

Green Belt Review Supporting Document

April 2017

Planning Policy Group
Investment and Regeneration Service
Kirklees Council
PO Box B93
Civic Centre III
Huddersfield HD1 2JR

Contents	Page
1 Introduction.....	2
2 Background.....	3
3 Identifying the Objectively Assessed Need for Housing in Kirklees.....	3
4 Identifying the Objectively Assessed Need for Jobs in Kirklees.....	4
5 Safeguarded Land.....	5
6 Exceptional Circumstances.....	5
7 The Kirklees Green Belt Review.....	6
7.1 Introduction.....	6
7.2 Green Belt in Kirklees in its Strategic Context.....	6
7.3 The Kirklees Green Belt Review Methodology.....	7
7.4 Test 1: Degree of Constraint to Development.....	8
7.5 Test 2: Green Belt Purposes.....	12
7.6 Outcomes.....	16
7.7 Test 3: Brownfield Land in the Green Belt.....	16
8 Translating the Green Belt Review into a Site Allocation Methodology RAG Rating	16
9 Examples of how the Green Belt Review is translated into a Site-Specific Green Belt Assessment.....	20
10 Conclusion.....	25
Figures	
Figure 1 The Green Belt Review Test Sequence.....	15
Figure 2 The Relationship between the Green Belt Review and the Site Allocation Methodology.....	19
Maps	
Map 1 Example 1: Green Belt Edge at Hartshead and Site Option H242.....	20
Map 2 Example 2: Green Belt Edge MF14 and Site Option H96.....	22
Map 3 Example 3: Site Option H3381.....	24
Map 4 Distribution of Accepted Green Belt Development Options Showing Relationship to Sustainable Settlements.....	26
Appendices	
Appendix 1 Terrain Map of Kirklees Showing Digital Elevation Data.....	27
Appendix 2 Green Belt Review Assessment Matrix.....	28
Appendix 3 Accepted Development Options Wholly or Partly in the Green Belt.....	29
Appendix 4 Rejected Development Options Wholly or Partly in the Green Belt.....	32

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The purposes of this document are to set out the reasons why Kirklees Council undertook a Green Belt Review in support of the Local Plan and to explain the assumptions underpinning the methodology that was used. The document will establish the link between the Green Belt Review and the objectively assessed need for jobs and housing and consequently what exceptional circumstances exist to justify the removal of accepted development options from the green belt.
- 1.2 The Green Belt Review is a method of assessing the green belt around settlements in Kirklees to aid the overall assessment of development options as part of the preparation of the Local Plan. It does not, by itself, result in any amendment to the green belt boundary. How the Green Belt Review translates into the assessment of development options will be set out in this document.
- 1.3 The green belt information published as part of the Kirklees Local Plan is set out in the following documents:
- The Green Belt Review (April 2017). Sets out the methodology for reviewing the green belt and the outcomes of the assessment;
 - The Green Belt Edge maps. Illustrate the outcomes of the Green Belt Review;
 - Green Belt Review Supporting Document (April 2017). Gives more detailed guidance on the Green Belt Review methodology and sets out the links between the various elements of the green belt assessments;
 - Kirklees Local Plan Methodology Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology (April 2017). Explains how the Green Belt Review is translated into a site specific assessment RAG rating (red/amber/green) published as part of the appraisal of development options;
 - Accepted Site Options - Technical Appraisals (April 2017) and Rejected Site Options Report (April 2017). Give the site specific assessments of development options; and
 - Green Belt Boundary Changes (April 2017). Establishes the methodology for transferring the existing statutory green belt boundary into electronic format, gives the assessment of green belt sites that are not development options including sites submitted to the Council that are below the 0.4ha site size threshold (the small sites) and illustrates those areas of land that need to be removed from the green belt as a consequence of the acceptance of new allocations in the green belt.
- 1.4 No further information on the processes set out in the Green Belt Boundary Changes document is contained in this supporting document.
- 1.5 The council considers that the approach to the review of the green belt in Kirklees, which does not by itself result in an amendment to the green belt boundary, is relevant and proportionate to its role as supporting information to aid the comprehensive assessment of development options in the Local Plan.

2. Background

- 2.1 Areas to which green belt policies apply were first defined mainly on an interim basis in the West Riding County Development Plan and Town Maps prepared in the 1960s and approved between 1970 and 1976. In Kirklees subsequent Local Plans in the 1980s for the Heavy Woollen District (north Kirklees), Huddersfield and Colne Valley identified detailed statutory green belt boundaries. The rest of the district was covered by the Kirkburton and Denby Dale Village Plans and the Holmfirth/Meltham Local Plan where policy, including green belt policy was approved for use in the determination of planning applications. The Kirklees Unitary Development Plan formally designated statutory green belt boundaries for the whole district when it was adopted in 1999.
- 2.2 The green belt in Kirklees has not been substantially reviewed for a considerable length of time and the vast majority of both the statutory and non-statutory boundaries created in the 1980s still exist. Throughout this time the green belt has been successfully channelling development into the urban areas. In the past 11 years almost 85% of all housing completions within Kirklees have been on brownfield land. This figure reflects the past availability of brownfield development sites, the “brownfield first” policy in previous national planning guidance and the council’s restrictive approach to greenfield development during much of this period.
- 2.3 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF March 2012) now requires local planning authorities to identify sufficient land in their Local Plans to ensure that ‘objectively assessed need’ (OAN) for market and affordable housing and for jobs is met in full, unless any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the NPPF as a whole. To this end the Local Plan must establish the OAN for jobs and homes and consider where this need could be met. Largely as a consequence of both green belt policy and the presumption in favour of brownfield development the amount of suitable and deliverable development land outside of the green belt has significantly declined. Not all brownfield land will be either available or suitable for new housing development or to meet the modern requirements for business or industrial sites and premises. The Local Plan must demonstrate the availability of accepted development options and therefore that a willing landowner supports the development. It should be recognised that not all owners of brownfield sites wish to put their land forward for redevelopment and that often it is still in an operational use. The calculation of both the number of new homes required from housing allocations in the Local Plan and the amount of new employment land includes a windfall allowance to recognise that currently unallocated sites will still come forward across the plan period as sites become available.

3. Identifying the Objectively Assessed Need for Housing in Kirklees

- 3.1 The National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 47 sets out the requirement for the Local Plan to identify and meet housing needs within the relevant housing market area. Evidence set out in the Kirklees Strategic Housing Market Assessment

(SHMA) (October 2016) shows that Kirklees is an appropriate housing market area for the purposes of local plan policy making. There has been effective co-operation with authorities adjoining Kirklees, as set out in the council's Duty to Co-operate Statement (April 2017), but the council aims to meet the OAN from within the Kirklees district. None of the housing requirement is proposed to be accommodated by neighbouring authorities.

- 3.2 In accordance with National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG), the starting point for identifying objectively assessed need is the Communities and Local Government Household Projections. A series of Kirklees-specific scenarios were prepared, as detailed in the Kirklees SHMA and Housing Technical Paper (April 2017), resulting in a housing requirement of 1,730 dwellings per annum which amounts to 31,140 dwellings over the plan period (2013-31).
- 3.3 Table 5 of the Kirklees Local Plan Strategy and Policies document shows that the Local Plan must allocate sufficient land to accommodate a further 21,328 dwellings in order to meet the requirement of 31,140 dwellings to 2031. In order to allocate the most suitable sites all potential new allocations were assessed following the methodology set out in the Kirklees Local Plan Methodology Part 2: Site Allocation Methodology. Through this approach suitable new housing allocations were found in the urban areas that could accommodate nearly 10,000 new dwellings. This left a shortfall of approximately 11,500 dwellings that would need to be accommodated outside of existing urban areas if the council were to meet in full the objectively assessed need for housing.
- 3.4 Detailed information relating to the housing requirement and land supply is set out in the Kirklees SHMA (October 2016) and Housing Technical Paper (April 2017).

4. Identifying the Objectively Assessed Need for Jobs in Kirklees

- 4.1 National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) states that the primary objective of identifying employment need is to identify the future quantity of land or floorspace required, including both the quantitative and qualitative needs for new development. It is important to ensure this process is proportionate and considers future scenarios that could be reasonably expected to occur. Kirklees falls within the Functional Economic Market Area of the Leeds City Region (LCR). Consequently, consideration has been given to the LCR Strategic Economic Plan (SEP) as well as the council's own economic objectives set out in the Kirklees Economic Strategy (KES). In order to align with and enable the delivery of both SEP and KES objectives, the identified objectively assessed need is approximately 23,200 full time equivalent (FTE) jobs.
- 4.2 An integral part of the consideration of the objectively assessed need for jobs is the need to provide for land on which those jobs can be created. Land requirement varies over different sectors of the economy and the type of land made available is of critical importance to meeting the need. The OAN of approximately 23,200 FTE jobs has been translated into a land requirement of 175 hectares and assessment

has indicated that a significant amount of that requirement, in particular the requirement for prime employment land, cannot be accommodated within the existing urban area. As with the OAN for housing, no part of the requirement is proposed to be accommodated by neighbouring authorities.

- 4.3 Detailed information relating to the employment land requirement in Kirklees is set out in the Employment Technical Paper (April 2017).

5. Safeguarded Land

- 5.1 NPPF paragraph 85 states that where necessary local planning authorities should identify areas of 'safeguarded land' between the urban area and the green belt, in order to meet longer-term development needs. These areas should be protected from permanent development so that green belt boundaries established in the Local Plan can endure well beyond the plan period. The Local Plan has identified 18 hectares of new safeguarded land on land outside of the existing urban areas.

6. Exceptional Circumstances

- 6.1 NPPF paragraph 83 states that green belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances through the preparation or review of the Local Plan. The Housing White Paper (February 2017) reiterates that protection for the green belt will remain strong and clarifies that green belt boundaries should only be amended in exceptional circumstances when local authorities can demonstrate that they have fully examined all other reasonable options for meeting their identified requirements. Scrutiny of the existing supply of both housing and employment land within the urban area of Kirklees has identified that green belt land must be considered if housing and employment requirements are to be met. This is in the context of policies protecting valuable open land within the urban areas (Urban Green Space), and that no part of the requirement is being exported to neighbouring authorities.
- 6.2 The National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 14 specifically addresses the question of whether housing and economic needs can override the green belt constraint in the context of the preparation of a development plan. As the green belt is the only additional source of land that could accommodate the identified housing and employment requirements, the council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to determine whether any part of the Kirklees green belt is so fundamentally important that the harm caused by removal of land from it would outweigh the need to find land to meet OAN. The review has also been used to aid the comprehensive assessment of all development options in accordance with the Kirklees Local Plan site allocation methodology. This element is of vital importance both to demonstrate that all other non-green belt alternatives have been examined and because a planning judgement is required to determine whether the degree of harm to green belt purposes outweighs the need to provide new development land.

- 6.3 Only after due consideration of all relevant non-green belt alternatives, the need to promote sustainable development patterns and a judgement that based on an individual site assessment the benefits of meeting objectively assessed need outweighs the harm to the green belt caused by the removal of land from it, can exceptional circumstances be shown that justify releasing land from the green belt.
- 6.4 This exceptional reason applies to the removal of any accepted development option including those for housing, employment, mixed use and safeguarded land, as well as any further land removed from the green belt as a consequence of accepting a development option. Exceptional circumstances have been stated in the Accepted Site Options Technical Appraisal for every accepted development option that would result in the removal of land from the green belt. The site-specific exceptional circumstances that apply to the advertised changes to the position of the green belt boundary proposed as part of the exercises detailed in the Green Belt Boundary Changes document are set out in that document.
- 6.5 Development options rejected on green belt grounds are those where the benefits of meeting objectively assessed needs are outweighed by the harm that would be caused to green belt purposes. In these cases, exceptional circumstances cannot be demonstrated to justify an amendment to the green belt boundary.

7. The Kirklees Green Belt Review

7.1 Introduction

- 7.1.1 The Kirklees Green Belt Review (April 2017) sets out the methodology used in the review of the Kirklees green belt. This supporting document gives more detailed information concerning the methods and tests used and the assumptions that underpin them. It is very important to note that in itself the Kirklees Green Belt Review is not an exercise to revise the green belt boundary and it does not result in the removal of parcels of land from the green belt. It is an aid to the assessment of sites as part of a comprehensive site allocation methodology. How the Green Belt Review translates into a 'RAG' rating (red/amber/green) in the site assessment process is explained in this document at section 8.

7.2 Green Belt in Kirklees in its Strategic Context

- 7.2.1 National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 79 states that the fundamental aim of green belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open. The green belt serves 5 purposes:

- To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas;
- To prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another;
- To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment;
- To preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and
- To assist in urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.

7.2.2 Section 2 of the Green Belt Review (April 2017) sets out the strategic nature of the Kirklees green belt in terms of the role it plays within the wider West Yorkshire green belt, and the varying degree to which the green belt within different parts of the district fulfils a green belt role and function. The review illustrates that there is a centralised ‘core’ comprising the major towns and cities of West Yorkshire, surrounded by a less heavily urbanised area characterised by expansive open land interspersed with villages. The role and function of the green belt around the urban areas of Huddersfield and in the north of Kirklees (in closest proximity to the neighbouring towns and cities of West Yorkshire) is therefore strategic; it maintains a degree of separation between the larger settlements within Kirklees and also separates these from other settlements in neighbouring authorities. Outside of the urban core the main functions of the green belt are to retain separation between individual settlements and to prevent encroachment into the surrounding countryside. While locally there may be areas of strongly performing green belt where the harm to the purposes of the green belt could outweigh the need to allocate new development land, in its general extent there is nothing to suggest that the Kirklees green belt could not accommodate the identified objectively assessed need for new development land.

7.3 The Kirklees Green Belt Review Methodology

7.3.1 Where the need for development cannot be accommodated in the non-green belt area, new allocations will be considered firstly as an extension to an existing settlement. The green belt boundary was therefore subjected to a number of tests to determine the degree to which the boundary, or land immediately beyond the boundary is constrained such that settlement extension would be unlikely to be satisfactorily accommodated. For those areas most able to accommodate settlement extension, the degree to which that land performed a green belt role was then assessed. The chosen boundary lengths are defined by reference to points where the nature of the boundary changes significantly. Each length was assigned a unique reference number.

7.3.2 The review follows the sequence of tests set out below and is illustrated in figure 1.

- Test 1 is a three part test and uses a RAG rating for each part of the test to assess constraints to potential settlement extension, establishing the ‘degree of constraint’ either on the green belt boundary itself or on land beyond the boundary. Only land assessed as green or amber at Test 1 progresses to Test 2, which is to assess land against green belt purposes;
- Test 2a uses a RAG rating to determine whether a particular area of the green belt is functioning as a strategic gap maintaining separation between settlements. Only land assessed as green or amber at Test 2a and thereby deemed not to be a strategic gap undergoes three further tests, all using a RAG rating;
- Test 2b (checking unrestricted sprawl), Test 2c (safeguarding the countryside from encroachment) and Test 2d (protecting the setting of historic assets).

7.3.3 The conclusion for each of the tests is given in the 'Outcomes' tables for every length of green belt edge. These outcomes are published at Appendix 2a of the Green Belt Review (April 2017). Each assessed length of edge was also published on the 'Green Belt Edge' maps. It must be noted that the published position of this assessed edge is indicative only and does not represent the position of the statutory green belt boundary.

- A further test (Test 3) was applied to some brownfield land on the edges of settlements and this will be explained at section 7.7 of this document.

7.3.4 In accordance with the Green Belt Review methodology any length of edge deemed to be constrained at Test 1 (shown by a 'red' RAG rating) did not progress through to Test 2 so was not assessed against green belt purposes. Any length of edge deemed to constitute a strategic gap at Test 2a (shown by a 'red' RAG rating) did not progress through to tests 2b, 2c and 2d so was not assessed against further green belt purposes. In accordance with the site allocation methodology however, *all green belt sites* underwent a separate green belt assessment and may still have been shown to be acceptable if it could be demonstrated that their release would not significantly harm any green belt purpose and that the constraints identified either did not apply to the site or could be adequately mitigated or designed around. This applies to *all green belt sites* irrespective of their location relative to any particular green belt edge.

7.3.5 The Kirklees Green Belt Review also makes no attempt to dictate where new green belt boundaries could be established. The extent of adjoining land taken into consideration depends on the features it contains and whether and how such features could form a new boundary. Unless specifically alluded to no boundary should be implied from the review itself.

7.4 Test 1: Degree of Constraint to Development

7.4.1 This consists of a three part test:

- Test 1a topographical;
- Test 1b physical; and
- Test 1c environmental.

7.4.2 A 'RAG' rating was applied to each length at Test 1a, 1b and 1c to determine the degree of constraint in accordance with the guidance set out in Tables 1, 2 and 3 below. All edges were assessed against all three parts of Test 1, irrespective of the presence of a red assessment in any one of the tests. This is because constraints noted along a length of green belt edge may not necessarily apply to a *site* that has been submitted to the council for consideration.

Table 1: Test 1a Topographical Constraint RAG Rating (as set out in paragraph 3.4 of the Green Belt Review April 2017)

Topographical constraint	Degree of slope	Assessment
None or minor	<15%	None or minor topographical constraint
Moderate	<15% - 20%	Degree, amount and location of slope are not a fundamental constraint to development
Severe	>20%	Topography is a constraint to development

Table 2: Test 1b Physical Constraint RAG Rating (as set out in paragraph 3.6 of the Green Belt Review April 2017)

Physical constraint	Assessment
None or minor	No significant physical constraints to development
Moderate	Some degree of constraint that could be designed around or would otherwise not constitute a fundamental constraint
Severe	Severe constraint that would be a fundamental constraint to development

Table 3: Test 1c Environmental Constraint RAG Rating (as set out in paragraph 3.8 of the Green Belt Review April 2017)

Environmental constraint	Assessment
None or minor	No significant environmental constraints to development
Moderate	Some degree of constraint that could be designed around or would otherwise not constitute a fundamental constraint
Severe	Severe constraint that would be a fundamental constraint to development

7.4.3 All lengths of edge with a red RAG rating at Test 1 are illustrated as a '**BLACK**' edge on the green belt edge maps.

7.4.4 It is necessary to look in more detail at these black edges in order to ensure that the assumptions and the evidence behind the assessment are sound. To this end, it is also worth differentiating between edges that have been assessed as black because the edge itself is constrained, and edges that have been assessed as black because the land beyond the edge is constrained. The vast majority of the black edges have been assessed as constrained because of factors affecting the land beyond the edge. The reasons for this vary and are representative of the particular characteristics of the green belt landscape in different parts of the district.

Test 1a: Topographical Constraints

7.4.5 Particularly in the west of the district Kirklees is characterised as an open upland landscape incised by steep valleys. The green belt in the immediate vicinity of Colne and Holme valley settlements for example extends over the steep and prominent valley sides that give these towns and villages their distinctive character. The

topographical constraint associated with these steep valley sides is severe. To be assessed as red the degree of slope must be >20% (1:5) and be on or very close to the edge of the settlement so that development impact on the valley side would be immediate. This level of constraint is illustrated by the black edges that surround much of Holmfirth, Marsden, Linthwaite and Slaithwaite. The same is true of much of the western side of Huddersfield which is constrained by steep slopes at Longwood Edge, Golcar, Milnsbridge, Scapegoat Hill and Lower Wellhouse. To the east Huddersfield is constrained by Dalton Bank and to the south by the steep slope south of Benomley. In the north and rural south of the district topographical constraints are less frequent, with a notable exception at Thornhill Edge. In these areas a red assessment at Test 1 is more likely to result from a physical or environmental constraint.

- 7.4.6 A terrain map illustrating the Digital Elevation Data used at Test 1a is shown at Appendix 1.

Test 1b: Physical Constraints

- 7.4.7 Physical constraints to development can be found either on the boundary or on land beyond the boundary.

Physical Constraints on Land beyond the Boundary

- 7.4.8 Physical constraints to development on land beyond the boundary include rivers and other watercourses in close proximity to the settlement edge, canals, reservoirs and ponds, schools and school playing fields (Colne Valley High School, King James's High School and Honley High School for example), the M62 motorway and other significant road infrastructure such as major road junctions, railways and railway infrastructure, mineral working, high pressure gas pipelines, other significant buildings such as industrial sites, areas of woodland in immediate proximity to the settlement, parks (Wilton Park, Oakwell Hall Country Park, Dewsbury Country Park, Beaumont Park being examples), cemeteries and crematoria, pylons carrying high voltage lines, sewage works, stadia, landfill sites and severely restricted or badly configured areas close to the district boundary such that new allocations could not be accommodated.
- 7.4.9 Test 1b also considers the presence of conservation areas, listed buildings and ancient monuments. It is recognised that the preservation of the setting of individual historic assets is not a specified green belt purpose within the NPPF and for that reason edges are not assessed as red against physical constraints solely for the presence of a conservation area or listed building. However, it is also recognised that the presence of a listed building that could not be demolished or moved, and the need to take setting into account, is still a physical constraint to development and is correctly noted at Test 1b. Assessments made at Test 1b are based on a RAG rating and while constraints may be present they may not represent a severe constraint, perhaps due to their remoteness or sporadic nature. In these cases they are noted, and the edge assessed as either amber or green accordingly. Assuming that there are

no other constraints identified in Test 1a or 1c, the edge progresses through to be assessed against green belt purposes. It must also be remembered that the physical constraint may be assessed as red for a reason other than the historic asset. One such example is edge BS3, which is constrained by the presence of a cemetery immediately adjacent to the settlement edge and receives a red assessment for a physical constraint. Birstall Conservation Area extends into the green belt over the cemetery and its presence is noted at Test 1b, even though on its own this would not be sufficient to result in a red assessment.

7.4.10 There are two lengths of green belt edge however that do have a red assessment at Test 1b. These are edge B/EB19 at Adwalton Moor Registered Historic Battlefield and edge MF8 Castle Hall Hill (motte and bailey castle) Scheduled Ancient Monument. In both these cases the green belt boundary either cuts through or immediately adjoins the scheduled area.

7.4.11 No lengths of green belt edge are assessed as red at Test 1b solely because of the presence of a conservation area or listed building.

Physical constraint to the boundary

7.4.12 In the vast majority of cases the identified constraints are on land beyond the edge of the settlement. In a number of areas however the boundary follows a watercourse, railway line, canal or the M62 motorway. These represent a physical barrier to the expansion of a settlement, even though land beyond them may be free of constraint to development. The watercourses include the River Calder, River Spen, River Colne, River Holme, River Dearne and Fenay Beck, along with numerous other smaller watercourses such as Grimescar Dike, Nann Hall Beck, Lodge Beck, Scotland Beck, Nova Beck and Meltham Dike. The individual assessment of any development option abutting an edge so constrained could still determine however that the site could be developed satisfactorily, although it is likely that the site would have a poor relationship with the existing settlement form. Many sites adjoining watercourses are also likely to be constrained by flood risk and to be associated with sensitive environmental habitats. Any corresponding environmental constraints are considered at Test 1c.

Test 1c: Environmental Constraints

7.4.13 The environmental constraints considered at Test 1c include buffer zones under high voltage power lines, alongside high pressure gas pipelines and around landfill gas sites, areas at high risk of flooding, 'hazard zones' associated with industrial sites, areas affected by air quality issues and noise pollution, protected trees, protected species, Local Nature Reserves, Local Wildlife Sites and Local Geological Sites (formerly Sites of Wildlife Significance and Sites of Scientific Interest), the Peak

District National Park, the North Peak Environmentally Sensitive Area, Special Area of Conservation and Special Protection Area¹, and Millennium Greens.

- 7.4.14 Any length of green belt edge considered to be constrained to the extent that it receives a red rating for any part of Test 1 did not progress to Test 2 to be considered against green belt purposes.

7.5 Test 2: Green Belt Purposes

- 7.5.1 Lengths of edge that progressed through Test 1 were assessed against green belt purposes in Test 2. One of the purposes of the green belt set out in paragraph 80 of NPPF is to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another. In Kirklees this green belt purpose has always been, and will continue to be applied to green belt that prevents the merger of settlements, whatever their size. Whether land performs this role can be assessed based on the existing settlement form and the width of the existing gap. Land that functions as a strategic gap maintaining separation between settlements need not be assessed against further green belt purposes as it is considered that any land release would fundamentally undermine the role of the green belt and therefore that its green belt role and function is already strong. The remaining green belt purposes tested are based on a consideration of the degree to which land may check sprawl, safeguard the countryside from encroachment and protect the setting of historic assets. More information concerning this last test is given in paragraph 7.5.4 below.

Test 2a: Prevention of merger

- 7.5.2 Test 2a assessed all the lengths of green belt edge that progressed through the process from Test 1 to determine whether land functioned as a strategic gap between settlements. A RAG rating was used so that land could be deemed to be part of an extensive gap between settlements (green), a narrow or restricted gap but where settlement extension could be accommodated (amber) or a strategic gap such that any land release could harm the purposes of including land in the green belt (red). Any length assessed as red did not progress to be considered against other green belt purposes.
- 7.5.3 All lengths of edge with a red RAG rating at test 2a are illustrated as a '**RED**' edge on the green belt edge maps.

Tests 2b, 2c and 2d

- 7.5.4 All lengths of edge that progressed through Tests 1 and 2a were assessed against green belt purposes, namely to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas (Test 2b), to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment (Test 2c) and to preserve the setting of historic assets (Test 2d), following the considerations set

¹ Peak District Moors Special Protection Area/South Pennine Moors Special Area of Conservation and North Peak Environmentally Sensitive Area (now expired).

out in the Green Belt Review at paragraphs 3.16 to 3.20. It is recognised, and stated in the Green Belt Review document at paragraph 3.20 that one of the purposes of the green belt set out in NPPF is to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns of which there are none in Kirklees. However, for the purposes of the review of the Kirklees green belt this has been used to assess where green belt land preserves the setting of historic assets. The Kirklees Green Belt Review methodology allows for the degree to which development would impact on these assets to be combined with an assessment of green belt purposes to give an overall view of the role of the green belt in these areas. There are just two lengths of green belt edge that have been assessed as 'red' at test 2d, and these are B/EB18 for proximity to Adwalton Moor Registered Historic Battlefield and N7 at Newsome where development would impact on the setting of Castle Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument.


- 7.5.5 The results of these three tests were combined in a matrix (given at Appendix 1 of the Green Belt Review and reproduced at Appendix 2 in this report) to arrive at a 'score' for each length, illustrating the degree to which each area performed a green belt role and function. The Assessment Matrix is a tool that allows a combination of three assessments to be translated into a single conclusion in a manner that is transparent and consistent. Any length of edge that includes at least one 'red' assessment for example, cannot score less than '3'. The matrix also allows weighting to be applied to the degree to which land is deemed to check sprawl, recognising this as the fundamental aim of green belt policy.
- 7.5.6 All lengths of edge assessed at Tests 2b, 2c and 2d were assigned a 'score' ranging from green_1, where green belt role and function is least important, through yellow (amber) to pink_5, where green belt role and function is strongest and where most harm would be caused by removal of land from the green belt.
- 7.5.7 Table 4 below is taken from the Green Belt Review (paragraph 3.21) and summarises the scoring for lengths of green belt edge. The test sequence and outcomes is also illustrated in Figure 1.

Table 4: Summary of colours and scores for Tests 1 to 2d:

Black	Test 1 indicates that there may be a significant constraint to development, caused by an absolute barrier to development along the edge (railway line for example) or that the land immediately adjacent to the edge is significantly constrained (severe slope, ancient woodland for example)	
Red	Test 2a indicates that the green belt is performing a strategic role such that development may result in the merging of settlements	
Importance of green belt role based on combination of tests 2b to 2d where the site 'passes' tests 1 and 2a;		
1	Less important	Dark green
2		Light green
3		Light yellow (amber)
4		Dark yellow (amber)
5	More important	Pink

7.5.8 All lengths of edges are illustrated on the green belt edge maps.

Figure 1: The Green Belt Review Test Sequence.



7.6 Outcomes

- 7.6.1 The reason for each of the RAG assessments at Tests 1 and 2 are given in Appendix 2a of the Green Belt Review 'Outcomes of the Green Belt Edge Review' which show how the assessment was arrived at. It is these that are used to inform the assessment of development options in accordance with the Local Plan site allocation methodology.

7.7 Test 3: Brownfield Land in the Green Belt

- 7.7.1 One of the purposes of the green belt is "to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land". On a strategic level the entirety of the green belt achieves this by channelling development into urban areas and in paragraph 2.2 above it has been noted that in the past 11 years almost 85% of all housing completions within Kirklees have been on brownfield land. The Green Belt Review at paragraphs 3.23 to 3.28 states however that there may be brownfield sites within the green belt whose redevelopment could be inappropriate under the terms of green belt policy, where it could be said that the green belt is preventing the beneficial re-use of brownfield land.
- 7.7.1 Test 3 assesses whether green belt policy could prevent any previously developed land (for the purposes of Test 3 that is land with an 'urban land use', such as industrial land and buildings) located in the green belt but abutting the settlement edge (and therefore deemed to be sustainably located) from being beneficially re-used. It is not a comprehensive test of all brownfield sites within the wider green belt, which could not be removed from the green belt in isolation, but looked specifically at brownfield sites on the edges of the settlements to see whether they should be brought within the settlement.
- 7.7.2 As the Green Belt Review does not by itself result in an amendment to the position of the green belt boundary exceptional circumstances would still need to be demonstrated to justify the removal any brownfield site from the green belt, even if assessment at Test 3 indicated that beneficial re-use could be prevented by its green belt designation. All sites must therefore be scrutinised to determine whether any such exceptional circumstances exist before an amendment to the green belt boundary can be made.

8. Translating the Green Belt Review into a Site Allocation Methodology RAG Rating

- 8.1 The Green Belt Review itself does not result in an amendment to the green belt boundary. In order for land to be removed from the green belt a development option must be accepted as a new allocation, either for housing, employment, mixed use or as safeguarded land. The decision to accept any development option is taken through the Local Plan process as a whole and the green belt assessment forms one part of that comprehensive decision-making process.

- 8.2 Each development option abutting the settlement edge had a two part green belt assessment, resulting in an edge assessment RAG rating and an overall site assessment RAG rating. These are the two green belt assessments published in the accepted and rejected options technical reports. Sites not adjacent to a settlement boundary, the ‘remote’ sites, did not have a green belt edge assessment. The process is illustrated at Figure 2 below.
- 8.3 The edge assessment looked at the outcome from the Green Belt Review, taking into account both the strategic role of different parts of the Kirklees green belt where relevant and the localised conclusion from the edge assessment, as well as the degree of constraint associated with the individual site. This is because it is possible that constraints identified on a length of edge may not necessarily apply to any particular site. The overall site assessment looked at configuration and location relative to the edge of the settlement and the potential to create a defensible new green belt boundary.
- 8.4 Section 4 of the site allocation methodology sets out how the Green Belt Review is translated into a RAG rating for the purpose of the consideration of development options and this is reproduced here.

Table 5: How the Green Belt Review translates into a site allocation methodology RAG rating for the ‘green belt edge’


Green	The site is located adjacent to an area of green belt edge assessed as green (a score of 1 or 2 from the green belt edge review). These areas are deemed to perform a less important green belt role where settlement extension could have limited impact on the purposes of including land in the green belt.
Amber	The site is located adjacent to an area of green belt edge assessed as amber (a score of 3 or 4 from the green belt edge review). These areas are deemed to perform a moderately important green belt role but where settlement extension could be achieved without fundamentally compromising the role and function of the green belt.
Red	The site is located adjacent to an area of green belt edge assessed as pink, red or black (a score of 5, a ‘strategic green belt gap’ or a ‘constrained edge’ from the green belt edge review). These areas are deemed either to perform an important green belt role where settlement extension could impact on the role and function of the green belt, or where there are deemed to be fundamental or severe constraints to development.

Table 6: How the individual site assessment translates into a site allocation methodology RAG rating for the 'green belt'

Green	The site would present a reasonable extension relative to the settlement it abuts, would have little or no impact on the purposes of including land in the green belt and presents the opportunity to create a strong new defensible green belt boundary
Amber	The site is located adjacent to a part of the green belt edge where assessment has shown that development could have some detrimental impact on the purposes of including land in the green belt and/or the site does not present a strong new defensible green belt boundary. Opportunity may exist that could mitigate this impact, such as the minor alteration to the option boundary or the removal of some additional land from the green belt
Red	The site would not present a reasonable extension relative to the settlement it abuts and/or the site is located adjacent to a part of the green belt edge where assessment has shown that development could have a significant detrimental impact on the purposes of including land in the green belt, and/or it is located adjacent to a part of the green belt edge that is deemed to be significantly constrained and/or the option is remote from any settlement

8.5 The conclusion of the site-specific two part green belt assessment has been published in the Accepted Site Options - Technical Appraisals (April 2017) and the Rejected Site Options Report (April 2017). Any site that receives a red RAG rating for the edge assessment based on the outcome of the Green Belt Review may still be acceptable in green belt terms if it does not also receive a red RAG rating for its overall site assessment.

Figure 2: The Relationship between the Green Belt Review and the Site Allocation Methodology



The site would present a reasonable extension relative to the settlement it abuts, would have little or no impact on the purposes of including land in the green belt and presents the opportunity to create a strong new defensible green belt boundary

The site is located adjacent to a part of the green belt edge where assessment has shown that development could have some detrimental impact on the purposes of including land in the green belt and/or the site does not present a strong new defensible green belt boundary. Opportunity may exist that could mitigate this impact, such as the minor alteration to the option boundary or the removal of some additional land from the green belt

The site would not present a reasonable extension relative to the settlement it abuts and/or the site is located adjacent to a part of the green belt edge where assessment has shown that development could have a significant detrimental impact on the purposes of including land in the green belt, and/or it is located adjacent to a part of the green belt edge that is deemed to be significantly constrained and/or the option is remote from any settlement

9. Examples of how the Green Belt Review is translated into a Site-Specific Green Belt Assessment

9.1 The following section sets out three examples of how sites submitted to the council for consideration through the Local Plan process have been assessed for their impact on green belt purposes.

Example 1: Housing option H242 Peep Green Road Hartshead which abuts green belt edge HH5.

Map 1: Green Belt Edge at Hartshead and Site Option H242




Table 7: Outcome of the Green Belt Review Assessment for Edge HH5

Edge ref	Test1a	Test1b	Test1c	Existing use	Test 2a	Test 2b	Test 2c	Test 2d	Conclusion
HH5	None	None	None	Housing on Peep Green Road, cultivated land	Extensive gap	Peep Green Road, School Lane, field boundaries provide containment	Limited visual relationship with wider countryside	No impact	Score (2) Development between Peep Green Road and School Lane would have limited impact on the openness of the green belt

9.2 Map 1 above illustrates the assessed role and function of the green belt surrounding the village of Hartshead. To the east the edge is deemed to constitute a strategic gap which in this case separates Hartshead from Roberttown. The countryside character and paucity of potential new green belt boundaries to the south and east of the village means that any settlement extension would be likely to result in encroachment into the countryside and sprawl unless new boundaries could be found. To the north however the urban fringe nature of the green belt, characterised by the presence of Peep Green Road and existing development as well as field boundaries means that settlement extension could be accommodated with limited impact on openness.

9.3 A housing option (site no. H242) located adjacent to edge HH5 was received for consideration as a new housing allocation through the Local Plan process. Table 8 shows the two part green belt assessment that was published for housing option H242. The green belt edge assessment of the site reinforced the Green Belt Review. The overall assessment considered the relationship to the settlement, site-specific impact on green belt purposes and whether strong new green belt boundaries could be found. The result is that site H242 scored 'green' for both the edge assessment and the overall site assessment.

Table 8: Site Allocation Methodology Green Belt Assessment of Housing Option H242

	Assessment
Green Belt Edge	Hartshead is an inset settlement in an extensive area of green belt, although there is a restricted gap to the east between Hartshead and Roberttown. There is a relatively fragmented pattern of land use particularly to the north of the village which presents opportunities for extension or rounding off without compromising the role and function of the green belt in this location.
Green Belt	The site is contained by existing development and Peep Green Road to three sides and a strong treed boundary on the north side. As such there is no risk of sprawl or further encroachment. This would be a small scale development well related to the settlement.

9.4 H242 was accepted as a new housing option in the Kirklees Local Plan following a comprehensive analysis of which the green belt assessment was one part, in accordance with the site allocation methodology.

Example 2: Housing option H96 Crossley Hill Mirfield which abuts green belt edge MF14

Map 2: Green Belt Edge MF14 and site option H96




Table 9: Outcome of the Green Belt Review Assessment for Edge MF14

Edge ref	Test 1a	Test1b	Test1c	Existing use	Test 2a	Test 2b	Test 2c	Test 2d	Conclusion
MF14	Severe in part along Crossley Lane	None	Great crested newts	Housing, farm buildings at Crossley, grazing land	Extensive gap	Crossley Lane, farm buildings, field boundaries provide containment	Limited visual relationship to wider countryside, strong urban edge	No impact	Score (3) Development would have limited impact on the openness of the green belt

9.5 The outcome of the Green Belt Review indicates that settlement extension could be achieved beyond edge MF14 with limited impact on the role and function of the green belt and that containment could be provided by Crossley Lane. A development option was received for consideration for housing (site no. H96) adjacent to assessed green belt edge MF14. However, site H96 has a very poor relationship to the existing

settlement pattern. The site allocation methodology states that options poorly configured in relation to the settlement could be assessed as ‘red’ for the impact on the green belt irrespective of the edge assessment. On its own the configuration of H96 would result in a projection of built form into the countryside setting to the detriment of openness. Alternatively, a very significant amount of additional land (in relation to the size of the option) would need to be removed from the green belt in order to give the option a better relationship to the settlement. Without knowing the availability of that additional land it could not be considered as a new housing allocation and therefore exceptional circumstances for the removal of the additional land could not be demonstrated. As a result site H96 scored ‘amber’ for the edge assessment, but ‘red’ for its overall site assessment.

Table 10: Site Allocation Methodology Green Belt Assessment of Housing Option H96

	Assessment
Green Belt Edge	This is an extensive area of green belt that in this location helps to maintain separation between Mirfield, Roberttown and Heckmondwike. Locally the area is separated from the wider countryside by Crossley Lane but is similar in character and is visually linked. The existing settlement pattern does allow for settlement extension without compromising the role and function of the green belt in this area
Green Belt	This site sits in an area of green belt that appears as part of the wider countryside. The site is poorly configured relative to the settlement edge and would result in an isolated projection of built form to the detriment of the openness of the green belt in this location

- 9.6 H96 was rejected as a new housing option in the Kirklees Local Plan following a comprehensive analysis of which the green belt assessment was one part, in accordance with the site allocation methodology.

Sites without a boundary with a settlement

- 9.7 Sites that do not have a boundary with any inset settlement do not have an edge assessment but still have a site-specific green belt assessment in accordance with the site allocation methodology to determine whether the particular circumstances associated with the individual site would conclude that it could form a new allocation. In the main sites remote from the settlement edge cannot be removed from the green belt in isolation, as this would create a small pocket of non-green belt land surrounded by green belt.

Map 3: Site Option H3381




Table 11: Site Allocation Methodology Green Belt Assessment of Housing Option H3381

	Assessment
Green Belt Edge	N/A
Green belt	Removal of this site from the green belt would create a small pocket of non-green belt land surrounded by green belt, which is contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. The site presents an open frontage along Blackmoorfoot Road which helps to maintain the character of this area as one of sporadic development which maintains the appearance of openness. Development would also reinforce merger with Linthwaite. The Local Plan strategy does not include the removal of Blackmoorfoot from the green belt.


9.8 H3381 was rejected as a new housing option in the Kirklees Local Plan following a comprehensive analysis of which the green belt assessment was one part, in accordance with the site allocation methodology.

- 9.9 Appendices 3 and 4 list all the accepted and rejected green belt development options assessed for their potential as new allocations in the Kirklees Local Plan.

10 Conclusion


- 10.1 A review of the Kirklees green belt has been undertaken as part of the preparation of the Kirklees Local Plan. It illustrates the degree to which land performs a green belt role and function and is supporting information to aid the comprehensive assessment of sites in accordance with the site allocation methodology. The need to use the green belt to meet the objectively assessed need for jobs and homes has been demonstrated and comprises the exceptional circumstances required to remove sites from the green belt, should the individual assessment of each site show that the harm to the green belt so caused is outweighed by the need to allocate the site for development. All green belt sites have been subject to a site-specific green belt assessment and through the use of examples the document has illustrated the relationship between the Green Belt Review and the site allocation methodology.
- 10.2 Paragraph 84 of NPPF states that when drawing up or reviewing green belt boundaries local planning authorities should take account of the need to promote sustainable patterns of development. Each settlement has been assessed in the Kirklees Local Plan Settlement Appraisal Technical Paper and each development option underwent a detailed Sustainability Appraisal (SA) against 19 SA objectives. The results of these have been published in the Kirklees Local Plan Sustainability Appraisal. Map 4 below illustrates the geographic spread of the accepted green belt development options (for housing, employment, mixed use and safeguarded land) in relation to sustainable settlements in Kirklees. The SA provides the evidence that a sustainable pattern of development has been achieved through the Local Plan process as a whole.

Map 4 Distribution of Accepted Green Belt Development Options Showing Relationship to Sustainable Settlements



10.3 The council considers that the approach to the review of the green belt in Kirklees is relevant and proportionate to its role as supporting information to aid the comprehensive assessment of development options in the Local Plan.

Appendix 1 Terrain Map of Kirklees showing Digital Elevation Data



Appendix 2 Green Belt Review Assessment Matrix

Degree of importance of green belt role				
Less important role		Moderately important role		Important role
1	2	3	4	5

Green Belt Purpose			Assessment conclusion: green belt role points
Checking unrestricted sprawl of built up areas	Safeguarding countryside from encroachment	Preserving setting & special character of historic assets	
<i>Less important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	1
<i>Less important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	2
<i>Less important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	<i>Important</i>	3
<i>Less important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Less important</i>	2
<i>Less important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	3
<i>Less important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Important</i>	3
<i>Less important</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	3
<i>Less important</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	3
<i>Less important</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Important</i>	4
<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Less important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	2
<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Less important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	3
<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Less important</i>	<i>Important</i>	3
<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	3
<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Less important</i>	3
<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Important</i>	3
<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Important</i>	4
<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	3
<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	3
<i>Important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	4
<i>Important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	4
<i>Important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	<i>Important</i>	5
<i>Important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Less important</i>	4
<i>Important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	4
<i>Important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Important</i>	5
<i>Important</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Important</i>	5
<i>Important</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Less important</i>	5
<i>Important</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	5

Appendix 3: Accepted Development Options Wholly or Partly in the Green Belt

Site reference	Option type	Address	Publication Draft Local Plan Outcome
E1831	Employment	Land to the north and west of, The Royds, Whitechapel Road, Cleckheaton	Accept
E1985a	Employment	Former North Bierley Waste Water, Cliffe Hollins Lane, Cleckheaton	Accept
E1832c	Employment	Land north and west of the Three Nuns Pub and Former Cooper Bridge Waste Water Treatment Works, Leeds Road	Accept
E2333a	Employment	Land to the east of, 4 Park Mill, Wakefield Road, Clayton West	Accept
H17	Housing	Park Mill Houses 2 and 4, Wakefield Road, Clayton West	Accept
H31	Housing	Land to the north west of, Woodsome Drive, Fenay Bridge	Accept
H40	Housing	Land to the south west of, Sheep Ings Farm, Granny Lane, Mirfield	Accept
H46	Housing	Land to the south west of, Dewsbury Rams RLFC, Owl Lane, Shaw Cross	Accept
H48	Housing	Travel Station Yard, Station Road, Honley	Accept
H69	Housing	Merchant Fields, Hunsworth Lane, Cleckheaton	Accept
H72	Housing	Land off, Station Road, Skelmanthorpe	Accept
H94	Housing	Land to the west of, Henry Frederick Avenue, Netherton	Accept
H102	Housing	Land to the west of, Netherton Moor Road, Netherton	Accept
H120	Housing	Park Farm, Manor Road, Farnley Tyas	Accept
H178	Housing	Land to the south of, Southwood Avenue, Honley	Accept
H193	Housing	Land north of, Holme House, Oxford Road, Gomersal	Accept
H198	Housing	Land to the south of, Second Avenue, Hightown	Accept
H205	Housing	Land to the east of, Slipper Lane, Mirfield	Accept
H213	Housing	Black Rock Mills, Waingate, Linthwaite	Accept
H218	Housing	Bluehills Farm, Whitehall Road West, Birkenshaw	Accept
H233	Housing	Land north of, Barnsley Road, Denby Dale	Accept
H242	Housing	Land north of, 72, Peep Green Road, Hartshead	Accept
H278	Housing	Land off, Lands Beck Way, Liversedge	Accept
H307	Housing	Land to the east of, Long Lane, Earlsheaton	Accept

H333	Housing	Land to the east of, Northorpe Lane, Mirfield	Accept
H339	Housing	Land to the east of, Abbey Road North, Shepley	Accept
H351	Housing	Land north of, Bradley Road, Bradley	Accept
H442	Housing	Land between, Richmond Park Avenue and Sunnyside Avenue, Roberttown	Accept
H489	Housing	Land at, 7, Church Lane, Gomersal	Accept
H502	Housing	Land south of, Huddersfield Road, Skelmanthorpe, Huddersfield	Accept
H508	Housing	Land to the west of, Whitechapel Middle School, Whitechapel Road, Cleckheaton	Accept
H518	Housing	Land at, Yew Tree Farm, The Village, Farnley Tyas	Accept
H519	Housing	Land north and west of, Gernhill Avenue, Fixby	Accept
H531	Housing	Land south west of, Soureby Cross Way, East Bierley	Accept
H559	Housing	Land to the east of, Leeds Road, Chidswell	Accept
H567	Housing	Stubley Farm, Leeds Road, Heckmondwike	Accept
H584	Housing	Land south of, Gynn Lane, Honley	Accept
H591	Housing	Land to the west of, Cliffe Mount, Ferrand Lane, Gomersal	Accept
H634	Housing	Land to the West of, Inkerman Court, Barnsley Road, Denby Dale	Accept
H638	Housing	Land to the north of, Tinker Lane, Lepton	Accept
H652	Housing	Land to the north west of, Eastfield Mills, Abbey Road North, Shepley	Accept
H660	Housing	Land east of, Netherton Moor Road, Netherton	Accept
H662	Housing	Land at rear of, 52, Upper Batley Low Lane, Batley	Accept
H664	Housing	Land to the north of, Scotgate Road, Honley	Accept
H1679	Housing	Land north of, Fenay Lane, Almondbury	Accept
H1747	Housing	Land north of, Bradley Road, Bradley	Accept
H1774	Housing	Land to the east of, Manor House Farm, The Village, Thurstonland	Accept
H1776	Housing	Land to the south of, The Lodge, Linthwaite	Accept
H2066	Housing	Warren Cottage, 916, Halifax Road, Scholes	Accept
H2089	Housing	Land to the south of, Ravensthorpe/Lees Road, Dewsbury	Accept
H2537	Housing	Land adjacent, Halifax Road, Hightown, Liversedge	Accept
H2585	Housing	Land to the south of, Water Street, Holmbridge	Accept
H2586	Housing	Site of former Thirstin Mills, Thirstin Road, Honley	Accept

H2667	Housing	Land at, Gomersal Primary School, Oxford Road, Gomersal	Accept
H2684a	Housing	Land adjacent, Penistone Road/Woodsome Park, Lepton	Accept
H49a	Housing	Land adjacent Brick Hill Farm, Oddfellows Street, Scholes, Cleckheaton	Accept
H2730a	Housing	Land south east of, Hermitage Park, Lepton	Accept
H3350	Housing	Land to the south east of Knowle Road, Kirkheaton	Accept
H3325a	Housing	Park Mill, Manor Road, Clayton West	Accept
H661a	Housing	Land to the east of High Street, Batley	Accept
H727a	Housing	Land to the west of, Miry Lane, Thongsbridge, Holmfirth	Accept
H2576	Housing	Land south of Red Deer Park Lane, Brierfield, Dewsbury	Accept
MX1905	Mixed Use	Land east of, Leeds Road, Chidswell	Accept
MX1912a	Mixed Use	Dobroyd Mills, Hepworth Road, Hepworth	Accept
MX3394	Mixed Use	Lees House Farm, Leeds Road, Dewsbury	Accept
SL2277	Safeguarded Land	Land to south west of, Snelsins Lane, Chain Bar	Accept
SL2284	Safeguarded Land	Lower Busker Farm, Busker Lane, Scissett	Accept
SL2290	Safeguarded Land	Land to the east of, Cambridge Chase, Gomersal	Accept
SL2297	Safeguarded Land	Land to the west of, Mill Lane, Flockton	Accept
SL3356	Safeguarded Land	Land to the east of Far Bank, Shelley	Accept
SL3357	Safeguarded Land	Land to the west of, Fulstone Road, Stocksmoor	Accept
SL3358	Safeguarded Land	Land to the north-east of, Shepley Road, Stocksmoor	Accept

Appendix 4: Rejected Development Options Wholly or Partly in the Green Belt

Site reference	Option type	Address	Publication Draft Local Plan Outcome
E1748	Employment	Land to the north of, Wakefield Road, Clayton West	Reject
E1823	Employment	Land to the north of, Barnsley Road, Shepley	Reject
E1824	Employment	Land to north east of , Bent Ley Industrial Estate, Bent Ley Road, Meltham	Reject
E1826	Employment	Bent Ley Farm, Bent Ley Road, Meltham	Reject
E1827	Employment	Land between, Wakefield Road and Liley Lane, Grange Moor	Reject
E1828	Employment	Land off, Carlinghow Lane, Batley	Reject
E1830	Employment	Land north east of, Park Mill House, Kiln Lane, Clayton West	Reject
E1832	Employment	Land to the north and south of, Leeds Road, Mirfield	Reject
E1833	Employment	Land north east of, Park Mill House, Kiln Lane, Clayton West	Reject
E1834	Employment	Cooper Bridge, Leeds Road (A62), Mirfield	Reject
E1840	Employment	Land north of, Mill Lane, Hunsworth	Reject
E1842	Employment	Land to the south of, Barnsley Road, Flockton	Reject
E1846	Employment	Land north of, 83 - 95, Huddersfield Road, Skelmanthorpe	Reject
E1847	Employment	Land south of, Cliffe Street, Clayton West	Reject
E1848	Employment	Land west of, Gillroyd Lane, Linthwaite	Reject
E1850	Employment	Land south / west, 11 to 26, Monk Ings Avenue, Birstall	Reject
E1851	Employment	Land west of, Muffit Lane, Gomersal	Reject
E1854	Employment	Land north of, Lindley Moor Road, Lindley	Reject
E1858	Employment	Land to the south of, Egypt Farm, Cliffe Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
E1860	Employment	Primrose Hill Farm, Primrose Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
E1867	Employment	Land to the east of, Bluehills Farm, Whitehall Road West, Birkenshaw	Reject
E1985	Employment	Former North Bierley Waste Water Treatment Works, Cliff Hollins Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
E1992	Employment	Land to the north and south of, 237, Soothill Lane, Soothill	Reject
E1993	Employment	Former Spenborough Waste Water Treatment Works, Smithies Lane, Heckmondwike	Reject

E2102	Employment	Taylor Hall Farm, Little Taylor Hall Lane, Roberttown	Reject
E2135	Employment	Land south of, Grange Road Industrial Estate, Off Bromley Road, Hanging Heaton	Reject
E2311	Employment	Land adjacent, Wakefield Road, Clayton West, Huddersfield	Reject
E2333	Employment	Land north and south of, Wakefield Road, Clayton West	Reject
E2616	Employment	Land adjacent, Penistone Road, Lepton	Reject
E2621	Employment	Land to the south of Windmill Lane, High Flatts	Reject
E1826a	Employment	Bent Ley Farm, Bent Ley Road, Meltham	Reject
E1832a	Employment	Land to the north and south of, Leeds Road, Mirfield	Reject
E1748a	Employment	Land to the north of, Wakefield Road, Clayton West	Reject
E2698	Employment	Land north of, Bradley Road, Bradley	Reject
E2700	Employment	Land east and to the rear of, Syke Lane Bradford Road, Oakenshaw	Reject
E3321	Employment	Land adjacent, Halifax Road, Hightown	Reject
E3331	Employment	Land east of, Ben Booth Lane, Grange Moor	Reject
E1985b	Employment	Former North Bierley Waste Water, Cliff Hollins Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
E1832b	Employment	Land to the north and south of, Leeds Road, Mirfield	Reject
E3382	Employment	Land to the north of Black Lane, Blackmoorfoot	Reject
E3384	Employment	Land north of Wakefield Road, Lepton	Reject
GTTS1953	Gypsy and Traveller Site	land to the north of, Nab Lane, Birstall	Reject
GTTS1954	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Land east of, Park Road, Ravensthorpe	Reject
GTTS1958	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Land to the north of, Old Lindley Road, Lindley Moor	Reject
GTTS1959	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Land to the east of, Windy Bank Lane, Hightown	Reject
GTTS1960	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Land to the south of, Lower Quarry Road, Bradley	Reject
GTTS1961	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Cropper Gate Quarry, Barnsley Road, Grange Moor	Reject
GTTS1962	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Land east of, Litherop Lane, Clayton West	Reject
GTTS1963	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Land north of, Denby Lane, Upper Denby	Reject
GTTS2047	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Land to the west of, Upper Clough Road, Linthwaite	Reject
GTTS2055	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Land north of, Cinderhills Road, Holmfirth	Reject
GTTS2057	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Land south east of, Ridings Road, Earlsheaton	Reject
GTTS2059	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Land to the south of, Woodend Road, Lower Hopton	Reject

GTTS2060	Gypsy and Traveller Site	Land to the south west of, Hagg Lane, Mirfield	Reject
H1	Housing	Land to the east of, Cambridge Chase, Gomersal	Reject
H2	Housing	Land at, Downshutts, St George's Road, Scholes	Reject
H3	Housing	Land south of, Nutter Lane, Birstall	Reject
H4	Housing	Land to the north west of, Woodhouse Farm, Woodhouse Lane, Emley	Reject
H5	Housing	Land to the north east of, Holt Lane, Holmfirth	Reject
H6	Housing	Land to the north east of, 15-29, Dewsbury Road, Gomersal	Reject
H7	Housing	Taylor Hall Farm, Little Taylor Hall Lane, Roberttown	Reject
H8	Housing	Land south of, Cross Lane, Scholes	Reject
H9	Housing	Broad Oak Farm, Church Lane, Linthwaite	Reject
H12	Housing	Land to south west of, Snelsins Lane, Chain Bar	Reject
H13	Housing	Land south of, Grange Cote, Sheffield Road, Jackson Bridge	Reject
H14	Housing	Land to the south of, Lydgate Drive, Lepton	Reject
H15	Housing	Land to the east of, Wheat Royd Lodge, Wheatroyd Lane, Almondbury	Reject
H16	Housing	Bolster Moor, Bolster Moor Road, Golcar	Reject
H18	Housing	85, Hartshead Lane, Hartshead	Reject
H19	Housing	Land off, Radcliffe Road, Slaithwaite	Reject
H20	Housing	Land off, Miller Hill, Denby Dale	Reject
H21	Housing	Land north of, Moor Lane, Netherthong	Reject
H22	Housing	Land around, Links Lodge, Sands Lane, Mirfield	Reject
H24	Housing	Holme Barn, Red Deer Park Lane, Brierfield	Reject
H25	Housing	Land west of, Hollin Brigg Lane, Holmbridge	Reject
H26	Housing	Land between, Dunford Road and Dover Lane, Holmfirth	Reject
H27	Housing	Land to the east of, Penistone Road, Fenay Bridge	Reject
H28	Housing	Land between, 974A and The Commercial PH, New Hey Road, Outlane	Reject
H29	Housing	Land north of, Pilling Lane, Skelmanthorpe	Reject
H32	Housing	Land south of, Woodsome Drive, Fenay Bridge	Reject
H34	Housing	Moorlands Wood Turning Co, Luke Lane, Thongsbridge	Reject
H35	Housing	Land east of, Red Deer Park Lane, Brierfield	Reject

H36	Housing	Land north of, Wellfield Close, Grange Moor	Reject
H37	Housing	Land north of, South View Road, East Bierley	Reject
H41	Housing	New Laithe Farm, 190, Denby Lane, Upper Denby	Reject
H42	Housing	Land west of, Helme Lane, Meltham	Reject
H43	Housing	Land south east of, 2, Clough House Lane, Slaithwaite	Reject
H45	Housing	Land to the north of, Still House Farm, Upper Batley Low Lane, Upper Batley	Reject
H49	Housing	Land to the rear of 20, Oddfellows Street, Scholes	Reject
H51	Housing	Victoria Yard, Sheffield Road, Hepworth	Reject
H56	Housing	Land south of, Rose Cottage Farm, Bristfield Road, Grange Moor	Reject
H58	Housing	Land to the north of, Denby Lane, Grange Moor	Reject
H59	Housing	Land east of, Ben Booth Lane, Grange Moor	Reject
H60	Housing	Land west & north west of The Kaye Arms Public House, Wakefield Road, Grange Moor	Reject
H64	Housing	Land to the south and south west of, The Common, Thornhill	Reject
H66	Housing	Land to the west of, Westroyd Avenue, Hunsworth	Reject
H71	Housing	Land west of, Wakefield Road, Clayton West	Reject
H73	Housing	Lower Busker Farm, Busker Lane, Scissett	Reject
H75	Housing	Land to west of, Outlane Methodist Church, New Hey Road, Outlane	Reject
H76	Housing	Land east of, Manor Farm, Soothill Lane, Soothill	Reject
H77	Housing	Land north of, Manor Farm, Soothill	Reject
H78	Housing	Land west of, 809 - 835, Bradford Road, East Bierley	Reject
H79	Housing	Land to the west of, Abbey Road North, Shepley	Reject
H80	Housing	Land south of, Grasscroft, Almondbury	Reject
H81	Housing	Hall Ing, Hall Ing Lane, Honley	Reject
H82	Housing	Land to the east of, electricity sub-station, Heath Road, Linthwaite	Reject
H83	Housing	Land at junction of, Paris and Sandy Gate, Scholes	Reject
H84	Housing	Land to the west of, Swallow Farm, Hodgson Lane/Station Lane, Birkenshaw	Reject
H86	Housing	Land between, Scholes Moor Road and Oak Scar Lane, Scholes	Reject
H88	Housing	Land to east of, Outlane Methodist Church, New Hey Road, Outlane	Reject
H89	Housing	Land South-East of, 74, Barnsley Road, Flockton	Reject

H91	Housing	Land west of, 132 - 168, Foxroyd Lane, Thornhill, Dewsbury	Reject
H92	Housing	Land to the north of, Crossley Lane, Mirfield	Reject
H96	Housing	Land to the north of, Quarryfields, Crossley Hill	Reject
H97	Housing	Land to the north of Park House Farm, The Common, Thornhill Lees	Reject
H104	Housing	Land to the south of, Norristhorpe Lane, Norristhorpe	Reject
H106	Housing	Land to the east of, 301, Woodhead Road, Holme	Reject
H108	Housing	Land to the east of, Hawkroyd Bank Road, Honley	Reject
H109	Housing	Land to the south of, 38, Duke Wood Road, Clayton West	Reject
H113	Housing	Land to the east of, Moor Lane, Birkenshaw	Reject
H114	Housing	Land to the east of, 34, Pike Law Road, Scapegoat Hill	Reject
H115	Housing	Land South of, 201 - 287, Whitechapel Road, Scholes	Reject
H133	Housing	Land to the north of, Woodside View, Burnlee	Reject
H137	Housing	Land to the north of, Burton Royd Lane, Kirkburton	Reject
H139	Housing	Land west of, Storthes Hall Lane, Kirkburton	Reject
H140	Housing	Land to north west of, 3, Two Gates, Slaithwaite	Reject
H141	Housing	Land between, South View and Hunsworth Lane, East Bierley	Reject
H142	Housing	Land west of, Chandler Lane, Honley	Reject
H144	Housing	Land west of, Chandler Lane, Honley	Reject
H147	Housing	Land to the south of, Cliffe Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
H148	Housing	Land off, New Street, Cleckheaton	Reject
H149	Housing	Primrose Farm, Crossley Lane, Northorpe	Reject
H153	Housing	Holme Mills, West Slaithwaite Road, Slaithwaite	Reject
H154	Housing	Hay Royds Colliery, Wheatley Hill Lane, Clayton West	Reject
H155	Housing	Land east of, Far Common Road, Mirfield	Reject
H156	Housing	Land south of, Kinder Avenue, Cowlersley	Reject
H157	Housing	Land north of, 83 - 95, Huddersfield Road, Skelmanthorpe	Reject
H158	Housing	Land to the north west of, Northgate, Honley	Reject
H159	Housing	Netherley, Old Mount Road, Marsden	Reject
H160	Housing	Land east and to the rear of, Syke Lane Bradford Road, Oakenshaw	Reject

H163	Housing	Land at, 538, Hunsworth Lane, East Bierley	Reject
H164	Housing	Church Farm, Church Lane, Gomersal	Reject
H165	Housing	Land to the rear of, 10, Oxford Road, Gomersal	Reject
H166	Housing	39, Sandy Lane, South Crosland	Reject
H167	Housing	Land to the north of, Quarry Lane, Lascelles Hall	Reject
H168	Housing	Land off, Pilling Lane, Scissett	Reject
H169	Housing	Site to the north of, Penistone Road, Shelley	Reject
H170	Housing	Land west of, Huddersfield Road, Shelley	Reject
H175	Housing	Land off, Midway, South Crosland	Reject
H177	Housing	Land at Springfield Farm, Penistone Road, Birds Edge	Reject
H179	Housing	Land to the east of, Huddersfield Road, Honley	Reject
H180	Housing	Land off, Greenhill Bank Road, Scholes	Reject
H181	Housing	Land east of, St George's Road, Scholes	Reject
H182	Housing	Land to the rear of, Springfield Avenue, Clayton West	Reject
H183	Housing	Gunthwaite Top Farm, Gunthwaite Lane, Upper Denby	Reject
H184	Housing	Dry Hill Farm, Dry Hill Lane, Denby Dale	Reject
H185	Housing	Land north of, Langley Lane, Clayton West	Reject
H186	Housing	Land west of, Fusden Lane, Gomersal	Reject
H187	Housing	Land north of, Banks Avenue and Ashford Park, Golcar	Reject
H188	Housing	Land to the west of, Penistone Road, Fenay Bridge	Reject
H189	Housing	Land to the north and south of, Woodsome Road, Fenay Bridge	Reject
H191	Housing	The Sun Inn and land to the south of, Barnsley Road, Flockton	Reject
H194	Housing	Thistledome Farm, Lees Hall Road, Thornhill Lees	Reject
H195	Housing	Land at Moor Top, Far Common Road, Mirfield	Reject
H210	Housing	Land south east of Hanging Heaton Golf Course, Leeds Road, Hanging Heaton	Reject
H226	Housing	Land south of, Hightown Road, Liversedge	Reject
H227	Housing	Land south of, Fenay Lane, Fenay Bridge	Reject
H228	Housing	Land south of, Greenhill Bank Road, New Mill	Reject
H229	Housing	Land west of, Clough Road, Slaithwaite	Reject

H231	Housing	Wheatleys Farm, Dewsbury Road, Gomersal	Reject
H232	Housing	Land west of, Bradshaw Road, Honley	Reject
H234	Housing	Land south of, Wessenden Head Road, Meltham	Reject
H235	Housing	Land east of, Pinfold Lane, Lepton	Reject
H236	Housing	Land south of, Cliffe Street, Clayton West	Reject
H237	Housing	Land south of, Carrs Road, Marsden	Reject
H238	Housing	Land south of, Ash Lane, Emley	Reject
H240	Housing	Land north of Mazebrook, Drub Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
H241	Housing	Land south of, Whitehall Road, Scholes	Reject
H243	Housing	Land south of, Mazebrook Farm, Drub Lane, Drub	Reject
H244	Housing	Land west of, Reservoir Site Road, Blackmoorfoot	Reject
H245	Housing	Land south of, Penistone Road, Shelley	Reject
H246	Housing	Land between, Castle Hill Road and Firthcliffe Parade, Gomersal	Reject
H247	Housing	Land east of, Oxford Road, Gomersal	Reject
H248	Housing	Land west of, Gillroyd Lane, Linthwaite	Reject
H249	Housing	Land north of, Birdsedge Lane, Birdsedge	Reject
H250	Housing	Land north of, Manor Road, Farnley Tyas	Reject
H251	Housing	Land north of, Manor Road, Farnley Tyas	Reject
H252	Housing	Land west of, Farnley Road, Farnley Tyas	Reject
H253	Housing	Land west of, Field Lane, Farnley Tyas	Reject
H254	Housing	Land east of, Thurstonland Road, Farnley Tyas	Reject
H255	Housing	Land south east of, Arkenley Lane, Almondbury	Reject
H256	Housing	Land north of, Woodsome Road, Fenay Bridge	Reject
H257	Housing	Land west of, Penistone Road, Fenay Bridge	Reject
H258	Housing	Land north of, Northgate, Honley	Reject
H259	Housing	Land west of, Brockholes Lane, Brockholes	Reject
H260	Housing	Holly View Farm, Owler Lane, Birstall	Reject
H262	Housing	Land at, Springfield Farm, Hodgson Lane, Birkenshaw	Reject
H263	Housing	Land at, Monk Ings, Bradford Road, Gomersal	Reject

H264	Housing	Land west of, Dewsbury Road, Gomersal	Reject
H265	Housing	Land to west of, Field Head Lane, Drighlington	Reject
H266	Housing	Land east of, Scholes Moor Road, Scholes	Reject
H267	Housing	Land south of, Dark Lane, Almondbury	Reject
H268	Housing	Land west of, Netherton Fold, Netherton	Reject
H270	Housing	Land west of, Back Lane, Grange Moor	Reject
H271	Housing	Land west of, Wells Green Gardens, Netherthong	Reject
H272	Housing	Land at, Cartworth Road, Holmfirth	Reject
H274	Housing	Land to the west of, Stretch Gate, Shelley	Reject
H275	Housing	Land to the east of, Abbey Road, Shepley	Reject
H279	Housing	Land west of, Muffit Lane, Gomersal	Reject
H280	Housing	Land to the north of, Deep Lane, Milnsbridge	Reject
H282	Housing	Land to the east of, Cliff Road, Holmfirth	Reject
H283	Housing	Land adjacent, Bankfield Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject
H285	Housing	Land north east of, Portal Crescent, Mirfield	Reject
H286	Housing	Land west of, Hanson Road, Meltham	Reject
H287	Housing	Land south of, Lane Side, Kirkheaton	Reject
H288	Housing	Land at, Dunford Road, Hade Edge, Holmfirth,	Reject
H289	Housing	Land at, 6, Gomersal Road, Heckmondwike	Reject
H291	Housing	Land to the south east of, Marsh Lane, Shepley	Reject
H295	Housing	Land south of, Back Lane, Clayton West	Reject
H296	Housing	Land between, Hassocks Lane and Meltham Road, Honley	Reject
H300	Housing	Land west of, Hanson Road, Meltham	Reject
H304	Housing	Land north of, Barnsley Road, Denby Dale	Reject
H309	Housing	Land north of, Red Lane, Meltham	Reject
H311	Housing	Gomersal Primary School, Land to the north of, Oxford Road, Gomersal	Reject
H314	Housing	Land south east of, Roaine Drive, Holmfirth	Reject
H315	Housing	Land at, 16, Manor Park Gardens, Gomersal	Reject
H317	Housing	Land between, Rydal Grove and Moor View, Mirfield	Reject

H318	Housing	Land north of, Barnsley Road, Denby Dale	Reject
H319	Housing	land to the rear of, 117, Westfield Lane, Wyke	Reject
H320	Housing	Land to the east of, Balmfield Crescent, Roberttown	Reject
H321	Housing	Land at, Cherrywell Farm, New Popplewell Lane, Scholes	Reject
H322	Housing	Land at, Wood Nook / Cumberworth Lane, Denby Dale	Reject
H327	Housing	Land between, New Hey Road and M62, Outlane	Reject
H328	Housing	Land south east of, Shillbank View, Mirfield	Reject
H329	Housing	Land at, Busk Farm, Northfield Lane, Highburton	Reject
H330	Housing	Land north of, Red Lane, Meltham	Reject
H332	Housing	Land west and south of, Leas Avenue, Netherthong	Reject
H334	Housing	Land to the south east of, Hermitage Park, Lepton	Reject
H335	Housing	Land south and south east of, Roaine Drive, Holmfirth	Reject
H340	Housing	Land to the north east of, Busk Farm, Northfield Lane, Highburton	Reject
H344	Housing	Land south east of, Shillbank View, Mirfield	Reject
H347	Housing	Land to the north of, Cockley Hill Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject
H348	Housing	Land east of, St Mary's Crescent, Netherthong	Reject
H349	Housing	Land to the south of Ravensthorpe Road, Thornhill Lees	Reject
H350	Housing	Land to the west of, Cumberworth Road, Skelmanthorpe	Reject
H352	Housing	Land between, Scotland Beck and footpath, south of, Nutter Lane, Birstall	Reject
H355	Housing	Land to the north of, Longroyd Crescent, Slaithwaite	Reject
H362	Housing	Part of, Housing allocation H11.1, Grange Road, Soothill	Reject
H363	Housing	Land north and west of High Street & Challenge Way, Hanging Heaton	Reject
H365	Housing	Black Cat Fireworks Ltd, Standard Drive, Crosland Hill	Reject
H408	Housing	Land to the north east of, Varley Road, Slaithwaite	Reject
H437	Housing	Land east of, Huddersfield Road, Meltham	Reject
H440	Housing	Land to the south of, Cockley Hill Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject
H444	Housing	Land south of, Jill Lane, Mirfield	Reject
H445	Housing	Land to the north east of, Pavillion Way, Meltham	Reject
H446	Housing	Land to the north of, 271, Cliffe Lane, Gomersal	Reject

H447	Housing	Land north of, Upper Batley Lane, Upper Batley	Reject
H448	Housing	Land to the west of, Slipper Lane, Mirfield Moor	Reject
H449	Housing	Land to the north east of, 1, Green Balk Lane, Lepton	Reject
H450	Housing	Land to the south east of, Marsh Lane, Shepley	Reject
H452	Housing	Land to the east of, Oakes Fold, Lepton	Reject
H455	Housing	Land to the south east of, Hermitage Park, Lepton	Reject
H456	Housing	Land between, New Hey Road and M62, Outlane	Reject
H457	Housing	Land to the west of, 55, Near Bank, Shelley	Reject
H458	Housing	Land to the west of, 55, Near Bank, Shelley	Reject
H459	Housing	Land to the west of, 55, Near Bank, Shelley	Reject
H461	Housing	Land north of, Mill Lane, Hunsworth	Reject
H463	Housing	Greenwood Farm, Barnsley Road, Upper Cumberworth	Reject
H465	Housing	Land to the north of, Meltham Greenway, Meltham	Reject
H466	Housing	Former White Lee Colliery, Leeds Road, Heckmondwike	Reject
H467	Housing	Land to the north of, Meadow Park, Kirkheaton	Reject
H468	Housing	Land east of, Eastfield Road, Northorpe	Reject
H469	Housing	Land east of, Eastfield Road, Northorpe	Reject
H471	Housing	Land north of, Hall Bower Lane, Hall Bower	Reject
H472	Housing	Land at junction of, Lower Denby Lane and Barnsley Road, Denby Dale	Reject
H474	Housing	Part of POL and additional land to the west, Miry Lane, Thongsbridge	Reject
H475	Housing	Land to the north of, Wood Nook, Denby Dale	Reject
H476	Housing	Land to the west of, Slipper Lane, Mirfield Moor	Reject
H480	Housing	Land to the north of, Meadow Park, Kirkheaton	Reject
H483	Housing	Land east of, Colne Valley High School, Gillroyd Lane, Linthwaite	Reject
H486	Housing	Land north of, Cliffe Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
H488	Housing	Land south of, Back Lane, Clayton West	Reject
H490	Housing	Land adjacent, Former Roundhill Mill, Cliffe Lane, Gomersal	Reject
H491	Housing	Land north of, High Street, Hanging Heaton	Reject
H492	Housing	Land north of and south of High Street & Bromley Road, Hanging Heaton	Reject

H493	Housing	Land west of, Leeds Road, Soothill	Reject
H495	Housing	Land east of, Colne Valley High School, Gillroyd Lane, Linthwaite	Reject
H496	Housing	Land east of, Colne Valley High School, Gillroyd Lane, Linthwaite	Reject
H499	Housing	Land to the west of, Penistone Road, Fenay Bridge	Reject
H500	Housing	Land west of, Leeds Road, Soothill	Reject
H501	Housing	Land north and east of, Ullswater Road, Hanging Heaton	Reject
H504	Housing	Land north and east of, Ullswater Road, Hanging Heaton	Reject
H505	Housing	Land west of, Leeds Road, Soothill	Reject
H506	Housing	Carters Farm, Hollins Lane, Slaithwaite	Reject
H507	Housing	Southern, Varley Road, Slaithwaite	Reject
H510	Housing	Land to the north of, Fenay Lane, Almondbury	Reject
H511	Housing	Land east of, Cumberworth Lane, Lower Cumberworth	Reject
H513	Housing	Carters Farm, Hollins Lane, Slaithwaite	Reject
H514	Housing	Land west of, Parkwood Road, Golcar	Reject
H516	Housing	Land east of, Cumberworth Lane, Lower Cumberworth	Reject
H517	Housing	Land west of, Leeds Road, Soothill	Reject
H521	Housing	Land north east of, Mona Street, Slaithwaite	Reject
H522	Housing	Land to rear of, 141, Toftshaw Lane, East Bierley	Reject
H528	Housing	Land between, Garner Lane and Chandler Lane, Honley	Reject
H529	Housing	Service Reservoir, Gillroyd Lane, Linthwaite	Reject
H533	Housing	Land off, Crosland Hill Road, Crosland Hill	Reject
H534	Housing	Former Mitchell Laithes Hospital, Long Lane, Earlsheaton	Reject
H535	Housing	Land to the west of, Slipper Lane, Mirfield Moor	Reject
H540	Housing	Kirkbridge Coal Yard, Kirkbridge Lane, New Mill	Reject
H541	Housing	Land at junction of, Station Road and New Mill Road, Honley	Reject
H542	Housing	Land adjacent, Hagg Lane/Granny Lane, Lower Hopton	Reject
H544	Housing	Land to the south of, Ravensthorpe Road/Lees Hall Road, Dewsbury	Reject
H545	Housing	Land to the south of, Cockley Hill Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject
H548	Housing	Land east of, Abbey Road North, Shepley	Reject

H552	Housing	Land to the north of, 271, Cliffe Lane, Gomersal	Reject
H553	Housing	Land south west of, Cockley Hill Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject
H556	Housing	Land adjacent, The Old Dower House, Green Balk Lane, Lepton	Reject
H557	Housing	The Paddock, Sherborne Grove, Birkenshaw	Reject
H558	Housing	Land adjacent to, 96, Old Lane, Birkenshaw	Reject
H562	Housing	Land south of, Back Lane, Clayton West	Reject
H564	Housing	Land north and east of, Laverhills and Quaker Lane, Hightown	Reject
H565	Housing	Land between, Wakefield Road and Liley Lane, Grange Moor	Reject
H569	Housing	Land east of, Eastfield Road, Northorpe	Reject
H570	Housing	Land south of, Tinker Lane, Lepton	Reject
H571	Housing	Land to the west of, New Road, Netherthong	Reject
H572	Housing	Land to the south of, Ravensthorpe Road, Dewsbury	Reject
H573	Housing	Land south of, Jagger Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject
H574	Housing	Land to west of, Green Balk Lane, Lepton	Reject
H575	Housing	Land at junction of, Paddock Road and Moor Lane, Kirkburton	Reject
H576	Housing	Land east of, Carr Lane, Cinderhills	Reject
H577	Housing	Land east of, Carr Lane, Cinderhills	Reject
H579	Housing	Land south of, Jagger Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject
H580	Housing	Land to the east and west of, Hardcastle Lane, Flockton	Reject
H581	Housing	Land to the south east of, Hermitage Park, Lepton	Reject
H582	Housing	Land south of, New Hey Road, Mount	Reject
H585	Housing	Land to the north of, Quarry Lane, Lascelles Hall	Reject
H586	Housing	Land off, Soothill Lane, Batley	Reject
H587	Housing	Land south west of, Nursery Wood Road, Hanging Heaton	Reject
H588	Housing	Land between, Hassocks Lane and Meltham Road, Honley	Reject
H589	Housing	Land to the north west of, 330 - 342, Leymoor Road, Golcar	Reject
H592	Housing	Land north of, Red Lane, Meltham	Reject
H593	Housing	Land south of, Jill Lane, Mirfield	Reject
H594	Housing	Land south east of, Shillbank View, Mirfield	Reject

H595	Housing	Land to the east and west of, Hardcastle Lane, Flockton	Reject
H596	Housing	Land off, Windy Bank Lane, Hightown	Reject
H598	Housing	Land at, Hassocks Road, Meltham	Reject
H600	Housing	Land north of, Hollin Hall Lane, Golcar	Reject
H605	Housing	Land to the east of, Upper Bank End Road, Holmfirth	Reject
H606	Housing	Land to the south of, Cliffe Road, Shepley	Reject
H607	Housing	Land to the east of, Woodhead Road, Thongsbridge	Reject
H608	Housing	Land to north and east of, Barnsley Road and Rowgate, Upper Cumberworth	Reject
H610	Housing	Land at Royds Hill, Gomersal Lane, Gomersal	Reject
H614	Housing	Land west of, Sands Lane, Mirfield	Reject
H618	Housing	Land at junction of, Bellstring Lane and Hopton Hall Lane, Upper Hopton	Reject
H619	Housing	Land to the north of, Fulstone Hall Lane, New Mill	Reject
H620	Housing	Land at, Stockerhead Farm, Stockerhead Lane, Slaithwaite	Reject
H621	Housing	Land to the west of, Matthew Grove, Meltham	Reject
H624	Housing	Land north of, Lindley Moor Road, Outlane	Reject
H625	Housing	Land north west of, Primrose Lane, Liversedge	Reject
H629	Housing	Land east of, Netherton Moor Road, Netherton	Reject
H630	Housing	Land to the south of, Lavender Court, Netherton	Reject
H635	Housing	Land to the east of, Reservoir Side Road, Blackmoorfoot	Reject
H636	Housing	Land to the west of, Mill Lane, Flockton	Reject
H644	Housing	Land between, 1102 and 1132, Bradford Road, Birstall	Reject
H649	Housing	Land north of, Kitson Hill Road, Mirfield	Reject
H650	Housing	Land north west of, Pond Lane, Lepton	Reject
H651	Housing	Land at, Cliff Hollins Lane, Oakenshaw	Reject
H653	Housing	Land north of, Kitson Hill Road, Mirfield	Reject
H655	Housing	Land to the west of, New Mill Road, Brockholes	Reject
H656	Housing	Land to the south of Ouzelwell Lane, Thornhill Lees, Dewsbury	Reject
H657	Housing	Land south of, Ouzewell Lane, Thornhill Lees, Dewsbury	Reject
H658	Housing	Land to the east of, Moorland Close, Linthwaite	Reject

H659	Housing	Land east of, Beldon Brook Green, Lepton	Reject
H661	Housing	Land to the east of High Street, Batley	Reject
H663	Housing	Spen Trading Estate, Spen Lane, Gomersal	Reject
H666	Housing	Land off, Carlinghow Lane, Batley	Reject
H667	Housing	Land at, 29, Miry Lane, Thongsbridge	Reject
H668	Housing	Land to the south of, 547 - 583, New Hey Road, Mount	Reject
H670	Housing	Land to the north east of, Pavillion Way, Meltham	Reject
H671	Housing	Land to the north west of, Highfield Crescent, Meltham	Reject
H672	Housing	Sunny Bank Farm, Whitehall Road East, Birkenshaw	Reject
H673	Housing	Land north of, Church Lane, Gomersal	Reject
H675	Housing	Brownhill Farm Hilltop Farm and land east of Old Lane, Old Lane, Birkenshaw	Reject
H677	Housing	Land west of, Hall Bower Lane, Hall Bower	Reject
H679	Housing	Land off, Penistone Road, Shepley	Reject
H680	Housing	Land to the south of, Top Road, Lower Cumberworth	Reject
H681	Housing	Land east of, 175 - 195, Cumberworth Lane, Lower Cumberworth	Reject
H822	Housing	Land to the west of, Southwood Avenue, Honley	Reject
H1661	Housing	Land to the south west of Park Road, Ravensthorpe	Reject
H1662	Housing	Land to the east of Northstead, Ravensthorpe, Dewsbury	Reject
H1681	Housing	Land south west of, Finthorpe Lane, Almondbury	Reject
H1703	Housing	Land adjacent, Nova Lane, Birstall	Reject
H1705	Housing	Land north of, Cleckheaton Cemetery, Whitechapel Road, Cleckheaton	Reject
H1708	Housing	Land to the east of, Mona Street, Slaithwaite	Reject
H1726	Housing	Urban Greenspace and land off, Windy Bank Lane, Hightown	Reject
H1734	Housing	Berry Brow Recreation Ground, Ladyhouse Lane, Berry Brow	Reject
H1738	Housing	Land to the north of, Highburton C of E First School, Northfield Lane, Highburton	Reject
H1740	Housing	Land to the east of, Fairfield Rise, Kirkburton	Reject
H1742	Housing	Land to the south of, Shepley Methodist Church, Lane Head Road, Shepley	Reject
H1744	Housing	Land to the south of, Royds Avenue, New Mill	Reject
H1749	Housing	Land to the west of, Meadowcroft, Honley	Reject

H1752	Housing	Land to the north of Edge Road, Dewsbury	Reject
H1760	Housing	Land to the south of, Egypt Farm, Cliffe Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
H1765	Housing	Land to the south west of, Southwood Avenue, Honley	Reject
H1766	Housing	Land to the west of, Westwood Avenue, Honley	Reject
H1767	Housing	Land to the north and east of, Woodhouse Lane, Holmbridge	Reject
H1769	Housing	Land to the east of, Moss Edge Road, Holmbridge	Reject
H1770	Housing	Land to the south of, Moorvale, Marsden	Reject
H1771	Housing	Land adjacent to, Corrie Lynn, off Carrs Road, Marsden	Reject
H1775	Housing	Spen House, The Coach House and No. 1, Spen Lane and Gomersal Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
H1785	Housing	Land south of, Blackmoorfoot Road, Crosland Hill	Reject
H1792	Housing	Brownhill Farm, Old Lane and Station Lane, Birkenshaw	Reject
H1793	Housing	Land to the south west of, Hassocks Road, Meltham	Reject
H1794	Housing	Land to the west of, Wakefield Road, Clayton West	Reject
H1795	Housing	Primrose Hill Farm, Primrose Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
H1796	Housing	Land between, Laverhills and Quaker Lane, Hightown	Reject
H1810	Housing	Whitechapel Road Recreation Ground, Whitechapel Grove, Scholes	Reject
H1812	Housing	Land between, Banks Road and, Woodhead Road, Honley	Reject
H1813	Housing	Land adjacent, 192 and 196, Nab Lane, Birstall	Reject
H1814	Housing	Land to the north of, Rutland Road, Flockton	Reject
H1817	Housing	Land to the east of, Primrose Lane, Highburton	Reject
H1818	Housing	Busk Farm, Northfield Lane, Highburton	Reject
H1819	Housing	Land to the north of, Eastfield Mills, The Knowle, Shepley	Reject
H1978	Housing	Land to the north of, Station Road, Meltham	Reject
H2092	Housing	Land north and west of High Street & Challenge Way, Hanging Heaton	Reject
H2095	Housing	Land to the east of, New Road, Netherthong	Reject
H2096	Housing	Land to the south of, Thong Lane, Netherthong	Reject
H2100	Housing	Bent Ley Farm, Bent Ley Road, Meltham	Reject
H679a	Housing	Land off, Lane Head Road, Shepley	Reject
H2546	Housing	Land to the south of, Northgate, Honley	Reject

H2548	Housing	Land to the east of, Church Lane, Mirfield	Reject
H2550	Housing	Land at, Wildacre, 143 Whitehall Road East, Birkenshaw	Reject
H2551	Housing	Land south east of, Shillbank Lane, Mirfield	Reject
H2552	Housing	Land at, Gomersal Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
H2553	Housing	Land adjacent, Hagg Lane, Mirfield	Reject
H2554	Housing	Land to the south east of Hagg Lane, Lower Hopton	Reject
H2556	Housing	Land off, Moor Lane, Gomersal	Reject
H2557	Housing	Halfroods Farm, Leygards Lane, Meltham	Reject
H2558	Housing	Land to the south of, 305, Huddersfield Road, Shelley	Reject
H2561	Housing	Land north and south, Cliffe Lane, Gomersal, Cleckheaton	Reject
H2562	Housing	Land to the west of, Fulstone Road, Stocksmoor, Huddersfield	Reject
H2563	Housing	Land to the north east of, Shepley Road, Stocksmoor	Reject
H2564	Housing	Land off, Cross Lane, Stocksmoor	Reject
H78a	Housing	Land to south west of, Bradford Road, East Bierley	Reject
H2570	Housing	Land adjacent, Riley House, Riley Lane, Kirkburton	Reject
H2572	Housing	Land to the rear of 99 Drub Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
H2573	Housing	Land to the north of, Moor Lane, Highburton	Reject
H2577	Housing	Lees House Farm, Leeds Road, Dewsbury	Reject
H322a	Housing	Land at, Wood Nook / Cumberworth Lane, Denby Dale	Reject
H2581	Housing	Land to the west of, Pump Row, Penistone Road, High Flatts	Reject
H2582	Housing	Land south east of, Birdsedge Mills, Penistone Road, Birds Edge	Reject
H2589	Housing	Land to the north of Wellhouse Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject
H440a	Housing	Land to the south of Cockley Hill Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject
H2595	Housing	Low Westwood Mill, Low Westwood Lane, Linthwaite	Reject
H2596	Housing	Land east of, Fleminghouse Lane, Almondbury	Reject
H245a	Housing	Land to the south of, Penistone Road, Shelley	Reject
H2598	Housing	Land to south west of, Derwent Road, Honley	Reject
H2600	Housing	Land west of, Bradford Road, Fixby, Huddersfield	Reject
H2601	Housing	Land east of, Bradford Road, Fixby	Reject

H2602	Housing	Brownhill Farm, Old Lane & Station Lane, Birkenshaw	Reject
H2603	Housing	Land to the rear of 124, Old Lane, Birkenshaw	Reject
H2604	Housing	Land at, Croft Farm, Church Lane, Linthwaite	Reject
H658a	Housing	Land to the east of, Moorland Close, Linthwaite	Reject
H658b	Housing	Land to the east of, Moorland Close, Linthwaite	Reject
H2608	Housing	Land to the rear of 124, Old Lane, Birkenshaw	Reject
H2623	Housing	Land to the east of Colne Valley High School, Heath Road, Linthwaite	Reject
H2633	Housing	181 Church Lane, Gomersal	Reject
H24a	Housing	Land to the north of Red Deer Park Lane, Bristfield	Reject
H2638	Housing	Land to the north of, Brockholes Station, Brockholes Lane, Brockholes	Reject
H2641	Housing	Land adjacent, Sunny Bank, Sandy Lane, South Crosland	Reject
H1740a	Housing	Land to the east of, Fairfield Rise, Kirkburton	Reject
H256a	Housing	Land to the north of, Woodsome Road, Fenay Bridge	Reject
H2684	Housing	Land adjacent, Penistone Road/Woodsome Park, Lepton	Reject
H629a	Housing	Land adjacent Netherton Moor Road, Netherton	Reject
H2711	Housing	Land south of, Inghead Road, Slaithwaite	Reject
H2712	Housing	Land off, Commonsides, Roberttown, Liversedge	Reject
H2713	Housing	Land off Leeds Road, Roberttown	Reject
H2718	Housing	Land to the south of, Tudor Street, Slaithwaite	Reject
H2726	Housing	Land rear of Old Vicarage, Marsh Hall Lane, Thurstonland	Reject
H664a	Housing	Land north of, Scotgate Road, Honley, Holmfirth	Reject
H2730	Housing	Land to the south east of, Hermitage Park, Lepton	Reject
H2731	Housing	Land to the north of, Penistone Road, Shelley	Reject
H2739	Housing	Land to rear of, Fairfax View, South View Road, East Brierley	Reject
H2757	Housing	Land at, Pymroyd Lane, Milnsbridge	Reject
H226a	Housing	Land south of Hightown Road, Liversedge	Reject
H3316	Housing	Land adjacent to Hartshead Manor, Hartshead	Reject
H3323	Housing	Land to the south of, Marsh Lane, Shepley	Reject
H3325	Housing	Adare Ltd, Park Mill, Manor Road, Clayton West	Reject

H29a	Housing	Land north of, Pilling Lane, Skelmanthorpe	Reject
H3380	Housing	Land to the north of Hopton Lane, Upper Hopton	Reject
H3381	Housing	Land to the north of Black Lane, Blackmoorfoot	Reject
H3383	Housing	Land north of Wakefield Road, Lepton	Reject
H3386	Housing	Bridge Mills, New Road, Holmfirth	Reject
H3389	Housing	Land west of Cockley Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject
MX1902	Mixed Use	Land east of, Field Head Lane, Drighlington	Reject
MX1904	Mixed Use	Grimescar Valley, Grimescar Road, Birchcliffe	Reject
MX1908	Mixed Use	Land between, Nutter Lane and Bradford Road, Gomersal	Reject
MX1909	Mixed Use	Land to the north east of, Meltham Mills, Meltham Mills Road, Meltham	Reject
MX1912	Mixed Use	Dobroyd Mills, Hepworth Road, Jackson Bridge	Reject
MX1914	Mixed Use	Merchant Fields, Hunsworth Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
MX1918	Mixed Use	Former North Bierley WWTW, Cliff Hollins Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
MX1921	Mixed Use	Land to the south of, Egypt Farm, Cliff Lane, Cleckheaton	Reject
MX1925	Mixed Use	Land off, Soothill Lane, Batley	Reject
MX1927	Mixed Use	Land north of, Whitehall Road, Scholes	Reject
MX2617	Mixed Use	Land to the north of, Kitson Hill Road, Mirfield	Reject
MX2681	Mixed Use	Land to the west of Penistone Road, Fenay Bridge	Reject
MX3371	Mixed Use	Land adjacent Penistone Road, Fenay Bridge	Reject
SL2280	Safeguarded Land	Land to the west of, Westroyd Avenue, Hunsworth	Reject
SL2283	Safeguarded Land	Land off, Station Road, Skelmanthorpe	Reject
SL2286	Safeguarded Land	Land at junction of, Paddock Road and Moor Lane, Kirkburton	Reject
SL2289	Safeguarded Land	Land to the south of, Cockley Hill Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject
SL2291	Safeguarded Land	Land north of, Holme House, Oxford Road, Gomersal	Reject
SL2293	Safeguarded Land	Land adjacent to, 96, Old Lane, Birkenshaw	Reject
SL2294	Safeguarded Land	Land adjacent Brick Hill Farm, Oddfellows Street, Scholes	Reject
SL2296	Safeguarded Land	Land to the east and west of, Hardcastle Lane, Flockton	Reject
SL2299	Safeguarded Land	Land south west of, Soureby Cross Way, East Bierley	Reject
SL2300	Safeguarded Land	Land at junction of, Paris and Sandy Gate, Scholes	Reject

SL2301	Safeguarded Land	Land north of, 72, Peep Green Road, Hartshead	Reject
SL2303	Safeguarded Land	Land north of, Mill Lane, Hunsworth	Reject
SL2308	Safeguarded Land	Land west of, Green Balk Lane, Lepton	Reject
SL2309	Safeguarded Land	Land to west of, Green Balk Lane, Lepton	Reject
SL2310	Safeguarded Land	Land to the rear of, 117, Westfield Lane, Wyke	Reject
SL2729	Safeguarded Land	Land to west of, Hollin Brigg Lane, Holmbridge	Reject
SL2732	Safeguarded Land	Land to the north of, Penistone Road, Shelley	Reject
SL2733	Safeguarded Land	Land west of, Chandler Lane, Honley, Holmfirth	Reject
SL2734	Safeguarded Land	Land west of, Chandler Lane, Honley, Holmfirth	Reject
SL2735	Safeguarded Land	Land between, Hassocks Lane and Meltham Road, Honley	Reject
SL2736	Safeguarded Land	Land between, Garner Lane and Chandler Lane, Honley	Reject
SL2737	Safeguarded Land	Land between, Hassocks Lane and Meltham Road, Honley	Reject
SL2916	Safeguarded Land	Land south east of, Shillbank Lane, Mirfield	Reject
SL2918	Safeguarded Land	Land north of, Kitson Hill Road, Mirfield	Reject
SL2919	Safeguarded Land	Land north of, Kitson Hill Road, Mirfield	Reject
SL3346	Safeguarded Land	Land to the west of, Heath Road, Linthwaite	Reject
SL3352	Safeguarded Land	Land adjacent Cockley Hill Lane, Kirkheaton	Reject