield and Dewsbury and a more flexible approach elsewhere to achieve a sustainable pattern of development for in the district. This site has been assessed through the Sustainability Appraisal (SD5) and using information from the settlement appraisal (BP17) and is regarded as sustainable. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 102) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (sustainable travel). The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP 10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. There is a primary school in Hade Edge. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. It is appropriate for the Local Plan process to consider the allocation of this land to assist in meeting the housing needs of Kirklees. The council has considered site options which have been submitted for consideration through the Local Plan process. This includes option H288a which was submitted to the council through the draft Local Plan public consultation.

Paragraph/Site: **H294**

Consultee: **943957 Mr Ian Smith**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3759**

Soundness - Justified	This site adjoins the boundary of the Netherthong/Deanhouse Conservation Area. The loss of this currently-open area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this area. By allocating this site for development, the Council is accepting that the principle of the loss of this currently-open area and its subsequent development is acceptable. However, there has been no evaluation of what contribution this site makes to the character or appearance of the Conservation Area or what harm might result to those elements which contribute to the significance of this designated area by its eventual development.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	Paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires Local Plans to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. In addition, the Council has a statutory duty under the provisions of S72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay "special attention" to "the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance" of its Conservation Areas. The NPPF makes it clear that the significance of heritage assets, such as Conservation Areas, can be harmed through development within their setting.
Proposed Change Requested	Before allocating this site for development an assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to the elements which contribute towards the significance of the Conservation Area and what impact the loss of this undeveloped site and its subsequent development might have upon those significances.
Council Response	No change. This site has planning permission for residential development (planning application reference: 2016/93365 decision date: 17/02/17) therefore

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

the principle for the development of this site has been established. Historic England now fully acknowledge that the selection of sites which have been put forward as allocations in the Plan have been arrived at following a series of evaluations by the Council of the potential impacts that of all the sites might have upon the historic environment. Historic England endorse the process undertaken to arrive at the selection of sites included in the Plan and this has involved full consultation with, and considerable input from, Historic England throughout. Historic England have also confirmed that the Plan does not include any sites whose development will result in substantial harm to a designated heritage asset (and whose allocation, therefore, would be likely to conflict with national policy guidance).

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **942846 Carolyn Newton**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3212**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **943847 Mrs Sally Barber**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2310**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Housing in this area is located away from employment opportunities.

Soundness - Justified

The size and density of the developments have no regard for the size, character, and setting of the village. Local highway infrastructure has long being acknowledged to be poor. Concerns regarding capacity of utilities. Development would exacerbate flooding in the area. Local schools are full. Loss of agricultural land. Impact on tourism.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

Impact on highways and character is contrary to policies set out in NPPF.

Proposed Change Requested

Remove the site from the plan

Council Response

No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have

been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). The Housing Mix and Affordable Housing policy (Policy PLP 11) sets out the requirement for the mix and tenure of housing to be accommodated on sites and this will be in accordance with the latest available evidence. Factors relating to the design of the housing proposals must also be considered. The proposed housing mix for particular sites is not included within the Local Plan and will be determined at planning application stage. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The playground referred to is located on Rycroft Lane, between sites H297 and SL3359. As such, it is not proposed to remove the playground as part of the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **943932**

Mr Michael Howarth-Coyne

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1930**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Use brownfield sites first and use empty homes.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additional comments made relate to increased noise, air and light pollution, need to increase policing in the village, lack of recreation facilities, developments will not help biodiversity or geodiversity in the village, lack of gritting local roads, a Neighbourhood Plan should be prepared for the area.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional comments made relate to the site not being in conformity with NPPF paragraph 12 (core planning principles).

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

where appropriate;the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.The Publication Draft Local Plan recognises that high quality design is fundamental to making places more attractive, sustainable, safe and accessible. Policy PLP 24 seeks to ensure that good design is embedded into development proposals in Kirklees. The design of housing proposals will be discussed and determined at planning application stage.These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.A quantified assessment of the potential impacts of new development and associated traffic is set out in the Kirklees Council Air Quality Assessment (document ref - LE118). No objections were raised by Environmental Health in relation to this site option.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **944355 Dianne Hirst** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1936**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **944393 James A Hirst** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1911**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **944394 E Mitchell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1877**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **944651 Mr Simon E Cave** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2998**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17. 28. 30. 34. 38. 72. 76. 77. 55. 109. 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 944666 Mrs Jayne Andrews Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD1846
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 944670 Mr W E Booth Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2280
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 944679 Mr Howard J Chadwick Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2592
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 944684 Mr Gerry Murray Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD1891
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **944803**

Mr Michael Howden

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2538**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Scale of proposed development disproportionate to the size of the village. A smaller proposal for housing in the locality was refused 20 years ago.

Proposed Change Requested The site should not be built upon.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. Reference to historic refused planning application [94/62/94132/W3?] Proposed allocations and planning applications are assessed against current national planning policy. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **944805**

Elaine Mason

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2759**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **944807 Mrs L M Stanley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2190**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **944816 Mr Mark Fisher** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2151**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **944860 Mr R Sherwell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2572**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council has not searched out the most suitable sites and spread them evenly throughout the area. The council has not given enough weight to objections raised by local residents.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The council hasn't fully considered possible alternative sites. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. The council hasn't given sufficient weight to previous objections raised by the local

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

community. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 945018 Miss Mary Mullan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3165
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 945623 Carolyn Walker	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3051
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The scale of development proposed is disproportionate to the size of the village. Empty homes should be filled before building on greenfield sites.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 948129 Ms M L Newsome	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3062
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 950948 mr dom green	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3476
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Proposed mix of housing is not appropriate.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.Reduce proposed number of houses in plan to less than 20.Increase school provision and improve local infrastructure.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Additionally:The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) for the Kirklees District supports the delivery of the Local Plan. The analysis and conclusions within the IDP assess the suitability of infrastructure provision and the extent to which existing infrastructure will be 'fit for purpose' against the land requirements to deliver objectively assessed housing and employment needs.The Housing Mix and Affordable Housing policy (Policy PLP 11) sets out the requirement for the mix and tenure of housing to be accommodated on sites and this will be in accordance with the latest available evidence. Factors relating to the design of the housing proposals must also be considered.The proposed housing mix for particular sites is not included within the Local Plan and will be determined at planning application stage.The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 954030	Mr Stephen Frost	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1852
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 954035	Mrs Cath Laurs	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2564
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development disproportionate to size of village. Reference to refused (housing) planning application 20 years ago. Other, more suitable, sites have not been allocated. Empty homes should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. The Local Plan favours North Kirklees over South Kirklees in terms of spending on infrastructure. The council has made it difficult for residents to comment on the proposals and has acted against the will of the people.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Additionally:Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.Reference to historic refused planning application			

[94/62/94132/W3?]. Proposed allocations and planning applications are assessed against current national planning policy. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. Other, more suitable, sites have not been allocated. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan’s strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district’s housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. Empty homes should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district’s housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn’t been considered within the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process. The Local Plan favours North Kirklees over South Kirklees in terms of spending on infrastructure. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) for the Kirklees District supports the delivery of the Local Plan. The analysis and conclusions within the IDP assess the suitability of infrastructure provision and the extent to which existing infrastructure will be ‘fit for purpose’ against the land requirements to deliver objectively assessed housing and employment needs. Significant infrastructure improvements are proposed within North Kirklees to support the anticipated growth in this area. The council has made it difficult for residents to comment on the proposals and has acted against the will of the people. The council’s Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council’s website.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **954035 Mrs Cath Laurs**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3639**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

-There are 8,500 empty homes in Huddersfield - these properties should be used before greenfield/green belt land is used. -Brownfield sites should be used first and greenbelt when there is absolutely no alternative.

Soundness - Justified

- Generation of at least 300+ cars and associated traffic. - No visitor parking. - All 7 roads into Scholes Village have safety issues. - The roads around Scholes are already congested, due to them being too narrow. - Difficult for emergency vehicles to get through. - There is no access to trains, therefore there will be a need for extra buses and use of cars.. - There are limited employment opportunities in the area. - The extra housing will constitute a 25% increase in the size of the village. - There are other areas that are far more suitable for development. - Grazing land will be lost. - Wildlife habitats will be lost. - Noise, pollution and traffic detrimental to Local Wildlife Sites. - Open aspect of the village will be lost. - Visual impact of the proposed development, not in keeping with the character of Scholes. - Impact on schools, dentists and GP’s. - Can the drainage systems cope? - Will the children’s play area on Ryecroft Lane be lost?

Council Response

No change. The council’s Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site

was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site H297 is to the west of the village. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. The playground referred to is located on Ryecroft Lane, between sites H297 and SL3359. As such, it is not proposed to remove the playground as part of the Local Plan. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate. A quantified assessment of the potential impacts of new development and associated traffic is set out in the Kirklees Council Air Quality Assessment (document ref - LE118). No objections were raised by Environmental Health in relation to this site option.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 954084	Miss Charlotte Boorman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2457
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 954091	Mr Eric Barlow	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3041
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The council hasn't fully considered and acted upon previous objections to the Local Plan.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Consultation process The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 954128	Mrs Carmel Boorman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3202
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 954417	Mrs J. Lofthouse	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2892
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for new housing.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The Local Plan has not taken into account the number of new homes that have been built in Scholes over the last 15 years.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Additionally:Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing.The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through:the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites;the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate;the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.The Local Plan has not taken into account the number of new homes that have been built in Scholes over the last 15 years.Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan’s strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district’s housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 954671 Ms Heather Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3238
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 955802 Mrs Rhiannon Owens	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2073
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 956151 MRS LINDA EASTWOOD	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2161
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 956323 Miss Katie Hollingworth	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2297
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 956531 Maureen Buckley Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2777
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 956582 MR ROGER SNOW Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD3145
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 956608 miss Claire Brearley Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2276
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 956659 Ms. Heidi Ottiger Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2741
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally, other sites have not been adequately searched for.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space. Widen the roads (although this may not be possible), consider other sites diligently, increase the capacity in local schools, ensure development does not proceed at a rapid pace and make a more moderate increase in the size of the village.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The council hasn't fully considered possible alternative sites. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 956776	Mr Geoffrey Daniel	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2807
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 956820	Mr David Brennan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2453
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 956854	Mr Hobson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2678
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 957082	Maggie Biggs	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2677
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites should be used for new homes, before allocating green sites. Smaller homes for older people would be more appropriate in Scholes than larger 'executive' homes.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Develop brownfield sites first The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. Housing mix The Publication Draft Local Plan (paragraph 8.29) recognises that 'it is important to achieve a mix of housing in terms of size, type, tenure and suitability for specific groups of people to contribute to meeting local needs and contribute to sustainable development in accordance with national planning policy'. The housing mix for a particular site will be determined at planning application stage, with reference to Policy PLP 11 Housing Mix and Affordable Housing of the Local Plan.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **957269**

Mr Mark Williams

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3194**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **957325**

Mr John Townsend

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3131**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Concerned that the council hasn't fully considered alternative sites.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Particular concerns regarding the lack of existing and proposed community services and infrastructure.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **957366**

Mr John M Ainley

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2886**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of Scholes.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **957520**

Mrs Monique Brennan

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2462**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **957548**

Jean Dobrowski

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3001**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **957812**

Miss Anna Priestley

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3188**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **958051 Alan Hinchcliffe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2977**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **958498 Derek Marshall** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1057**

Soundness - Justified The plan is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based. Supports the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Building would be on a greenfield site. More housing in Scholes would result in the village becoming gridlocked, accidents inevitable and the infrastructure severely compromised. The village cannot cope with more traffic due to the narrowness of the main road through Scholes and existing driving and parking problems. The junction at the Boot and Shoe PH and adjacent area gets severely congested. St Georges Rd is very narrow and mostly has no pavement. Some of the area in Jackson Bridge is not paved and the hill that drops into Jackson Bridge causes driving difficulty when two vehicles are trying to pass. Paris is not paved and only passable by a single vehicle and Cinderhills Road is already hazardous due its narrowness. During snowfalls and ice in winter there are major parking and driving problems as owners leave their vehicles on the main roads and residents cannot access the developments at Ryfields, Whitewells, Wickledon Gate. More vehicles would be irresponsible. An increase of nearly 30% to the current housing would adversely impact on the village identity and rural character. Plans for 91 homes in the village twenty years ago was rejected on the grounds " it would be incompatible with the size, character and setting of Scholes ". Village services, such as drainage and the school, would become strained and there are no plans to improve the infrastructure or the school (which is already oversubscribed) for at least 15 years.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to reflect NPPF policies, specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109 and 157.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **958590 Kathryn Winnard** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2898**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **958675 Richard & Sandra Worsnup** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2856**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for new housing.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for new housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **959052 Rebecca Gilmartin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2374**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **959325 Paul Webster** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1518**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **959444 Michael Battye** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2544**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Concern that consultees are not being listened to.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Concern that consultees are not being listened to. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 959466 Carol Swallow	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1892
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 959517 Andrew Wild	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2113
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 959521 Philip Rostron	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2184
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 959814 Simon Powell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3231
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 959816 Mr Sydney Haworth Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2844
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 959883 Tim Pickup Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD3007
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 960171 Christopher Simpson Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2081
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 960649 Mr Howard Topham Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2300
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **960691 Frank Dickin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2774**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Reference made to historic refused planning application for '80 houses in the same area' [94/62/94132/W3?].

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Proposed allocations and planning applications are assessed against current national planning policies.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **960724 June Snow** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3018**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **960739 F Small** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3059**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **960958 Cheryl Burgess** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1536**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **961430 Mr PETER BOOTH** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2943**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for new homes.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **961576 Mrs Jackie Green** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2179**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **961613 Mr David Brignell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2085**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **961654 Neil Haworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2798**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **961691** **Martin Woods** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2412**

Soundness - Justified As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **961695** **Garnet Heller** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2552**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **961810** **Jean Lawton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3022**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **961883** **Mrs J Greenway** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1828**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 962206 Peter Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2990
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 962210 Philip Murat	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2978
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Construction process will be disruptive and dangerous.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: Specific reference to inadequate play area / space for children to exercise.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Concern that the construction process will be disruptive and dangerous. As part of the planning application process, consideration will be given to the need for developers to produce a site-specific Construction Management Plan. Concern regarding the loss of play area within Scholes A playground is located on Ryecroft Lane, between sites H297 and SL3359. It is not proposed to remove the playground as part of the Local Plan.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 962296 Mr Rob Laurs	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2720
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **963303 Mr David Crowther** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3072**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **963455 Jane Trumbell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2099**

Soundness - Positively Prepared There are many empty houses in Kirklees; there is no need to build more.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **963736 Malcolm Mason** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2895**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **963827 Lindsey Crowther** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3056**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **963848 Mr C. R. Hutchesson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2478**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **964205 Dr Lucy Douglas** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3010**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **964384 Charles Firbank** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2692**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space. Correct the issues identified from the relevant paragraphs of the NPPF.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **964710 John Watson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2853**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **965001 Mrs Beverley Firbank** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2641**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space. Allocate 'The Drill Hall', Huddersfield Road, Holmfirth as a housing site.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

	PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.'The Drill Hall' is situated within an allocated Priority Employment Area, KR10.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 965312 Ms F C Taylor Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD3096
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 965355 Mr A Symms Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2947
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The Local Plan does not contain a reasoned justification of the policies contained within it.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Each policy within the Publication Draft Local Plan is followed by a reasoned Policy Justification.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 965357 Mrs Sandra Topham Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD3220
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 966072 Tracy Dearnley Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2648
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 966083 Alan Aizlewood Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD1256
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The plan is intent on making the village a commuter suburb. The Plan has been developed to satisfy Central Government requirements without considering the impact the plan will make on the lives of future generations in our community. Consultations should be about obtaining the views of the people to help shape the solution not, as in this case, to 'see if we have been non- compliant'.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified

No allowance has been made in the next 15 years for infrastructure improvements when sewers, electricity sub-stations & roads are already overloaded. No provision is being made for green spaces – park areas for people assemble, walk & for children to play. There are serious restrictions on road access which become critical at busy times and particularly in bad weather.

Proposed Change Requested

Take a wholistic view of the long term future of the village. Considerably reduce the number & type of houses to be allowed. Make provision (i.e. place obligations on developers) for many usable green spaces. Improve roads, sewage, water, gas & electricity supplies throughout the village. Increase school's capacity in a long-term meaningful manner.

Council Response

No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site H297 is to the west of the village. The Publication Draft Local Plan recognises that high quality design is fundamental to making places more attractive, sustainable, safe and accessible. Policy PLP 24 seeks to ensure that good design is embedded into development proposals in Kirklees. The design of housing proposals will be discussed and determined at planning application stage. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **967035**

Anne Murphy

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1374**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Disappointed that 'rural' areas are being included in the plan when there are sufficient brownfield sites around Kirklees. Do people want to live on the 'outskirts' of Holme Valley in sufficient numbers to fill these houses? Areas close to large towns & cities would be more desirable due to facilities, jobs etc. If this area is desirable, there are many brownfield sites that would be more suitable.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

<p>Soundness - Justified</p>	<p>The plan is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based. Supports the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Please refer to their document reference: SFG Reps Kirklees PDLP Dec 2016. Amenities and facilities in this local area are just not adequate to cope with such a huge increase in population. Dunford road would be the main 'artery' route and is currently unable to cope at present. It is barely wide enough for on-coming traffic due to parking and regularly blocks due to volume of traffic - especially when 'incidents' on any other cross-pennine routes (A628, M62 etc). Local school is not equipped or able to take more students with class sizes already over 30+ pupils.</p>
<p>Soundness - Consistent with National Policy</p>	<p>The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately to reflect NPPF policies, specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157.</p>
<p>Proposed Change Requested</p> <p>Council Response</p>	<p>Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.</p> <p>As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.</p>
<p>Paragraph/Site: H297</p>	<p>Consultee: 967227 Ms Alison Searle Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2480</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified</p> <p>Soundness - Consistent with National Policy</p> <p>Proposed Change Requested</p> <p>Council Response</p>	<p>Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.</p> <p>The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.</p> <p>Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.</p> <p>As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.</p>
<p>Paragraph/Site: H297</p>	<p>Consultee: 967266 Mrs Joan Haworth Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2841</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified</p> <p>Soundness - Consistent with National Policy</p> <p>Proposed Change Requested</p> <p>Council Response</p>	<p>Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.</p> <p>The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.</p> <p>Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.</p> <p>As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.</p>
<p>Paragraph/Site: H297</p>	<p>Consultee: 967284 Mr Robert S Joynson Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2756</p>
<p>Soundness - Positively Prepared</p>	<p>A local resident on Ryecroft Lane has not heard of these proposals.</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified</p> <p>Soundness - Consistent with National Policy</p>	<p>Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.</p> <p>The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.</p>

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 967290 Mrs Pat Williamson Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2127
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 967294 Ernest Moore Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2960
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 967601 Mrs Rachel Hogley Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD193
Soundness - Positively Prepared	This site is not suitable for this level of development due to the narrow roads and implications for highways safety. The site is a significant development for a small village with no reference to highways improvements, enlarged utilities provision and expansion of school provision. A smaller development would be more appropriate.
Soundness - Justified	This site is not suitable for this level of development due to the narrow roads and implications for highways safety. The site is a significant development for a small village with no reference to highways improvements, enlarged utilities provision and expansion of school provision. A smaller development would be more appropriate.
Proposed Change Requested	Reduction in size of development and commitment to address highways and services provision concerns.
Council Response	No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **967633 Mrs C Garlick**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2595**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. It would be preferable to locate new housing on the former Midlothian Garage site in Holmfirth.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The former Midlothian Garage site in Holmfirth is allocated as an accepted housing site (H2587) within the Publication Draft Local Plan.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **967655 Mr Peter Griffiths**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2618**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Dobroyd Mill and Washpit Mill redevelopments should be encouraged, as should the re-use of all brownfield sites.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **967790 Mrs JD Hillock**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2738**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. There will be no play area in Scholes.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The play area east of Ryecroft Lane is not included in either housing site H297 or safeguarded land site SL3359.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **967848 Mr David Jackson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1532**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **967862 Ms Val Marshall** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2294**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **967864 Laura Marshall** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2187**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **967868 Bob Boorman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2269**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **967905 Mr Peter L Bradley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2674**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **967906** **Mr Michael Berryman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2883**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **967926** **Mrs Kathryn Gibson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3025**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Particular reference to impact on historic landscape.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **967930** **Miss Emily Firbank** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2717**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **968014** **Mary Haigh** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1931**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
------------------------------	---

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **968058 Mrs J Mirehouse** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1872**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **968076 Machutus M Pimblett** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2714**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **968133 Alison Ramsey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2547**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally, construction traffic will be disruptive to local residents.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Concern that the construction process will be disruptive. As part of the planning application process, consideration will be given to the need for developers to produce a site-specific Construction Management Plan.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 968162 Paula Haigh	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2954
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Empty homes within Kirklees should be used before allocating greenfield sites.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The redevelopment of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) has not been considered within the Local Plan.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 968357 Ms Melanie Caswell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3128
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 968360 Mr Gilbert Caswell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3138
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 968448 Ms Alison Sharland	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3115
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 968463 Mrs Carole Quarmby Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2880
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 968499 Ms Joyce Binks Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2421
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 968505 David Wild Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2865
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 968591 N R Binks Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD1867
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 968603 Robert & Sandra Owen Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2444
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 968630 Mr Wilfred Battye Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2783
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 968636 Ms Anna Dolby Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2642
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 969036 Mrs S Dixon Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2210
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 969039 Mr R Dixon Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2258
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 969145 Mr Jon Rust Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD1673
Legally Compliant	It has not been possible in the time available to access the on-line comments forms.
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Over development will lead to a reduction in landscape value which is essential for physical, spiritual and natural refreshment.
Soundness - Justified	Development will have a negative impact on roads, transport, schools and health facilities etc which are already stretched. Local roads are steep and narrow with blind bends and therefore cannot cope with additional traffic.
Proposed Change Requested	New developments should include provision for adequate off road parking.
Council Response	No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site H297 is to the west of the village. The Publication Draft Local Plan recognises that high quality design is fundamental to making places more attractive, sustainable, safe and accessible. Policy PLP 24 seeks to ensure that good design is embedded into development proposals in Kirklees. The design of housing proposals will be discussed and determined at planning application stage.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 969293 Mrs Jill Turner Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD3036
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969482 I M Pell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1897**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969495 Mr James I Ainley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3134**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969524 Mrs M Holmes** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2901**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969576 Mr Alan Wainwright** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2681**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969587 Mr David Ellis** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2555**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17. 28. 30. 34. 38. 72. 76. 77. 55. 109. 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969624** **Mr & Mrs K Wright** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2768**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969629** **Ms Linda Moore** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3124**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969634** **Mr Adrian Moss** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2576**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional query as to whether site is in Green Belt.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969748** **Mr Tony Sherlock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2862**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969754** **Mr Geoff Lawton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3162**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969815** **Mr Simon Hey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2395**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969818** **Mr Stephen Hey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2107**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **969828** **R Hey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3016**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **969830**

Mr Thomas Hey

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2385**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **969835**

Mrs P. Heywood

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1550**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **969853**

Mrs Helen Howden

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2708**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Green sites should not be built on when there are more than 2,500 empty houses in Kirklees. Develop at the Midlothian Garage and Huddersfield Road (next to the old Drill Hall) brownfield sites first.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. Both the former Midlothian garage site (residential) and land north of the old Drill Hall

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

(employment) already have permission for development.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970067 Ms Marilyn Hinchcliffe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2438**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970071 Mr B Parratt** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1856**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970090 Mr John P Hillock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2816**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970099 Mrs Margaret Robinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2638**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970106 Ms Julia Casserly** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2490**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970113 Ms Cheryl Booth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2132**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970121 Ms Veronica Haigh** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2671**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970162 Ms Karen Smith** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2750**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970172 Mr Robert Heywood** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2599**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970202 Ms Judith Brignell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2561**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970209 Ms Vivien Chesman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1517**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970353 Sylvia R H Parker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2995**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970357 Rebecca L Haigh** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2168**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970371 Mr John Parker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2753**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970388 Mrs Diane Binks & Mr Duncan Dyson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2428**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970445 Mrs Judith Howarth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2801**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970489 Mrs B Donnellan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2609**

Soundness - Positively Prepared There is a shortage of affordable homes for young people but a recent application proposed 4 - 5 bedroom houses. Empty homes should be used before building new ones.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Housing Mix and Affordable Housing policy (Policy PLP 11) sets out the requirement for the mix and tenure of housing to be accommodated on sites and this will be in accordance with the latest available evidence. Factors relating to the design of the housing proposals must also be considered. The proposed housing mix for particular sites is not included within the Local Plan and will be determined at planning application stage.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970497 Adrian Dickinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2316**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **970562 Mr Rodney Websdell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2877**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 971065	Rob Newton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3216
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 971230	Nancy Micklethwaite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1885
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 971235	Roger Hallsworth	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2808
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 971238	Peter Ciesielski	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1575
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 971242	Sarah Kelly	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1830
-----------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------	--------	----------------------------

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **971256 Tracy Ciesielska** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2615**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **971272 Mr Chris Burgess** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1582**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **971273 S J Quinn** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2626**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **971276 M J Quinn** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2705**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **971628 Miss Bridget Mullan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2771**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Use all the empty houses in Huddersfield before considering building on green belt or green fields.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **971789 Heather Lemm** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2484**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **971797 Dean Killock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1927**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 971800 Paul Davies	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2148
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 971822 Mr Daniel Garlick	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2449
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 971827 Christine Beaumont	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2558
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 971842 Vivien Hodgkiss	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2322
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 971924 JK and R Meadows	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2319
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 972032	H.H. Whittle	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3068
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 972094	Laura Pegg	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1921
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 972116	J. Pickup	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3159
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Too many houses - not appropriate in scale.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.			
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 972220		Agent: 941908	Mr Andrew Rose Rep ID: PDLP_AD1803
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 972654	Kathryn Fuller	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1555
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **972854** **Rebecca Wilkinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2832**

Soundness - Positively Prepared There is enough empty housing in Huddersfield that could be refurbished.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **972885** **Susan L Waite** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2822**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **972913** **Sandra Elizabeth Hale** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2859**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **972925** **Mr A R Waite** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2089**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **972928** **Mr Steven Croft** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3224**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **972931** **Mr Nicholas Webster** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3168**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **972935** **Glen Wilson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2957**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **973440** **Timothy Prendegast** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2070**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **973471** **Mrs P Keeley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2689**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **973516** **Gary Heseltine** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1529**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 973522 Reef Healey Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD1571
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 973838 Diane Bentley Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD3107
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Disproportionate scale of development for the size of Scholes. Empty homes should be used before greenfield sites are developed. Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposed sites.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The redevelopment of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the quantity of new homes in the area) has not been considered within the Local Plan.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Disproportionate scale of development for the size of Scholes. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Empty homes should be used before greenfield sites are developed. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

fund) and infrastructure. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposed sites. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website. The redevelopment of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the quantity of new homes in the area) has not been considered within the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **973868 Mr Anthony Bentley**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2634**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The council hasn't consulted effectively with local residents.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Develop brownfield sites first. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. Consultation process. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **973906 Michael Cook**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2579**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council hasn't attached enough weight to previous objections.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 973925	Geoffrey Verney	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2701
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 973967	Edwin Bates	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2838
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 973976	Graham Latham	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2762
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The Local Plan doesn't provide suitable homes for older people.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space. Sustainably located homes should be provided for older people.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Housing Mix: The Housing Mix and Affordable Housing policy (Policy PLP 11) sets out the requirement for the mix and tenure of housing to be accommodated on sites and this will be in accordance with the latest available evidence. Factors relating to the design of the housing proposals must also be considered. The proposed housing mix for particular sites is not included within the Local Plan and will be determined at planning application stage.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 974315	Mr Ian & Mrs Sheila Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2847
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additional concerns raised regarding protection of trees on the site.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. There are no trees affected by tree preservation orders on the site.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & DesignationsParagraph/Site: **H297**Consultee: **974332 Neil Walker**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3156**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Too many houses proposed for the size of the village. Brownfield sites should be used for housing, before allocating greenfield sites.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Too many houses proposed for the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Brownfield sites should be used for housing, before allocating greenfield sites. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**Consultee: **974590 Jean Cook**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2631**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. The council has made it difficult for residents to comment on the Local Plan.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process. The council has made it difficult for residents to comment on the Local Plan. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 974659 R S Gooch	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3121
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. It would be inappropriate to remove the local playground.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The playground referred to is located between sites H297 and SL3359; the Local Plan does not propose to remove the playground.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 974663 Mrs R Pauline Gooch	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2654
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. It would be inappropriate to remove the local playground in favour of housing provision.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The playground area to the east of Ryecroft Lane is not included within allocated site H297 nor SL3359.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 974700 Lynne Harper	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2325
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **974734** **Carole Exley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2586**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **974755** **Christian Exley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1559**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **974782** **Ian Roger Deakin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2158**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **974784** **Mrs Hilary J Deakin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2780**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975141** **Teresa Turner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2140**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17. 28. 30. 34. 38. 72. 76. 77. 55. 109. 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975192** **Mark Hollingdale** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2407**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975198** **Rebecca Hollingdale** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1861**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975257** **Hilary Fletcher** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3028**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975275** **Sinead Robbins-Smith** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2136**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975287** **Lydia Washington-Quinn** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2169**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975292 Christopher Washington** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2371**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975348 Mr John Reynolds** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2659**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Concern that the design of proposals will be inappropriate.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Concern that the design of proposals will be inappropriate. The Publication Draft Local Plan recognises that high quality design is fundamental to making places more attractive, sustainable, safe and accessible. Policy PLP 24 seeks to ensure that good design is embedded into development proposals in Kirklees. The design of housing proposals will be discussed and determined at planning application stage.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975378 Yvette Whitwam** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2079**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975407 Vincent M Pescod** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2272**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975416 Christopher Woolliscroft** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2178**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975439 Dianne Heppenstall** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2623**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is inappropriate to the size of the village. Other potential sites haven't been fully considered. Empty properties within Kirklees should be used before allocating greenfield sites. The proposed development of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered in the Local Plan. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposals. Concern that new homes will be unaffordable to younger people.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Scale of proposed development is inappropriate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Other potential sites haven't been fully considered. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. Empty properties within Kirklees should be used before allocating greenfield sites. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The proposed development of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered in the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposals. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website. Concern that new homes will be unaffordable to younger people. The Housing Mix and Affordable Housing policy (Policy PLP 11) sets out the requirement for the mix and tenure of housing to be accommodated on sites and this will be in accordance with the latest available evidence. Factors relating to the design of the housing proposals must also be considered. The proposed housing mix for particular sites is not included within the Local Plan and will be determined at planning application stage.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 975445	Andrew Hamilton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1904
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 975680	Gillian Cohen	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3032
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be used for housing, before allocating greenfield sites.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 975724	Anne Hinchliffe	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2164
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 975738	Mr Glyn Chapman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3143
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 975840	Alan Gaukroger	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2986
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 975912	Diana Zoe Wilson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1004
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding the above, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding the above, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional comments also made in relation to impacts on local tourism and health implications of affecting enjoyment of local walking routes.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975982 Lynne Holmes** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2747**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **975984 Jack Holmes** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2744**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **976453 Sarah Shaw** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2698**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **976553 Peter McLaren** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1456**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **976558 Joanne Griffiths** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1695**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: **H297**Consultee: **976588****Peter B Griffiths**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1690****Soundness - Justified**

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**Consultee: **978506****Cllr Nigel Patrick**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3597****Soundness - Justified**

Housing allocation sites H288a will add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL). That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site H297 is to the west of the village.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1030027 Colin Wragg	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2493
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1030033 Graham Brake	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3230
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1030053 P.W Hinchliffe	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2154
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1030056 Muriel Tinker	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1562
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1030057 John Clifford Tinker	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1825
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1030063	Avril Wilkinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1543
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1030079	Anna Dawson-Jones	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2206
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1030103	D Steadman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1576
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1033725	Mrs Claire Howarth-Coyne	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3080
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Use brownfield sites first and use empty homes.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additional comments made relate to increased noise, air and light pollution, need to increase policing in the village, lack of recreation facilities, developments will not help biodiversity or geodiversity in the village, lack of gritting local roads, a Neighbourhood Plan should be prepared for the area.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional comments made relate to the site not being in conformity with NPPF paragraph 12 (core planning principles).			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Publication Draft Local Plan recognises that high quality design is fundamental to making places more attractive, sustainable, safe and accessible. Policy PLP 24 seeks to ensure that good design is embedded into development proposals in Kirklees. The design of housing proposals will be discussed and determined at planning application stage.A quantified assessment of the potential impacts of new development and associated traffic is set out in the Kirklees Council Air Quality Assessment (document ref - LE118). No objections were raised by Environmental Health in relation to this site option.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1041191 Adele Makinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1525
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1041197 Sheena Kaye	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2711
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1041203 Graham Micklethwaite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2811
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1041208 Mark Waite	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2819
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1041217 Alan and Jeanne Holmes** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1538**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1041878 Joanne Mair** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1546**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1041880 Lee Marshall** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3176**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1041883 Sean Chapman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2786**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1041886 Kevin Chambers** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1553**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1042148 J Whittle** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1849**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1042166 Robert Riley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3046**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1042170 David Langrick** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1881**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1042172 Michael Robshaw** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3151**

Soundness - Positively Prepared The council has been dismissive of objections to the proposed allocations.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1042177 Malcolm Robshaw** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2583**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council is not acting on behalf of the local community.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1042179 Wendy Robshaw	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2540
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Concern that the council hasn't given sufficient weight to previous objections and is not working on the behalf of the local community.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Consultation ProcessThe council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1042184 Gillian Senior	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2587
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1042189 Jackie Brook	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1843
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1042546 Amanda Butterworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2466**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1042552 Matthew Boorman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2472**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1042555 Bryan Hinchliffe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1900**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1042556 Linda Hinchliffe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1907**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1042559 Alison Lowe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1914**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1042562 John Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2604
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1042565 David Grant	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1864
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1043444 Judith Fearn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1915
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1043447 Geoffrey Cosslett	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3075
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1043448 Brenda Cosslett	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2612
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1043639 Barbara Ainley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1566
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1043641 Anne Hobson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2686
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1043643 Joanne Woods	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1939
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1043656 Bruce Armitage	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2144
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1043669 Lynn Blakely	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2868
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1043671 Carolyn North	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2871
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1043913 Roy Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2874
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1044077 John Wallace	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2829
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Empty homes should be modernised and used rather than building new houses.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1044079 Valorie Elson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2090
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1044085 John Cave	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2565
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1044088 Leighan Napthine Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2989
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1044090 Rosemary Pettifer Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2974
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Empty homes within Kirklees should be used before allocating greenfield sites. The council have acted against the will of the people and have made it difficult to comment on proposed sites.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: There are a lot of empty homes in any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Consultation process The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1044091 Adrian Owens Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2570
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1044102 Gillian Wallace Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2981
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1044104 James Elson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2383**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1044911 Julie Auckland** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2889**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1044925 Matthew Roberts** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1924**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1044927 Helen Cave** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2377**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1044930 Timothy Collins** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2850**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1044972 Michael and Ruth Boothroyd** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2789**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1044975 Karen Jarman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2723**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1044981 Brian Smith** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2765**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046054 Joyce Beardsell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2467**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046060 Lisa Algar** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2951**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046062 Mark Algar** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2487**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046071 Mairi Binns** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2939**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046074 Martin Binns** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2475**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046305 Amy Rostron** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2128**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046313 Mr John Tinker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2729**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046316 Elizabeth Clarkson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2665**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046319 Rachel Brennan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2441**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046321 Ronald Backhouse** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2445**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046324 David Deakin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2389**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046325 Sally Deakin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2392**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046327 Peter Ward** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2396**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046330 Mel Ward** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2401**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046333 Anthea Purdey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2662**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046335 Graham Wilkinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2404**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046338 Walter Ellis** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1565**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046339 Wendy Hollingworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2429**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046344 Neil Hollingworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2425**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046348 Emily Cronin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2435**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1046391 Mr kenneth peace** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD177**

Soundness - Justified The Publication Draft is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based, and its failure adequately to reflect NPPF polices specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30,34, 38, 55, 72, 76, 77, 109, 157 therein.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The Publication Draft is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based, and its failure adequately to reflect NPPF polices specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30,34, 38, 55, 72, 76, 77, 109, 157 therein.

Proposed Change Requested I wish the Local Plan be changed such that the site is designated as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1047086 Kathleen Gledhill** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2124**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group. this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP SP163.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1047096 Chris Barr** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2413**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1047100 Anne and Frank Dickinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2835**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1047112 Helen Simpson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2629**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Brownfield sites should be used before allocating greenfield sites for housing.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Develop brownfield sites first The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1047116 Lisa Barr** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2418**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1047121 Margaret Hodgkiss** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2114**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1047127 Barbara Griffiths** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2110**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1047131 David Pettifer** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2825**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1047925 John Garnee** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2380**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1047935 Nick Hubbard** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3173**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1047936 Di Raven	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3103
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1047938 Deborah Bentley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3099
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Disproportionate scale of development for the size of Scholes. Empty homes should be used before greenfield sites are developed. Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposed sites.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The redevelopment of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the quantity of new homes in the area) has not been considered within the Local Plan.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Disproportionate scale of development for the size of Scholes. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Empty homes should be used before greenfield sites are developed. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposed sites. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1048741 Dorothy Walker	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3093
-----------------------------	--	--------	----------------------------

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village
Page 695

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1048742 Helen Peace** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2290**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1048763 Terrance Longbottom** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3090**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1048766 Robert Hughes** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2287**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1048768 Clare Cameron** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3086**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites should be used for housing, before allocating greenfield sites.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Develop brownfield sites first The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1048770 Nicola Simpkins** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3083**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049149 Mr Keith Gibson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1436**

Soundness - Justified Building on H297 and H597 cannot be considered as sustainable development and does not accord with Local Plan Policy DLP7. Allocations fail to recognise the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside, protect the greenbelt, conserve historic landscape patterns and heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance. Development could not be designed to fit in with the existing settlement. Lack of local facilities leading to car travel to access facilities. Limited access to public transport. Road congestion due to cross-valley movements and car parking issues in the village. The local school is full.

Proposed Change Requested Delete allocation H297.

Council Response No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school

places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). The Publication Draft Local Plan recognises that high quality design is fundamental to making places more attractive, sustainable, safe and accessible. Policy PLP 24 seeks to ensure that good design is embedded into development proposals in Kirklees. The design of housing proposals will be discussed and determined at planning application stage.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **1049295 Mr Michael Reader**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD475**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

The appeal inspector for the 1996 planning application on part of H597 (also to the west of Scholes) raised concerns the proposal was incompatible with the size of Scholes, elements of the scheme would be out of scale and character and impact on sense of openness. Disproportionate scale of development compared to the size of Scholes. The council have disregarded the weight of local, reasoned opposition. Lack of infrastructure to accommodate new developments (NPPF 157), no funding committed and timing of essential junction improvements in the IDP not consistent with site delivery timescales.

Soundness - Justified

Cumulative highway impacts of the number of allocations in the area. Inadequate local highways - sub-standard local access roads, lack of footways, parking problems and lack of width on main routes with evidence from Holmfirth/Meltham Local Plan (1987) provided. Congestion caused by commuting to work and school. Disagree with the sustainability appraisal for this site. Insufficient primary school places in Scholes and insufficient secondary school places in the area. Assumptions relating to school places are not consistent with DoE publications on national pupil projections. Flood risk / drainage concerns in relation to general sewerage and drainage infrastructure as also raised by Yorkshire Water in 1995.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

Loss of agricultural land which is linked to a prosperous rural economy (NPPF 28). Lack of infrastructure to accommodate new developments (NPPF 157), no funding committed and timing of essential junction improvements in the IDP not consistent with site delivery timescales. Lack of accessibility to local services and facilities within walking distance and steep walk from Holmfirth centre (primary school, health facilities, retail) (NPPF 17 / NPPF 38 / NPPF 72). Development not located where the need to travel will be minimised (NPPF 34). Lack of local employment opportunities (NPPF 17). Local Plan to take account of neighbourhood plans (NPPF 155). Impact on the role and character of Scholes (NPPF 17 and NPPF 58). Site should be Local Green Space (NPPF76 / NPPF 77). Adverse impact on Morton Wood Local Wildlife site (within 600 metres of H297 and H597) (NPPF 109). Unsustainable location for development (NPPF 6 / NPPF 7 / NPPF 55) specifically in relation to low carbon, biodiversity, waste & pollution, climate change, greenhouse gases, reducing congestion (NPPF 30). Planning decision notice (2007/90856) stated that an application for one dwelling in the green belt adjacent to Scholes was in an unsustainable location which would rely heavily on the private car.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space

Council Response

No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes

village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site H297 is to the west of the village.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **1049349 MR PHILIP ROBERTSON**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD515**

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

I believe the Publication Draft is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based, and its failure adequately to reflect NPPF policies specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109 and 157 therein.

Proposed Change Requested

I wish the Local Plan to be changed such that all three sites are designated as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **1049473 Dr Anthony Vann**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD657**

Soundness - Justified

Development too large and will appear as a 'solid block', uncharacteristic of 'open' structured Pennine villages. Repeated 'Infill' has been a poor policy to date. Additional vehicles (+ 80?) on already poor roads will make traffic problems worse than they are. Roads are too narrow (no white lines), no footpaths for long sections, no "refuge" for pedestrians for long distances, vehicles larger than superminis have problems passing. Road junctions in New Mill and Holmfirth unfit for purpose and can't cope without major revision. No further construction until infrastructure improved in the Holme valley. Despite very extensive development in the Holme valley over the last 40 years, the road system remains unimproved over the same time period. It is unrealistic and unsustainable to continue in this way. There is nothing "sustainable" about queues of traffic burning fuel, standing still at inadequate junctions.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce area, and add another area - or better - other smaller areas in the general vicinity to reduce "Ghetto" appearance. Consider developing new hamlets or villages at cross roads of main roads, or near railway lines, particularly where woodlands in the general area to partially conceal buildings. The last housing

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

crisis was partially solved by developing new villages and towns. And plant a lot of trees (tree cover is exceptionally low in this area, even by UK standards).Get pre-paid ticketing and through-ticketing on the bus to improve speed.No further construction until infrastructure is improved in the Holme valley.

Council Response

No change. The council’s Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances.The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel).These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049498** **Jacqueline Garrod** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2102**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049501** **Siobhan Campbell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3111**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049503** **Sean Sweeney** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2284**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049515 Mrs Karen Trimming** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2602**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049518 Mark Trimming** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3225**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049542 Mr Paul Finn** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD642**

Soundness - Justified Problematic roads - we live on Paris road and our properties walls against the road are continually being damage by road users (photos can be sent if required) the latest incident has completely knocked down the walls. There are continual problems near the shop/pub with parking making the road only wide enough for one vehicle. In short the road infrastructure around Scholes is not suitable for any more traffic.

Council Response No change. The council’s Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel).

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049678 Janet Park** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2726**

Soundness - Positively Prepared There are many empty houses and brownfield sites in Kirklees which should be used before greenfield sites.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1049683 Ruth Parker	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3118
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1049688 Oliver Plunkett	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2804
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space. The plan should be scaled back to 10% of the proposed number of houses.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1049692 Dawn Johnson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2653
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1049696 Ian Lofthouse	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2647
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Develop brownfield sites first. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049705 Kayley Dickinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2311**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049708 Laura Dickinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2307**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049710 Sarah Hill** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2303**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049912 Vera Parker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2795**

Soundness - Positively Prepared There is a need within Scholes for smaller homes for the over 55s.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Housing mix The Publication Draft Local Plan (paragraph 8.29) recognises that 'it is important to achieve a mix of housing in terms of size, type, tenure and suitability for specific groups of people to contribute to meeting local needs and contribute to sustainable development in accordance with national planning policy'. The housing mix for a specific site will be determined, in accordance with Policy PLP 11 of the Local Plan, within the planning application process.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049918** **Jacqueline Mason** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2195**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1049921** **Lisa Holmes** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2201**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1050159** **Alan Garlick** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2695**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1050162** **Edward Dawson-Jones** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2965**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Alternative sites haven't been fully considered. A previous planning application for housing (on part of the proposed site) was refused in 1995.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The council hasn't fully considered possible alternative sites. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. Reference to historic refused planning application [94/62/94132/W3?] Proposed allocations and planning applications are assessed against current national planning policy. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1050222 Geoff Furse	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2261
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1050446 Louise Roche	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2735
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1050465 Lesley Jackson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2265
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1050477	Mary McGivern	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3210
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1050478	Jodie Brearley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3182
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1050480	Jillian Sherwell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3003
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council has not searched out the most suitable sites and spread them evenly throughout the area. The council has not given enough weight to objections raised by local residents.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The council hasn't fully considered possible alternative sites. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. The council hasn't given sufficient weight to previous objections raised by the local community. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1050486 John Stanley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1581**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1050488 David Jackson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3193**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1050508 Beverley Brown** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3181**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1050509 Adrian Brown** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3187**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1050510 Francis Brown** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3013**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1050511 Holly Brown** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3201**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1050515 Julie Coe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3207**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1050516 Don Fisher** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2792**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297** Consultee: **1050803 Cllr Kenneth Sims** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3672**

Soundness - Justified Housing allocation sites H288a will add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL). That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site SL3359 has been accepted as a safeguarded land option as part of the approach of planning positively for future development beyond the Local Plan period. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through a review of the Local Plan. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that although the site cannot currently be directly accessed, the wider local highway links are acceptable. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy

and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth and this will be considered for safeguarded land sites as part of a future Local Plan review. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. . Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site SL3359 is to the west of the village.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **1050805 Cllr Donald Firth**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3671**

Soundness - Justified

Housing allocation sites H288a will add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL). That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site SL3359 has been accepted as a safeguarded land option as part of the approach of planning positively for future development beyond the Local Plan period. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through a review of the Local Plan. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that although the site cannot currently be directly accessed, the wider local highway links are acceptable. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth and this will be considered for safeguarded land sites as part of a future Local Plan review. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. . Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site SL3359 is to the west of the village.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **1050975 Alan Stephenson Brown**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2668**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **1053436 Stephen Wilson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3846**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H297**

Consultee: **1057467 Mr Andrew Taylor**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2732**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Empty homes within Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Other, more suitable, sites have not been fully considered by the council. Part of the proposed development site was refused planning permission for homes in 1995. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. The council has made it difficult to comment on proposals and has acted against the will of the people.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Empty homes within Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

brownfield land for new jobs and homes through:the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites;the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate;the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.Other, more suitable, sites have not been fully considered by the council.Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan’s strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district’s housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.Reference to historic refused planning application [94/62/94132/W3?]Proposed allocations and planning applications are assessed against current national planning policy.The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn’t been considered within the Local Plan.Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process.The council has made it difficult to comment on proposals and has acted against the will of the people.The council’s Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council’s website.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1059482 Sean Brennan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1285
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1059568 Mr Andrew Sugden	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1368
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space..		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1059725 Mr Anthony Murphy	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1467
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Objection to H297 relates to a number of factors which renders Scholes unsuitable for these houses: access roads are barely adequate for the current population; there is little or no capacity in Scholes Junior School nor in Holmfirth High School; and this development will involve a long and short term negative impact on quality of life for existing residents.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1061673 Harry Trumbell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2368
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1062165 Mrs Theresa Tyrrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2501
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Proposed housing mix is inappropriate. The council is not acting on behalf of the people.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.Reduce the proposed number of properties.Properly consider infrastructure requirements.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Additionally:Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the villageThese sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.The proposed mix of housing is inappropriateThe Housing Mix and Affordable Housing policy (Policy PLP 11) sets out the requirement for the mix and tenure of housing to be accommodated on sites and this will be in accordance with the latest available evidence. Factors relating to the design of the housing proposals must also be considered.The proposed housing mix for particular sites is not included within the Local Plan and will be determined at planning application stage.The council is not acting on behalf of the local		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

communityThe council’s Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council’s website.

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1062170 Mr Darren Tyrrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2505
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1062920 Mr Shaun Ramsey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3171
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Proposed allocations are disproportionate to the size of the village.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.		

Paragraph/Site: H297	Consultee: 1063318 Tanya Davidson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3241
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 942846 Carolyn Newton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3214
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **943847**

Mrs Sally Barber

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2335**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Housing in this area is located away from employment opportunities.

Soundness - Justified The size and density of the developments have no regard for the size, character, and setting of the village. Local highway infrastructure has long being acknowledged to be poor. Concerns regarding capacity of utilities. Development would exacerbate flooding in the area. Local schools are full. Loss of agricultural land. Impact on tourism.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy Impact on highways and character is contrary to policies set out in NPPF.

Proposed Change Requested Remove the site from the plan

Council Response No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). The Housing Mix and Affordable Housing policy (Policy PLP 11) sets out the requirement for the mix and tenure of housing to be accommodated on sites and this will be in accordance with the latest available evidence. Factors relating to the design of the housing proposals must also be considered. The proposed housing mix for particular sites is not included within the Local Plan and will be determined at planning application stage. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The playground referred to is located on Rycroft Lane, between sites H297 and SI3359. As such, it is not proposed to remove the playground as part of the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **943932**

Mr Michael Howarth-Coyne

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1903**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Use brownfield sites first and use empty homes.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additional comments made relate to increased noise, air and light pollution, need to increase policing in the village, lack of recreation facilities, developments will not help biodiversity or geodiversity in the village, lack of gritting local roads, a Neighbourhood Plan should be prepared for the area. Specific comments made on planning application 2016/90864 (now withdrawn) for 4 dwellings on part of H297 in relation to housing types, layout and constraints.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional comments made relate to the site not being in conformity with NPPF paragraph 12 (core planning principles).

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. The Publication Draft Local Plan recognises that high quality design is fundamental to making places more attractive, sustainable, safe and accessible. Policy PLP 24 seeks to ensure that good design is embedded into development proposals in Kirklees. The design of housing proposals will be discussed and determined at planning application stage. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. A quantified assessment of the potential impacts of new development and associated traffic is set out in the Kirklees Council Air Quality Assessment (document ref - LE118). No objections were raised by Environmental Health in relation to this site option.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **943957 Mr Ian Smith**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3760**

Soundness - Justified

Sandygate Farm to the north of this site is a Grade II Listed Building. The loss of this currently-open area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to its significance. By allocating this site for development, the Council is accepting that the principle of the loss of this currently-open area and its subsequent development is acceptable. However, there has been no evaluation of what contribution this site makes to the special architectural or historic interest or setting of the Listed Building or what harm might result to those elements which contribute to its significance by its eventual development.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

Paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires Local Plans to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. In addition, there is a requirement under S66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act that “special regard” should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is eventually submitted for this area, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving this Listed Building or its setting may mean that, either, the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable or the site cannot actually be developed.

Proposed Change Requested

Before allocating this site for development an assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to those elements which contribute towards the significance of this Listed Building and what impact the loss of this undeveloped site and its subsequent development might have upon its significance.

Council Response

No change. The council’s Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Site H597 has a completed Heritage Impact Assessment. Correspondence within BP28 (dated 24th February 2017) clarifies Historic England’s current position with respect to these earlier comments, specifically that Historic England no longer consider that there has been no evaluation of the impact of any of the sites upon the historic environment. Historic England now fully acknowledge that the selection of sites which have been put forward as allocations in the Local Plan have been arrived at following a series of evaluations by the Council of the potential impacts that of all the sites might have upon the historic environment. Historic England endorse the process undertaken to arrive at the selection of sites included in the Local Plan and this has involved full consultation with, and considerable input from, Historic England throughout. Historic England have also confirmed that the Local Plan does not include any sites whose development will result in substantial harm to a designated heritage asset (and whose allocation, therefore, would be likely to conflict with national policy guidance).

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **944355 Dianne Hirst**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1938**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **944393 James A Hirst**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1912**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **944394 E Mitchell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1879**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **944651 Mr Simon E Cave** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2999**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **944666 Mrs Jayne Andrews** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1847**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **944670 Mr W E Booth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2282**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **944679 Mr Howard J Chadwick** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2593**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **944684 Mr Gerry Murray** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1893**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **944803 Mr Michael Howden** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2550**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Scale of proposed development disproportionate to the size of the village. A smaller proposal for housing in the locality was refused 20 years ago.

Proposed Change Requested The site should not be built upon.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. Reference to historic refused planning application [94/62/94132/W3?] Proposed allocations and planning applications are assessed against current national planning policy. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 944805	Elaine Mason	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2760
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 944807	Mrs L M Stanley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2192
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 944816	Mr Mark Fisher	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2152
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 944860	Mr R Sherwell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2574
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council has not searched out the most suitable sites and spread them evenly throughout the area. The council has not given enough weight to objections raised by local residents.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The council hasn’t fully considered possible alternative sites. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan’s strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district’s housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. The council hasn’t given sufficient weight to previous objections raised by the local community. The council’s Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council’s website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **945018 Miss Mary Mullan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3166**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **945623 Carolyn Walker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3052**

Soundness - Positively Prepared The scale of development proposed is disproportionate to the size of the village. Empty homes should be filled before building on greenfield sites.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **948129 Ms M L Newsome** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3066**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **950948 mr dom green** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3515**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Proposed mix of housing is not appropriate.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space. Reduce proposed number of houses in plan to less than 20. Increase school provision and improve local infrastructure.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) for the Kirklees District supports the delivery of the Local Plan. The analysis and conclusions within the IDP assess the suitability of infrastructure provision and the extent to which existing infrastructure will be 'fit for purpose' against the land requirements to deliver objectively assessed housing and employment needs. The Housing Mix and Affordable Housing policy (Policy PLP 11) sets out the requirement for the mix and tenure of housing to be accommodated on sites and this will be in accordance with the latest available evidence. Factors relating to the design of the housing proposals must also be considered. The proposed housing mix for particular sites is not included within the Local Plan and will be determined at planning application stage. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **954030 Mr Stephen Frost** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1854**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Scale of proposed development disproportionate to size of village. Reference to refused (housing) planning application 20 years ago. Other, more suitable, sites have not been allocated. Empty homes should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. The Local Plan favours North Kirklees over South Kirklees in terms of spending on infrastructure. The council has made it difficult for residents to comment on the proposals and has acted against the will of the people.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Reference to historic refused planning application [94/62/94132/W3?]. Proposed allocations and planning applications are assessed against current national planning policy. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. Other, more suitable, sites have not been allocated. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. Empty homes should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process. The Local Plan

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

favours North Kirklees over South Kirklees in terms of spending on infrastructure. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) for the Kirklees District supports the delivery of the Local Plan. The analysis and conclusions within the IDP assess the suitability of infrastructure provision and the extent to which existing infrastructure will be 'fit for purpose' against the land requirements to deliver objectively assessed housing and employment needs. Significant infrastructure improvements are proposed within North Kirklees to support the anticipated growth in this area. The council has made it difficult for residents to comment on the proposals and has acted against the will of the people. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **954035 Mrs Cath Laurs**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3640**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

-There are 8,500 empty homes in Huddersfield - these properties should be used before greenfield/green belt land is used. -Brownfield sites should be used first and greenbelt when there is absolutely no alternative.

Soundness - Justified

- Generation of at least 300+ cars and associated traffic. - No visitor parking. - All 7 roads into Scholes Village have safety issues. - The roads around Scholes are already congested, due to them being too narrow. - Difficult for emergency vehicles to get through. - There is no access to trains, therefore there will be a need for extra buses and use of cars. - There are limited employment opportunities in the area. - The extra housing will constitute a 25% increase in the size of the village. - There are other areas that are far more suitable for development. - Grazing land will be lost. - Wildlife habitats will be lost. - Noise, pollution and traffic detrimental to Local Wildlife Sites. - Open aspect of the village will be lost. - Visual impact of the proposed development, not in keeping with the character of Scholes. - Impact on schools, dentists and GP's. - Can the drainage systems cope? - Will the children's play area on Ryecroft Lane be lost?

Council Response

No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site H297 is to the west of the village. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. The playground referred to is located on Ryecroft Lane, between sites H297 and SL3359. As such, it is not proposed to remove the playground as part of the Local Plan. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate. A quantified assessment of the potential impacts of new development and associated traffic is set out in the Kirklees Council Air Quality Assessment (document ref - LE118). No objections were raised by Environmental Health in relation to this site option.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **954084**

Miss Charlotte Boorman

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2459**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **954091**

Mr Eric Barlow

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3042**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

The council hasn't fully considered and acted upon previous objections to the Local Plan.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Consultation process The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **954128**

Mrs Carmel Boorman

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3205**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **954417**

Mrs J. Lofthouse

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2893**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for new housing. Additionally: The Local Plan has not taken into account the number of new homes that have been built in Scholes over the last 15 years.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. The Local Plan has not taken into account the number of new homes that have been built in Scholes over the last 15 years. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **954671**

Ms Heather Wood

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3239**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **955802**

Mrs Rhiannon Owens

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2074**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **956151 MRS LINDA EASTWOOD** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2162**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **956323 Miss Katie Hollingworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2298**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **956531 Maureen Buckley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2778**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **956582 MR ROGER SNOW** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3147**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **956608 miss Claire Brearley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2277**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **956659 Ms. Heidi Ottiger** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2742**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally, other sites have not been adequately searched for.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space. Widen the roads (although this may not be possible), consider other sites diligently, increase the capacity in local schools, ensure development does not proceed at a rapid pace and make a more moderate increase in the size of the village.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The council hasn't fully considered possible alternative sites. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **956776 Mr Geoffrey Daniel** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2814**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **956820 Mr David Brennan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2455**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
------------------------------	---

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **956854 Mr Hobson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2679**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **957082 Maggie Biggs** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2684**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Brownfield sites should be used for new homes, before allocating green sites. Smaller homes for older people would be more appropriate in Scholes than larger 'executive' homes.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Develop brownfield sites first The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. Housing mix The Publication Draft Local Plan (paragraph 8.29) recognises that 'it is important to achieve a mix of housing in terms of size, type, tenure and suitability for specific groups of people to contribute to meeting local needs and contribute to sustainable development in accordance with national planning policy'. The housing mix for a particular site will be determined at planning application stage, with reference to Policy PLP 11 Housing Mix and Affordable Housing of the Local Plan.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **957269 Mr Mark Williams** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3196**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 957325	Mr John Townsend	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3132
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Concerned that the council hasn't fully considered alternative sites.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 957366	Mr John M Ainley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2887
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of Scholes.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 957520	Mrs Monique Brennan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2463
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 957548	Jean Dobrowski	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3002
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 957812	Miss Anna Priestley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3189
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 958051	Alan Hinchcliffe	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2979
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 958498	Derek Marshall	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1059
Soundness - Justified	The plan is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based. Supports the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Building would be on a greenfield site. More housing in Scholes would result in the village becoming gridlocked, accidents inevitable and the infrastructure severely compromised. The village cannot cope with more traffic due to the narrowness of the main road through Scholes and existing driving and parking problems. The junction at the Boot and Shoe PH and adjacent area gets severely congested. St Georges Rd is very narrow and mostly has no pavement. Some of the area in Jackson Bridge is not paved and the hill that drops into Jackson Bridge causes driving difficulty when two vehicles are trying to pass. Paris is not paved and only passable by a single vehicle and Cinderhills Road is already hazardous due its narrowness. During snowfalls and ice in winter there are major parking and driving problems as owners leave their vehicles on the main roads and residents cannot access the developments at Ryfields, Whitewells, Wickledon Gate. More vehicles would be irresponsible. An increase of nearly 30% to the current housing would adversely impact on the village identity and rural character. Plans for 91 homes in the village twenty years ago was rejected on the grounds " it would be incompatible with the size, character and setting of Scholes ". Village services, such as drainage and the school, would become strained and there are no plans to improve the infrastructure or the school (which is already oversubscribed) for at least 15 years.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as its fails to reflect NPPF policies, specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109 and 157.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **958590 Kathryn Winnard**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2899**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **958675 Richard & Sandra Worsnup**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2857**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for new housing.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for new housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **959052 Rebecca Gilmartin**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2375**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **959325 Paul Webster** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1522**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **959444 Michael Battye** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2545**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Concern that consultees are not being listened to.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Concern that consultees are not being listened to. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **959466 Carol Swallow** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1895**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **959517 Andrew Wild** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2117**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **959521 Philip Rostron** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2185**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **959814 Simon Powell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3234**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **959816 Mr Sydney Haworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2845**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **959883 Tim Pickup** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3008**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **960171 Christopher Simpson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2082**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **960649 Mr Howard Topham** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2301**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **960691 Frank Dickin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2775**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Reference made to historic refused planning application for '80 houses in the same area' [94/62/94132/W3?].

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Proposed allocations and planning applications are assessed against current national planning policies.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **960724 June Snow** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3020**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **960739 F Small** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3060**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **960958 Cheryl Burgess** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1537**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **961430 Mr PETER BOOTH** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2944**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for new homes.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **961576 Mrs Jackie Green**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2181**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **961613 Mr David Brignell**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2087**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **961654 Neil Haworth**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2799**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **961691 Martin Woods**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2414**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **961695 Garnet Heller** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2553**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **961810 Jean Lawton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3023**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **961883 Mrs J Greenway** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1832**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **962206 Peter Smith** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2993**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 962210 Philip Murat	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2982
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Construction process will be disruptive and dangerous.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: Specific reference to inadequate play area / space for children to exercise.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Concern that the construction process will be disruptive and dangerous. As part of the planning application process, consideration will be given to the need for developers to produce a site-specific Construction Management Plan. Concern regarding the loss of play area within Scholes A playground is located on Rycroft Lane, between sites H297 and SL3359. It is not proposed to remove the playground as part of the Local Plan.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 962296 Mr Rob Laurs	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2721
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 963303 Mr David Crowther	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3073
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 963455 Jane Trumbell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2100
Soundness - Positively Prepared	There are many empty houses in Kirklees; there is no need to build more.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **963736** **Malcolm Mason** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2896**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **963827** **Lindsey Crowther** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3057**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **963848** **Mr C. R. Hutchesson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2479**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **964205** **Dr Lucy Douglas** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3011**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **964384** **Charles Firbank** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2693**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space. Correct the issues identified from the relevant paragraphs of the NPPF.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **964710 John Watson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2854**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **965001 Mrs Beverley Firbank** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2643**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space. Allocate 'The Drill Hall', Huddersfield Road, Holmfirth as a housing site.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. 'The Drill Hall' is situated within an allocated Priority Employment Area, KR10.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **965312 Ms F C Taylor** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3097**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **965355 Mr A Symms** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2948**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The Local Plan does not contain a reasoned justification of the policies contained within it.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Each policy within the Publication Draft Local Plan is

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

followed by a reasoned Policy Justification.

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 965357	Mrs Sandra Topham	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3221
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 966072	Tracy Dearnley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2651
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 967035	Anne Murphy	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1377
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Disappointed that 'rural' areas are being included in the plan when there are sufficient brownfield sites around Kirklees. Do people want to live on the 'outskirts' of Holme Valley in sufficient numbers to fill these houses? Areas close to large towns & cities would be more desirable due to facilities, jobs etc. If this area is desirable, there are many brownfield sites that would be more suitable.			
Soundness - Justified	The plan is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based. Supports the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Please refer to their document reference: SFG Reps Kirklees PDLP Dec 2016. Amenities and facilities in this local area are just not adequate to cope with such a huge increase in population. Dunford road would be the main 'artery' route and is currently unable to cope at present. It is barely wide enough for on-coming traffic due to parking and regularly blocks due to volume of traffic - especially when 'incidents' on any other cross-pennine routes (A628, M62 etc). Local school is not equipped or able to take more students with class sizes already over 30+ pupils.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately to reflect NPPF policies, specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157.			
Proposed Change Requested	Designate sites H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 967227	Ms Alison Searle	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2482
-----------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	--------	----------------------------

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **967266 Mrs Joan Haworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2842**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **967284 Mr Robert S Joynson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2757**

Soundness - Positively Prepared A local resident on Ryecroft Lane has not heard of these proposals.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **967290 Mrs Pat Williamson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2131**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **967294 Ernest Moore** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2963**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**Consultee: **967601****Mrs Rachel Hogley**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD194**

Soundness - Positively Prepared The scale of this development is detrimental to the green belt and the rural nature of Scholes village. The narrow roads used to access it from New Mill / Holmfirth could not readily cope with the increased traffic and the utilities are insufficient for the existing housing (sewerage problems etc. occur now). In addition, Scholes school would need to receive more resources to accommodate the increase in school children. A development of this size would have a large impact on the character of the area and damage the rural nature of the area with its small distinct villages and attractive countryside.

Soundness - Justified The scale of this development is detrimental to the green belt and the rural nature of Scholes village. The narrow roads used to access it from New Mill / Holmfirth could not readily cope with the increased traffic and the utilities are insufficient for the existing housing (sewerage problems etc. occur now). In addition, Scholes school would need to receive more resources to accommodate the increase in school children. A development of this size would have a large impact on the character of the area and damage the rural nature of the area with its small distinct villages and attractive countryside.

Proposed Change Requested A reduction in the scale of the development to provide incremental growth to a historic settlement rather than expanding housing provision by creating a 'suburban' style estate which will destroy the character of Scholes.

Council Response No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H597 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**Consultee: **967633****Mrs C Garlick**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2596**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. It would be preferable to locate new housing on the former Midlothian Garage site in Holmfirth.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The former Midlothian Garage site in Holmfirth is allocated as an accepted housing site (H2587) within the Publication Draft Local Plan.

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 967655	Mr Peter Griffiths	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2619
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Dobroyd Mill and Washpit Mill redevelopments should be encouraged, as should the re-use of all brownfield sites.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 967790	Mrs JD Hillock	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2739
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. There will be no play area in Scholes.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The play area east of Ryecroft Lane is not included in either housing site H297 or safeguarded land site SL3359.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 967848	Mr David Jackson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1533
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **967862 Ms Val Marshall** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2295**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **967864 Laura Marshall** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2188**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **967868 Bob Boorman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2270**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **967905 Mr Peter L Bradley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2675**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **967906 Mr Michael Berryman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2884**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **967926 Mrs Kathryn Gibson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3026**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Particular reference to impact on historic landscape.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **967930 Miss Emily Firbank** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2718**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **968014 Mary Haigh** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1933**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **968058 Mrs J Mirehouse** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1874**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 968076	Machutus M Pimblett	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2715
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 968133	Alison Ramsey	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2548
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally, construction traffic will be disruptive to local residents.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Concern that the construction process will be disruptive. As part of the planning application process, consideration will be given to the need for developers to produce a site-specific Construction Management Plan.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 968162	Paula Haigh	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2955
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Empty homes within Kirklees should be used before allocating greenfield sites.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The redevelopment of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) has not been considered within the Local Plan.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process.

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 968357 Ms Melanie Caswell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3129
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 968360 Mr Gilbert Caswell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3141
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 968448 Ms Alison Sharland	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3116
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 968463 Mrs Carole Quarmby	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2881
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 968499	Ms Joyce Binks	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2422
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 968505	David Wild	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2866
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 968591	N R Binks	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1869
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 968603	Robert & Sandra Owen	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2446
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **968630** **Mr Wilfred Battye** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2784**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **968636** **Ms Anna Dolby** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2645**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **969036** **Mrs S Dixon** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2211**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **969039** **Mr R Dixon** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2259**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **969145** **Mr Jon Rust** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1674**

Legally Compliant It has not been possible in the time available to access the on-line comments forms.

Soundness - Positively Prepared Over development will lead to a reduction in landscape value which is essential for physical, spiritual and natural refreshment.

Soundness - Justified Development will have a negative impact on roads, transport, schools and health facilities etc which are already stretched. Local roads are steep and narrow with blind bends and therefore cannot cope with additional traffic.

Proposed Change Requested New developments should include provision for adequate off road parking.

Council Response No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site H297 is to the west of the village. The Publication Draft Local Plan recognises that high quality design is fundamental to making places more attractive, sustainable, safe and accessible. Policy PLP 24 seeks to ensure that good design is embedded into development proposals in Kirklees. The design of housing proposals will be discussed and determined at planning application stage.

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 969293 Mrs Jill Turner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3037
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 969482 I M Pell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1898
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 969495	Mr James I Ainley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3135
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 969524	Mrs M Holmes	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2902
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 969576	Mr Alan Wainwright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2682
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 969587	Mr David Ellis	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2556
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 969624	Mr & Mrs K Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2769
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **969629 Ms Linda Moore** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3125**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **969634 Mr Adrian Moss** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2577**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional query as to whether site is in Green Belt.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **969748 Mr Tony Sherlock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2863**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **969754 Mr Geoff Lawton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3163**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **969815 Mr Simon Hey**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2399**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **969818 Mr Stephen Hey**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2108**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **969828 R Hey**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3017**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **969830 Mr Thomas Hey**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2387**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 969835	Mrs P. Heywood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1551
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 969853	Mrs Helen Howden	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2709
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Green sites should not be built on when there are more than 2,500 empty houses in Kirklees. Develop at the Midlothian Garage and Huddersfield Road (next to the old Drill Hall) brownfield sites first.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. Both the former Midlothian garage site (residential) and land north of the old Drill Hall (employment) already have permission for development.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 970067	Ms Marilyn Hinchcliffe	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2439
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 970071	Mr B Parratt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1858
-----------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------	--------	----------------------------

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970090** **Mr John P Hillock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2817**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970099** **Mrs Margaret Robinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2639**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970106** **Ms Julia Casserly** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2491**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970113** **Ms Cheryl Booth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2134**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970121** **Ms Veronica Haigh** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2672**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970162 Ms Karen Smith** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2751**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970172 Mr Robert Heywood** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2600**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970202 Ms Judith Brignell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2562**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970209 Ms Vivien Chesman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1519**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970353 Sylvia R H Parker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2996**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970357** **Rebecca L Haigh** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2175**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970371** **Mr John Parker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2754**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970388** **Mrs Diane Binks & Mr Duncan Dyson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2432**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970445** **Mrs Judith Howarth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2802**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970489** **Mrs B Donnellan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2610**

Soundness - Positively Prepared There is a shortage of affordable homes for young people but a recent application proposed 4 - 5 bedroom houses. Empty homes should be used before building new ones.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970497** **Adrian Dickinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2317**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **970562** **Mr Rodney Websdell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2878**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **971065** **Rob Newton** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3217**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **971230** **Nancy Micklethwaite** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1886**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **971235** **Roger Hallsworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2809**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 971238	Peter Ciesielski	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1577
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 971242	Sarah Kelly	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1835
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 971256	Tracy Ciesielska	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2616
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 971272	Mr Chris Burgess	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1583
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 971273 S J Quinn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2627
Legally Compliant	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 971276 M J Quinn	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2706
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 971628 Miss Bridget Mullan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2772
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Use all the empty houses in Huddersfield before considering building on green belt or green fields.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through:the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites;the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate;the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **971789 Heather Lemm** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2485**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **971797 Dean Killock** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1928**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **971800 Paul Davies** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2149**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **971822 Mr Daniel Garlick** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2451**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **971827 Christine Beaumont** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2559**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **971842 Vivien Hodgkiss** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2323**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **971924 JK and R Meadows** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2320**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **972032 H.H. Whittle** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3069**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **972094 Laura Pegg** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1922**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **972116 J. Pickup** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3160**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Too many houses - not appropriate in scale.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **972654** **Kathryn Fuller** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1556**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **972854** **Rebecca Wilkinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2833**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	There is enough empty housing in Huddersfield that could be refurbished.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **972885** **Susan L Waite** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2823**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **972913** **Sandra Elizabeth Hale** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2860**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **972925** **Mr A R Waite** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2094**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **972928** **Mr Steven Croft** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3228**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **972931** **Mr Nicholas Webster** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3169**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **972935** **Glen Wilson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2958**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **973440 Timothy Prendegast** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2076**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **973471 Mrs P Keeley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2690**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **973516 Gary Heseltine** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1530**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **973522 Reef Healey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1573**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **973838 Diane Bentley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3108**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Disproportionate scale of development for the size of Scholes. Empty homes should be used before greenfield sites are developed. Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposed sites.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The redevelopment of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the quantity of new homes in the area) has not been considered within the Local Plan.

Soundness - Consistent with The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	<p>As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Disproportionate scale of development for the size of Scholes. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Empty homes should be used before greenfield sites are developed. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposed sites. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website. The redevelopment of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the quantity of new homes in the area) has not been considered within the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process.</p>

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **973868**

Mr Anthony Bentley

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2636**

Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The council hasn't consulted effectively with local residents.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	<p>As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Develop brownfield sites first. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and</p>

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.Consultation process The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **973906**

Michael Cook

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2580**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council hasn't attached enough weight to previous objections.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **973925**

Geoffrey Verney

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2703**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **973967**

Edwin Bates

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2839**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **973976**

Graham Latham

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2763**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Positively Prepared The Local Plan doesn't provide suitable homes for older people.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.Sustainably located homes should be provided for older people.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Housing Mix:The Housing Mix and Affordable Housing policy (Policy PLP 11) sets out the requirement for the mix and tenure of housing to be accommodated on sites and this will be in accordance with the latest available evidence. Factors relating to the design of the housing proposals must also be considered.The proposed housing mix for particular sites is not included within the Local Plan and will be determined at planning application stage.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **974315** **Mr Ian & Mrs Sheila Smith** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2848**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additional concerns raised regarding protection of trees on the site.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.There are no trees affected by tree preservation orders on the site.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **974332** **Neil Walker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3157**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Too many houses proposed for the size of the village. Brownfield sites should be used for housing, before allocating greenfield sites.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Additionally:Too many houses proposed for the size of the village.These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.Brownfield sites should be used for housing, before allocating greenfield sites.The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through:the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites;the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

appropriate;the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **974590 Jean Cook**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2633**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. The council has made it difficult for residents to comment on the Local Plan.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally:Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.Empty homes in Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing.In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average.Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing.The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through:the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites;the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate;the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan.Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process.The council has made it difficult for residents to comment on the Local Plan.The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **974659 R S Gooch**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3122**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

It would be inappropriate to remove the local playground.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The playground referred to is located between sites H297 and SL3359; the Local Plan does not propose to remove the playground.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **974663 Mrs R Pauline Gooch** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2657**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **974700 Lynne Harper** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2326**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **974734 Carole Exley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2590**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **974755 Christian Exley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1560**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **974782 Ian Roger Deakin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2159**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **974784 Mrs Hilary J Deakin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2781**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **975141 Teresa Turner** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2142**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **975192 Mark Hollingdale** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2408**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **975198 Rebecca Hollingdale** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1862**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **975257 Hilary Fletcher** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3029**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **975275 Sinead Robbins-Smith** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2137**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **975287 Lydia Washington-Quinn** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2171**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **975292 Christopher Washington** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2372**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **975348 Mr John Reynolds** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2660**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Concern that the design of proposals will be inappropriate.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Concern that the design of proposals will be inappropriate. The Publication Draft Local Plan recognises that high quality design is fundamental to making places more attractive, sustainable, safe and accessible. Policy PLP 24 seeks to ensure that good design is embedded into development proposals in Kirklees. The design of housing proposals will be discussed and determined at planning application stage.

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 975378	Yvette Whitwam	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2080
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 975407	Vincent M Pescod	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2273
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 975416	Christopher Woolliscroft	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2194
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 975439	Dianne Heppenstall	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2624
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is inappropriate to the size of the village. Other potential sites haven't been fully considered. Empty properties within Kirklees should be used before allocating greenfield sites. The proposed development of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered in the Local Plan. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposals. Concern that new homes will be unaffordable to younger people.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Scale of proposed development is inappropriate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Other potential sites haven't been fully considered. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. Empty properties within Kirklees should be used before allocating greenfield sites. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The proposed development of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered in the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposals. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website. Concern that new homes will be unaffordable to younger people. The Housing Mix and Affordable Housing policy (Policy PLP 11) sets out the requirement for the mix and tenure of housing to be accommodated on sites and this will be in accordance with the latest available evidence. Factors relating to the design of the housing proposals must also be considered. The proposed housing mix for particular sites is not included within the Local Plan and will be determined at planning application stage.

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 975445	Andrew Hamilton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1905
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 975680	Gillian Cohen	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3033
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be used for housing, before allocating greenfield sites.			
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Brownfield sites within Kirklees should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **975724 Anne Hinchliffe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2166**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **975738 Mr Glyn Chapman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3144**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **975840 Alan Gaukroger** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2987**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy	the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 975912 Diana Zoe Wilson Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD1017
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding the above, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding the above, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional comments also made in relation to impacts on local tourism and health implications of affecting enjoyment of local walking routes.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 975982 Lynne Holmes Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2748
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 975984 Jack Holmes Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2745
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 976453 Sarah Shaw Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2700
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **976553 Peter McLaren** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1464**

Soundness - Justified The Publication Draft is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based. I support the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Please refer to their document reference: SFG Reps Kirklees PDLP Dec 2016.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The Publication Draft is unsound as it fails to adequately reflect NPPF policies specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157.

Proposed Change Requested Designate site H597 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **976558 Joanne Griffiths** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1700**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **976588 Peter B Griffiths** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1692**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **978506 Cllr Nigel Patrick** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2932**

Soundness - Justified Housing allocation sites H288a will add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL). That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H597 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report).

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1030027 Colin Wragg	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2494
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1030033 Graham Brake	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3232
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1030053 P.W Hinchliffe	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2155
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1030056 Muriel Tinker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1563**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1030057 John Clifford Tinker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1826**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1030063 Avril Wilkinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1544**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1030079 Anna Dawson-Jones** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2207**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1030103 D Steadman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1578**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**Consultee: **1033725 Mrs Claire Howarth-Coyne**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3081****Soundness - Positively Prepared** Use brownfield sites first and use empty homes.**Soundness - Justified** Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additional comments made relate to increased noise, air and light pollution, need to increase policing in the village, lack of recreation facilities, developments will not help biodiversity or geodiversity in the village, lack of gritting local roads, a Neighbourhood Plan should be prepared for the area. Specific comments made on planning application 2016/90864 (now withdrawn) for 4 dwellings on part of H297 in relation to housing types, layout and constraints.**Soundness - Consistent with National Policy** The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space. Additional comments made relate to the site not being in conformity with NPPF paragraph 12 (core planning principles).**Proposed Change Requested** Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.**Council Response** As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Publication Draft Local Plan recognises that high quality design is fundamental to making places more attractive, sustainable, safe and accessible. Policy PLP 24 seeks to ensure that good design is embedded into development proposals in Kirklees. The design of housing proposals will be discussed and determined at planning application stage. A quantified assessment of the potential impacts of new development and associated traffic is set out in the Kirklees Council Air Quality Assessment (document ref - LE118). No objections were raised by Environmental Health in relation to this site option.Paragraph/Site: **H597**Consultee: **1041191 Adele Makinson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1526****Soundness - Justified** Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.**Soundness - Consistent with National Policy** The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.**Proposed Change Requested** Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.**Council Response** As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Paragraph/Site: **H597**Consultee: **1041197 Sheena Kaye**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2712****Soundness - Justified** Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.**Soundness - Consistent with National Policy** The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1041203 Graham Micklethwaite Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2812
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1041208 Mark Waite Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2820
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1041217 Alan and Jeanne Holmes Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD1540
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1041878 Joanne Mair Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD1548
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1041880 Lee Marshall Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD3178
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1041883 Sean Chapman	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2787
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1041886 Kevin Chambers	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1554
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1042148 J Whittle	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1850
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1042166 Robert Riley	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3048
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1042170 David Langrick	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1882
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042172 Michael Robshaw** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3152**

Soundness - Positively Prepared The council has been dismissive of objections to the proposed allocations.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042177 Malcolm Robshaw** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2584**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council is not acting on behalf of the local community.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042179 Wendy Robshaw** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2541**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Concern that the council hasn't given sufficient weight to previous objections and is not working on the behalf of the local community.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Consultation Process The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042184 Gillian Senior** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2588**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042189 Jackie Brook** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1844**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042546 Amanda Butterworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2469**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042552 Matthew Boorman** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2473**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042555 Bryan Hinchliffe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1901**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042556 Linda Hinchliffe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1909**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042559 Alison Lowe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1917**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042562 John Wright** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2606**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1042565 David Grant** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1865**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1043444 Judith Fearn** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1918**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1043447 Geoffrey Cosslett** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3076**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1043448 Brenda Cosslett** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2613**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1043639 Barbara Ainley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1569**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1043641 Anne Hobson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2687**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1043643 Joanne Woods** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1941**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1043656 Bruce Armitage** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2145**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1043669 Lynn Blakely** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2869**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1043671 Carolyn North** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2872**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1043913 Roy Smith** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2875**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1044077 John Wallace** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2830**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Empty homes should be modernised and used rather than building new houses.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1044079 Valorie Elson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2091**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1044085 John Cave**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2568**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1044088 Leighan Napthine**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2991**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1044090 Rosemary Pettifer**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2975**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Empty homes within Kirklees should be used before allocating greenfield sites. The council have acted against the will of the people and have made it difficult to comment on proposed sites.

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: There are a lot of empty homes In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Consultation process The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1044091 Adrian Owens**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2571**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1044102 Gillian Wallace**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2984**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1044104 James Elson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2384**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1044911 Julie Auckland**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2890**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1044925 Matthew Roberts**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1925**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1044927 Helen Cave Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2378
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1044930 Timothy Collins Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2851
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1044972 Michael and Ruth Boothroyd Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2790
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1044975 Karen Jarman Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2724
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1044981 Brian Smith Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2766
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1046054 Joyce Beardsell Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2468
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1046060 Lisa Algar Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2952
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1046062 Mark Algar Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2488
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1046071 Mairi Binns Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2941
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1046074 Martin Binns Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2476
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046305 Amy Rostron** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2129**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046313 Mr John Tinker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2730**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046316 Elizabeth Clarkson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2666**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046319 Rachel Brennan** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2442**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046321 Ronald Backhouse** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2448**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046324 David Deakin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2390**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046325 Sally Deakin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2393**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046327 Peter Ward** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2397**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046330 Mel Ward** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2402**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046333 Anthea Purdey** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2663**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046335** **Graham Wilkinson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2405**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046338** **Walter Ellis** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1567**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046339** **Wendy Hollingworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2430**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046344** **Neil Hollingworth** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2426**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1046348** **Emily Cronin** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2436**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1046391 Mr kenneth peace**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD178**

Soundness - Justified

The Publication Draft is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based, and its failure adequately to reflect NPPF polices specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30,34, 38, 55, 72, 76, 77, 109, 157 therein.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The Publication Draft is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based, and its failure adequately to reflect NPPF polices specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30,34, 38, 55, 72, 76, 77, 109, 157 therein.

Proposed Change Requested

I wish the Local Plan be changed such that the site is designated as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1047086 Kathleen Gledhill**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2125**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1047096 Chris Barr**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2415**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1047100 Anne and Frank Dickinson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2836**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1047112 Helen Simpson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2630**

Soundness - Justified

Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group. this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP SP163.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1047116 Lisa Barr** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2419**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1047121 Margaret Hodgskiss** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2121**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1047127 Barbara Griffiths** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2111**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1047131 David Pettifer** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2828**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1047925 John Garnee** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2381**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163,

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1047935 Nick Hubbard** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3175**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1047936 Di Raven** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3105**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1047938 Deborah Bentley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3100**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Disproportionate scale of development for the size of Scholes. Empty homes should be used before greenfield sites are developed. Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposed sites.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Additionally: The redevelopment of Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the quantity of new homes in the area) has not been considered within the Local Plan.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Disproportionate scale of development for the size of Scholes. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. Empty homes should be used before greenfield sites are developed. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate; the potential

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.The council has acted against the will of the people and has made it difficult to comment on proposed sites. The council’s Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council’s website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1048741 Dorothy Walker** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3094**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response .As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1048742 Helen Peace** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2291**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1048763 Terrance Longbottom** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3091**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1048766 Robert Hughes** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2288**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1048768 Clare Cameron Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD3087
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites should be used for housing, before allocating greenfield sites.
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Develop brownfield sites first. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1048770 Nicola Simpkins Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD3084
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049149 Mr Keith Gibson Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD1438
Soundness - Justified	Building on H297 and H597 cannot be considered as sustainable development and does not accord with Local Plan Policy DLP7. Allocations fail to recognise the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside, protect the greenbelt, conserve historic landscape patterns and heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance. Development could not be designed to fit in with the existing settlement. Lack of local facilities leading to car travel to access facilities. Limited access to public transport. Road congestion due to cross-valley movements and car parking issues in the village. The local school is full.
Proposed Change Requested	Reduce the size of Site H597 to a much smaller area around the junction of Sandygate & Scholes Moor Road that could fit within the existing built form of the village.
Council Response	No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site

was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H297 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). The Publication Draft Local Plan recognises that high quality design is fundamental to making places more attractive, sustainable, safe and accessible. Policy PLP 24 seeks to ensure that good design is embedded into development proposals in Kirklees. The design of housing proposals will be discussed and determined at planning application stage. Correspondence within BP28 (dated 24th February 2017) clarifies Historic England’s current position with respect to these earlier comments, specifically that Historic England no longer consider that there has been no evaluation of the impact of any of the sites upon the historic environment. Historic England now fully acknowledge that the selection of sites which have been put forward as allocations in the Plan have been arrived at following a series of evaluations by the Council of the potential impacts that of all the sites might have upon the historic environment. Historic England endorse the process undertaken to arrive at the selection of sites included in the Plan and this has involved full consultation with, and considerable input from, Historic England throughout. Historic England have also confirmed that the Plan does not include any sites whose development will result in substantial harm to a designated heritage asset (and whose allocation, therefore, would be likely to conflict with national policy guidance).

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1049295 Mr Michael Reader**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD479**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

The appeal inspector for the 1996 planning application on part of H597 raised concerns the proposal was incompatible with the size of Scholes, elements of the scheme would be out of scale and character and impact on sense of openness. Disproportionate scale of development compared to the size of Scholes. The council have disregarded the weight of local, reasoned opposition. Lack of infrastructure to accommodate new developments (NPPF 157), no funding committed and timing of essential junction improvements in the IDP not consistent with site delivery timescales.

Soundness - Justified

Cumulative highway impacts of the number of allocations in the area. Inadequate local highways - sub-standard local access roads, lack of footways, parking problems and lack of width on main routes with evidence from Holmfirth/Meltham Local Plan (1987) provided. Congestion caused by commuting to work and school. Disagree with the sustainability appraisal for this site. Insufficient primary school places in Scholes and insufficient secondary school places in the area. Assumptions relating to school places are not consistent with DoE publications on national pupil projections. Flood risk / drainage concerns in relation to general sewerage and drainage infrastructure as also raised by Yorkshire Water in 1995. The north-east corner of H597 susceptible to flooding.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

Loss of agricultural land which is linked to a prosperous rural economy (NPPF 28). Lack of infrastructure to accommodate new developments (NPPF 157), no funding committed and timing of essential junction improvements in the IDP not consistent with site delivery timescales. Lack of accessibility to local services and facilities within walking distance and steep walk from Holmfirth centre (primary school, health facilities, retail) (NPPF 17 / NPPF 38 / NPPF 72). Development not located where the need to travel will be minimised (NPPF 34). Lack of local employment opportunities (NPPF 17). Local Plan to take account of neighbourhood plans (NPPF 155). Impact on the role and character of Scholes (NPPF 17 and NPPF 58). Site should be Local Green Space (NPPF76 / NPPF 77). Adverse impact on Morton Wood Local Wildlife site (within 600 metres of H297 and H597) (NPPF 109). Unsustainable location for development (NPPF 6 / NPPF 7 / NPPF 55) specifically in relation to low carbon, biodiversity, waste & pollution, climate change, greenhouse gases, reducing congestion (NPPF 30). Planning decision notice (2007/90856) stated that an application for one dwelling in the green belt adjacent to Scholes was in an unsustainable location which would rely heavily on the private car.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space

Council Response

No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H597 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451) and these are set out in SD30 (New Site Options Report). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site H597 is to the west of the village.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & DesignationsParagraph/Site: **H597**Consultee: **1049349 MR PHILIP ROBERTSON**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD516****Soundness - Consistent with National Policy**

I believe the Publication Draft is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based, and its failure adequately to reflect NPPF policies specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109 and 157 therein.

Proposed Change Requested

I wish the Local Plan to be changed such that all three sites are designated as Local Green Space.

Council Response

As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**Consultee: **1049473 Dr Anthony Vann**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD652****Soundness - Justified**

"Blocks" of development (141 dwellings on 4 acres) too large - monolithic, ghetto-like, and uncharacteristic of "open" structure of Pennine villages. Excessive infill elsewhere in the village has spoiled the original structure. Don't make it worse. Additional vehicles (+ 250) on already poor roads will make traffic problems worse than they are. Roads are too narrow (no white lines), no footpaths for long sections, no "refuge" for pedestrians for long distances, vehicles larger than superminis have problems passing. Road junctions in New Mill and Holmfirth unfit for purpose and can't cope without major revision.

Proposed Change Requested

Smaller area, fewer dwellings, Additional small areas to make up the numbers would help to retain landscape.No further development until improved roads and junctions present. Other authorities improve road system prior to development. No such improvements, other than mere surfacing and "sharpening" corners, has occurred in the Hoolme Valley for 40 years, despite massive increase in vehicle numbers.Improve bus service speed by operating compulsory pre-journey ticketing (no buying tickets on the bus) - most countries in Europe operate much faster public transport systems using this simple system.

Council Response

No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H597 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances.The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel).These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**Consultee: **1049473 Dr Anthony Vann**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD622****Soundness - Positively Prepared**

141 dwellings are proposed. Together with the almost adjacent H297 site (47 dwellings), this is much too large an increase in size of the village of Scholes, for the following reasons: Pennine villages in this area have a much more "open" structure, with space between dwellings or small groups of dwellings. This village has already suffered almost complete "infill", all gaps having been eliminated in recent years. The effect is a monolithic 'mass' on the landscape quite uncharacteristic of this rural area. The same fate has befallen several other villages at this altitude in the area to their detriment.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified	The dwellings will generate approximately 240 more vehicles on narrow, steep roads with sharp corners and no footpaths for long sections, leading to the overcrowded junctions in New Mill and Holmfirth. There is nothing "sustainable" about adding further private vehicle traffic to a poor road infrastructure. The bus service is good, provided you want to travel to Holmfirth or Huddersfield. No one will use a bus to commute to Wakefield, Sheffield, Barnsley, the M1 or Oldham. Given the convenience of commuting by car, the increase in traffic on the local roads will be very significant to the detriment of the local quality of life.
Proposed Change Requested	More people would use the bus if it was quicker and services went to more places. Please consider much more seriously the development of new hamlets/villages in areas where the landscape and infrastructure. Consider cross-roads where good roads meet, with woodlands in the close vicinity that could be extended, and hopefully access to a passenger railway. Previous housing crises were partly solved by a policy of new towns, though a modern policy would require very low impact on good agricultural land, by selection of sites of low soil quality/productivity and low conservation value.
Council Response	No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site H597 has been accepted as a housing allocation as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process in accordance with Local Plan Policy PLP7 (SD, Strategy and Policies, page 47) once adopted which allows lower density development in certain circumstances. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049498	Jacqueline Garrod	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2104
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.			
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.			

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049501	Siobhan Campbell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3112
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049503 Sean Sweeney Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2285
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049515 Mrs Karen Trimming Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2603
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049518 Mark Trimming Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD3226
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049542 Mr Paul Finn Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD640
Soundness - Justified	Problematic roads - we live on Paris Road and our properties walls against the road are continually being damage by road users (photos can be sent if required) the latest incident has completely knocked down the walls. There are continual problems near the shop/pub with parking making the road only wide enough for one vehicle. In short the road infrastructure around Scholes is not suitable for any more traffic.
Council Response	No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel).
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049678 Janet Park Agent: Rep ID: PDLP_AD2727
Soundness - Positively Prepared	There are many empty houses and brownfield sites in Kirklees which should be used before greenfield sites.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1049683 Ruth Parker**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3119**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1049688 Oliver Plunkett**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2805**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space. The plan should be scaled back to 10% of the proposed number of houses.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1049692 Dawn Johnson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2655**

Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049696 Ian Lofthouse	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2649
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Brownfield sites should be used for housing before allocating greenfield sites.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Develop brownfield sites first The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through:the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites;the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate;the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049705 Kayley Dickinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2313
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049708 Laura Dickinson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2308
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049710 Sarah Hill	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2305
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049912 Vera Parker	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2796
Soundness - Positively Prepared	There is a need within Scholes for smaller homes for the over 55s.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Housing mixThe Publication Draft Local Plan (paragraph 8.29) recognises that 'it is important to achieve a mix of housing in terms of size, type, tenure and suitability for specific groups of people to contribute to meeting local needs and contribute to sustainable development in accordance with national planning policy'. The housing mix for a specific site will be determined, in accordance with Policy PLP 11 of the Local Plan, within the planning application process.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049918 Jacqueline Mason	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2198
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1049921 Lisa Holmes	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2203
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1050159 Alan Garlick	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2697
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		
Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1050162 Edward Dawson-Jones	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2966
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Alternative sites haven't been fully considered. A previous planning application for housing (on part of the proposed site) was refused in 1995.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The council hasn’t fully considered possible alternative sites. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan’s strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district’s housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. Reference to historic refused planning application [94/62/94132/W3?] Proposed allocations and planning applications are assessed against current national planning policy. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050222 Geoff Fursse** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2262**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050446 Louise Roche** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2736**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050465 Lesley Jackson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2267**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

National Policy the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050477** **Mary McGivern** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3211**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050478** **Jodie Brearley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3184**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050480** **Jillian Sherwell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3005**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. The council has not searched out the most suitable sites and spread them evenly throughout the area. The council has not given enough weight to objections raised by local residents.
Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The council hasn't fully considered possible alternative sites. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan's strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications. The council hasn't given sufficient weight to previous objections raised by the local community. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050486 John Stanley** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1584**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050488 David Jackson** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3195**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050508 Beverley Brown** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3185**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050509 Adrian Brown** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3191**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.
Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.
Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050510 Francis Brown** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3014**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050511 Holly Brown** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3203**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050515 Julie Coe** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3208**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050516 Don Fisher** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2793**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1050803 Cllr Kenneth Sims** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3670**

Soundness - Justified Housing allocation sites H288a will add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL). That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a

sustainable location. Site SL3359 has been accepted as a safeguarded land option as part of the approach of planning positively for future development beyond the Local Plan period. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through a review of the Local Plan. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that although the site cannot currently be directly accessed, the wider local highway links are acceptable. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth and this will be considered for safeguarded land sites as part of a future Local Plan review. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. . Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site SL3359 is to the west of the village.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1050805 Cllr Donald Firth**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3669**

Soundness - Justified

Housing allocation sites H288a will add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL). That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt. This site was designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan. This site has been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology and supporting evidence includes the Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal which provide up to date evidence indicating that this site is in a sustainable location. Site SL3359 has been accepted as a safeguarded land option as part of the approach of planning positively for future development beyond the Local Plan period. The site capacity is indicative only and the actual capacity will be determined through a review of the Local Plan. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan. Highways assessment has shown that although the site cannot currently be directly accessed, the wider local highway links are acceptable. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 104) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 (Sustainable travel). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth and this will be considered for safeguarded land sites as part of a future Local Plan review. Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted. The plan has identified a range of sites to ensure employment opportunities exist for the local workforce where possible. . Policy PLP10 (supporting the rural economy) of the Strategy and Policies document (SD1, page 61) recognises the need to support rural and locally generated employment opportunities through a proactive policy response which supports the need for home working, local employment opportunities and SME operations within the smaller settlements of the district. Health issues have

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. At present there is no Neighbourhood Plan within this area to take into account in plan making. A Local Green Space option (LocGS2721) which covered the whole existing UDP Provisional Open Land site was rejected because when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria it is not demonstrably special and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space (see option LocGS2721). Further individual Local Green Space options have been created as a result of representations for the discrete areas of land covered by sites H297 (LocGS3449), H597 (LocGS3450) and SL3359 (LocGS3451). There were no comments from specific technical consultees through the site allocations process in relation to impacts on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site which is to the south-east of Scholes village. Site SL3359 is to the west of the village.

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1050975 Alan Stephenson Brown	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2669
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1053436 Stephen Wilson	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3847
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1057467 Mr Andrew Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2733
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Empty homes within Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Brownfield sites should be redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Other, more suitable, sites have not been fully considered by the council. Part of the proposed development site was refused planning permission for homes in 1995. The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn't been considered within the Local Plan. The council has made it difficult to comment on proposals and has acted against the will of the people.		
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Empty homes within Kirklees should be filled before allocating greenfield sites for housing. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market. This also applies to homes which are empty on a short-term basis. The council has an Empty Homes Strategy which aims to address the number of long-term empty homes. In Kirklees, 1.2% of properties are long term vacant (at 2015) which is slightly higher than the national average. Brownfield sites should be		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

redeveloped before allocating greenfield sites for housing. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district’s housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through:the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites;the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/areas, where appropriate;the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council’s site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.Other, more suitable, sites have not been fully considered by the council. Full consideration of the likely impacts of the Local Plan’s strategy, policies and individual site allocations has been considered as part of the Sustainability Appraisal and a wider consideration of infrastructure planning impacts, such as schools, roads and other infrastructure – this is set out in the Local Plan evidence base. The council has considered all the relevant site options which have been submitted into the process in accordance with the published site selection methodology. The Local Plan promotes the re-use of existing buildings and the use of brownfield land to meet development needs but also recognises that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district’s housing and employment land requirements. The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.Reference to historic refused planning application [94/62/94132/W3?]Proposed allocations and planning applications are assessed against current national planning policy.The proposed number of homes for each allocation is indicative only and based on an efficient use of land required by national planning policy – the actual number of homes to be delivered on sites will be a matter for individual planning applications.The proposed development at Dobroyd Mills (which will increase the number of new homes in the area) hasn’t been considered within the Local Plan. Dobroyd Mills is situated within an accepted mixed use allocation (MX1912a) within the Publication Draft Local Plan. It has, therefore, been fully considered as part of the site allocation process.The council has made it difficult to comment on proposals and has acted against the will of the people. The council’s Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council’s website.

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1059482 Sean Brennan	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1286
Soundness - Justified	Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.		
Council Response	As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.		

Paragraph/Site: H597	Consultee: 1059568 Mr Andrew Sugden	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1371
Soundness - Justified	The Publication Draft is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based. I support the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Please refer to their document reference: SFG Reps Kirklees PDLP Dec 2016.		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The Publication Draft is unsound fails to adequately to reflect NPPF policies, specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein.

Proposed Change Requested Designate site H597 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1059725 Mr Anthony Murphy** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1470**

Soundness - Justified The plan is unsound as regards the evidence on which it is based. Supports the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. Please refer to their document reference: SFG Reps Kirklees PDLP Dec 2016. Objection to H597 relates to a number of factors which renders Scholes unsuitable for these houses: access roads are barely adequate for the current population; there is little or no capacity in Scholes Junior School nor in Holmfirth High School; and this development will involve a long and short term negative impact on quality of life for existing residents.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately reflect NPPF policies, specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157.

Proposed Change Requested Designate sites H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.As part of the planning application process, consideration will be given to the need for developers to produce a site-specific Construction Management Plan.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1061673 Harry Trumbell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2369**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597** Consultee: **1062165 Mrs Theresa Tyrrell** Agent: Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2503**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. Proposed housing mix is inappropriate. The council is not acting on behalf of the people.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.Reduce the proposed number of properties.Properly consider infrastructure requirements.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.Additionally:Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the villageThese sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt. The proposed mix of housing is inappropriate. The Housing Mix and Affordable Housing policy (Policy PLP 11) sets out the requirement for the mix and tenure of housing to be accommodated on sites and this will be in accordance with the latest available evidence. Factors relating to the design of the housing proposals must also be considered. The proposed housing mix for particular sites is not included within the Local Plan and will be determined at planning application stage. The council is not acting on behalf of the local community. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1062170 Mr Darren Tyrrell**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2506**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space .

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1062920 Mr Shaun Ramsey**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3172**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Proposed allocations are disproportionate to the size of the village.

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80. Additionally: Scale of proposed development is disproportionate to the size of the village. These sites were designated as safeguarded land in the Unitary Development Plan and as such are within the Scholes settlement. The sites have been assessed using the Council's site allocations methodology including use of evidence in the Settlement Appraisal and the sites have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal as part of the Local Plan process. Sites H297 and H597 have been accepted as housing allocations as part of the approach of planning positively for development in the area. Following these assessments, the development of these sites is not deemed to represent disproportionate development compared to the scale of Scholes village. The site capacity is indicative and the actual capacity will be determined through the planning application process which can allow lower density development in certain circumstances. In relation to these sites, there are no exceptional circumstances for the site boundaries to be amended to add this land to the green belt.

Paragraph/Site: **H597**

Consultee: **1063318 Tanya Davidson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3242**

Soundness - Justified Local Plan is unsound in relation to the evidence base. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy The plan is unsound as it fails to adequately address NPPF paragraphs 17, 28, 30, 34, 38, 72, 76, 77, 55, 109, 157 therein. Regarding this, there is support for the detailed representations being made by Scholes Future Group. H297, H597, SL3359 should be changed to Local Green Space.

Proposed Change Requested Delete H297 and H597 and designate H297, H597 and SL3359 as Local Green Space.

Council Response As this response refers to support for the representation by Scholes Future Group, this issue is covered under their representations at PDLP_SP163, PDLP_AD475, PDLP_AD479, PDLP_AD951, PDLP_RSO266, PDLP_RSO269, PDLP_RSO271, PDLP_SA80.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **934656**

Mr Steven Adams

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD148**

Soundness - Positively Prepared There must be more suitable sites within the Kirklees area than H626, in particular brownfield sites.

Soundness - Justified

The plan is unsound in respect if the inclusion of site H626. 1. The road network in the village and especially around the proposed site is very congested and is already above capacity without adding more traffic. Access to the site either by Laithe Avenue or Dobb Top Lane would have a drastic effect on the safety of youngsters walking to school and High School children to bus stops as there aren't any footpaths on the roads. The sharp bends and increased traffic would certainly be a great hazard to our children. 2. The school is already over subscribed, there just isn't room for any more children. At peak school times the area can become very congested. 3. There are existing problems with drainage and sewerage systems which struggle to cope with the existing population causing major health and safety concerns. 4. Development would impact on the character of local area and the Peak Park. Children are at daily risk of an injury or even a fatality due to the volume of cars already in the village, this can only get worse with more households. 5. The footpath at the top of the field is extremely popular with walkers and has outstanding views. Development of 19 dwellings will have a drastic impact on these views destroying wildlife and will deter visitors. The current Unitary Development Plan states that this land should be designated as Protected Open Land, it is currently used as pasture land.

Proposed Change Requested

Remove site H626 from the Local Plan.

Council Response

No ChangeThe site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through:the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites;the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate;the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.The Landscape Character Assessments cover large areas of land and does not provide an assessment of specific pieces of land. There are existing residential properties on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Avenue which can be seen from local vantage points and development of H626 would be set against that backdrop.The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation.In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment.Public Rights of Way will be considered through the planning application process to ensure such rights of way are maintained through the area.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **942711**

Mr Graham Bennett

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2332**

Soundness - Positively Prepared loss of a green field site bordering green belt. Brownfield sites should be developed in priority to green field

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - the development of the site cannot be delivered safely and will create an unacceptable safety risk due to congested, local , narrow road system. - the walking routes to Hinchcliffe Mill school have no pavements, there are steep gradients and parking. The A6024 will become even more congested. - minor roads from Bank Lane to Co-op Lane passing Hinchcliffe Mill school are narrow. - the steep roads lead to problems in the winter - the development of this site is not the most appropriate strategy and will not promote sustainable development - the existing road layout is

unsuited to any intensification of use. There is no scope for road widening - growth of tourism means the area should be protected - impact on views to Peak National Park and Saddleworth Moor - impact on footpath that runs adjacent to the site - impact on wildlife and habitats and SSI/SPA which includes ground nesting birds - Smithy Lane and Dobb Top Road are part of a marked cycle way - the development of this site is incapable of being implemented due to inadequate infrastructure - drainage and sewerage systems are under pressure - traffic congestion and bottlenecks already - public transport is limited and not commercially viable - Hinchcliffe Mill school is at capacity - the site has been rented since 1999 and is an integral part of land management and grazing regime - development of the site will cause distress and serious welfare issues for horses.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies) Policy PLP3 Location of new development and PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft lists a variety of measures which aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable travel through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies). The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to this site. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. Natural England is content with the conclusions of the Habitats Regulation Assessment (SD10), i.e. that the plan will not have adverse effects on the integrity of the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) or the Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA as a result of the loss of functionally connected land for SPA birds. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. In addition, policy PLP24 'Design' (document ref SD1) at criteria states that any development should provide a high standard of amenity for future and neighbouring occupiers, including maintaining appropriate distances between buildings.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **942773**

Mrs Sally Emmett

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1953**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - road safety concerns have not been listened too, in particular the steep gradients of Bankfield Drive, Laithe Bank, Smithy Lane and parked cars limit Dobb Top Road. The roads are narrow with no footways and the increase in traffic will lead to an increased risk to children walking on the Rural School Route. Disagree with the council's highway assessment. - there is a lack of consistency between the assessment for SL2188 and H626 - the road implications for H626 have been assessed in isolation. The Sustainability Appraisal is too broad brush to justify the allocation. - impact on visual amenity and landscape character of the area - inconsistency between public health comments between SL2188 and H626 - lack of school places at Hinchcliffe Mill School, whose comments have been ignored.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The inclusion of the site is contrary to NPPF specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 29, 30, 35, 72, 75, 109, 155 and 157 and will not enable sustainable development. The development is contrary to NPPF paragraph 30 and 32. The cumulative impacts on the road networks in Holmfirth, Honley and Lockwood should be

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

considered. Given the absence of local employment opportunities, commuting will increase contrary to para 35 and 37. Impact on footpath contrary to NPPF paragraph 75.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan and deem as open green space

Council Response

No ChangeThe site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.The Council has strategically modelled the transport network (local highway, public transport and strategic road network) in order to assess the cumulative transport impact of the land use allocations in the draft Local Plan. The work identified locations on the highway network which are forecast to suffer increased delays as a result of the proposals and the Council has devised an appropriate transport mitigation strategy. It is not considered therefore that the level of growth proposed would have a severe impact on Kirklees highway network that otherwise cannot be adequately mitigated against. See Transport Model Technical Paper.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it consulted as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements.All comments were considered as part of the pre-submission process and published on the council's website.Three early engagement exercises were undertaken to inform the plan prior to consultation on the Draft Local Plan in accordance with NPPF paragraph 155. Feedback reports on early engagement were published on the website and form part of the council's Statement of Pre-Consultation. This document provides full details on the consultation process and how comments were considered as part of the preparation of the Publication draft Local Plan.The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Plan supports sustainable travel through Policy DLP 20 sustainable travel.In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area,in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment.The Peak District National Park have been consulted on the Local Plan and have not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation.Public Rights of Way will be considered through the planning application process to ensure such rights of way are maintained through the area.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **942775 Mr Ian Hodgkinson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD84**

Soundness - Justified

Assessment of this site is unsound with regard to road safety because it fails to mention the route to / junction with A6024, lack of pavements, narrow road widths, rural school route, gradients and lack of level junctions. Also impact on Peak Park and Conservation Area.

Soundness - Effective

Assessment of this site is unsound with regard to road safety because it fails to mention the route to / junction with A6024, lack of pavements, narrow road widths, rural school route, gradients and lack of level junctions. Also impact on Peak Park and Conservation Area.

Proposed Change Requested

The Local Plan relating to Site H626 should be modified to take into account all of the points mentioned above prior to submission to the Government Inspector

Council Response

No ChangeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation.In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **942776 Louisa Turner**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2011**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

H626 is an attractive greenfield site, 600m from the Peak District National Park boundary and adjacent to green belt

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: highway safety - the addition of 40+ cars on Laithe Bank Drive, Bankfield Drive on narrow, steep roads will impact on safety. Turning in either direction is not safe. Dobb Top Lane/Smithy Lane form part of rural school route - additional traffic will impact on children safety

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

as there are no pavements. Co-op Lane junction with A6042 is steep and hazardous. Conflict between car users and cyclists. A6024 is a rat run and suffers from parked cars. Problems of cars slipping in bad weather. - loss of views towards Holme Moss and Saddleworth Moor impact on local beauty spots including popular recreational destinations of Digley and Yate Holme reservoirs - impact on wildlife and habitats - Impact on tourism - adequate infrastructure - there has been significant development without an increase in amenities, public transport or improvements to road network - all amenities have to be accessed through Holmfirth - development is likely to attract young families requiring school places and the need to access employment. There are few employment opportunities locally - traffic congestion A635 - A6024 - school is oversubscribed - drainage and sewerage systems at capacity The views of Holmbridge Residents Group is supported (PDLP_AD1758)

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The inclusion of H626 is contrary to NPPF specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 29, 30, 35, 37, 72, 75, 109, 110, 155 and 157.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, ‘Supporting the rural economy’) and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, ‘Design’; Policy PLP 35, ‘Historic environment’). The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable travel through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies). The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to this site. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **943118 John and Janet Griffin**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1944**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

The strategy is not the most appropriate as there is insufficient infrastructure in the area and Holme Valley should be promoted for tourism, health and recreational uses for local residents and wider population

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - inadequate infrastructure and impossibility of increasing it - inability to widen highway network and improve safety by the addition of pavements - highway capacity - there is already a high volume of traffic and nature of roads and parked cars creates hazards - highway safety - especially for children on school route - lack of capacity at school. Traffic situation will be compounded by parents taking their children to other schools - public transport is limited and not a viable option - drainage and sewerage capacity issues

Proposed Change Requested

Remove site H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No Change The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and

how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**Consultee: **943847****Mrs Sally Barber**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2339****Soundness - Justified**

The highways network in the vicinity of the site is constrained. Previous planning history on the site has been refused previously in 1980 and 1992, with the decision upheld at appeal. Since 1992 a further 38 dwellings have been erected / have permission along minor road network on this side of the valley. Development will have landscape impact and have a potential impact on environment and tourism - due to proximity of Cuddy's Farm and Holmfirth Vineyard. The local school is overcrowded. The site is located far from public health facilities.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The Parish Council supports the residents' concerns and reiterates that the inclusion of site H626 as an accepted site for housing is contrary to the aims of the National Planning Policy Framework, particularly 17, 28, 29, 30, 37, 72, 75, 109, 110, 155 and 157 and that it will not support sustainable development

Council Response

No change should be noted that this site is not currently within the green belt. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to this site. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. Every site underwent a technical assessment in accordance with the site allocation methodology, including a detailed site specific assessment of the potential for the site to be safely accessed from an adopted highway and potential impact on the local highway network (Local Plan Methodology Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology document ref BP23). The outcomes of those assessments for the rejected options are set out in the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4). Highways assessments of site H626 show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Council has strategically modelled the transport network (local highway, public transport and strategic road network) in order to assess the cumulative transport impact of the land use allocations in the draft Local Plan. The work identified locations on the highway network which are forecast to suffer increased delays as a result of the proposals and the Council has devised an appropriate transport mitigation strategy. It is not considered therefore that the level of growth proposed would have a severe impact on Kirklees highway network that otherwise cannot be adequately mitigated. See BP12 Transport Model Technical Paper. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The planning application subject to a dismissed appeal (92/04281) covered a larger area and had a higher dwelling capacity than this site. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate. It is not accepted that the site displays the upland landscape characteristics referred to in the Landscape Character Assessment (document ref LE47). It is an area of grazing land well related to the existing settlement. Development of the site would not project built form any further to the south of the settlement than the existing modern housing on Laithe Avenue. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area, in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment. Natural England is content with the conclusions of the HRA (SD10), i.e. that the plan will not have adverse effects on the integrity of the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) or the Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA as a result of the loss of functionally connected land for SPA birds. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield Clinical Commissioning Groups to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. In order to make efficient use of land the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies document (document ref SD1) at policy PLP 7 proposes an average density of 35 dwellings per hectare, which represents an increase in average density over that proposed in the draft plan.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **944426**

J M Senior

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2009**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Development will ruin a greenfield site surrounded by beautiful countryside

Soundness - Justified The site is not justified on the grounds of: - despite opposition to building the proposed number of houses has increased - Bank Field Drive and Laithe bank Drive are extremely steep and there are problems in bad weather with cars skidding - pedestrian safety - there are no footpaths and the road is narrow which creates a risk for children walking to school - sewerage system is at capacity - problem of parked cars on A6024 - impact of development on tourism

Proposed Change Requested Delete allocation H626 from the plan.

Council Response No change In order to make efficient use of land the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies document (document ref SD1) at policy PLP 7 proposes an average density of 35 dwellings per hectare, which represents an increase in average density over that proposed in the draft plan. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment').

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **948408**

Mr Andrew Birkitt

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD4**

Legally Compliant I have seen no evidence that any authority has been consulted with (i.e. Natural England) detailing how the council plan to protect wildlife near to this proposed site. Has a survey been conducted? if so what are the results of this survey? Can the council confirm what European protected species reside in proximity to this site and how they would be protected? This plan cannot be compliant based on the scant information the council has provided in their response to the first 'round' of objections.

Duty to Co-operate I have seen no evidence that any authority has been consulted with (i.e. Natural England) detailing how the council plan to protect wildlife near to this proposed site. Has a survey been conducted? if so what are the results of this survey? Can the council confirm what European protected species reside in proximity to this site and how they would be protected? This plan cannot be compliant based on the scant information the council has provided in their response to the first 'round' of objections.

Soundness - Justified I have seen no evidence that any authority has been consulted with (i.e. Natural England) detailing how the council plan to protect wildlife near to this proposed site. Has a survey been conducted? if so what are the results of this survey? Can the council confirm what European protected species reside in proximity to this site and how they would be protected? This plan cannot be compliant based on the scant information the council has provided in their response to the first 'round' of objections.

Proposed Change Requested Evidence that no protected species will be harmed or their habitats disturbed needs to be produced. Details of preliminary discussions (or indeed any type of discussions) with the necessary authorities needs to be made public.

Council Response No Change The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment. DTC Response: Meaningful cooperation has taken place and

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

evidence to that effect is documented in SD14 (Duty to Cooperate Statement). Natural England is content with the conclusions of the HRA (SD10), i.e. that the plan will not have adverse effects on the integrity of the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) or the Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA as a result of the loss of functionally connected land for SPA birds. Their letter dated 3rd April 2017 confirms this (see BP28). Furthermore SD14 identifies at paragraph 3.3 that the council has reflected Yorkshire West Local Nature Partnership's statement of principles and priorities at paragraph 13.20 of the Strategy and Policies document and that the Council also discussed the South Pennine Moors Special Protection Area (SPA) with YWLNP at their meeting on January 5th 2016. SD14 demonstrates how Kirklees Council has undertaken the required level of co-operation with neighbouring authorities (such as the Peak Park Planning Authority) and other agencies concerned with the protection and conservation of the South Pennine moorlands (such as Natural England and YWLNP).

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **952436 Mrs Gillian Halliday**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1970**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - inadequate access of Laithe Bank Drive as it is very steep and does not get gritted in winter leading to cars parking on Dobb Top Road and traffic congestion. - Hinchcliffe Mill junior and Infant School is at capacity and no provision has been made for spaces - sewerage issues need to be addressed.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **952794 Mrs Karen Doherty**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2340**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - inadequate access, blind junctions, steep gradients, lethal in icy conditions - road network is narrow, without pavements and the additional traffic would put life's at risk - road safety - dangerous for school children - poor public transport means that people rely on a car - recommend a site visit in Winter months to see road conditions

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No Changes The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **952939 Ms Rachel Balen**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD120**

Soundness - Justified

It is unsound to plan for additional housing that would result in more cars, more school children (when the local school is already at capacity), more traffic and more congestion, with a resulting negative impact on land drainage and sewage systems, local tourism, the natural beauty of the area and wildlife as well as on the quality of daily life for local residents.

Council Response

No Change The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Council has strategically modelled the transport network (local highway, public transport and strategic road network) in order to assess the cumulative transport impact of the land use allocations in the draft Local Plan. The work identified locations on the highway network which are forecast to suffer increased delays

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

as a result of the proposals and the Council has devised an appropriate transport mitigation strategy. It is not considered therefore that the level of growth proposed would have a severe impact on Kirklees highway network that otherwise cannot be adequately mitigated against. See Transport Model Technical Paper. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. No objections received from technical consultees in relation to impact on biodiversity or habitats. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment.

Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 954119 Mrs Joanne Coldrick	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2279
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Insufficient weight has been given to the importance of using brownfield before green field.		
Soundness - Justified	The site is not justified on the grounds of: - extension of the village into areas never built on causing irreversible damage. - the area separates the village and future leisure use and should be preserved.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H626 from the Plan		
Council Response	No Change The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan.		

Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 954934 Mrs Caroline Caroline Adams	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD224
Soundness - Positively Prepared	There must be more sustainable sites within the Kirklees, in particular brownfield sites.		
Soundness - Justified	The inclusion of H626 is unsound. Traffic congestion in the village has increased over the past few years, particularly at school times. The proposed access will impact on the safety of children walking to school and bus stops. The village school is full to capacity and there is no room to expand. Building more houses will cause more hazards for children. Drainage and sewerage systems are inadequate to cope with the existing population. The development will have a detrimental visual impact on the area, on visitors and wildlife. The UDP states that the land should be designated as Provisional Open Land. Planning permission was refused in 1993 and the same reasons for refusal still stand.		
Proposed Change Requested	Remove site H626 from the plan.		
Council Response	No Change The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment. No objections received from technical consultees in relation to impact on biodiversity or habitats.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **955936**

Ms Anne Merewood

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1730**

Soundness - Justified

the site allocation should be deleted on the grounds of: - Highway safety - there is too much traffic already on a school route. Children are at risk from traffic. Traffic issues were the reason for the refusal of an application in 1993. - Highway capacity - the main road in Holmbridge is single file due to parked cars. - large development on a green field site - lack of school capacity and infrastructure to support development - impact on local beauty spot and tourism

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No Change. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **956094**

Mr Christopher Beardsell

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1729**

Soundness - Justified

The site allocation should be deleted on the grounds of: - the nature of the area including steep, narrow lanes means that future development is inappropriate - The Upper Holme Valley is a recreational and leisure asset. The increase in traffic will damage this. - Schools and other amenity provision is already overstretched. An increase in demand will be to the detriment of existing users.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No Change. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The site selection process as set out in the Local Plan methodology statement Part 2 identifies the process for assessing the suitability of individual sites. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **956366**

Elizabeth Hinchliffe

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1948**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Brownfield sites should be used for development without taking any more green belt.

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - roads and services cannot take any more houses - the area has had too much expansion already.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Site H626 is not currently green belt. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies) Policy PLP3 Location of new development and PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft lists a variety of measures which aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **957414**

Mrs Angela Matijevic

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3296**

Soundness - Justified

The site is unsound on the grounds of: - it will create an unacceptable highway risk as it will generate significant movement of traffic on minor roads that are steep, narrow and have poor visibility leading to an increase in accidents - there is insufficient infrastructure to support the development including: drainage and sewerage already under pressure, limited public transport, public highway capacity, local schools at capacity and no budget to expand - the full benefit of tourism cannot be realised if the character and beauty of the area is not protected.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment').

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **957745**

Mr Mark Guymer

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1222**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

There are so many derelict places in Huddersfield and surrounding areas that need re-developing to help the housing situation.

Soundness - Justified

Objection to site H626 due to lack infrastructure, development of a greenfield site, effect on the environment; increased pressure on the drainage and sewage system; traffic impact and schools at capacity. Roads are narrow and there is a lack of pavements which is dangerous for school children. Highway

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

safety is a problem in the area and an increase in traffic on Smithy Lane and Dobb Top Lane which have no pavement will increase the risk of accidents. In bad weather there are parking problems on Woodhead Road and highway safety concerns about Laithe Bank and Bankfield which are steep. Local wildlife and their habitat will be destroyed. There is no budget or further potential to expand school capacity and new houses in this catchment area (Hinchcliffe Mills - Perservance Mill) will lead to an increased demand for school places. A previous appeal in 1993 for housing on this site was dismissed due to the danger to road users. Since then there has been more housing and increased traffic

Soundness - Effective

The proposed housing will impact traffic in the area and in Holmfirth where traffic hold ups create major problems and is identified as one of the worst junctions in Kirklees. The increase in tourism is also putting extra pressure on the road system.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

Site H626 is not consistent with the National Planning Policy because it would generate significant movement of traffic on minor roads.

Council Response

No ChangeThe site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies) Policy PLP3 Location of new development and PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft lists a variety of measures which aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield Clinical Commissioning Groups to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **962219**

Mrs Hazel Kay

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1960**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

There are brownfield sites within the Holme Valley rather than green belt areas.

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - traffic congestion - increased traffic along Woodhead Road. It is already a bottleneck and would make A6024 dangerous - local schools are already at capacity. The development will generate additional journeys with parents driving children to schools.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Local Plan.

Council Response

No changelt should be noted that this site is not currently within the green belt. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies) Policy PLP3 Location of new development and PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft lists a variety of measures which aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **962833**

Mr George Greaves

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1728**

Soundness - Justified

The site allocation should be deleted on the grounds of: - Highway capacity - Dobb Top Road is very busy at all times. Potential conflict with walkers and cyclists - in the snow there are traffic issues and cars parked on the road - there has been enough development in the area already.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	No ChangeThe site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan.The site selection process as set out in the Local Plan methodology statement Part 2 identifies the process for assessing the suitability of individual sites.		
Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 963030 Mr Stephen Elvidge	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3270
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Kirklees has not undertaken early and meaningful engagement in accordance with NPPF paragraph 155 and 157 which states that local plans should be based on co-operation with public, voluntary and private sector organisations. It has ignored the objections raised at the draft stage.		
Soundness - Justified	Site H626 should be removed from the Draft local plan for the following reasons: - Highways/transport - inadequate access via Bankfield Drive or Laithe Bank Drive as narrow ans have steep gradients. These two roads form part of a dangerous 5 way junction with poor sight lines. There is no justification to increase use. It is not safe. - Dobb Top Road, Smithy Lane and Bank Lane are narrow, no footways, suffer from parked cars. - highway safety - children walking to school - the steep gradients of Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank Drive has resulted in cars sliding out of control. See photograph on Holmbridge Residents Group objection. Cars on parked on Dobb Top Road in bad weather increasing congestion. - planning permission for a larger development was turned down in 1993 since then car ownership has increased and further development has taken place impacting on the area - H725 has been rejected which is not consistent with H626 - Poor public transport leads to increase car use which goes against Kirklees aim of concentrating developments in areas where public transport can be effectively utilised. - intrusion into open land - the proposal is contrary to the Character Assessment, impacts on the Peak National Park and Holme Moss - impact on footpath - impact on biodiversity and habitats - capacity of Holmbridge School - there is no potential to expand - drainage and sewerage systems - there are springs running through the site which cause it to flood. Drains are at capacity While the need to provide new homes is acknowledged the benefits of developing this site are far outweighed by the compromise to public safety on an inferior road network, negative impact on the landscape and character of the area and the affect on biodiversity. The site is not sustainable.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.		
Council Response	No changeThe council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Three early engagement exercises were undertaken to inform the plan prior to consultation on the Draft Local Plan in accordance with NPPF paragraph 155. Feedback reports on early engagement were published on the website and form part of the council's Statement of Pre-Consultation. This document provides full details on the consultation process and how comments were considered as part of the preparation of the Publication draft Local Plan. A wide range of processes were used to inform stakeholders of the Local Plan, including letters/emails to everyone on the database, advertisements/press releases in the local press, information provided to local councillors to undertake consultation in their own areas, focus groups, drop is sessions and a summary booklet in key locations.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The planning application subject to a dismissed appeal (92/04281) covered a larger area and had a higher dwelling capacity than this site. Housing option H724 is a larger option than H626 and equates to the existing UDP designation of Provisional Open Land. This is a site of 1.82 hectares with a capacity of 66 dwellings. This level of increase was not considered acceptable given the nature of the surrounding road network.The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable travel through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate.The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to this site. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment. Natural England is content with the conclusions of the HRA (SD10), i.e. that the plan will not have adverse effects on the integrity of the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) or the Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA as a result of the loss of functionally connected land for SPA birds. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1).

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **963726 Mr Jon Swallow**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1426**

Soundness - Justified

The plan is unsound in respect of the inclusion of site H626 on the grounds that the local roads are unsuitable for additional traffic, highway safety and local infrastructure is already overstretched. Road access to Laithe Avenue is already challenging, with narrow country roads and steep hills. Bank Lane, Smithy Lane and Dobb Top Road are on a school route; have no pedestrian walkways; and are also narrow. Additional traffic would be dangerous. Access to Laithe Avenue is via very steep roads which have seen accidents in the past during snow and ice. There are signs of severe subsidence on Smithy Lane and Dobb Top Road. Drains cannot cope in winter months due to the already high demand. There have also been instances of sewerage failing in the past. The local road network struggles to cope with a combination of parked cars, through traffic and popular cycling routes in the area. The school is already at capacity with no scope to expand.

Proposed Change Requested

Remove site H626 from the Local Plan.

Council Response

No changeThe site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **964645 Mrs Kirsty Phillips**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1967**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - pedestrian safety especially school children walking on narrow roads with no pavements to school. Any increase in cars will impact further. - highway capacity - roads are hazardous to pass, heavily congested and travelling along Woodhead Road is already problematic - Hinchcliffe Mill Junior School is at capacity - impact on wildlife - impact of construction vehicles on the area - drainage and sewage system is at capacity. Concern for health and safety

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **965288 Anne Bacon**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1945**

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified	The site is not justified on the grounds of: - pedestrian safety - there are narrow roads further restricted with parked cars, with no footways which are currently dangerous especially to school children and increased traffic will worsen the situation. The danger is all the way from Wood Head Road/Bank Lane, Dobb Top Road, Dobb Road, Co-op Lane to school, Brownhill Lane. - geographical nature of the area should restrict development, valley bottom, steep sides
Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.
Council Response	No ChangeThe site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan.The site selection process as set out in the Local Plan methodology statement Part 2 identifies the process for assessing the suitability of individual sites.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.

Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 965339 Mrs Pauline Hill	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3266
Soundness - Justified	The site is not justified on the grounds of: - the increase in the number of homes from 19 to 23 - impact on traffic and safety due to narrow roads, no pavements, parked cars and children walking to school - Laithe Bank and Bankfiare inadequate as narrow and steep - Bankfield Drive, Dobb Top Road, Smithy Lane and main Woodhead Road suffer from parked cars, poor sight lines and accidents - drainage and sewerage systems already under pressure - Hinchcliffe Mill School is full - the area cannot cope with any more housing as there are no facilities. - Holmfirth is the main area for facilities and has become a bottleneck.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.		
Council Response	No changeIn order to make efficient use of land the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies document (document ref SD1) at policy PLP 7 proposes an average density of 35 dwellings per hectare, which represents an increase in average density over that proposed in the draft plan.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.		

Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 965644 Richard Emmett	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1949
Soundness - Justified	This site is not justified on the grounds of: - sites access issues Bankfield Drive 1:5, Laithe Bank Drive 1:6 steep roads, already problems in snow - narrow roads to access A624, neither Smithy Lane or Dobb Top Road have footpaths and are used by school children, little scope to widen roads - junction of Bankfield/Laithe Bank/Smithy Lane/Dobb Top Road is not level and has poor visibility - highway safety - increased traffic will impact on safety especially school children, parking on the road limits the width. Refusal of 1993 planning permission on dangers to traffic users. - landscape and biodiversity - intrusion into open land is a direct opposite statement of landscape Character Assessment July 2015 - site is within 600m of the Peak District national Park. Any development will impact on recreational amenities and natural beauty - Yorkshire Water were forced to develop an underground site in 1995 to ensure no impact. This is in direct sight of H626 - impact on biodiversity within South Pennine Moor SPA - infrastructure is not in place - public transport is limited drainage issues and sewage system at capacity school is at capacity lack of access to public health care		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The site allocation is contrary to the aims of NPPF specifically paragraphs 17, 28, 29, 30, 35, 37, 72, 75, 109, 110, 155 and 157. It will not enable sustainable development.		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.		
Council Response	No ChangeThe site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant		

intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The Landscape Character Assessments cover large areas of land and does not provide an assessment of specific pieces of land. There are existing residential properties on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Avenue which can be seen from local vantage points and development of H626 would be set against that backdrop. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment. No objections received from technical consultees in relation to impact on biodiversity or habitats. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Plan supports sustainable travel through Policy DLP 20 sustainable travel. Public Rights of Way will be considered through the planning application process to ensure such rights of way are maintained through the area.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **965947**

Mr R.M. Green

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3284**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

The council should be looking at suitable brownfield sites as an alternative

Soundness - Justified

The site is unsound on the grounds of: - the number of accidents would increase significantly on Laithe bank and Bankfield Drive. Accidents occur in poor weather conditions. - access to the A6024 is difficult due to residents parking - the area is used by horse riders, cyclists and walkers. safety would be impacted upon. - the existing highway network is limited and unsuited to further development. there is no scope to improve footpaths, roads and safety - tourism will suffer if the area becomes more congested and spoil the character of the area - impact on wildlife and loss of habitats - drainage and sewerage systems inadequate - public transport is poor - schools cannot cope and again the character and scale would be at variance with a village community - understand the need for homes but this site should not be used.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The proposal is not consistent with NPPF as it would generate significant movement on traffic on minor roads

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan Look at brownfield sites as an alternative

Council Response

No change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA). Natural England is content with the conclusions of the HRA (SD10), i.e. that the plan will not have adverse effects on the integrity of the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) or the Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA as a result of the loss of functionally connected land for SPA birds. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). The impact of development on

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable travel through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies).

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **967433 Mr Matthew Phillips**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1964**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - safety - the development of the site will create an unacceptable safety risk especially pedestrian safety - impact on wildlife - impact on highway network - impact on tourism - drainage/sewage capacity and impact on health and safety - unsuitable access due to narrow and already difficult for cars to pass

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref 40.1). Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment').

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **967434 Mr Gary Phillips**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1966**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - pedestrian safety - especially school children as there are no pavements - construction vehicles will be unable to access the site - Hinchcliffe Mill School is at capacity - problem of parked cars on Woodhead road. The area cannot accommodate additional vehicles

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **967805 DM & GAC Fisher**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1956**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - heightened risk to safety during the construction of the site to pedestrians by construction machinery using steep, narrow roads with no footways. - increased risk to pedestrian safety resulting from additional vehicles including walkers and cyclists - negative and destructive impact on a greenfield site and the Holme Valley Trail - impact on wildlife and habitats and nearby SSSI - impact on drainage and sewerage - adverse impact and alteration to the visual coherence of this historic village and heritage architecture

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area, in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment. Natural England is content with the conclusions of the HRA (SD10), i.e. that the plan will not have adverse effects on the integrity of the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) or the Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA as a result of the loss of functionally connected land for SPA birds. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in Policy PLP28 (SD1, Strategy and Policies) once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. Any planning application received will be assessed against relevant Local Plan policies including PLP 24 'Design', which ensures that the form, scale, layout and details of all development respects and enhances the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape. (Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies document ref SD1).

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **968194**

Mrs Maria Hodgkinson

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD181**

Legally Compliant

Any discussions between Kirklees Planning and public and private organisations such as the Peak Park Authority, Historic England, Ramblers Association, Natural England, Campaign for Rural England etc. should have been minuted and appended to the current Local Plan.

Soundness - Positively Prepared

- The fact that there are few differences between the original plan (November 2015) and the latest plan (November 2016) for Site H626 , suggests that the cooperation of the general public taking part in this consultation (87 comments) has been merely a paper exercise.

Soundness - Justified

- Issues in relation to road safety due to suitability of site access which would either feed onto an accident hotspot or use a steep narrow lane with no footpath provision and where parking is a problem creating poor visibility for road users - Concerned about the additional traffic generated by H626 would have on the nearby school - Smithy Lane , Dobb Top Road and Dobb Lane form part of National Cycle Route No 68. These roads thus have above average use by cyclists visiting the Holme Valley. - Impact upon the setting of the Peak Park - Impact upon the health and well-being of people - Impact upon the economy via reduced tourism opportunities - Site is adjacent to the green belt - Site H626 is only 60metres away from Local Wildlife Site LWS73 and would have an impact on this area - Negative impact upon the conservation area and listed buildings - The assessment of public health appears to have been applied inconsistently - Local sewer system does not have the capacity to cope

Council Response

No ChangeThe council's duty to co-operate statement sets out details of meetings and outcomes with DtC bodies. Comments received from Technical consultees on site allocations are set out accepted/rejected technical appraisals. All comments made at the draft stage and Publication stage were available to view on the council's consultation portal - objection. The statement of pre-submission consultation also sets out representations received. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment. No objections received from technical consultees in relation to impact on biodiversity or habitats. The public health assessment was incorrect for H626 in the Publication Draft Local Plan and will be amended. However, this amendment does not change the overall assessment of this site. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **968336**

Holme Valley Vision

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2513**

Soundness - Justified

There is no rational justification for increase in density of this site.

Council Response

No changeIn order to make efficient use of land the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies document (document ref SD1) at policy PLP 7 proposes an average density of 35 dwellings per hectare, which represents an increase in average density over that proposed in the draft plan.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & DesignationsParagraph/Site: **H626**Consultee: **968726 mr Benny Parker**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2343****Soundness - Justified**

The site is unsound on the grounds of: - substantial increase in traffic on already congested roads - pedestrian safety - impact of increased cars on safety of elderly and children - traffic congestion already from parked cars on road - previous planning refusal in 1993 on traffic grounds - drainage and sewerage at capacity - floodrisk

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The planning application subject to a dismissed appeal (92/04281) covered a larger area and had a higher dwelling capacity than this site. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1).

Paragraph/Site: **H626**Consultee: **968746 Mrs Paulina Parker**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3582****Soundness - Justified**

This development will lead to a substantial increase in the number of cars using the already congested narrow roads. These roads are used by a number of pedestrians, leading to a safety risk. There is a lot of on street parking in the area reducing the roads to single file traffic. This site was considered for planning permission in 1993 but it was refused by the Dept of the Environment due to the considered danger to road users - this has not changed. Additional development will put a strain on existing drainage and sewage systems.

Proposed Change Requested

Remove this site from the Local Plan.

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The planning application subject to a dismissed appeal (92/04281) covered a larger area and had a higher dwelling capacity than this site. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**Consultee: **969134 Mr Merlin Ash**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3835****Legally Compliant**

Natural England disagrees with the screening assessments in table 4.4 of the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) report with regards to the following sites as we do not consider that there is sufficient certainty or evidence to rule out likely significant effects on the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) and Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA with regards to loss of functionally linked land for golden plover and curlew. Appendix 4 identifies habitat on site suitable for golden plover. Natural England advise Allocations should be screened through the Appropriate Assessment stage. For further correspondence relating to this representation see Core Document 'Correspondence received from Statutory Consultees after the Regulation 19 Publication Draft Local Plan Consultation'.

Soundness - Justified

Natural England disagrees with the screening assessments in table 4.4 of the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) report with regards to the following sites as we do not consider that there is sufficient certainty or evidence to rule out likely significant effects on the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) and Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA with regards to loss of functionally linked land for golden plover and curlew. Appendix 4 identifies habitat on site suitable for golden plover. Natural England advise Allocations should be screened through the Appropriate Assessment stage. For further correspondence relating to this representation see Core Document 'Correspondence received from Statutory Consultees after the Regulation 19 Publication Draft Local Plan Consultation'.

Proposed Change Requested

Natural England advise Allocations should be screened through the Appropriate Assessment stage.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	No changeNatural England is content with the conclusions of the HRA (SD10), i.e. that the plan will not have adverse effects on the integrity of the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) or the Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA as a result of the loss of functionally connected land for SPA birds. Their letter dated 3rd April 2017 confirms this (see BP28).		
Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 969324 MR Sam Turner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1950
Soundness - Justified	The site is not justified on the grounds of: - inadequate access Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank are extremely steep, serviced by narrow roads - lack of capacity at Hinchcliffe Mill Junior and Infant School which is already struggling to cope		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.		
Council Response	No ChangeThe site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.		
Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 970937 Mr Bob Davis	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1234
Soundness - Justified	The plan is not sound because on the inclusion of site H626. There are concerns that the road system is inadequate (danger to safety); there are no places at the local school: A & E potentially moving to Halifax much further away, inadequate drainage and sewerage systems.		
Proposed Change Requested	Site H626 should be scrapped.		
Council Response	No ChangeThe site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield Clinical Commissioning Groups to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.		
Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 971120	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1758
Soundness - Positively Prepared	The council have not listened to the views of the community		
Soundness - Justified	Highways safety is a great concern: 23 new homes means 40+ more cars and there are no footways or verges on roads leading from the A6024 to the site. Smithy Lane and Dobb Top Lane leading to the site is a Rural School route. The walking bus for the local school uses this road as well as school children walking to the primary school or accessing public transport to secondary school. Residential on street parking limits many secondary access roads to single file traffic. Bank Lane, Smithy Lane and Dobb Top Road are old cart roads and cannot be widened. Agricultural vehicles and horseriders make the roads busier. A well used West Yorkshire Cycle Route runs along Dobb Top Road which leads to the site. Bankfield Drive has a gradient of 1:5 and Laithe Bank 1:6, before joining narrow Dobb Top Road with no vertical platform. The main exit route is via Smithy Lane with no visibility as a left hand turn is made from Bankfield Drive. The alternative route goes along narrow twisty roads past Hinchcliffe Mill School including severe vertical alignment issues and restricted visibility at the junction with the A6024. Access for emergency vehicles is already restricted. Other sites using the same access roads have been deemed "unsuitable for any intensification of use" e.g. SL2188 which would use the same access roads. Photographs have been provided in the main representation. The gradient of local		

roads causes additional problems during periods of inclement weather with cars sliding down steep slopes. In bad weather there are not enough passable roads for residents from both sides of the A6024 to park safely. Wider congestion beyond the site on the A6024 entering Holmfirth and exacerbated by tourist attractions in the local area with no improvements proposed to road infrastructure in the area for the next 15 years. Building of houses on this site was previously turned down by Kirklees and an Inspector for the Department of Environment because it would create an “increased hazard to other road users and pedestrians”. Since this time over 135 new houses have been built in Holmbridge including 38 along the minor road network on the H626 side of the valley. Very limited access to public transport in the area and not commercially viable to extend the bus services. The site is within 600 metres of the Peak District National Park and development of H626 would be detrimental to the character of the surrounding area and destroy the visual amenity. Yorkshire Water treatment works had to be built underground to preserve this very view – Europe’s first underground treatment works. A well used public footpath runs along the top of the field providing views of the landscape. Potential impacts on tourism. The proposal would be detrimental to the biodiversity of the area. The site is within the 2.5km buffer of the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area and is a habitat for many species of wildlife therefore should be protected from development. The site is in close proximity to Local Wildlife Sites. Other concerns relate to drainage of the land (large spring running through the site causing flooding to the fields in wet weather), inadequate school places (school is full and no potential to expand) and access to health services (doctor and dentist capacity and lack of local access to a hospital).

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

Contrary to the NPPF, specifically paragraphs 17 (core planning principles), 28 (supporting a prosperous rural economy), 29, 30, 35, 37 ((promoting sustainable transport), 72, 75 (promoting healthy communities), 109, 110 (conserving and enhancing the natural environment), 155, 157 (local plans) and is unsustainable. Consultation approach inconsistent with NPPF paragraph 155 and 157.

Proposed Change Requested Council Response

Delete H626 from the Kirklees Local Plan

No change. The council’s Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. The planning application subject to a dismissed appeal (92/04281) covered a larger area and had a higher dwelling capacity than this site. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Localised mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 102) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 sustainable travel. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area, in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and have not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **971482**

James Emmett

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3292**

Soundness - Justified

The plan is unsound on the grounds of: - increased traffic and increased risk of accidents - access to the site via narrow roads with no room for footways and all junctions have reduced visibility, congestion due to parked cars and agricultural vehicles - pedestrian safety - increased risk to school children and pedestrians - increased volume of traffic on the A6024 due to previous developments totalling 200 houses of which 135 in Holmbridge or Hinchcliffe Mill. - Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank Drive have steep gradients and are often closed in icy weather - inconsistent approach - 6 other sites in area have been rejected due to transport and access - previous planning application refused on traffic grounds - additional 38 houses already built impact on minor roads including traffic from Holmfirth Vineyard and Coddys Farm - site is on the edge of the Drak Peak National park and building would diminish recreational amenity - drainage is poor - Hinchcliffe Mill School is full to capacity - access to public transport is limited which means reliance on car - public health - nearest

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

health care 3 miles away. Will services be able to cope with increased demand - sewerage systems at capacity - biodiversity - loss of habitats The views of the Holmbridge Residents Group are supported

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No changeEvery site underwent a technical assessment in accordance with the site allocation methodology, including a detailed site specific assessment of the potential for the site to be safely accessed from an adopted highway and potential impact on the local highway network (Local Plan Methodology Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology document ref BP23). The outcomes of those assessments for the rejected options are set out in the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan Rejected Site Options Report (document ref LE4). Other sites in this locality have been rejected due to their specific access arrangements which included site specific constraints or a lack of capacity for intensification of use at their specific point of access. Highways assessments show that site access to H626 is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The planning application subject to a dismissed appeal (92/04281) covered a larger area and had a higher dwelling capacity than this site. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA). Natural England is content with the conclusions of the HRA (SD10), i.e. that the plan will not have adverse effects on the integrity of the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) or the Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA as a result of the loss of functionally connected land for SPA birds.The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable travel through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies).

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **972563**

Jonathon Saunders

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1405**

Soundness - Justified

Our Society conducts fairly regular walks in the Holme Valley. We are concerned that this particular area of the valley, which on its North side is already overly and haphazardly developed, will become similarly spoilt by further housing. We have tended to take the footpath from Dobb in a southwesterly direction up to Crow Hill. The views, amenity and wildlife habitats in this locality will be irretrievably spoilt by the expanding borders of habitation.

Proposed Change Requested

We would therefore ask you not to approve this development.

Council Response

No ChangeThe balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Local Plan contains policies within section 13 to protect the natural environment. PLP24 Design criterion h seeks to ensure that development contributes towards enhancement of the natural environment, supports biodiversity and connects to and enhances ecological networks and green infrastructure.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **974456**

Marguerite Elvidge

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3271**

Soundness - Justified

The site is unsound on the grounds of: - highway safety - children walking to Holmfirth High School have to go down Smithy lane and Bank lane to catch the school bus. Primary school children have to walk along Smithy lane and Dobb Top Road - the junction with Bankfield Drive and Iaithe Bank Drive is already an accident spot.These roads have no footpaths and are full of parked cars - previous application refused in 1993 and since then the minor roads have got busier - building more houses on the top of bankfield Drive is irresponsible - in poor weather conditions, cars slide on Bankfield Drive

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response No change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The planning application subject to a dismissed appeal (92/04281) covered a larger area and had a higher dwelling capacity than this site.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **990129**

Mr Jordan Halliday

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1961**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - Hinchcliffe Mill Junior School is at capacity - pedestrian safety especially school children, increased risk from additional traffic - poor transport links and cars already double parked on Woodhead Road. - many people currently access Laithe Avenue and Bankfield Drive from Laithe Bank Drive due to steepness of Bankfield Drive. In poor weather, cars park on Smithy Land, Dobb Top and Woodhead Road development at Hinchcliffe Mill is already putting pressure on failing infrastructure - sewerage capacity is already a problem

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1037460**

Mr Ian Macdonald

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD31**

Soundness - Effective

Access to this site is through a small housing estate with very steep inclines. The vehicular traffic associated with construction will cause considerable disruption, as will traffic arising from new residents on completion. Road safety / traffic issues on Smithy Lane, Bank Lane and Woodhead Road.

Proposed Change Requested

If this development is to proceed then the parking situation needs to be resolved, particularly the double parking on Woodhead Road which could be cured immediately by placing Double Yellow Lines along the affected stretches to limit parking to one side of the road or the other.

Council Response

No Change Site access is achievable and a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1043850**

Mr William Nightingale

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD98**

Soundness - Justified

The development of this site cannot be delivered safely and will create an unacceptable highway safety risk, is not the most appropriate strategy for the District and will not promote sustainable development and is incapable of being implemented positively due to inadequate infrastructure.

Soundness - Effective

The development of this site cannot be delivered safely and will create an unacceptable highway safety risk, is not the most appropriate strategy for the District and will not promote sustainable development and is incapable of being implemented positively due to inadequate infrastructure.

Proposed Change Requested

Remove the site from the plan

Council Response

No Change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1044675**

Mr Damien Kelly

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD106**

Soundness - Justified

Impact on landscape character, unsuitable roads and infrastructure, danger to children, locals and tourists, damage to tourism and recreation, damage to local employment, not sustainable development and not a viable or deliverable site for development

Soundness - Effective

Impact on landscape character, unsuitable roads and infrastructure, danger to children, locals and tourists, damage to tourism and recreation, damage to local employment, not sustainable development and not a viable or deliverable site for development

Proposed Change Requested

Remove the site from the plan.

Council Response

No Change The council considers that the allocation of this site is consistent with the site selection methodology. Highways assessments show that site access is

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1044979 Colin Davis**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1946**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - roads are narrow and steep - impact of increased traffic on local school and children's safety

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No Change. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1045755 mr nigel brewerton**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD133**

Soundness - Justified

The plan is unsound because the development would vastly increase traffic using Dobb Top Road which in its present form is single carriage winding around valley contours, existing property & subsequent residents parked cars. Children & parents walk along this road to Hinchliffe Mill School which is so narrow that a pavement is absent.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

Site H626 is not consistent with National Planning Policy which aspires to not increase traffic on minor roads.

Proposed Change Requested

There are 'Brownfield Sites' in the area that could be developed, i.e. (a) Old mill site at the end of Water Street with access onto A6024 and (b) Bottoms Mill area.

Council Response

No Change. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through: the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites; the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate; the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate; preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites; use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites; creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1046056 Anne Rigden**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1732**

Soundness - Justified

The site allocation should be rejected on the grounds of: - Highway capacity - Dobb Top Road is too narrow to allow footpaths for pedestrian safety and to accommodate additional volumes of traffic. In the Winter, vehicles already have difficulty getting up steep hills and parking. Heavy vehicles cause vibration.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No Change. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: **H626**Consultee: **1046065 Elaine Beardsell**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3276****Soundness - Justified**

The site is unsound on the grounds of: - the Holme Valley in its geographical nature is ill suited to development as the land is steep, poorly drained with steep, narrow lanes - road improvements/water drainage improvements would need to take place prior to development - there is no room for expansion for a new school to accommodate new children the doctors are already overstretched - the development will impact on the leisure/tourism industry - impact on ramblers and cyclists

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No ChangeThe balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan.The site selection process as set out in the Local Plan methodology statement Part 2 identifies the process for assessing the suitability of individual sites.Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**Consultee: **1046309 Jean Greaves**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1726****Soundness - Justified**

The site allocation should be deleted on the grounds of: - Dobb Top Road is very busy at all times of the day. Conflict with traffic and walkers and cyclists. - When it snows there are traffic issues and all the cars park on Dobb Top Road - there is enough development in the area already

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No ChangeThe site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**Consultee: **1046708 Mrs Katy Nightingale**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD184****Soundness - Positively Prepared**

The development of this site is not the most appropriate strategy for the District and will not promote sustainable development. Homes should be concentrated in areas where there is scope to improve infrastructure and services. There is no means to implement highway safety improvements to reduce the dangers associated with the alignment, gradients and poor visibility of the local roads in the immediate area. The Local Plan does not make provision for any change in road infrastructure. There is no scope for improvements such as road widening, footpath improvements or increase in off-street parking, even if funds were available. Development will impact on tourism and would detract from the character and scale of Holmbridge, destroying the visual and recreational amenity of the area. H626 is a greenfield site which borders the greenbelt and has outstanding uninterrupted open views to the Peak District National Park, Holme Moss and Saddleworth Moor. The land links to the Special Protection Area for wildlife and birds and is a habitat for many species of wildlife. Brownfield sites should be developed in priority over greenfield sites.

Soundness - Justified

The plan is unsound in respect of the inclusion of Site H626. The site cannot be delivered safely and will create an unacceptable highway safety risk. The local road network is already congested. Increased traffic would result in an unacceptable risk of accidents, danger due to the steep gradients and unsuitability of local roads, danger to school children and more congestion on the A6024. The development of this site is incapable of being implemented positively due to inadequate infrastructure. Drainage and sewage systems are already under pressure. The local road network cannot feasibly be improved and there are no

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

improvements included in the plan. Public transport is very limited and it is not commercially viable to increase the bus service to Holme Valley. The school is currently already at capacity and there is no budget or further potential to expand. The impact of many new builds in the catchment area will already lead to demand for school places. It is unsound to not plan for more local school places in the Holmbridge area. There will not be a continued "decreasing trend in primary and secondary" school place demand should 1400 houses be built in the Holme Valley. It is unsound to say that children can travel out of the area to available school places - this would again cause increased congestion on our roads.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The proposal is not consistent with National Planning Policy because it would generate significant movement of traffic on minor roads. Danger to road users was sufficient to warrant dismissal of a previous appeal against the refusal of planning permission by Kirklees in 1993. The access roads intersect at acute angles and there is poor visibility at junctions. Accidents would increase. In winter weather, the steep gradients mean that roads to the site are treacherous and frequently impassable.

Proposed Change Requested Council Response

Reject housing site H626.

No change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The planning application subject to a dismissed appeal (92/04281) covered a larger area and had a higher dwelling capacity than this site. The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable travel through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies) Policy PLP3 Location of new development and PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft lists a variety of measures which aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to this site. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1047487 Mr Kevin Lamb**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD233**

Soundness - Justified

2 objections: 1) Our local economy strongly relies on our country side. It is indeed one of our strongest assets and once we lose our green belt then the long term security of our local economy is at a high risk of decline. 2) The area is already stretched to the limit due to its infrastructure. There is no possibility of widening narrow roads with very difficult gradients (Coop Lane being one example).

Proposed Change Requested Council Response

Improve existing infrastructure.

No change The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). This site is not currently designated as green belt. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1047923 Keith Hinchliffe**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2338**

Legally Compliant

Support the Holmbridge Residents Group PDLP_AD1758

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Positively Prepared Support the Holmbridge Residents Group PDLP_AD1758

Soundness - Justified Support the Holmbridge Residents Group PDLP_AD1758

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy Support the Holmbridge Residents Group PDLP_AD1758

Proposed Change Requested Delete allocation H626

Council Response No change
The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. The planning application subject to a dismissed appeal (92/04281) covered a larger area and had a higher dwelling capacity than this site. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Localised mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 102) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP 20 sustainable travel. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area, in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and have not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield Clinical Commissioning Groups to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1047931 Barbara Carling**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3301**

Soundness - Positively Prepared There are other brownfield sites close to the main road and public transport system e.g. Victoria Mills

Soundness - Justified The site is unsound on the grounds of: - access from Holmbridge - tortuous and on single carriageway road: from Hinchcliffe Mill includes a hazardous entry/exit at Coop Lane and an even more tortuous route past Hinchcliffe Mill School. Both routes converge at Laithe Bank which is very steep. - the topography of the area precludes road improvements - the siting of the development is away from public transport - recent developments already impact on Holmfirth and parking - a study should be made of the available school places, access to GPs and dental surgeons - lack of local services places pressure on Holmfirth

Proposed Change Requested Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response No change
The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies) Policy PLP3 Location of new development and PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft lists a variety of measures which aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable travel through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The Local Plan is

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield Clinical Commissioning Groups to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1047932 Philip Carling**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3297**

Soundness - Positively Prepared There are other brownfield sites close to the main road and public transport system e.g. Victoria Mills

Soundness - Justified The site is unsound on the grounds of: - access from Holmbridge - tortuous and on single carriageway road: from Hinchcliffe Mill includes a hazardous entry/exit at Coop Lane and an even more tortuous route past Hinchcliffe Mill School. Both routes converge at Laithe Bank which is very steep. - the topography of the area precludes road improvements - the siting of the development is away from public transport - recent developments already impact on Holmfirth and parking - a study should be made of the available school places, access to GPs and dental surgeons - lack of local services places pressure on Holmfirth

Proposed Change Requested Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response No changeThe Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies) Policy PLP3 Location of new development and PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft lists a variety of measures which aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable travel through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield Clinical Commissioning Groups to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1048102 Natalie Sykes**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1952**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Develop all the disused and derelict existing housing and developed sites around the area instead

Soundness - Justified The site is not justified on the grounds of: - lack of school capacity - current parking and traffic situation in Hinchcliffe Mill and Holmbridge with points along Woodhead Road reduced to single file due to parked cars - the amount of housing will blight the beautiful, rural aspect of the village - flood risk due to the continued loss of green space - drainage and sewerage capacity

Proposed Change Requested Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response No ChangeThe Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through:the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites;the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate;the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan.The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The site is within EA flood zone 1. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.

Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 1048103	Martin Sykes	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1951
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Green field sites should not be used for development.			
Soundness - Justified	The site is not justified on the grounds of: - poor access to the site - poor road infrastructure - high volume of traffic that uses the roads to access an already over populated area.			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.			
Council Response	No ChangeThe Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district 's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in Policy PLP3 Location of new development, PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft states that the council will aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes through:the use of Local Development Orders on brownfield sites;the use of Compulsory Purchase orders to help bring forward key sites/sreas, where appropriate;the potential relaxations of Section 106 and a review of the CIL charging schedule to help bring forward brownfield land where appropriate;preparation of a brownfield land strategy and masterplans for key brownfield sites;use of funding regimes to remediate/pump prime stalled sites/difficult sites;creation of local housing and employment/enterprise zones where the financial receipt of greenfield development can be reinvested into subsidising brownfield sites (brownfield fund) and infrastructure.The Council has strategically modelled the transport network (local highway, public transport and strategic road network) in order to assess the cumulative transport impact of the land use allocations in the draft Local Plan. The work identified locations on the highway network which are forecast to suffer increased delays as a result of the proposals and the Council has devised an appropriate transport mitigation strategy. It is not considered therefore that the level of growth proposed would have a severe impact on Kirklees highway network that otherwise cannot be adequately mitigated against. See Transport Model Technical Paper.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.			

Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 1048775	Catherine Moore	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3302
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Why build on greenfield sites and spoil the character and beauty of the local area. Use brownfield sites which are available.			
Soundness - Justified	The site is unsound on the grounds of: - increase in traffic and congestion on already congested, narrow roads around Bankfield Drive, Dobb Top Road and Laithe Bank Drive. - access - this would be via a steep incline and difficult in winter where roads become treacherous - local school is full to capacity -			
Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H626 from the Plan			
Council Response	No changeThe Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district s housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies) Policy PLP3 Location of new development and PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft lists a variety of measures which aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1049221 Miss J Boyes**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD435**

Soundness - Justified

The development would cause an already congested road/village to increase with an extra 40+ vehicles. There are highway safety concerns, including narrow and steep roads and bends on a school route which are dangerous. Roads are already narrow due to parking at both sides of the road meaning single file traffic and this would be made worse. Schools in Holmbridge are small and would struggle to accommodate extra children in the area meaning residents would struggle to get their children in to a local school and may have to travel further. The site is next to houses which are all individually different and built in different ways so building 23 new houses that are the same would not keep in keeping with these. The land is steep ground so drainage would be a problem.

Council Response

No change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. Local Plan policy PLP24 'Design' at criteria a states that all proposals should promote good design by ensuring the form, scale, layout and details of all development respects and enhances the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1049499 Bernard Marson**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3295**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - Bankfield and Laithe Bank Drive are steeply aligned from north to south and become blocked in wintry conditions forcing cars to park on Dobb Top Road causing congestion. - sewers are already at capacity and could not accommodate further housing.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the plan.

Council Response

No change Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1049502 Vivian Lamb**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3294**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Question why green belt sites are being used for development. Alternatives should be used such as old mills, disused waste land and empty disused housing. Why have 89 objections already submitted dismissed.

Soundness - Justified

The site is unsound on the grounds of: - village is already under pressure from high levels of traffic with no possibility to widen roads, improvement alignment or gradients. Poor visibility is also a problem - the road infrastructure is inadequate due to congestion from parked cars, narrow and traffic volume - Pedestrian safety - school children and issue of increased volume of traffic related to school - Why is the countryside being torn up when we should be protecting it for tourism

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change It should be noted that this site is not within the green belt. The Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies)

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Policy PLP3 Location of new development and PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft lists a variety of measures which aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment').

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1049511 Robert Machon**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3293**

Soundness - Justified

The site is unsound on the grounds of: - highway capacity - the area is already busy especially opposite the school. There is too much traffic in small roads.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1049565 Mrs Margaret Parr**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD643**

Soundness - Justified

The roads are very steep, narrow and have no pavements. As the road is used by children walking to the local school there is an increased chance of accidents. This situation is aggravated by residential parking, as many houses do not have off road parking. The main road through Hinchliffe Mill is a problem now, with single file traffic being the norm. Previously no further houses were built on this site because the sewage and drainage system could not cope with any increase. I am not aware that new pipes have been installed and this would lead to increased disruption for local residents. A large number of houses have been built in Holmbridge over recent years and even more development would detract from the visual appeal. The land is near the National Park and lots of walking routes. More housing would lead to a need for more school places. The local school has been expanded but is still full and there is no real potential to expand further. This problem also applies to the local high school, who have increased numbers applying for places but no budget promised to allow them to expand.

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to this site.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1049591 Tim Kay**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2065**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - additional traffic both during and after construction roads on already heavily congested roads in Holme Valley - local school is at capacity public transport facilities are inadequate - more traffic will impact on walkers and cyclists - impact on wildlife and habitats

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

travel through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies).The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to this site. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1049669 Diann Quinn**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2266**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - increased traffic unacceptable due to safety and impact on school - traffic congestion - close to a number of rural beauty spots popular for recreational use - no scope to widen roads and footpaths - land adjacent to protected area for wildlife should be protected.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to this site. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1049672 Stuart Wood**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1954**

Soundness - Justified

The plan is not justified on the grounds of: - there is too much traffic - dangerous to children going to school - no pavement on road up to Bankfield Drive - roads are grid locked already - no chance of widening existing road for extra traffic - extra houses in Bankfield Drive would be chaos

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1049674 Lesley Cochrane**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1955**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Consultation with local residents appears not to have happened.

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - no consideration has been given to the local road infrastructure. The narrows are not of a standard to accommodate additional traffic - air pollution from additional vehicles - highway safety - impact of additional traffic on school children - impact on tourism and economy through loss of vistas - surplus of houses for sale, why are more needed

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No changeThe council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Three early engagement exercises were undertaken to inform the plan prior to consultation on the Draft Local Plan in accordance with NPPF paragraph 155. Feedback reports on early engagement were published on the website and form part of the council's Statement of Pre-Consultation. This document provides full details on the consultation process and how comments were considered as part of the preparation of the Publication draft Local Plan. A wide range of processes were used to inform stakeholders of the Local Plan, including letters/emails to everyone on the database, advertisements/press releases in the local press, information provided to local councillors to undertake consultation in their own areas, focus groups, drop in sessions and a summary booklet in key locations.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.Policy PLP 51 of the Local Plan Strategy and Policies document (SD1) states that development will be expected to demonstrate that it is not likely to result, directly or indirectly, in an increase in air pollution which would unacceptably affect or cause a nuisance to the natural and built environment or to people.The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). National planning policy requires each local authority to determine the extent of their housing market area and to meet their housing needs. Kirklees is a self-contained housing market area for local plan purposes and as such the Local Plan evidence base has assessed the housing needs for the district based on national household

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

projections with consideration of local economic aspirations. The Local Plan seeks to meet such housing needs. In any housing market, there will be homes for sale as part of the normal operation of the housing market.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1049750 Mr Irvin Horn**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD677**

Soundness - Justified

This plan is unsound because additional housing in this local area will result in an increase in traffic on narrow lanes that are already congested, with limited parking, particularly in winter weather conditions. The main Holmfirth to Holmbridge road is already reduced to a single lane for much of its length because current residents have no off-street parking and there is no capacity to remedy this. Access to the proposed site for emergency services is already problematic because of the congestion. The visibility at relevant road junctions (Dobb Top Lane / Co-op Lane and Old Road) is extremely poor - which has resulted in dangerous incidents in the past. The access roads to the proposed development are steep and already dangerous in winter when cars have often slid down and damaged the facing stone wall. This is a rural school route used by many parents and young children, and secondary school children walking to the bus stop, and there is no pavement or capacity to provide one. The proposed site is in a rural area, on a marked cycle route and on the edge of an area known for its scenic beauty and wildlife. This has led to the development of tourist facilities aimed at walkers and cyclists etc and a new housing site of this density would be out of place and would turn Holmbridge into an urban suburb of Holmfirth.

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to this site. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). The core cycling and walking network (as shown on the Policies Map) will be safeguarded and extended (Policy PLP 23, 'Core walking and cycling network'), thus protecting existing routes and providing further opportunities for leisure uses such as walking, cycling and horse riding. New proposals will be expected to integrate into the existing network by providing connecting links where appropriate.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1050153 Carla Rengifo**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1962**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - no change in infrastructure in the local area despite housing development in recent years. New development cannot be sustained - Hinchcliffe Mill Junior School is at capacity - pedestrian safety - the local roads have no pavements. Increased risk for parents and school children - highway capacity - roads cannot cope with additional traffic and parking on roads with particular problems in winter due to steepness. There is no scope to widened - drainage and sewerage capacity -

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan

Council Response

No changeThe impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

junction with Dobb Top Road.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1050154 Martin Halliday**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2060**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - access is inadequate - Laithe Bank Drive and Bankfield Drive are too steep and do not get gritted in bad weather so cars are left parked on the road making it single file and safety hazard as on a school route. - Hinchcliffe Mill is at capacity. Where would extra children go. - Public transport is poor so have to rely on a car which adds to congestion.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable travel through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies).

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1050156 George Beardsell**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1937**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - drainage and insufficient sewer capacity - highway capacity - Smithy Lane and main road restricted by parked cars, Laithe Bank and Bankfield Drive are too narrow - highway safety - Dobb Top Road and Smithy Lane have no footpaths and are narrow leading to safety issues for children and elderly. Hazard for horse riders

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1050158 Max Elvidge**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3275**

Soundness - Justified

The site is not sound on the grounds of: - highway safety - Smithy Lane and bank lane are used to walk down to catch the school bus. These roads are already busy and congested with parked cars, with no footpaths - Holmcliffe Mill Junior and Infant School cannot accommodate any more children as it is full - impact on the natural habitat and loss of views of the countryside - the K14 School bus from Holmfirth High School is already full.

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1050173 Joan Tweddle**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3287**

Soundness - Justified

The site is unsound on the grounds of: - access to the site is poor, narrow and with no pavements - pedestrian safety - increased risk due to more traffic

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.		
Council Response	No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.		
Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 1050177 Martin Tweddle	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3303
Soundness - Justified	The site is unsound on the grounds of: - access to the site is limited - there will be an increase in traffic along routes where there are dangerous junctions - school children will be at risk from increased traffic		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.Reduce the number of houses by 50%		
Council Response	No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.		
Paragraph/Site: H626	Consultee: 1050506 Anne Bennett	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2315
Soundness - Positively Prepared	Loss of a green field site which borders the green belt. Brownfield sites should be given priority.		
Soundness - Justified	The site is not justified on the grounds of: - the development of the site cannot be delivered safely and will create an unacceptable highway safety risk - highway capacity - the already congested narrow local road network cannot cope with 40+ extra cars. The steep gradients, camber and tight corners add danger as does the on road parking which reduces the width of the roads - single file road space due to parked cars Smithy lane, Dobb Top Road and Dobb Lane serve as Cycle route and rural school route. Increased cars adds to danger. - tourism is popular in the area which adds to the traffic - The main A6024 is reduced to single file due to parking		
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The proposal is not consistent with NPPF as it would generate significant movement of traffic on minor roads - the development of the site is not the most appropriate strategy for the district and will not promote sustainable development because homes should be concentrated in areas where there is scope to improve infrastructure and services - no provision has been made for changes in road infrastructure and the site is adjacent to a poor highway network unsuited to any intensification of use or scope to widen the road - development of the site would detract from the character and scale of Holmbridge and would destroy the visual and recreational amenity of the area. - impact on open views to the Peak National Park and Saddleworth Moor from the public footpath - impact on footpath - impact on wildlife and habitats - land links directly to the SSSI/SPA for ground nesting - the development of the site is incapable of being implemented positively due to inadequate infrastructure - drainage and sewerage systems are already under pressure - traffic congestion and bottlenecks already - limited public transport as an alternative - school is at capacity - the development of this site will create animal welfare issue - the site has been rented by us since 1999 and is an integral part of our land management and grazing regime. The development would be contrary to the Animal Welfare Act 2006 and there must be 30 metres between stables and buildings - the footpath would need to fenced off to protect public from panicking horses		
Proposed Change Requested	Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.		
Council Response	No changeThe Local Plan seeks to encourage the development of brownfield sites but acknowledges that a brownfield only approach will not meet the district's housing and employment land requirements alone, meaning that greenfield and green belt sites and land also need to play a role in meeting these needs. The encouragement of brownfield sites is also set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies) Policy PLP3 Location of new development and PLP7 Effective and Efficient use of land and buildings. Paragraph 6.41 of the Publication Draft lists a variety of measures which aim to maintain a reasonable supply of brownfield land for new jobs and homes.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield Clinical Commissioning Groups to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable travel		

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies).The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to this site. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen planting should be provided as mitigation. Natural England is content with the conclusions of the Habitats Regulation Assessment (SD10), i.e. that the plan will not have adverse effects on the integrity of the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) or the Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA as a result of the loss of functionally connected land for SPA birds.The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. In addition, policy PLP24 ‘Design’ (document ref SD1) at criteria states that any development should provide a high standard of amenity for future and neighbouring occupiers, including maintaining appropriate distances between buildings.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1059638 Amanda Barden**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1398**

Soundness - Justified

Site access is poor. Smithy Lane and Dobb Top Road are rural school routes, are narrow and have multiple vehicles parked on them, causing restricted access. The area, including Holmfirth vineyard, attracts tourists – development may impact on this. The local school is already at capacity. Permission was refused here in 1993.

Council Response

No ChangeThe site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council’s site allocation methodology.Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Mitigation measures could include anti-skid road surfacing on Bankfield Drive and Laithe Bank at the junction with Dobb Top Road.The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, ‘Supporting the rural economy’) and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, ‘Design’; Policy PLP 35, ‘Historic environment’). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1060340 Mrs Michelle Green**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3283**

Soundness - Justified

The site is unsound on the grounds of: - the number of accidents would increase significantly on Laithe bank and Bankfield Drive. Accidents occur in poor weather conditions. - access to the A6024 is difficult due to residents parking - the area is used by horse riders, cyclists and walkers. safety would be impacted upon. - the existing highway network is limited and unsuited to further development. there is no scope to improve footpaths, roads and safety - tourism will suffer if the area becomes more congested and spoil the character of the area - impact on wildlife and loss of habitats - drainage and sewerage systems inadequate - public transport is poor - schools cannot cope and again the character and scale would be at variance with a village community - understand the need for homes but this site should not be used.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The proposal is not consistent with NPPF as it would generate significant movement on traffic on minor roads

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H626 from the Plan.

Council Response

No changeHighways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, ‘Supporting the rural economy’) and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, ‘Design’; Policy PLP 35, ‘Historic environment’). The Peak District National Park has been consulted on the Local Plan and has not raised concerns in relation to site H626. In terms of impacts on Local Wildlife Sites, we have not received objections from technical consultees and West Yorkshire Ecology suggested screen

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

planting should be provided as mitigation. In terms of impacts on the South Pennine Moor Special Protection Area in combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation have been considered through the Kirklees Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA). Natural England is content with the conclusions of the HRA (SD10), i.e. that the plan will not have adverse effects on the integrity of the South Pennine Moors Phase 2 Special Protection Area (SPA) or the Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA as a result of the loss of functionally connected land for SPA birds. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is ongoing to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The balance of strengths and opportunities for growth and the challenges to growth are identified under the Place shaping – Kirklees Rural section of the Publication Plan. This has informed the spatial strategy for the Plan. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location. The Local Plan supports sustainable travel through PLP 20 Sustainable Travel (SD1 Strategy and Policies).

Paragraph/Site: **H626**

Consultee: **1062110 Mrs Wendy Asquith**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2500**

Soundness - Justified

- Unacceptable highways risk. Steepness and narrowness of Smithy Lane will not be able to cope with increased traffic. Extremely unsafe for children and pedestrians due to current road conditions and no footpath. - Junction of Bank Lane and junction of Dobb Top would be comprised further with increased traffic. - No plans to improve infrastructure on these roads. - Roads popular with walkers and cyclists, unacceptable safety risk. - Detriment to tourism which brings valuable income to area which is growing year on year due to area's character, charm and local beauty spots. Elements will be lost if plan goes ahead. - Surface water flooding on Bank Lane, proposed development will create more surface water issues and possible flooding. - Sewage and drainage already stretched in local area. No plans proposed to address the problem.

Proposed Change Requested

Remove site H626 from the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. The Kirklees Local Plan contains policies which will help to ensure that the needs of the rural tourism industry are positively supported (Policy PLP 10, 'Supporting the rural economy') and that development is sensitively designed to respect and enhance the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape (Policy PLP 24, 'Design'; Policy PLP 35, 'Historic environment'). Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application. The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan (Infrastructure Delivery Plan document ref LE40 and Infrastructure Delivery Plan Addendum 2016 document ref LE40.1).

Paragraph/Site: **H715**

Consultee: **943957 Mr Ian Smith**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3761**

Soundness - Justified

This area adjoins the boundary of the Netherthong/Deanhouse Conservation Area. The loss of this currently-open area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this area. The Council has a statutory duty under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay "special attention" to "the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance" of its Conservation Areas. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the statutory duty placed upon the Council under the provisions of the 1990 Act, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently-undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the character or appearance of the Conservation Area and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon the designated area.

Proposed Change Requested

Before allocating this site for development an assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to the elements which contribute towards the significance of the Conservation Area and what impact the loss of this undeveloped site and its subsequent development might have upon those significances.

Council Response

No change. A Heritage Impact Assessment has been undertaken for this site (LE82). Historic England now fully acknowledge that the selection of sites which have been put forward as allocations in the Plan have been arrived at following a series of evaluations by the Council of the potential impacts that of all the

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

sites might have upon the historic environment. Historic England endorse the process undertaken to arrive at the selection of sites included in the Plan and this has involved full consultation with, and considerable input from, Historic England throughout. Historic England have also confirmed that the Plan does not include any sites whose development will result in substantial harm to a designated heritage asset (and whose allocation, therefore, would be likely to conflict with national policy guidance).

Paragraph/Site: **H715**

Consultee: **952533 Mr David Sykes**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD372**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Residential development is contrary to the Draft Local Plan policies which seek to ensure all new developments have safe and convenient access to the main arterial roads and are strategically placed along core routes. It would also be in conflict with the policy to protect and improve green infrastructure so that residents have access to good quality open spaces, sport and recreation opportunities, and for wildlife to flourish. The original plan proposed 37 dwellings but 41 dwellings are now planned and the Council has failed to properly consult on the new proposal for 41 dwellings.

Soundness - Justified

There is no suitable access to the site as a 1.5m wide strip of land at the end of Wesley Avenue is privately owned. In 1976 planning permission for housing (APP/5113/A/76/1174) was refused due to the effect on the rural character of the area; insufficient site frontage on Miry Lane and the road along the north western side of this site being incapable of taking the traffic from the development. An appeal (T/APP/5113/A/79/2558/G2) was dismissed in 1980 on the grounds that the development would lead to an increased number of vehicles, using narrow roads, with a consequently greater risk of conflict, particularly between pedestrians and vehicles. Netherthong is a historic rural village, cut off from the main arterial routes, with poor public transport provision and difficulties in cycling which means that there is a reliance on the private car. Local roads are unsuitable to cope with a significant increase in traffic being only wide enough, in many places to accommodate one vehicle. The fields are home to birds, amphibians and many other species of wildlife, they also act as feeding grounds for the many bats and owls that live in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Withdraw site H715 from the plan.

Council Response

No change. The allocation of this site for housing is in accordance with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The appeal examples provided were determined under a previous policy framework. Highways information and information provided by the site promoter indicates that the site can be accessed. Highways information also indicates that local links to the network are acceptable. The Local Plan (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 102) supports sustainable travel through Policy PLP20 sustainable travel. West Yorkshire Ecology have not objected to this site in relation to biodiversity. The dwelling capacity of this site is indicative only but has been determined in accordance with PLP8 (efficient and effective use of land and buildings) in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, page 47) which states a density of 35 dwellings per hectare. PLP8 does allow for lower densities in certain circumstances.

Paragraph/Site: **H715**

Consultee: **978506 Cllr Nigel Patrick**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2931**

Soundness - Justified

Housing allocation sites at Wooldale (H729 and H730), at Thongsbridge (H727a and H728) H715 add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to 'safeguarded'. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. This proposed housing allocation has been assessed in accordance with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Highways information indicates that the site can be accessed (from Wesley Avenue) and that local links to the network are acceptable. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Paragraph/Site: **H715**

Consultee: **1050803 Cllr Kenneth Sims**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3657**

Soundness - Justified

Housing allocation sites at Wooldale (H729 and H730), at Thongsbridge (H727a and H728) H715 add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

	meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.
Proposed Change Requested	Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to 'safeguarded'. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.
Council Response	No change. This proposed housing allocation has been assessed in accordance with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Highways information indicates that the site can be accessed (from Wesley Avenue) and that local links to the network are acceptable. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Paragraph/Site: H715	Consultee: 1050805	Cllr Donald Firth	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3658
Soundness - Justified	Housing allocation sites at Wooldale (H729 and H730), at Thongsbridge (H727a and H728) H715 add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.			
Proposed Change Requested	Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to 'safeguarded'. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.			
Council Response	No change. This proposed housing allocation has been assessed in accordance with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Highways information indicates that the site can be accessed (from Wesley Avenue) and that local links to the network are acceptable. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.			

Paragraph/Site: H727a	Consultee: 978506	Cllr Nigel Patrick	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3602
Soundness - Justified	Housing allocation sites H727a will add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.			
Proposed Change Requested	Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL). That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.			
Council Response	No change. The allocation of this site for housing is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Part of the site has planning permission for 11 dwellings (application reference: 2014/93593, decision date: 06/11/15) therefore the principle for the development of this part of the site has been established. Highways responses to this site have indicated that access can be achieved although this may require third party land in some cases. Local highway links are also acceptable. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.			

Paragraph/Site: H727a	Consultee: 1050803	Cllr Kenneth Sims	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3674
Soundness - Justified	Housing allocation sites H727a will add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.			
Proposed Change Requested	Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL). That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.			
Council Response	No change. The allocation of this site for housing is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Part of the site has planning permission for 11			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

dwelling (application reference: 2014/93593, decision date: 06/11/15) therefore the principle for the development of this part of the site has been established. Highways responses to this site have indicated that access can be achieved although this may require third party land in some cases. Local highway links are also acceptable. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Paragraph/Site: **H727a**Consultee: **1050805 Cllr Donald Firth**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3673****Soundness - Justified**

Housing allocation sites H727a will add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL). That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The allocation of this site for housing is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Part of the site has planning permission for 11 dwellings (application reference: 2014/93593, decision date: 06/11/15) therefore the principle for the development of this part of the site has been established. Highways responses to this site have indicated that access can be achieved although this may require third party land in some cases. Local highway links are also acceptable. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Paragraph/Site: **H728**Consultee: **978506 Cllr Nigel Patrick**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3603****Soundness - Justified**

Housing allocation sites at Wooldale (H729 and H730), at Thongsbridge (H727a and H728) add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to 'safeguarded'. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The site has outline planning permission (2014/93248), therefore the principle of development is already established.

Paragraph/Site: **H728**Consultee: **1043317 MR Richard Owen**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD83****Soundness - Effective**

Site access from Stoney Bank Lane/Road at a bend which is already a significant hazard to both traffic and pedestrians. Local highway constraints.

Proposed Change Requested

If the only vehicle access to the estate was via a new road joining it directly to the Huddersfield Road then the hazards I have raised could be avoided.

Council Response

No change. The site has outline planning permission (2014/93248), therefore the principle of development is already established.

Paragraph/Site: **H728**Consultee: **1050803 Cllr Kenneth Sims**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3655****Soundness - Justified**

Housing allocation sites at Wooldale (H729 and H730), at Thongsbridge (H727a and H728) add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to 'safeguarded'. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The site has outline planning permission (2014/93248), therefore the principle of development is already established.

Paragraph/Site: **H728**Consultee: **1050805 Cllr Donald Firth**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3656****Soundness - Justified**

Housing allocation sites at Wooldale (H729 and H730), at Thongsbridge (H727a and H728) add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to 'safeguarded'. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The site has outline planning permission (2014/93248), therefore the principle of development is already established.

Paragraph/Site: **H729**

Consultee: **978506**

Cllr Nigel Patrick

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3600**

Soundness - Justified

Housing allocation sites at H729 add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to 'safeguarded'. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The site has permission (2015/90811) and some completions have occurred, therefore the principle of development has been established on the site.

Paragraph/Site: **H729**

Consultee: **1050803**

Cllr Kenneth Sims

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3678**

Soundness - Justified

Housing allocation sites at H729 add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to 'safeguarded'. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The site has permission (2015/90811) and some completions have occurred, therefore the principle of development has been established on the site.

Paragraph/Site: **H729**

Consultee: **1050805**

Cllr Donald Firth

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3677**

Soundness - Justified

Housing allocation sites at H729 add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area.

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to 'safeguarded'. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The site has permission (2015/90811) and some completions have occurred, therefore the principle of development has been established on the site.

Paragraph/Site: **H730**

Consultee: **943957**

Mr Ian Smith

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3762**

Soundness - Justified

This area lies adjacent to the Wooldale Conservation Area. The loss of this currently-open area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this area. By allocating this site for development, the Council is accepting that the principle of the loss of this currently-open area and its subsequent development is acceptable. However, there has been no evaluation of what contribution this site makes to the character or

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

appearance of the Conservation Area or what harm might result to those elements which contribute to the significance of this designated area by its eventual development.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

Paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires Local Plans to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. In addition, the Council has a statutory duty under the provisions of S72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay “special attention” to “the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance” of its Conservation Areas. The NPPF makes it clear that the significance of heritage assets, such as Conservation Areas, can be harmed through development within their setting.

Proposed Change Requested

Before allocating this site for development an assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to the elements which contribute towards the significance of the Conservation Area and what impact the loss of this undeveloped site and its subsequent development might have upon those significances.

Council Response

No change. Historic England now fully acknowledge that the selection of sites which have been put forward as allocations in the Plan have been arrived at following a series of evaluations by the Council of the potential impacts that of all the sites might have upon the historic environment. Historic England endorse the process undertaken to arrive at the selection of sites included in the Plan and this has involved full consultation with, and considerable input from, Historic England throughout. Historic England have also confirmed that the Plan does not include any sites whose development will result in substantial harm to a designated heritage asset (and whose allocation, therefore, would be likely to conflict with national policy guidance). A Heritage Impact Assessment has been prepared for this site.

Paragraph/Site: **H730**

Consultee: **978506 Cllr Nigel Patrick**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3601**

Soundness - Justified

Housing allocation sites at H730 add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to ‘safeguarded’. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Site access is achievable from Kirkroyds Lane provided that visibility splays can be achieved, with a potential secondary access from Royds Avenue. It is considered that the site is acceptable in terms of its impact on the wider highway network provided that highway improvements in context with development of the site can be provided.

Paragraph/Site: **H730**

Consultee: **1050803 Cllr Kenneth Sims**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3676**

Soundness - Justified

Housing allocation sites at H730 add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area

Proposed Change Requested

Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to ‘safeguarded’. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.

Council Response

No change. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Site access is achievable from Kirkroyds Lane provided that visibility splays can be achieved, with a potential secondary access from Royds Avenue. It is considered that the site is acceptable in terms of its impact on the wider highway network provided that highway improvements in context with development of the site can be provided.

Paragraph/Site: **H730**

Consultee: **1050805 Cllr Donald Firth**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3675**

Soundness - Justified

Housing allocation sites at H730 add significant numbers of cars to a very substandard road network in close proximity to Holmfirth High School where road

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

	safety is an issue. All the above allocations will impact on New Mill Junction where the A635 meets the A616. This junction should be a priority for improvement in the plan. This allocation will also impact on the number of school places available in the area			
Proposed Change Requested	Reduce the number of housing allocation sites (H and SL), but in addition the Planning Inspector might also consider changing the status of some of the housing allocation sites to 'safeguarded'. That will spread the build over a longer period and reduce the immediate impact on school places. However, we feel it would still be necessary to include New Mill Junction as an improvement scheme in the Local Plan.			
Council Response	No change.The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.Site access is achievable from Kirkroyds Lane provided that visibility splays can be achieved, with a potential secondary access from Royds Avenue. It is considered that the site is acceptable in terms of its impact on the wider highway network provided that highway improvements in context with development of the site can be provided.			
Paragraph/Site: H2585	Consultee: 943957	Mr Ian Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3763
Council Response	No change. Support for the recognition of listed buildings and conservation area noted. Also, the support for reference to a Heritage Impact Assessment has been noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H2585	Consultee: 1049244	Mr N Willock	Agent: 1049237	Mr Nicholas Willock Rep ID: PDLP_AD443
Soundness - Justified	Access and highway constraints would make it difficult to accommodate more than 19 dwellings on the site.			
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The development of the mill pond would be contrary to NPPF paragraphs 100 and 103 (locating development in lowest areas of flood risk). Development of the pond would be contrary to NPPF paragraph 109 (it would neither enhance nor contribute to the natural environment), and paragraph 118 (it would result in significant harm to biodiversity, and it would not be possible to mitigate or compensate for this harm). Contrary to NPPF paragraph 133, the loss of the pond would result in 'substantial harm' to the setting of heritage assets.			
Proposed Change Requested	Remove the housing allocation from the areas hatched blue on the attached plan (the areas of pond and immediate surrounding habitat) and re-designate as a Local Wildlife Site / Conservation Area only.			
Council Response	No change.The council have assessed the option submitted through the Local Plan process. The site assessment has included the removal of 0.62ha from the developable area. The site allocations box (SD2, Allocations and Designations, page 151) also clarifies that the highways access is unsuitable for intensification greater than the indicative capacity of 19 dwellings. Local Plan policy PLP 29 (Management of water bodies) set out in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, page 130) also provides a policy framework for the retention of water areas within proposed for the development of such sites.			
Paragraph/Site: H44	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2925
Council Response	No change. Support for the allocation of H44 noted. This site has planning permission for residential development (application number: 2015/90200, decision date: 10/08/15) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.			
Paragraph/Site: H70	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2922
Council Response	No change. Support noted for the allocation of site H70.			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD746
Soundness - Justified	Exceptional circumstances do not exist to justify extending this site into green belt.			
Proposed Change Requested	Redesign the site so that green belt is not sacrificed, perhaps through a combination of smaller houses and gardens and a change in layout whilst not diminishing the aspect of the heritage assets which are part of the site.			
Council Response	No change. The majority of this option is within the village boundary of Farnley Tyas. A new settlement boundary has been created without detriment to openness or to the role and function of the green belt. The council have set out the exceptional circumstances for the amendment to the green belt in this location in BP29 (Accepted Site Options Technical Appraisals, page 120).			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2906
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 943957	Mr Ian Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3764
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	The barn at 18 Manor Road is a Grade II Listed Building. This site also lies within the boundary of the Farnley Tyas Conservation Area and the site could include buildings which contribute to the character of this area. The NPPF makes it clear that the loss of a building which makes a positive contribution to the significance of a Conservation Area should be regarded as resulting in substantial harm to that area. Consequently, if allocated, the Other Site Specific Considerations needs to set out a requirement that any buildings which make a positive contribution to the character of the Conservation Area should be retained.			
Proposed Change Requested	Include the following additional bullet-point in the Other Site Specific Considerations:- "Any buildings which make a positive contribution to the character of the Conservation Area should be retained".			
Council Response	No change. Historic England now fully acknowledge that the selection of sites which have been put forward as allocations in the Plan have been arrived at following a series of evaluations by the Council of the potential impacts that of all the sites might have upon the historic environment. Historic England endorse the process undertaken to arrive at the selection of sites included in the Plan and this has involved full consultation with, and considerable input from, Historic England throughout. Historic England have also confirmed that the Plan does not include any sites whose development will result in substantial harm to a designated heritage asset (and whose allocation, therefore, would be likely to conflict with national policy guidance).			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 961633	Mr John Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1683
Soundness - Justified	School in the village is at capacity. Traffic will increase, local transport is limited The junction at the bottom of Woodsome Road to Penistone Road is already very overloaded and has been the site of many road accidents. Any further expansion would not only be a loss of Green Belt Land but would change the village beyond recognition. No local shops or facilities			
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 961635	Mrs Jean Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1706
Soundness - Justified	The road through Farnley Tyas is already a major cross connection between the Holme Valley and Penistone Road and the small intersections are busy at rush hour peak times. Increased volume of traffic will overcome the country roads. Lack of public transport. No local Shops or facilities. The junction at the bottom of Woodsome Road to Penistone Road is already very overloaded and has been the site of many road accidents.			
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1708
Council Response	No change. Comment noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1675
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1753
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1712
Council Response	No Change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 970642	Nicola Cantrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2511

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Soundness - Justified	Exceptional circumstances do not exist to remove this site from the green belt.			
Council Response	No change. The majority of this option is within the village boundary of Farnley Tyas. A new settlement boundary has been created without detriment to openness or to the role and function of the green belt. The council have set out the exceptional circumstances for the amendment to the green belt in this location in BP29 (Accepted Site Options Technical Appraisals, page 120).			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1657
Legally Compliant	The on-line form was difficult to use.			
Soundness - Justified	Exceptional circumstances do not exist to extend H120 site into the green belt. There is an inconsistency in the approach to green belt boundaries in Farnley Tyas. Advertised change 1612/01 has been retained from the draft plan, but 1612/02, 1612/03, 1712/01 and 1712/02 have been rejected.			
Proposed Change Requested	Redesign H120 so that there is no incursion into the green belt. This could be achieved through smaller houses, smaller gardens and a change in layout.			
Council Response	No change. The majority of this option is within the village boundary of Farnley Tyas. A new settlement boundary has been created without detriment to openness or to the role and function of the green belt. The council have set out the exceptional circumstances for the amendment to the green belt in this location in BP29 (Accepted Site Options Technical Appraisals, page 120).			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1451
Soundness - Justified	Exceptional circumstances do not exist to extend this housing site into the green belt to facilitate gardens for large detached houses.			
Proposed Change Requested	The layout and size of dwellings should be revised to remove the current proposal to extend into the green belt.			
Council Response	No change. The majority of this option is within the village boundary of Farnley Tyas. A new settlement boundary has been created without detriment to openness or to the role and function of the green belt. The council have set out the exceptional circumstances for the amendment to the green belt in this location in BP29 (Accepted Site Options Technical Appraisals, page 120).			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1329
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H120	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1343
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H313	Consultee: 942409		Agent: 993144	Mr Jon Brier Rep ID: PDLP_AD1241
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H313	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2911
Soundness - Justified	This greenfield site creates the barrier between this village and its neighbour Kirkburton and the development of this site will effectively merge the two villages with no individual identity remaining. The site is also a poor choice for development as it sits at the end of a dead end and will mean all traffic must come back through the entirety of the village to access the main trunk road, A629. This junction on Far Dene is already over capacity and traffic can be found backing up at the traffic lights throughout the day as it is the main exit from the village.			
Proposed Change Requested	The proposal in this plan to approve the development of H313 should be opposed.			
Council Response	No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Highways information indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. The current status of this land in the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan is Provisional Open Land (POL) which is land safeguarded in the UDP for potential development in the future (through a plan review).			
Paragraph/Site: H313	Consultee: 943957	Mr Ian Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3767
Soundness - Justified	By allocating this site for development, the Council is accepting that the principle of the loss of this currently-open area and its subsequent development is			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

acceptable. However, there has been no evaluation of what contribution this site makes to the character or appearance of the Conservation Area or what harm might result to those elements which contribute to the significance of this designated area by its eventual development.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

Paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires Local Plans to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. In addition, the Council has a statutory duty under the provisions of S72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay “special attention” to “the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance” of its Conservation Areas. The NPPF makes it clear that the significance of heritage assets, such as Conservation Areas, can be harmed through development within their setting.

Proposed Change Requested

An assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to the elements which contribute towards the significance of the Conservation Area and what impact the loss of this undeveloped site and its subsequent development might have upon those significances.

Council Response

No change. Correspondence within BP28 (dated 24th February 2017) clarifies Historic England’s current position with respect to these earlier comments, specifically that Historic England no longer consider that there has been no evaluation of the impact of any of the sites upon the historic environment. Historic England now fully acknowledge that the selection of sites which have been put forward as allocations in the Local Plan have been arrived at following a series of evaluations by the Council of the potential impacts that of all the sites might have upon the historic environment. Historic England endorse the process undertaken to arrive at the selection of sites included in the Local Plan and this has involved full consultation with, and considerable input from, Historic England throughout. Historic England have also confirmed that the Local Plan does not include any sites whose development will result in substantial harm to a designated heritage asset (and whose allocation, therefore, would be likely to conflict with national policy guidance).

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **944135 Mr Anthony Clifton**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1077**

Soundness - Justified

Site is one of many that will generate traffic which in varying degrees will gravitate onto Penistone Road. Disruption of natural drainage could lead to new problems with Fenay Beck

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Highways information indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. Surface water run-off would be managed through the local plan drainage policy once adopted (SD1, Strategy and Policies, Policy PLP28, page 127). Policy PLP 11 (Housing Mix and Affordable Housing) in SD1 (Strategy and Policies, page 68) will seek to ensure a mix of housing to reflect needs. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **955288 Mr John Goodyear**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1066**

Soundness - Justified

The GP surgery cannot cope with additional households in the village.

Proposed Change Requested

remove H313 from the plan.

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. A summary of the approach to determining the Kirklees housing requirement is set out in SD23 (Housing Technical Paper, paragraphs 4.1 - 4.30) with detailed information set out in SD18 (Kirklees SHMA, Chapter 6, pages 85 - 94). Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **955288 Mr John Goodyear**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1064**

Soundness - Justified

The transport infrastructure is already inadequate and, more importantly, there is no room for any more to be introduced. Wastewater, health and education are additional issues that will be adversely affected if the proposed development on Burton Acres Lane proceeds. The sewers in Highburton and Kirkburton frequently overflow during periods of heavy rain. Both local schools in High and Kirkburton are FULL and current residents cannot obtain education for their children. . Traffic from the proposed development will involve up to 120 vehicles at peak times. Given the design of the build, ALL traffic MUST pass through

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

100% of Highburton to reach any major road, This will present a hazard to all residents.

Proposed Change Requested

Remove H313 from the plan.

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Highways information indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. Surface water run-off would be managed through the local plan drainage policy once adopted (SD1, Strategy and Policies, Policy PLP28, page 127).The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **955288**

Mr John Goodyear

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1065**

Soundness - Justified

The proposed building works will do nothing to contribute to the local economy. Poor quality and availability of health care that is going to be further eroded if this plan is adopted. The proposed building works will NOT allow current Highburton residents to enjoy a greater quality of life. It will in fact have a deleterious effect for many, especially those wishing to be educated, have a functioning health centre, and roads not clogged with traffic.

Proposed Change Requested

Remove site H313 from the plan.

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Highways information indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale.The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **964462**

Isobel Pollock-Hulf

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD952**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Use brownfield land and infill sites rather than green belt. Should concentrate on creating employment opportunities and improving transport systems.

Soundness - Justified

Access to Burton Acres Lane via Hallas Road and Turnshaws Avenue is often limited when it is school time and when other events are on the playing fields. Congestion and infrastructure issues.

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Highways information indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. The council have rejected a housing option (H575) on green belt land to the north-west of H313.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **968529**

Mrs Fiona Tempest

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD887**

Soundness - Justified

If this open, pastoral farmland is developed it would overwhelm the village infrastructure - drainage, schools, GP surgeries, dentists, schools and the police. Parking would be more of an issue as the driveways on the proposed houses would be facing Burton Acres Lane, thus reducing the capacity for parking alongside the existing stone wall which would no longer exist. Shop owners have an issue with traffic congestion and parking in Kirkburton village, so extra houses and more cars would not only impact on Highburton but also on Kirkburton village and the surrounding roads which are already very busy. It would be detrimental to villagers' health and wellbeing to devastate the character of the village.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

It will merge Highburton and Kirkburton villages into one and each village will lose it's separate identity and completely change the character of each village. Highburton will no longer be a rural village. It would be a travesty to take away our surviving green spaces.

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The current status of this land in the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan is Provisional Open Land (POL) which is land safeguarded in the UDP for potential development in the future (through a plan review). Highways information indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. Surface water run-off would

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

be managed through the local plan drainage policy once adopted (SD1, Strategy and Policies, Policy PLP28, page 127).The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **971640**

Margaret & Anthony Nichols

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3326**

Soundness - Justified

Loss of green belt land. Impact on healthcare and schools. Roads and drains cannot cope. The electricity supply is inadequate. Kirkburton is impassable due to busses and large lorries manoeuvring through the parked cars.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

Will result in the 2 villages of Highburton and Kirkburton being integrated into one huge suburban sprawl and losing their individual identities.

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The current status of this land in the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan is Provisional Open Land (POL) which is land safeguarded in the UDP for potential development in the future (through a plan review).Highways information indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. Surface water run-off would be managed through the local plan drainage policy once adopted (SD1, Strategy and Policies, Policy PLP28, page 127).The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **1041916**

Janet Moscovitch

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2072**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Development of brownfield sites such as Dalton and St Lukes would be more suitable for development rather than building in the countryside.

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - it needs to stay as a green area, adjacent to conservation area as large area of housing not ideal - villages need to keep their identity and not be blurred into each other or Huddersfield - Kirkburton is impossible to park in now when using the shops and more houses would make it impossible - schools are small and good and have no capacity

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H313 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change. The proposed housing allocation has been assessed in accordance with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The council has assessed sites submitted for consideration during the Local Plan process. Policy PLP7 (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 47) aims to encourage the efficient use of previously developed land in sustainable locations. Site access is achievable and the wider highway links have been assessed as acceptable for a development of this scale.Historic England now fully acknowledge (BP28) that the selection of sites which have been put forward as allocations in the Local Plan have been arrived at following a series of evaluations by the Council of the potential impacts that of all the sites might have upon the historic environment. Historic England endorse the process undertaken to arrive at the selection of sites included in the Local Plan and this has involved full consultation with, and considerable input from, Historic England throughout. Historic England have also confirmed that the Local Plan does not include any sites whose development will result in substantial harm to a designated heritage asset (and whose allocation, therefore, would be likely to conflict with national policy guidance). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **1046356**

Kathryn Sheehy

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD176**

Soundness - Justified

Regarding the proposed house building on green belt land in Highburton, the local infrastructure is already under strain without any extra pressure being placed on roads & drainage, without mentioning the extra that would be placed on already overstretched education & medical services.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response No change. Site H313 is safeguarded land in the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan so is not currently green belt. The proposed housing allocation is in accordance with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Site access is achievable and wider transport links have been assessed as acceptable when considering this site. Surface water drainage will be managed in accordance with Policy PLP 28 (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 127). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **1046356 Kathryn Sheehy**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD883**

Soundness - Justified

Very strongly oppose the proposed building of property in the area. The roads, schools, healthcare i.e. doctors and dental services are already over-stretched more people would mean longer waiting times for appointments the schools are over-subscribed, the drainage system is inadequate and access for emergency services would be impossible on the narrow lanes that get very busy at the start and end of each school-day.

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Highways information indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. Surface water run-off would be managed through the local plan drainage policy once adopted (SD1, Strategy and Policies, Policy PLP28, page 127). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **1048404 Mr Richard hamer**

Agent: **1048379 mr richard hamer**

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD292**

Council Response

No change. Support noted.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **1049391 Miss Lucie Jennings**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD756**

Soundness - Justified

I believe that this proposal will increase the pressure on local infrastructure and there are no measures in place to protect against flooding.

Proposed Change Requested

To remove H313 from the plan.

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. Surface water run-off would be managed through the local plan drainage policy once adopted (SD1, Strategy and Policies, Policy PLP28, page 127). In terms of infrastructure, the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (CIL 006) sets out the infrastructure requirements. In addition, highways information through the Local Plan site allocations process indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **1049396 Mr A Smith**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD811**

Soundness - Justified

This site forms a clear demarcation boundary and valuable green buffer between the separate communities of Kirkburton and Highburton. It would be skyline development and be highly visible, detracting from its rural nature and the quality of its visual amenity. There are issues with local infrastructure and services available to accommodate more housing development which needs addressing before any more significant development is agreed for this area.

Proposed Change Requested

Remove H313 from the Plan. Retain as provisional open land or designate the site as either urban green space or green belt.

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The current status of this land in the Kirklees

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Unitary Development Plan is Provisional Open Land (POL) which is land safeguarded in the UDP for potential development in the future (through a plan review). In terms of infrastructure, the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (CIL 006) sets out the infrastructure requirements. In addition, highways information through the Local Plan site allocations process indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **1056401 Andrea Munt**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD860**

Soundness - Justified

A potential for 200 children to accommodate in already largely full schools, nurseries and GP surgeries. Not to mention the impact on parking in what is a small village with parking problems as it is. We will lose the character of the village and more green belt land.

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The current status of this land in the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan is Provisional Open Land (POL) which is land safeguarded in the UDP for potential development in the future (through a plan review). Highways information indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H313**

Consultee: **1057611 Mr John Ratcliffe**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD950**

Soundness - Justified

If this open, pastoral farmland is developed it would overwhelm the village infrastructure - drainage, schools, GP surgeries, dentists, schools and the police. Parking would be more of an issue as the driveways on the proposed houses would be facing Burton Acres Lane, thus reducing the capacity for parking alongside the existing stone wall which would no longer exist. Shop owners have an issue with traffic congestion and parking in Kirkburton village, so extra houses and more cars would not only impact on Highburton but also on Kirkburton village and the surrounding roads which are already very busy. It would be detrimental to villagers' health and wellbeing to devastate the character of the village.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

It will merge Highburton and Kirkburton villages into one and each village will lose its separate identity and completely change the character of each village. Highburton will no longer be a rural village. It would be a travesty to take away our surviving green spaces.

Council Response

No change. The proposed allocation of this site is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology. The current status of this land in the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan is Provisional Open Land (POL) which is land safeguarded in the UDP for potential development in the future (through a plan review). Highways information indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. Surface water run-off would be managed through the local plan drainage policy once adopted (SD1, Strategy and Policies, Policy PLP28, page 127). The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H339**

Consultee: **943445 Cllr John Taylor**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2921**

Soundness - Justified

H339 I am not supportive of the inclusion of this site as it is currently occupied by local industry and in view of the lack of alternative employment sites in the plan locally I would not be supportive of losing another site which would lead to the loss of jobs locally and in against the development of sustainable communities which underpins NPPF.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	No change. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. This site has not been designated as a Priority Employment Area.			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 942622	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD747
Soundness - Justified	There are several structures with heritage significance within the site of which four have listed status. Immediately adjacent to the site are the Grade II listed St. Lucius's Church and 51-53 The Village, and the site is wholly contained within the Conservation Area. The density has increased from 14 to 16 dwellings (in a reduced area) between the Draft Local Plan and this Published Local Plan. The current approach threatens the ability to minimise the impact on the heritage assets.			
Proposed Change Requested	The density should be revised downwards with a stronger emphasis on protection of the heritage assets.			
Council Response	No change. The capacity of this site is based on 35 dwellings per hectare within the developable area. Existing dwellings within the site (mostly listed buildings) have been removed from the developable area. The site capacity is indicative only and lower density development will be acceptable in certain circumstances as outlined in Policy PLP7 (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 47).			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2907
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 943957	Mr Ian Smith	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD3765
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	This site includes a number of Grade II Listed Buildings and there are several other adjoining it including the Church of St Lucius. This site also lies within the Farnley Tyas Conservation Area. The NPPF makes it clear that the loss of a building which makes a positive contribution to the significance of a Conservation Area should be regarded as resulting in substantial harm to that area. Consequently, if allocated, the Other Site Specific Considerations also needs to set out a requirement that any buildings which make a positive contribution to the character of the Conservation Area should be retained.			
Proposed Change Requested	Include the following additional bullet-point in the Other Site Specific Considerations:- "Any buildings which make a positive contribution to the character of the Conservation Area should be retained".			
Council Response	No change. Historic England now fully acknowledge that the selection of sites which have been put forward as allocations in the Plan have been arrived at following a series of evaluations by the Council of the potential impacts that of all the sites might have upon the historic environment. Historic England endorse the process undertaken to arrive at the selection of sites included in the Plan and this has involved full consultation with, and considerable input from, Historic England throughout. Historic England have also confirmed that the Plan does not include any sites whose development will result in substantial harm to a designated heritage asset (and whose allocation, therefore, would be likely to conflict with national policy guidance).			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 961633	Mr John Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1682
Soundness - Justified	School in the village is at capacity. Traffic will increase, local transport is limited The junction at the bottom of Woodsome Road to Penistone Road is already very overloaded and has been the site of many road accidents. Any further expansion would not only be a loss of Green Belt Land but would change the village beyond recognition. No local shops or facilities			
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 961635	Mrs Jean Wright	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1705
Soundness - Justified	The road through Farnley Tyas is already a major cross connection between the Holme Valley and Penistone Road and the small intersections are busy at rush hour peak times. Increased volume of traffic will overcome the country roads. Lack of public transport. No local Shops or facilities. The junction at the bottom of Woodsome Road to Penistone Road is already very overloaded and has been the site of many road accidents.			
Council Response	No change. Support for H518 noted. The other comments appear to relate to rejected options in the Local Plan.			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 961870	R A Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1709

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	No change. Comment noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 961889	J. Edward Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1676
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 961904	David Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1754
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 962957	Jane Faulkner	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1713
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 968950	Mr Richard Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1162
Council Response	No change. Comment noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 969027	Mrs Jill Wood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1164
Council Response	No change. Comment noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 970642	Nicola Cantrell	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2512
Soundness - Justified	There are several structures with heritage significance within the site of which 4 have listed status. Immediately adjacent to the site are the Grade II listed St.Lucius church and 51-53 The Village and the site is wholly contained within the Farnley Tyas Conservation Area. The site housing density has increased from 14 to 16 dwellings(in a reduced area)between the Draft Local Plan and this Published Local Plan.The current approach threatens the ability to minimise the impact on the heritage assets.			
Council Response	No change. The capacity of this site is based on 35 dwellings per hectare on the developable area. Existing dwellings within the site (mostly listed buildings) have been removed from the developable area. The site capacity is indicative only and lower density development will be acceptable in certain circumstances as outlined in Policy PLP7 (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 47).			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 974056	Mrs R Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1658
Soundness - Justified	The capacity of this site has been increased even though the area has been reduced. The site is of major significance to the historic environment. The capacity should be reduced to ensure least impact on significant heritage assets and that a degree of openness is achieved.			
Proposed Change Requested	The number of dwellings should be reduced from 16 to less than 14.			
Council Response	No change. The capacity of this site is based on 35 dwellings per hectare within the developable area. Existing dwellings within the site (mostly listed buildings) have been removed from the developable area. The site capacity is indicative only and lower density development will be acceptable in certain circumstances as outlined in Policy PLP7 (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 47).			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 975861	K Bellwood	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1453
Soundness - Justified	The capacity of this site has been increased even though the area has been reduced. The site is wholly within the conservation area, contains a number of listed buildings and there are listed buildings in close proximity all of which may be negatively impacted by development.			
Proposed Change Requested	The proposed density should be reduced to ensure the many listed buildings and significant heritage assets are not negatively impacted.			
Council Response	No change. The capacity of this site is based on 35 dwellings per hectare within the developable area. Existing dwellings within the site (mostly listed buildings) have been removed from the developable area. The site capacity is indicative only and lower density development will be acceptable in certain circumstances as outlined in Policy PLP7 (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 47).			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 1059533	Robert Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1330
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H518	Consultee: 1059549	Ana Gaunt	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1344
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H538	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2924
Council Response	No change. Support for the allocation of site H538 noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H583	Consultee: 942154	unknown	Agent: 941891	Paul Leeming Rep ID: PDLP_AD1990
Council Response	No change. This site now has full planning permission for residential development (application number: 2016/92811, decision date: 07/03/17) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established. Support noted for the allocation of site H583.			
Paragraph/Site: H583	Consultee: 942647	Rob Ellis	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD704
Duty to Co-operate	Previous concerns regarding school place provision in relation to this site have been addressed through co-operation and co-operation should continue to share data on school place planning. It is essential that as planning applications are submitted on this allocation and Wakefield Council is consulted so possible impacts on education provision can be considered and mitigation suggested, if necessary.			
Council Response	No Change This site now has full planning permission for residential development (application number: 2016/92811, decision date: 07/03/17) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established. The continued co-operation with Wakefield on school place planning is acknowledged and supported.			
Paragraph/Site: H583	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2910
Soundness - Justified	The A635 Barnsley Rd and has already seen significant development within recent years. The traffic is already an issue in this village and it suffers from very poor air quality with many HGVs using the village as a shortcut to the M1 despite the signage precluding its use. The village lacks the infrastructure to cope with the additional traffic and the local school will not be able to accommodate the increase in numbers. If this is to be sustainable, a relief road would be needed but this is not proposed in the plan.			
Council Response	No change. This site now has planning permission for residential development (application number: 2016/92811, decision date: 07/03/17) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Highways have indicated that this site is acceptable in terms of site access and local linkages. The council has undertaken Duty to Co-operate discussions with adjoining authorities including discussions relating to school places. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.			
Paragraph/Site: H609	Consultee: 942647	Rob Ellis	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD705
Duty to Co-operate	Previous concerns regarding school place provision in relation to this site have been addressed through co-operation and co-operation should continue to share data on school place planning. It is essential that as planning applications are submitted on this allocation and Wakefield Council is consulted so possible impacts on education provision can be considered and mitigation suggested, if necessary.			
Council Response	No Change This site now has planning permission for residential development (application number: 2016/91158, decision date: 02/12/16) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established. The continued co-operation with Wakefield Council on school place planning is acknowledged			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

and supported.

Paragraph/Site: **H609**

Consultee: **943445 Cllr John Taylor**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2909**

Soundness - Justified

The A635 Barnsley Rd and has already seen significant development within recent years. The traffic is already an issue in this village and it suffers from very poor air quality with many HGVs using the village as a shortcut to the M1 despite the signage precluding its use. The village lacks the infrastructure to cope with the additional traffic and the local school will not be able to accommodate the increase in numbers. If this is to be sustainable, a relief road would be needed but this is not proposed in the plan.

Council Response

No change. This site now has planning permission for residential development (application number: 2016/91158, decision date: 02/12/16) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Highways have indicated that site access can be achieved and local links are sufficient. The site is not within an air quality management area but a travel plan would be required. Modelling of the wider highways network has also been undertaken. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Paragraph/Site: **H638**

Consultee: **943645 Mrs Shirley Barraclough**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1126**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

The Council initially rejected this, and other sites in the Lepton/Fenay Bridge area on the grounds that there can be no justifications for removing the sites or any part of them from the green belt. The council then reconfigured the site(s) on the grounds that the need for housing outweighed the need for green belt. They are the same sites, so this is not logical. Why reject them twice and then INCLUDE them in the plan?

Soundness - Justified

The Council has failed to properly assess the impact on the local infrastructure including education or traffic. The entrance road of this site is already congested with parking and traffic at drop off & pick up times at the local private nursery, school breakfast and after school club and the JI&N school - all of which are in a few hundred yards. This road is used for the 19 houses to travel to town, to the M1 and M62 motorways and Wakefield, so is already busy. The 2 local schools are full to capacity. Some local residents cannot get both their siblings into either of the 2 schools and have to travel to another area with one of the siblings. Lepton Great Wood is adjacent to this site, and any development will result in the destruction of natural habitats, with a dramatic impact on local biodiversity. The Council has not taken into consideration the effect that the whole Plan, which includes this site (H2684a with 286 houses) AND sites H638 (30 houses), Site H2730a (312 houses), Site H31, (68 houses) Site H684, (123 houses) and Site H616, (32 houses) which are in very close proximity. They will increase the population of Lepton and Fenay Bridge by 28%, which impacts on all the above points.

Soundness - Effective

The developments rely entirely on third party agreements, which are not even in place yet. The Council is only speculating that the developments can go ahead.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

The Council is contravening its own policies and the National Planning Policy Framework because it has failed to give adequate and appropriate consideration of the infrastructure requirements generated by the Plan. In relation to this site in 2001 a Government Inspector ruled that "I have come to the planning judgement that it is part of the countryside which is desirable to keep PERMANENTLY open and that any development of the site would encroach into the countryside and I am satisfied that there is no compelling reason to exclude the site from the Green Belt"

Proposed Change Requested

To remove H2684a, H2730a and H31 sites from the Plan.

Council Response

No change. This housing option was accepted in the Draft Local Plan (November 2015) and the Publication Draft Local Plan (November 2016). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Site access achievable subject to Tinker Lane being brought up to an adoptable standard. Highways assessment of this site shows that the site access is achievable and the local links to the highways network are acceptable. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. The council have demonstrated exceptional circumstances for the release of land from the green belt for development subject to site specific assessments. Although this site is currently within the green belt, the

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

assessment of this site has shown that there is no risk or sprawl and the site has only limited relationship with the wider countryside. No concerns have been raised by West Yorkshire Ecology in relation to protected species or habitats.

Paragraph/Site: **H638**Consultee: **959970****Melvyn & Julie Booth**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2103****Soundness - Positively Prepared** Loss of green belt**Soundness - Justified**

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - drainage issues - flood risk including Far Croft - inadequate road system to serve site including Pond Lane and Tinker Lane and access to Wakefield Road - health services are at capacity - local schools are at capacity. Further development of family houses will increase pressure -

Proposed Change Requested

Address drainage problems to avoid flood risk. Bring roads up to standard including installing traffic signals at the junction of Pond Lane and Wakefield Road. Do not consider health issues can be resolved as they are outside Kirklees remit

Council Response

No change. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Site access achievable subject to Tinker Lane being brought up to an adoptable standard. Highways assessment of this site shows that the site access is achievable and the local links to the highways network are acceptable. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. Surface water discharge from the site will be controlled using measures set out in PLP28 (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 127) once adopted.

Paragraph/Site: **H638**Consultee: **965303****Mr Graham Whitcroft**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2362****Soundness - Justified**

Objection to moving the green belt. There is water under houses in Far Croft and building more houses will cause more water to collect. Exiting Pond Lane and on to the Wakefield Road (especially if turning right) is already difficult and more houses will make this worse. The schools and local doctor's surgery are already full.

Proposed Change Requested

Desist from building.

Council Response

No change. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Site access achievable subject to Tinker Lane being brought up to an adoptable standard. Highways assessment of this site shows that the site access is achievable and the local links to the highways network are acceptable. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. Surface water discharge from the site will be controlled using measures set out in PLP28 (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 127) once adopted.

Paragraph/Site: **H638**Consultee: **967590****Mrs Carol Atkin**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2084****Soundness - Positively Prepared**

Build on existing vacated land instead such as Jarmains Texttile Mill Dalton, St Lukes Crossland Moor, Old mill site at Kirkheaton and Storthes Hall. Need to build affordable houses and do up empty homes.

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - Lepton has already been subject to housing development - traffic congestion and impact on highway network of additional cars from proposed development onto Wakefield Road - no provision has been made for an increase in school places - Little Lepton - 1 way traffic only - flood risk - the field behind Far Croft and the Wood/Cricket Fields frequently floods - old mining area - no disclosure to build on this lane was identified to the new homes on Tinker Lane - impact on wildlife - roads never gritted

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H638 from the Plan.

Council Response

No change. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out when, how and with whom it will consult with as part of the development of the Local Plan. The

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

council considers that the approaches set out are compliant with regulatory and NPPF requirements. Site access achievable subject to Tinker Lane being brought up to an adoptable standard. Highways assessment of this site shows that the site access is achievable and the local links to the highways network are acceptable. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth. Surface water discharge from the site will be controlled using measures set out in PLP28 (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 127) once adopted. Although this site is within a coal referral area, the available records show no mine entrances on this land. No concerns have been raised by West Yorkshire Ecology in relation to protected species or habitats.

Paragraph/Site: **H638**

Consultee: **1043836 Mrs Alison Munro**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3823**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Site H638 is one of a number of sites proposed for housing development in the Lepton and Fenay Bridge area. The totality of these developments will put unsustainable pressure on the local community. The site is unsustainable in conjunction with the other proposed sites but might be acceptable if it were the only development. The Local Plan process has been difficult to follow.

Soundness - Justified The transport assessment for the site is flawed. No proper assessment has been made of local school place provision, nor of the impact of additional home to school journeys. There is a lack of evidence to show that local services and facilities will be able to accommodate an increase in the local population.

Proposed Change Requested Reduce the overall quantity of housing allocations in the Lepton and Fenay Bridge area.

Council Response No change. This site is an accepted housing option. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Site access achievable subject to Tinker Lane being brought up to an adoptable standard. Highways assessment of this site shows that the site access is achievable and the local links to the highways network are acceptable. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Paragraph/Site: **H638**

Consultee: **1047278 Kaye**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD222**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Plan is not legally compliant as it is proposing to destroy green belt land.

Soundness - Effective The site can not be developed because adequate and site access can not be achieved (Pond Lane / Tinker Lane), there are issues with Japanese Knotweed, issues with water courses, run off. Site is in a coal referral area. Power lines cross the site.

Proposed Change Requested There are other sites available which can provide safe movement of residents and two exits / entrance points Within the basin it's of H638. There are many sites along Wakefield towards Flockton roundabout which offer a more spacious and safe arrangement for 26-30 dwellings with all services close by. There is land available on the west of Liley Lane which was proposed to be for building of Police HQ. The local plan can only be compliant if it protects the green belt land.

Council Response No change. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The council has assessed development options submitted through the Local Plan process. Site access is achievable subject to Tinker Lane being brought up to an adoptable standard. Highways assessment of this site shows that the site access is achievable and the local links to the highways network are acceptable. Surface water discharge from the site will be controlled using measures set out in PLP28 (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 127) once adopted. Although this site is within a coal referral area, the available records show no mine entrances on this land. Power lines crossing the site entrance on Tinker Lane are not regarded as an absolute constraint. The council have demonstrated exceptional circumstances for the release of land from the green belt for development subject to site specific assessments. Although this site is currently within the green belt, the assessment of this site has shown that there is no risk or sprawl and the site has only limited relationship with the wider countryside.

Paragraph/Site: **H638**

Consultee: **1048842 Michael Adam**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2120**

Soundness - Positively Prepared Loss of green belt. Lack of co-operation with local residents Why not use the green field site in the centre of lepton adjacent to Station Road

Soundness - Justified The site is not justified on the grounds of: - removal of trees along Tinker Lane - inconvenience of construction traffic - impact of traffic on Pond Lane from additional traffic and parking - impact of Japanese knotweed impact of noise - previous planning refusals on green belt land in the area - road safety - should be retained as green belt and farming land

Proposed Change Requested Delete allocation H638 from the Plan Ensure safe pond land and road network serving the site Consider issue of knotweed Consider alternative site in the

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

centre of Lepton adjacent to Station Road

Council Response

No change. The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The council has assessed development options submitted through the Local Plan process. Site access achievable subject to Tinker Lane being brought up to an adoptable standard. Highways assessment of this site shows that the site access is achievable and the local links to the highways network are acceptable. The council have demonstrated exceptional circumstances for the release of land from the green belt for development subject to site specific assessments. Although this site is currently within the green belt, the assessment of this site has shown that there is no risk or sprawl and the site has only limited relationship with the wider countryside.

Paragraph/Site: **H638**

Consultee: **1050217**

Julie Mountford

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2115**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Loss of green belt

Soundness - Justified

The site is not justified on the grounds of: - it extends the boundaries of Little Lepton in an unnatural way. It is not an infill site and the proposed development will stick out from the present boundary. - Tinker lane is inadequate for extra traffic Junction of Pond Lane/Wakefield Road inadequate - drainage is inadequate and would worsen with additional development

Proposed Change Requested

Delete allocation H638 and retain as green belt.

Council Response

No change. This site is an accepted housing option. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Site access achievable subject to Tinker Lane being brought up to an adoptable standard. Highways assessment of this site shows that the site access is achievable and the local links to the highways network are acceptable. The council have demonstrated exceptional circumstances for the release of land from the green belt for development subject to site specific assessments. Although this site is currently within the green belt, the assessment of this site has shown that there is no risk or sprawl and the site has only limited relationship with the wider countryside. Surface water discharge from the site will be controlled using measures set out in PLP28 (SD1, Strategy and Policies, page 127) once adopted.

Paragraph/Site: **H638**

Consultee: **1060077**

Mr Robert Edward Doyle

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1764**

Soundness - Positively Prepared

Objection to urban sprawl.

Soundness - Justified

The land is quality, lowland farm and meadow land which provides good grazing and arable. It provides habitat for the biodiversity and wildlife, including mammals, birds, insects, plant species. The land supports and protects the woodland. The woodland supports and protects Human Population. It is necessary part of the ecosystem to provide Oxygen and Clean Air. It provides Quality Air levels. It supports the Clean Air Act. The Wood is Ancient. I believe it could be SSSI Status, if the owners were sympathetic to the woodland. The status could be upgraded. Most woodland is strip long and provides only a curtain for screening urban sprawl and the industrial landscape of Huddersfield. Due to pressure of population some wildlife has decline or has been eradicated. The area is home to a diverse range of Wildlife including Bats, Weasels, Stoats, Badgers, Foxes, Owls, Buzzards, Robins, Blue tits, Starlings, Wood Peckers, Woodcock, Kingfishers, Kestrel's, Sparrow Hawks, Heron's, Mallard, Doves, Wood pigeon, Jays, Rabbits and Hares. Object to the permanent damage to the environment and urban sprawl and creating a conurbation. The traffic congestion is already significant, dangerous and to levels which are not safe

Council Response

No change. This site is an accepted housing option. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Site access achievable subject to Tinker Lane being brought up to an adoptable standard. Highways assessment of this site shows that the site access is achievable and the local links to the highways network are acceptable. No concerns have been raised by West Yorkshire Ecology in relation to protected species or habitats. The council have demonstrated exceptional circumstances for the release of land from the green belt for development subject to site specific assessments. Although this site is currently within the green belt, the assessment of this site has shown that there is no risk or sprawl and the site has only limited relationship with the wider countryside.

Paragraph/Site: **H652**

Consultee: **943445**

Cllr John Taylor

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD2920**

Proposed Change Requested

If this is brought forward for housing we would like to see the access to the site extending to give through access to the houses on the Knowle which would then enable the access road at the north of the site adjacent to the viaduct to be closed or made one way as it is a dangerous junction as the viaduct makes the sightlines difficult when exiting onto Abbey Rd.

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H652	Consultee: 943478	Gaynor Lofthouse	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD800
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H652	Consultee: 943717	Mr Keith Whiteoak	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD762
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H652	Consultee: 944135	Mr Anthony Clifton	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD1076
Soundness - Justified	Site is one of many that will generate traffic which in varying degrees will gravitate onto Penistone Road. Disruption of natural drainage could lead to new problems with Fenay Beck			
Council Response	No change.Drainage information indicates a suitable drainage solution can be achieved on this site. A hydrological assessment and construction environment management plan will be required to take account of the groundwater source protection zone.The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.Highways information indicates that site access can be achieved as does further information from the site promoter. A Transport model has assessed the cumulative impacts of development in the Local Plan.The council has demonstrated exceptional circumstances to justify the release of land from the green belt for development, subject to site-specific assessments. The allocation of this site for housing is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology.			
Paragraph/Site: H652	Consultee: 1045321	Mr Patrick Marper	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2360
Soundness - Consistent with National Policy	This is inappropriate use of the Green Belt in contravention of NPPF.			
Proposed Change Requested	Retain site as Green Belt			
Council Response	No change.The council has demonstrated exceptional circumstances to justify the release of land from the green belt for development, subject to site-specific assessments. The allocation of this site for housing is consistent with the Local Plan site allocations methodology.			
Paragraph/Site: H652	Consultee: 1050126	Mrs Vivien Whiteoak	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD804
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H652	Consultee: 1057797		Agent: 1057794	Mrs Tessa Fletcher Rep ID: PDLP_AD978
Council Response	No change. Support noted.			
Paragraph/Site: H817	Consultee: 942647	Rob Ellis	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD706
Duty to Co-operate	Previous concerns regarding school place provision in relation to this site have been addressed through co-operation and co-operation should continue to share data on school place planning. It is essential that as planning applications are submitted on this allocation and Wakefield Council is consulted so possible impacts on education provision can be considered and mitigation suggested, if necessary.			
Council Response	No ChangeThe continued co-operation with Wakefield Council on school place planning is acknowledged and supported.			
Paragraph/Site: H817	Consultee: 943445	Cllr John Taylor	Agent:	Rep ID: PDLP_AD2908
Soundness - Justified	The A635 Barnsley Rd and has already seen significant development within recent years. The traffic is already an issue in this village and it suffers from very poor air quality with many HGVs using the village as a shortcut to the M1 despite the signage precluding its use. The village lacks the infrastructure to cope with the additional traffic and the local school will not be able to accommodate the increase in numbers. If this is to be sustainable, a relief road would be			

Representations received on the Kirklees Publication Draft Local Plan PDLP Allocations & Designations

needed but this is not proposed in the plan.

Council Response

No change. This site has outline planning permission for 24 dwellings (application reference 2014/93480) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

Paragraph/Site: **H1774**

Consultee: **943957 Mr Ian Smith**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD3766**

Soundness - Justified

This site lies within the boundary of the Thurstonland Conservation Area. The loss of this currently-open area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this area. When the Conservation Area was originally designated, there must have been an acceptance that this open area contributed towards the character or appearance of the area. Therefore, one might conclude that the loss of this space would be likely to harm an element which contributes towards the significance of the Conservation Area. By allocating this site for development, the Council is accepting that the principle of the loss of this currently-open area and its subsequent development is acceptable. However, there has been no evaluation of what contribution this site makes to the character of the Conservation Area or what harm might result to those elements which contribute to the significance of this designated area by its eventual development.

Soundness - Consistent with National Policy

Paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires Local Plans to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. In addition, the Council has a statutory duty under the provisions of S72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay "special attention" to "the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance" of its Conservation Areas.

Proposed Change Requested

Before allocating this site for development an assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to the elements which contribute towards the significance of the Conservation Area and what impact the loss of this undeveloped site and its subsequent development might have upon those significances.

Council Response

No change. Historic England now fully acknowledge that the selection of sites which have been put forward as allocations in the Plan have been arrived at following a series of evaluations by the Council of the potential impacts that of all the sites might have upon the historic environment. Historic England endorse the process undertaken to arrive at the selection of sites included in the Plan and this has involved full consultation with, and considerable input from, Historic England throughout. Historic England have also confirmed that the Plan does not include any sites whose development will result in substantial harm to a designated heritage asset (and whose allocation, therefore, would be likely to conflict with national policy guidance). A Heritage Impact Assessment (LE93) has been prepared for the site.

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS1957**

Consultee: **968970 c/o agent**

Agent: **968969 Miss Clare Plant**

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD1030**

Soundness - Justified

This site scores more positively than GTTS 2487 in the SA. It is more suitable to accommodate need than GTTS 2487.

Proposed Change Requested

Increase capacity of the site to 12 additional pitches

Council Response

No Change It is not considered appropriate to extend the existing Travelling Showpeople site (GTTS 1957) to accommodate the needs of Gypsy and Travellers.

Paragraph/Site: **GTTS2487**

Consultee: **949632 Miss Lyndsey Hepworth**

Agent:

Rep ID: **PDLP_AD634**

Soundness - Justified

To have a GTTS site in Birstall is not acceptable. There are currently no other planned sites that I can see in any other area of Kirklees. Although they are across council boundaries, there is already a site less than 3 miles away in Leeds. Furthermore, to have a site so close to business and areas of employment is a risk to maintaining and attracting business. This type of site needs to be far away from the local area, possibly on a main access road without having to travel through a village.

Council Response

The Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 (LE16), was undertaken in accordance with national planning policy and existing guidance on undertaking accommodation assessments. The site has been assessed in accordance with the site selection methodology (Local Plan Methodology Statement Part 2 BP23). The assessments of alternative sites can be viewed in the Rejected site options reports (LE4) and Accepted site options – Technical Appraisals reports (BP29). The relative scoring of alternative sites is set out in the sustainability appraisal (SD5). The site scores positively with regard to access to services in the SA (SD5-Annex 5 – Traveller Site SA Matrices - pages 171-175). The site is council owned and considered to be deliverable. The site access is achievable. The site is on potentially contaminated land therefore Phase 1 and 2 contamination reports are required. A coal mining risk assessment will be required. It is acknowledged that the power lines across this site may affect the developable area. These requirements are set